CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
June 19, 2018

The scheduled meeting of the Ontario City Council was called to order by Mayor Ronald Verini at 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, June 19, 2018, in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Council members in attendance were Ronald Verini, Norm Crume, Tessa Winebarger, Dan Capron, Marty Justus, Betty Carter, and Ramon Palomo.

Members of staff present were Adam Brown, Tori Barnett, Kari Ott, Dan Cummings, Terry Leighton, Cal Kunz, Larry Sullivan, Betsy Roberts, and Cliff Leeper.

The meeting was recorded and copies are available at City Hall.

Dan Capron led everyone in the Pledge of Allegiance.

SPECIAL INTRODUCTION

Mayor Verini introduced members of the Diversity Advisory Committee that were in attendance – Janet Komoto, Alfredo Rodriguez, Maria Romero, and Jeaneth Mendoza – and thanked them for serving on this very important committee.

AGENDA

This Agenda was posted on June 14, 2018. Copies of the Agenda are available from the City Hall Customer Service Counter and on the city's website www.ontariooregon.org.

Mayor Verini noted a change to the Agenda. Under Hand-Outs/Discussion Items, they would be adding a discussion options for honoring and memorializing Chase Troyer at the Ontario Airport.

WINEBARGER moved, PALOMO seconded, **TO ADOPT THE AGENDA AS AMENDED.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

CONSENT AGENDA

CARTER moved, CAPRON seconded, **TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA**, which consisted of the Council Meeting minutes of May 15, 2018. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

PUBLIC COMMENT

(Sound was out)
Riley Hill, Ontario, brought forward a request for funding related to a housing project. Council opted to take no action on this proposal.

OLD BUSINESS

Resolution #2018-124: Adopt Master Fee Schedule
Adam Brown, City Manager, presented.

A Master Fee Schedule was being presented for approval in accordance with the Ontario 2018-2019 Fiscal Year Budget.

City fees had periodically been updated as issues arose, without consistent review and attention.

Staff created a Master Fee Schedule attempting to combine all city fees into one schedule that could be reviewed annually. Giving the Council the opportunity to review all fees annually would provide for a more consistent review of each fee.

As staff reviewed the fee schedule, adjustments were made where it was determined an increase was merited based on staff's knowledge of the time and materials needed to cover the cost and impact of the service ssociated with the fee.

It was a best practice to approve a Master Fee Schedule in conjunction with the budget which had to be approved by June 30, 2018. The Council could make adjustments to the fee schedule as needed with an additional opportunity to approve it on June 19, 2018.

Fees could be adjusted at any point during the year. Staff recommended it be done with the budget so revenue changes associated with cost centers could be considered.

The Council held the authority to change service fees.

The Council could choose to not take action on the fee schedule, or they could amend the Fee Schedule and adopt it as amended.

CRUME moved, WINEBARGER seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT RESOLUTION #2018-124, A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A MASTER FEE SCHEDULE FOR THE 2018-2019 FISCAL YEAR, WITH THE EXCEPTION TO REMOVE THE BUSINESS REGISTRATION FEES WHICH WOULD BE DISCUSSED AT A LATER DATE.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-no; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 6/1/0.

NEW BUSINESS

Resolution # 2018-127: Accepting the Abstract of Votes for the May 15, 2018, Primary Election
Tori Barnett, MMC, City Recorder, presented.

In the May 15, 2018, Primary Election, two Measures were placed before the Ontario voters. Measure #23-58 would impose a 1% retail sales tax in Ontario, and Measure #23-59 would amend the Ontario City Charter to read that no increase in sales tax could occur without going for a vote of the citizens.

The citizens of the City of Ontario had two Measures before them during the May 15, 2018, Primary Election. Measure #23-58 would allow the collection of a 1% sales tax, and Measure #23-59 would amend the City Charter to reflect that the 1% could not be increased without going to a vote of the people. Measure #23-59 would only be realized if Measure #23-58 passed. Measure #23-59 was successful, but became void upon the failure of Measure #23-58.

By state statute, the Council was required to adopt the Canvass of Votes as supplied by the County Election Official for each election. Resolution #2018-127 accomplished that requirement.

Adopting the Canvass of Votes needed to be accomplished at the next available Council meeting following receipt of the votes.

If the Council opted to not adopt the proposed resolution, they would be in violation of state election statutes.

WINEBARGER moved, CARTER seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT RESOLUTION #2018-127, A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE ABSTRACT OF VOTES FOR THE MAY 15, 2018, PRIMARY ELECTION.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Resolution #2018-128: Adopting a Supplemental Budget to Recognize Unexpected Revenues and to Transfer Budgeted Appropriations for Unexpected Items
Kari Ott, Finance Director, presented.

At the time the 2017-2018 budget was approved, there were some items that were unknown. As the amounts were now known, a budget resolution was necessary to prevent over expenditures in various departments.

The following items needed to be adjusted within the budget to prevent over expenditures of budget appropriations:

- ✓ An employee from American Staffing worked at the front desk for a couple of months.
- ✓ There was carryover from the prior year for the reserve program.
- ✓ Recreation officials were hired through the city for most of the year instead of using American Staffing.
- ✓ A warranty needed extended for two servers.
- ✓ The CDBG Grant and Brownsfield Grant were received.
- ✓ Eclipse revenue was received along with expenses associated with the eclipse events.

An additional $207,774 will be appropriated and the remaining budget changes are transfers between items already appropriated. by law, the proposed resolution must be approved on or before June 30, 2018. A formal resolution approved by the City Council is required to expend funds per ORS 294.

The Council could take no action on this matter; this would cause budget over expenditures.

CRUME moved, JUSTUS seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT RESOLUTION #2018-128, A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET TO RECOGNIZE UNEXPECTED REVENUES AND TO TRANSFER BUDGETED APPROPRIATIONS FOR UNEXPECTED ITEMS.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Resolution #2018-130: Designating the City Manager as Certifying Officer
Adam Brown, City Manager, presented.

The proposed resolution was necessary to proceed with the current Community Development Block Grant application process.

A grant was awarded to the City of Ontario for housing rehabilitation projects within the city, which would be administered through the county's third party administrator Community in Action.

The city was required to assign a signatory for the purpose of signing off on documents related to the grant. This was most often the chief administrator of the local government. Staff recommended the City Council assign the City Manager to be the signatory in line with the prevailing practice. Grant funds could not be administered until this designation has been approved by the City Council.

Timing is critical. Community in Action is ready to move forward with the application. Delaying submission of necessary documents may jeopardize the overall project. Taking no action would delay advancement of the project, possibly losing the funding for the grant.

Section 1.8(5) of the Ontario Financial Policies & Procedures Manual, dated April 19, 2004, stated *"No individual has the authority to enter purchase agreements or contracts on behalf of the city unless specifically authorized to do so. Individuals with authority are the City Manager up to $75K..."* The Council was required to authorize contracts for values exceeding $75K.

JUSTUS moved, PALOMO seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT RESOLUTION #2018-130, A RESOLUTION DESIGNATING THE CITY MANAGER AS THE CDBG PROJECT CERTIFYING OFFICER.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Snake River Correctional Institution Water Agreement Extension
Betsy Roberts, City Engineer, presented.

The water agreement between the Oregon Department of Corrections (DOC) and the City of Ontario enabled the Snake River Correctional Institution (SRCI) to utilize city water for their needs. The agreement for the original contract would expire June 22, 2018. The proposed extension would continue all of the same conditions for SRCI and would extend the current agreement until June 22, 2019.

On June 22, 1998, the city entered an Intergovernmental Agreement, #1879, with the ODOC. Through this agreement the SRCI receives city water for their facilities. The Institution then repays the city based on the water usage rates as established in the Agreement. The Agreement was set to terminate on June 22, 2018. Because the agreement is going to terminate on June 22, 2018, the DOC has officially requested a one year extension to continue water service to the SRCI for their current water needs. The one-year extension would allow DOC and city staff the opportunity to conduct a thorough review of the existing Contract and work closely together to update the Agreement to best reflect the needs of both parties. Once a mutually satisfactory agreement was drafted, it would come before Council for consideration for a long-term extension of services.

There would be no additional costs associated with this extension. The same usage rates included in the original Contract would continue. It was desirable to have the extension in place prior to the current termination period.

One alternative would be to let the Contract terminate and not reinstate a new one. However, that left both the DOC and the city in a vulnerable position with no Agreement regarding water service.

Another alternative would be to simply extend the existing Agreement for another long-term period. This would ensures a Contract was in place continually, but staff would not be able to conduct a thorough review of the Agreement to include any needed modifications.

CRUME moved, WINEBARGER seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE PROPOSED ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT #1879, FOR WATER SERVICES, WITH OREGON DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS (DOC), SNAKE RIVER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION (SRCI).** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Ordinance #2741-2018: Creating Title 6, Chapter 10, of the Ontario Municipal Code to Adopt a Public Safety Fee for the City of Ontario (First Reading)
Kari Ott, Finance Director, presented.

The Budget Committee met in April, 2018, at which time a $5 Public Safety Fee was approved in the 2018-2019 budget based on a recommendation from the City Manager.

The city was in need of a funding mechanism in order to preserve the current police department staffing levels. The City Manager proposed a Public Safety Fee and the Budget Committee approved a $5 Public Safety Fee listed as a separate line item on the water and sewer bills.

In order to impose a Public Safety Fee, the city was required to create an ordinance, approve two different resolutions, allow for public comment, and publish notice in the newspaper. These documents would do the following:

- ✓ The ordinance would create Title 6, Chapter 10, of the Ontario Municipal Code which would allow the city to adopt the Public Safety Fee and set up the parameters.
- ✓ The first resolution would set the Public Safety Fee as a monthly $5 fee to each dwelling unit and non-residential unit. The resolution also certified that a chance for public comment was given.
- ✓ The second resolution classified the Public Safety Fee as not subject to Measure 5 limitations.
- ✓ It also certified that a publication would be published in the newspaper to give notice of the adoption of this resolution.

The resolutions would be brought to the Council after the ordinance became effective.

Staff expected this Public Safety Fee, at a monthly charge of $5, to generate $217,500 in revenue annually. The proposed action should be approved as soon as possible to start bringing revenue into the city to fund public safety.

If the Council took no action, it would result in the reduction of two police officers for the 2018-2019 budget.

JUSTUS moved, CAPRON seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT ORDINANCE #2741-2018, AN ORDINANCE ADDING CHAPTER 10 TO TITLE 6 OF THE ONTARIO CITY CODE TO ADOPT A PUBLIC SAFETY FEE, on First Reading by Title Only.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

PUBLIC HEARING(S)

Resolution #2018-129: Supplemental Budget Authorizing the Appropriations to Expend Unexpected Additional Building Department Revenues
It being the date advertised for public hearing on the matter of Resolution #2018-129, the Hearing was declared open. There were no objections to the city's jurisdiction to hear the action, no abstentions, ex-parte contact, and no declarations of conflict of interest.

Kari Ott, Finance Director, presented.

The snow event in the 2016-2017 caused extensive damage to buildings in Ontario. Due to this unforeseen circumstance, building permit revenues received were substantially higher than budgeted. Building permit fees received require payments to the City of Fruitland for inspection services and to the State of Oregon. The Building Fund received approximately $200,000 in revenues over the budgeted $100,000. The budget change was greater than 10% of the fund; therefore, a publicized budget hearing was required.
The Building Fund would increase revenue and expenditure appropriations by $200,000. The resolution needed to be approved before June 30, 2018 in order to change the 2017-2018 budget.

A formal resolution approving the supplemental budget by the City Council was required to expend the funds per ORS 294.

The Council could take no action on this matter; however, this would cause the city to have budget over expenditures.

The Hearing was opened for public testimony.

Opponents: None.
Proponents: None.

There being no Proponent and no Opponent testimony, the Hearing was closed.

WINEBARGER moved, CARTER seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT RESOLUTION #2018-129, A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATIONS TO EXPEND UNEXPECTED ADDITIONAL BUILDING PERMIT REVENUES.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Ordinance #2739-2018: Repealing Title 10C-50 Historical Landmarks and Replacing it with Title 10C-60 Historical Landmarks (First Reading)

It being the date advertised for public hearing on the matter of Ordinance #2739-2018, the Hearing was declared open. There were no objections to the city's jurisdiction to hear the action, no abstentions, ex-parte contact, and no declarations of conflict of interest.

Dan Cummings, Community Development Director, presented.

A request was made for the City of Ontario to replace the city zoning and development code, Title 10C-50 Substantive Regulations for Land Development - Historical Landmarks to follow the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) guidelines to become a Certified Local Government (CLG). If approved, this action would show the city's demonstrated interest in historic preservation and be recognized as a CLG by the National Park Service. Becoming a CLG opened the city up for funding through SHPO, technical assistance, and trainings.

Chapter 10B-15-05 allowed the initiation of zoning and development code amendments by the City Council or Planning Commission. The proposed amendments had been initiated. As proposed, the amendment would replace Chapter 10C-50 entirely with a new Historical Landmarks Ordinance titled Historic Preservation Ordinance. Doing so would allow the National Park Service and the State Historic Preservation Office to recognize the City of Ontario as a Certified Local Government, opening up opportunities for grants, technical assistance, historic inventories, and historic preservation trainings.

The code would be non-compulsory. Property owners would have to register their property as historic, if it met the standards, in order to be eligible for grant money and be held to the restrictions laid out in this chapter. There were currently 81 other cities in Oregon that were recognized as Certified Local Governments, making them eligible to receive grants of varying amounts from the state.

The request before Council was to replace the current code with a code that adhered to SHPO standards. The changes were as follows:

REPEALING TITLE 10C-50 HISTORICAL LANDMARKS AND REPLACING IT WITH TITLE 10C-60 HISTORICAL PRESERVATION LANDMARKS.

At a Public Hearing by the Ontario Planning Commission on June 11, 2018, the Commission made a motion recommending the City Council approve planning action 2018-04-09ZCA, Ordinance #2739-2018.

Other than staff time and recording fees (fees were budgeted) there should be no financial cost to the city. The application fees should cover the cost of staff time to process any applications and the city should benefit from increased tax values with any remodeling of the Historical Buildings.

Staff was trying to get this project approved and completed by the end of July. The city, from time to time, could amend its zoning regulations in response to emerging technologies or business concepts or changes in state law. The proposed amendments to Titles 10C of the Ontario City Code were both substantive and "housekeeping" measures, in response to Council direction and identification of changing business concepts and state regulations.

The Council could table the action asking for further information based on testimony or questions raised at the hearing. Or, the Council could deny the action based on stated findings and reasoning.

The Hearing was opened for public testimony.

Opponents: None.
Proponents: None.

There being no Proponent and no Opponent testimony, the Hearing was closed.

JUSTUS moved, CAPRON seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT ORDINANCE #2739-2018, AN ORDINANCE REPEALING TITLE 10C-50 HISTORICAL LANDMARKS AND REPLACING IT WITH TITLE 10C-60 HISTORICAL PRESERVATION LANDMARKS, on First Reading by Title Only.** Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

HAND-OUTS/DISCUSSION ITEMS

Resignation of Councilor Montessa Winebarger/Discuss Replacement Options

Tori Barnett, City Recorder, stated she had received, unhappily, the attached letter of resignation from Councilor Tess Winebarger, and was seeking direction from Council on how they'd like to proceed with filling the vacancy.

> *Fellow Councilors, Mayor Verini, and Staff:*
> *It is with a heavy heart I submit this resignation letter to you. My last meeting will be the Tuesday regular meeting in August, so am I hoping this is enough time to allow for the team to decide what to do with my seat (and my candy drawer). As many of you know, I've been pursuing furthering my education, and a great opportunity has presented itself that I cannot refuse. I've been accepted in nursing school in the fall to continue the pursuit of my RN. My classes will start on the 27th of August in Boise, and are very demanding. I will not have the time I need to dedicate to this position to best serve our citizens with you. I will continue to stay invested in the community, and attend meetings as I can. I thank our citizens for choosing me for this opportunity, and each of you for putting up with me and teaching me so much. I'll continue advocating for public safety, and support the city however I can. It's been a pleasure to serve and work with each of you, and leaving is very hard for me, as each of you are another part of my family after our nearly four years together. Thank you for everything,*
> *Tess*

Council director to solicit letters of interest from members in the community, and to establish a cut-off date of July 31, 2018 to receive letters. Council would review letters received at the August work session.

Ms. Barnett stated she would post ads in both the Malheur Enterprise and the Argus Observer.

Honoring Chase Troyer at the Ontario Municipal Airport

Councilor Dan Capron brought forward the story surrounding the recent passing of 9-year old Cash Troyer, who had been a significant part of the Ontario Airport. His grandfather, Tom Frazier, was the FBO, and his mother worked in the FBO office. Cash had passed in a drowning incident, along with his younger brother, just a short time ago, and due to his affiliation with the Airport, the pilots, and his general love of anything aviation, the Airport Committee, along with pilots, crew, staff, and all those associated with the Airport, wanted to do honor Cash in some way. It was determined that naming the new grass runway after Cash would be the most desired route. He was requesting support from the Council, and direction to staff on making this happen.

Council voiced support in the memorial to Cash, and directed staff to bring forward a resolution to that affect.

JACOBS Contract Extension and Amendment

Adam Brown, City Manager, stated JACOBS Engineering requested an extension to their contract that was set to expire in June of 2019. They proposed two options for extending the operations and maintenance contract for city Public Works for either 5 years or 10 years.

The City of Ontario entered into a contract with CH2M (now JACOBS) in 2014 for Public Works services including Street, Storm-water, Water, Wastewater, Parks, Facilities, and Cemeteries. The Public Works Committee reviewed the contract on two different meetings. No changes were recommended by the Public Works Committee.

An extension to the contract had been proposed by JACOBS. The city has received excellent service under JACOBS management of the public works functions. Their organization brought depth to the city that would be difficult to find elsewhere at the same cost.

Staff analyzed the inflationary costs of JACOBS service since coming on board with the City of Ontario. Their inflation costs were similar or less than other department's personnel costs.

A five year extension would reduce the Fiscal Year overall cost for Public Works Services from 2.9% to 1.5%. That amounted to a reduction of $73,214 that would be split proportionally among the various Public Works funds.

A ten year extension would reduce the Fiscal Year overall cost for Public Works Services from 2.9% to 1%. That amounted to a reduction of $99,362 that would be split proportionally among the various Public Works funds.

Current Contract Amount for FY 2018 = $5,229,571

Proposed and Budgeted for FY 2019 @ 2.9% = 5,381,228.56

5-Year Extension in FY 2019 @ 1.5% = $5,308,014.57 (Saving $73,213.99)

10-Year Extension in FY 2019 @ 1% = $5,281,866.71 (Saving $99,361.85)

Either under a five year or ten year extension the savings for the next fiscal year would be compounded by each additional year of the extension.

The proposed amendments incorporated a discount into the 2018-2019 fiscal year operations budget. Approval of the extension now would ensure a discount for the city for the next year. It could be that if approved after the fiscal year, the savings would be less or negotiated at a later date closer to the contract expiration.

The current contract allowed the city to opt out of services with JACOBS at any time with 120 days' notice.

Only the City Council has the authority to approve this contract extension and amendment.

The City still has one year left on the contract with JACOBS, the successor to CH2M. The city could spend more time analyzing whether to continue services with JACOBS.

Staff recommended taking no action at this time, to allow for additional time to review the contract.

Residency Requirements for Owners of Marijuana Businesses

Adam Brown, City Manager, stated he had met with an individual who requested the Council consider an action requiring, that if marijuana was legalized in Ontario, that the owners of such establishments be required to have residency here for at least two years. That would be for a three year period, which would allow people that were here to compete in that market, vs. some large entities coming in. Obviously everything was contingent upon the petitions that had been filed going on the ballot, and then being approved, so this was nothing that was imminent to decide, but the Council needed to be aware of it for discussion and consideration.

Councilor Capron stated fist, they had a marijuana committee now, so this should go to them for discussion first. Second, could they even legally require that?

Larry Sullivan, City Attorney, stated when Ballot Measure #91 was implemented, which legalized marijuana in Oregon, it didn't include any residency requirements for marijuana retailers. But, the very first bill that came out of the Oregon Legislature imposed that requirement. It read that anybody that got a license to sell recreational marijuana could only be sold by a business that had at least a 51% ownership by an Oregon resident for at least two years. He assumed that was where this particular requirement came from, but this one was much more specific. Instead of just saying State of Oregon, this one was City of Ontario. He did not know of any legal basis for finding that it wouldn't be legal from the research that had been done for residency requirements for employees. There wasn't anything wrong with the city imposing a residency requirement for people who were hired by the city. When the Oregon Legislature did it, there were many discussions among people that were interested in getting into the business about whether there should be a legal challenge to that; there were arguments made that it was a violation of the US Constitution's Commerce Clause, but everyone also said it was still illegal under federal law, so how could they use the Constitution to argue that Oregon couldn't impose a residency requirement for that business could only be in Oregon to begin with. After the Legislature passed it, it became politically unpopular. There was a lot of opposition to the residency requirement. During the subsequent Legislative session, it was repealed. Ultimately, it would be a political decision for the Council to consider. On the initiative, if it passed in November, it would go into effect 30 days after that. If the Council was serious about wanting to impose that type of restriction, they'd probably want to have the ordinance in effect at least by the time the marijuana initiative went into effect. Changes were there would be a lot of people applying to the city to get a license to operate in Ontario, and if the application were submitted and the residency requirement was imposed after, he could not say if that would survive a legal challenge. It would severely limit the pool of applicants.

Councilor Justus stated it would need to be clear that it wouldn't be business property owners in the city, it would be residents of the city. They should task the marijuana committee with developing an ordinance so something was ready by September or October, to have something in place should the initiative pass in November.

Mr. Sullivan stated any ordinance passed by the Council would have to be contingent upon the repealing of the current ban on marijuana businesses. It wouldn't go into effect until the ban had been overturned. Any ordinance passed before then couldn't be effective until the ban was repealed. It would go into effect no sooner than 30 days after November 6, 2018. Any ordinance passed by the city that was directly contrary to what was passed by the initiative petition would lose out.

Councilor Crume stated this was the exact meaning of discrimination. He was a businessman, and he couldn't imagine any Council thinking of restricting anyone from doing business on how long they had lived in a town, what color skin they had, what length their hair was, gender, whatever. If they were capable of owning a business and running it, then do it if its legal. This whole proposal upset him greatly. It was purposely being done to protect certain people to make the money and not let anyone else in. If the people wanted marijuana dispensaries here, then whoever wanted one in, so be it.

Jahmel Cooke, Ontario, stated this was based upon keeping the money earned here in Ontario, instead of having the employees and owners make the money and take it elsewhere. He wanted to protect this town, keep the money in this town.

Councilor Capron reiterated that this needed to go before the Marijuana Committee first.

Council consensus to take before the Marijuana Committee first.

Agenda: Recreation Department 06-20-2018
No comments (Attached)

Check Register: March 1-May 10, 2018
No comments (Attached)

CORRESPONDENCE, COMMENTS, AND EX-OFFICIO REPORTS

Councilor Capron encouraged those who were seeking office, to actually attend a Council meeting.

Councilor Winebarger had been in North Bend at a hosted LOC meeting. The League approved their budget, adding in a lot more money for marketing and training. The upcoming conference was going to be amazing. There were going to be two League reps in Nyssa on the 18th and 19th, and they would love to come over to see Ontario City Hall.

Councilor Crume thanked everyone who had attended that night's meeting. He also wanted to say to Jahmal that he didn't want his comments to be taken personally. He was there to put the best interest of Ontario first.

Councilor Carter stated the new 4-way stop on Verde was great. People were being respectful and there had been no accidents.

Mayor Verini stated the Northwest Housing Alternatives had closed a deal with the vacant Presbyterian Care Center. It could add 50 apartments in the community.

ADJOURN

CARTER moved, CAPRON seconded, **THAT THE MEETING BE ADJOURNE**D. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Carter-yes; Palomo-yes; Justus-yes; Capron-yes; Winebarger-yes; Verini-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

ACCEPTED:

Ronald Verini, Mayor

ATTESTED:

Tori Barnett

Tori Barnett, MMC, City Recorder