

**MISSION STATEMENT: TO PROVIDE A SAFE, HEALTHFUL AND SOUND ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT,
PROGRESSIVELY ENHANCING OUR QUALITY OF LIFE**



**STUDY SESSION AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL – CITY OF ONTARIO OREGON
WEDNESDAY, JULY 3, 2019, 12:00 PM, MT**

Pursuant to the Public Meetings Laws and Rules within the Oregon Revised Statutes, the City Council has the authority, ability, and standing to take action on any items on the Agenda, or add items to the Agenda, during the Study Session or Regular Meeting, as long as all public meeting notice requirements have been met.

1) CALL TO ORDER

Roll Call: Freddy Rodriguez _____ Marty Justus _____ Ramon Palomo _____ Dan Capron _____
Michael Braden _____ Norm Crume _____ Mayor Riley Hill _____

2) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

This Agenda was posted on July 2, 2019. Copies of the Agenda are available from the City Hall Customer Service Counter and on the city's website at www.ontariooregon.org.

3) MOTION TO ADOPT THE AGENDA

4) PUBLIC COMMENTS Citizens may address the Council; however, Council may not be able to provide an immediate answer or response. Out of respect to the Council and others in attendance, please limit your comment to three (3) minutes. Please state your name and city of residence for the record.

5) SPECIAL ACTION

A) Lieutenant Jason Cooper, Ontario Police Department

6) PRESENTATIONS

- A) Chamber of Commerce Annual Update: John Breidenbach, CEO
- B) Four Rivers Cultural Center Annual Update: Matt Stringer, Executive Director

7) NEW BUSINESS

- A) Liquor License: Two Aces Mexican Restaurant, LLC
- B) Liquor License: Ontario Liquor Store, LLC
- C) Liquor License: Guru Hospitality, LLC
- D) Resolution #2019-125: Adoption of the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan
- E) 2019-2021 Intergovernmental Agreement for Regional Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team Services

8) DEPARTMENT HEAD UPDATES

- A) Financial Update: May, 2019
- B) Ontario Police Department Semi-Annual Report

9) DISCUSSION ITEMS

- A) Discussion of City Noise Ordinance
- B) Department Head Presentation Frequency
- C) Fire Hydrants

10) HAND-OUTS

- A) Dept Stats:
Public Works June 2019
Code Enforcement May 2019
Police May 2019
Fire & Rescue June 2019
- B) Minutes:
County Court 05-29-2019
County Court 06-05-2019
County Court 06-12-2019
County Court 06-19-2019
SREDA 06-04-2019
- C) Packet: V&C Board 07-11-2019

11) CORRESPONDENCE, COMMENTS AND EX-OFFICIO REPORTS

12) ADJOURN



**AGENDA REPORT
NEW BUSINESS
July 3, 2019**

To: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Steven Romero
THROUGH: Adam J. Brown, City Manager
SUBJECT: **LIQUOR LICENSE: TWO ACES MEXICAN RESTAURANT, LLC**
DATE: June 28, 2019

PROPOSED MOTION:

I MOVE THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE NEW OUTLET, FULL ON-PREMISES COMMERCIAL SALES LIQUOR LICENSE APPLICATION FOR TWO ACES MEXICAN RESTAURANT LLC.

SUMMARY:

Two Aces Mexican Restaurant LLC completed the “New Outlet” application for Full On-Premises Commercial liquor license privileges through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission for Two Aces Mexican Restaurant, located at 2880 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 10, Ontario, Oregon.

All necessary paperwork has been approved through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission office and is awaiting approval through the Ontario City Council.

BACKGROUND:

Criminal record process was completed on Aces Mexican Restaurant, LLC owner/manager Joel Ruiz. All records returned meeting state and local requirements. The application forms have been filled out appropriately and required fees have been paid. All permit and license requirements have been met through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission, as well as through the City of Ontario Planning Department.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Two Aces Mexican Restaurant LLC is opening a restaurant at 2880 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 10, Ontario, Oregon with trade name Two Aces Mexican Restaurant. The application process provides the necessary requirements for OLCC to license that location for New Outlet, Full On-Premises Commercial. Once this step is completed, this location will have the necessary license which will require renewal on a yearly basis.

ANALYSIS:

- A. **FINANCIAL** There is no financial impact for this action.
- B. **TIMING** Approval required prior to opening to allow sales of beverages at that point and forward.
- C. **POLICY/LEGAL** All legal steps have been followed to completion, with no negative feedback.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not approve the license application.

This has no true effect on the process, as OLCC may take the city's objections to the action into consideration, but are not obligated to do so. They are the ultimate authority on the issuance of the license.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the new outlet Full On-Premises Commercial liquor license for Two Aces Mexican Restaurant LLC.

ATTACHMENTS:

None



**AGENDA REPORT
NEW BUSINESS
July 3, 2019**

To: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Steven Romero

THROUGH: Adam J. Brown, City Manager

SUBJECT: LIQUOR LICENSE: ONTARIO LIQUOR STORE, LLC

DATE: June 28, 2019

PROPOSED MOTION:

I MOVE THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE NEW OUTLET OFF-PREMISES LIQUOR LICENSE APPLICATION FOR THE ONTARIO LIQUOR STORE, LLC.

SUMMARY:

The Ontario Liquor Store, located at 1179 SW 4th Avenue, Ontario, Oregon completed the “New Outlet Off-Premises” liquor license sales through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission.

All necessary paperwork has been approved through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission office and is awaiting approval through the Ontario City Council.

BACKGROUND:

Criminal record process was completed on Ontario Liquor Store, LLC agent/owner Kenton Anderson. The record returned meeting state and local requirement. The application forms have been filled out appropriately and required fees have been paid. All permit and license requirements have been met through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission as well as through the City of Ontario Planning Department.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The Ontario Liquor Store is requesting to add the sale of beer and wine through their business. The Oregon Liquor Control Commission requires the Ontario Liquor Store, LLC to complete the “New Outlet – Off Premises sales” application in order to obtain this additional privilege. Once this step is completed, the location will have the necessary license which will require renewal on a yearly basis.

ANALYSIS:

- A. **FINANCIAL** There is no financial impact for this action.
- B. **TIMING** Approval required prior to allowing the sale of beer and wine at this location.
- C. **POLICY/LEGAL** All legal steps have been followed to completion, with no negative feedback.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not approve the license application.

This has no true effect on the process, as the Oregon Liquor Control Commission may take the city's objections to the action into consideration, but are not obligated to do so. They are the ultimate authority on the issuance of the license.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the new outlet off-premises liquor license for Ontario Liquor Store, LLC.

ATTACHMENTS:

None



**AGENDA REPORT
NEW BUSINESS
July 3, 2019**

To: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Steven Romero

THROUGH: Adam J. Brown, City Manager

SUBJECT: LIQUOR LICENSE: GURU HOSPITALITY, LLC

DATE: June 28, 2019

PROPOSED MOTION:

I MOVE THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE CHANGE OF OWNER, FULL ON-PREMISES COMMERCIAL SALES LIQUOR LICENSE APPLICATION FOR GURU HOSPITALITY LLC.

SUMMARY:

Guru Hospitality LLC completed the “Change of Owner” application for Full On-Premises Commercial liquor license privileges through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission for County Kitchen Ontario, located at 1249 Tapadera Avenue, Ontario, Oregon.

All necessary paperwork has been approved through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission office and is awaiting approval through the Ontario City Council.

BACKGROUND:

Criminal record process was completed on Guru Hospitality LLC owner/manager Dilbag Singh. All records returned meeting state and local requirement. The application forms have been filled out appropriately and required fees have been paid. All permit and license requirements have been met through the Oregon Liquor Control Commission as well as through the City of Ontario Planning Department.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The County Kitchen restaurant located at 1249 Tapadera Avenue, Ontario, Oregon, is currently licensed under the past owner/licensee Linda Quinn. This application process provides the necessary requirements for OLCC to put the license under the new owner name of Guru Hospitality LLC. Once this step is completed, this location will have the necessary license under the new owner, which will require renewal on a yearly basis.

ANALYSIS:

- A. **FINANCIAL** There is no financial impact for this action.
- B. **TIMING** Approval required for acknowledgement of new owner/licensee of this location from this point and forward.
- C. **POLICY/LEGAL** All legal steps have been followed to completion, with no negative feedback.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not approve the license application.

This has no true effect on the process, as OLCC may take the city's objections to the action into consideration, but are not obligated to do so. They are the ultimate authority on the issuance of the license.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the change of owner, Full On-Premises Commercial liquor license for Guru Hospitality LLC for Country Kitchen Ontario.

ATTACHMENTS:

None



**AGENDA REPORT
NEW BUSINESS
July 3, 2019**

To: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Adam J. Brown, City Manager

THROUGH: Adam J. Brown, City Manager

SUBJECT: **RESOLUTION #2019-125: ADOPTION OF THE NATURAL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN**

DATE: June 21, 2019

PROPOSED MOTION:

I MOVE THAT THE ONTARIO CITY COUNCIL APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 2019-125 ADOPTING THE MALHEUR COUNTY NATURAL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN.

SUMMARY:

The City of Ontario has been working collaboratively with the Malheur County Sheriff's Office, the City of Vale, City of Nyssa, and the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) Natural Hazards Planner to develop an update to the County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan. Each municipality involved in the creation is required to have the plan adopted by the governing body of that organization, which means the City Council for Ontario.

BACKGROUND:

With funding and technical assistance from the DLCD, Malheur County and the cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale began working on an update to the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan nearly two years ago. The plan is an effort to prepare for and mitigate the short and long-term effects resulting from natural hazards. The plan must be updated every five years. The current plan was approved on May 2, 2014, and expired May 1, 2019.

CURRENT SITUATION:

After many months of work by the County Sheriff's Office, the State of Oregon, and the city's of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale a final draft of the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is ready for review and approval. The plan has been posted on the city website for several months and open for public comment. We have not received any comments.

The Plan has received "Approval Pending Adoption" from FEMA. The plan must be adopted by the County Court and the participating city's governing body's.

The risk assessment for our county identified the most common natural hazards as droughts, winter storms, wind storms, floods, wildfire, and severe weather as the most likely natural hazards for which to prepare. Prevention activities towards any of these potential hazards would be eligible potential grant opportunities.

ANALYSIS:

- A. **FINANCIAL** The cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale used time spent by staff as the required match for this project. No city general funds were needed.

The Disaster Mitigation Act of 200 (DMA2k) require that jurisdictions maintain an approved NHMP to receive pre- and post- disaster federal funds. With adoption, Ontario will be eligible for pre- disaster funds and post-disaster funds should we actually have a natural disaster.

- B. **TIMING** The existing plan expired on May 1, 2019, so the County does not have an active NHMP. Should any natural disaster occur we would be vulnerable in not being eligible for post-disaster funding.

- C. **POLICY/LEGAL** FEMA requires that the City of Ontario City Council adopt the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan.

ALTERNATIVES:

Take No Action: FEMA will not approve the plan until adopted by the participating municipalities. Without a current NHMP, the city could be denied eligibility for federal or state funding related to mitigation efforts.

RECOMMENDATION:

I recommend that the Ontario City Council approve Resolution No. 2019-125 adopting the Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Reso #2019-125 Res_Malheur COUNTY_Ontario NHMP Adoption_6 21 2019
2. NHMP-Draft-3-15-19
3. Malheur_County_MJ-NHMP_FEMA_APA_Letter_6-19-19
4. Malheur_County_MJ-NHMP_FEMA_Review_6-19-19



RESOLUTION # 2019-125

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE CITY OF ONTARIO'S REPRESENTATION IN THE UPDATES TO THE MALHEUR COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN

- Whereas,** The City of Ontario recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people, property and infrastructure within our community; and
- Whereas,** Undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people, property and infrastructure from future hazard occurrences; and
- Whereas,** An adopted Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and
- Whereas,** The City of Ontario has fully participated in the FEMA prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare the *Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, which has established a comprehensive, coordinated planning process to eliminate or minimize these vulnerabilities; and
- Whereas,** The City of Ontario has identified natural hazard risks and prioritized several proposed actions and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the City of Ontario to the impacts of future disasters within the *Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan*; and
- Whereas,** These proposed projects and programs have been incorporated into the *Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* that has been prepared and promulgated for consideration and implementation by the cities of Malheur County; and
- Whereas,** The Oregon Military Department's Office of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region X officials have reviewed the *Malheur County, Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* and pre-approved it (dated: March 20, 2019) contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;
- Whereas,** The NHMP is comprised of four volumes: Volume I - Basic Mitigation Plan, Volume II – Hazard Annexes, Volume III – City/Special District Addendums, and Volume IV – Mitigation Resources, collectively referred to herein as the NHMP; and

Whereas, The NHMP is in an on-going cycle of development and revision to improve its effectiveness; and

Whereas, City of Ontario adopts the NHMP and directs the City Manager and staff to develop, approve, and implement the mitigation strategies and any administrative changes to the NHMP.

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the City of Ontario adopts *the Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* as an official plan; and

Be it further resolved, that the City of Ontario will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Oregon Military Department's Office of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region X officials to enable final approval of the *Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Immediately upon passage.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Ontario City Council this _____ day of _____, 2019, by the following vote:

AYES:

NAYS:

EXCUSED:

ABSENT:

APPROVED by the Mayor this _____ day of _____, 2019.

ATTEST:

Riley J. Hill, Mayor

Tori Barnett, MMC, City Recorder

Draft Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) 3/15/19

Participating jurisdictions: Malheur County, Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale

Draft prepared by Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner, Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD)

This is the **third** draft provided for review and comment.

Note that this version contains revised copies of all previously provided sections and new copies of other text. This document contains the entire draft Malheur County Natural hazards Mitigation Plan.

Hazard maps have been made and provided by Malheur County GIS, and are included in the Hazard Annexes. Additional maps are included throughout the NHMP.

Of note, Appendix F and Appendix H are drafted but not attached due to the size of the files. They are available upon request.

Also of note, there are several places in the NHMP that contain missing or unfinished information. These are in the process of being addressed.

The NHMP is a work in progress. Comments received will be integrated. Additional reviews of the NHMP will occur prior to submittal of the NHMP to Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The target date for submittal is April 12, 2019.

Comments, questions, and concerns on this draft should be sent to:

Tricia Sears


Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner

Tricia.sears@state.or.us

503-934-0031




DRAFT 3-1-2019



Malheur County

MULTI-JURISDICTION NATURAL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN



- Malheur County
- Ontario
- Nyssa
- Vale



FEMA

Effective Month x, 2019 through Month x, 2024

The *2019 Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* is a living document that will be reviewed and updated periodically.

Comments, suggestions, corrections, and additions are encouraged to be submitted from all interested parties.

For further information and to provide comments, contact:

Lt. Rich Harriman, Emergency Services Manager
Malheur County Sheriff's Office
151 "B" St.
Vale, OR 97918
Phone 541-473-5120
Email: richard.harriman@malheurco.org



Malheur County developed this Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan through a partnership funded by the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program. In 2017, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received two Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grants (PDMC-PL-OR-2016-003 and PDMC-PL-10-OR-2016-005) from FEMA through the Oregon Office of Emergency Management (OEM) to assist Malheur County and seven other counties with their NHMPs.

MALHEUR COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN

Table of Contents

Volume I: Basic Plan

Cover.....	Drafted.....	Attached.....
Acknowledgements and Table of Contents....	Drafted.....	Attached.....
Executive Summary	Drafted... Attached	i
Section 1: Introduction	Drafted... Attached	1-1
Section 2: Risk Assessment....	Drafted... Attached.....	2-1
Section 3: Mitigation Strategy....	Drafted... Attached	3-1
Section 4: Plan Implementation and Maintenance...	Drafted... Attached.	4-1

Volume II: Hazard Annexes

Introduction. Drafted...Attached...Maps are included.....	
Drought... Drafted...Attached.....	R-1
Earthquake... Drafted...Revised...Attached	EQ-1
Flood... Drafted...Attached	FL-1
Landslide ...Drafted...Attached.....	LS-1
Severe Weather...Drafted...Attached.....	SW-1
Volcanic Event....Drafted...Attached	VE-1
Wildfire....Drafted...Attached	WF-1

Volume III: Mitigation Resources

Appendix A: Action Item Forms... Drafted...Attached.....	A-1
Appendix B: Planning and Public Process....Drafted...Attached.....	B-1
Appendix C: Community Profile. Drafted...Attached	C-1
Appendix D: Economic Analysis of Natural Hazard Mitigation Projects. Drafted...Attached.....	D-1
Appendix E: Grant Programs and Resources... Drafted....Attached.....	E-1
Appendix F: Future Climate Projections Reports...Drafted... Not attached	F-1
Appendix G: Malheur County NHMP Success Stories.... Drafted....Attached.....	G-1
Appendix H: Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios....Drafted...Not attached...	H-1.

Volume I: Basic Plan

Insert photo

DRAFT

This page left intentionally blank.

SPECIAL THANKS & ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Malheur County developed this Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) through a partnership funded by the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Grant Program. In 2017, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received two Pre-Disaster Mitigation grants (PDMC-PL-10-OR-2016-003 and PDMC-PL-10-OR-2016-005) from FEMA through the Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM) to assist Malheur County and seven other counties with their NHMPs.

Partners include:

Malheur County
City of Ontario
City of Nyssa
City of Vale
Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region X
Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD)

Project Steering Committee:

Department of Land Conservation & Development Staff:

Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner

Malheur County

Representatives from the following organizations served as Steering Committee members for the Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan update process.

Convener, Rich Harriman	Lieutenant, Malheur County Emergency Management
Jamie Willet	Malheur County, Planning
Dave Fenstemacher	Malheur County Health Department, Emergency Coordinator
Gina Lewis	Malheur County, GIS Specialist (maps)
Craig Geddes	Malheur County, Environmental Health
Adele Dockter	Adrian City Mayor
Bob Webb	City of Adrian, Fire Chief
Marie Kershner	City of Jordan Valley, Mayor
Al Crouch	Bureau of Land Management, Fire Mitigation/Education Specialist
Jason Simmons	Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Assistant Fire Management Officer (Fuels)

Todd Gregory

BLM, Assistant Fire Management Officer (Ops)
(former)

Don Rotell

BLM, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist

City of Nyssa

Jim Maret

City of Nyssa, City Manager

Ray Rau

City of Nyssa, Chief of Police

Eric Menchaca

City of Nyssa, Fire Chief

Duane Petty

City of Nyssa, Public Works Lead

City of Ontario

Adam Brown,

City of Ontario, City Manager

Cal Kunz

City of Ontario, Police Chief

Kari Ott

City of Ontario, Finance

Terry Leighton

City of Ontario, Fire Chief

City of Vale

Katy Lamb

City of Vale, City Manager

Mike McLaughlin

City of Vale, Mayor

Chad Cooper

City of Vale, Public Works

Jess Tolman

City of Vale, Fire Chief

Other Participants

Brule Lehman

Owyhee Irrigation District, Vegetation &
Environmental Coordinator/ SPCC

Jay Chamberlain

Owyhee Irrigation District, Manager

Pam Uyeki

St. Alphonsus Hospital, Trauma/Emergency
Preparedness Coordinator

Katherine Sherman

St. Alphonsus Hospital, RN

Heidi Ragsdale

Eastern Oregon Center for Independent Living,
Independent Living Specialist

David Armstrong

Tri-County Love, Inc., Executive Director (former)

Kyle McCauly

Cascade Natural Gas, Engineer Associate

Brittany White

Malheur Council on Aging & Community Services
(MCOACS), Transportation Project Manager

Tom Davis

ODOT, D-14 Operations Coordinator

Dylan Martin

Idaho Power

Kaitlyn Kyro (formerly
Stratton)

Idaho Emergency Management Office, Payette
County

Robert Batcheller

Amateur Radio Emergency Services, Engineer

Bob Dickinson	Malheur County Ambulance Service District (ASD), Director
Jane Padgett	Oregon Department of Human Services, Business Integrity/ Community Development
Mark Redmond	Malheur County Education Service District, Superintendent
Loni Thomas (formerly Debban)	Malheur Council on Aging & Community (MCOACS)
Lindsay Atagai	Malheur County Health Department, Director (former)
Emily Mateer	Valley Family Health Care, Staff & Compliance Officer
Mindy Volmer	Valley Family Health Care, Facilities Manager
Martin Heisig	American Red Cross
Belinda Heisig	American Red Cross
Brandon Tarvin	Lifeways, HM14, OFD
Molly Smith	Southwest District Health, Idaho, Health Educator
Maria Ramos	Southwest District Health, Volunteer and student in Emergency Management, Idaho St. University
Linda Pratzner	Southwest District Health, Idaho, Administrative Assistant
TJ Wilson	Southwest District Health, Idaho, PHD3 Planner

Project Managers:

Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner, DLCD

Rich Harriman, Lieutenant, Malheur County Emergency Management

About the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development

Oregon's statewide land use planning program — originated in 1973 under Senate Bill 100 — provides protection of farm and forest lands, conservation of natural resources, orderly and efficient development, coordination among local governments, and citizen involvement. The program affords all Oregonians predictability and sustainability to the development process by allocating land for industrial, commercial and housing development, as well as transportation and agriculture. The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) administers the program. A seven-member volunteer citizen board known as the [Land Conservation and Development Commission \(LCDC\)](#) guides DLCD. Under the program, all cities and counties have adopted comprehensive plans that meet mandatory state standards that address land use, development, housing, transportation,

and conservation of natural resources. Periodic review of plans and technical assistance in the form of grants to local jurisdictions are key elements of the program.¹

Plan Template Disclaimer

The *2019 Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* update is based in part on a NHMP template developed by the University of Oregon's Institute for Policy Research and Engagement (IPRE) - Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience (OPDR) and used in the *2014 Malheur County NHMP*. At that time, OPDR provided copies of the plan templates to communities for use in developing or updating their NHMPs. The template is structured to address the requirements contained in 44 CFR 201.6. The basic format of the *2014 Malheur County NHMP* has been retained for this *2019 Malheur County NHMP* update, but considerable modifications have been made. Emphasis is placed on identifying and describing the unique attributes of the counties and cities.

¹ DLCD, http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/Pages/about_us.aspx, Accessed November 14, 2018

MALHEUR COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN

Table of Contents

Volume I: Basic Plan

Acknowledgements and Table of Contents.....	
Executive Summary.....	i
Section 1: Introduction.....	1-1
Section 2: Risk Assessment	2-1
Section 3: Mitigation Strategy.....	3-1
Section 4: Plan Implementation and Maintenance	4-1

Volume II: Hazard Annexes

Introduction.....	
Drought	DR-1
Earthquake	EQ-1
Flood.....	FL-1
Landslide	LS-1
Severe Weather.....	SW-1
Volcanic Event.....	VE-1
Wildfire.....	WF-1

Volume III: Mitigation Resources

Appendix A: Action Item Forms	A-1
Appendix B: Planning and Public Process	B-1
Appendix C: Community Profile	C-1
Appendix D: Economic Analysis of Natural Hazard Mitigation Projects...D-1	
Appendix E: Grant Programs and Resources	E-1
Appendix F: Future Climate Projections Reports	F-1
Appendix G: Malheur County Success Stories.....	G-1
Appendix H: Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios.....	H-1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Malheur County developed and updated this *2019 Malheur County Multi-jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (2019 Malheur County NHMP)* to prepare for the short- and long-term effects resulting from natural hazards. It is not possible to predict exactly when these hazards will occur, or the extent to which they will affect the community. However, with careful planning and collaboration among the whole community (<https://www.fema.gov/whole-community>) - public agencies at local, state and federal levels; private sector organizations; businesses; families and individuals; non-profit groups; schools and academia; media outlets; faith based and community organizations - it is possible to create a resilient community that will benefit from mitigation planning and short- and long-term recovery planning efforts.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) defines mitigation as “. . . the effort to reduce loss of life and property by lessening the impact of disasters . . . through risk analysis, which results in information that provides a foundation for mitigation activities that reduce risk.” Said another way, natural hazard mitigation is a method of reducing or alleviating the impacts to life, property, and the environment resulting from natural hazards through short- and long-term strategies. Example strategies include policy changes, such as updated ordinances, and projects, such as seismic retrofits to critical facilities; and education and outreach to targeted audiences, such as Spanish speaking residents or the elderly. Natural hazard mitigation is the responsibility of the whole community.

44 CFR 201.6 – The local mitigation plan is the representation of the jurisdiction’s commitment to reduce risks from natural hazards, serving as a guide for decision makers as they commit resources to reducing the effects of natural hazards. . . .

Why Develop this Mitigation Plan?

In addition to establishing a comprehensive community-level mitigation strategy, the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K) and the regulations contained in 44 CFR 201 require that jurisdictions maintain an approved NHMP in order to receive pre- and post- disaster federal funds. Local and federal approval of this plan ensures that Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale will remain eligible for these funds.

44 CFR 201.6(a)(1) – A local government must have a mitigation plan approved pursuant to this section in order to receive HMGP project grants . . .

Who Participated in Developing the Plan?

The *2019 Malheur County NHMP* is the result of a collaborative effort between Malheur County, the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale, special districts, citizens, public agencies, non-profit organizations, the private sector, regional organizations, and DLCD. A project steering committee guided the plan development process.

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee included representatives from a broad range of organizations including:

- Malheur County
- City of Nyssa
- City of Ontario
- Owyhee Irrigation District
- St. Alphonsus Hospital
- Eastern Oregon Center for Independent Living
- Tri-County Love, Inc.
- Idaho Power
- Emergency Management Office, Payette County, Idaho
- Cascade Natural Gas
- Amateur Radio Emergency Services
- Malheur County Education Service District
- Malheur Council on Aging & Community Services
- Malheur County Health Department
- Malheur County Ambulance Service District
- Valley Family Health Care
- Southwest District Health, Idaho
- Lifeways
- American Red Cross
- Oregon Department of Human Services
- Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD)
- Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)
- United States Department of the Interior – Bureau of Land Management

44 CFR 201.6(c)(1) – Documentation of the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.

See the Acknowledgements section for the full list of organizations and representatives that participated on the Steering Committee.

In collaboration with DLCD, the Malheur County Emergency Manager convened the planning process. The Malheur County Emergency Manager will take the lead in implementing, maintaining and updating the NHMP. Malheur County is dedicated to directly involving the public in the continual review and update of the NHMP. The County will post the *2019 Malheur County Multi-jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* on the County's website. The Cities will also post the NHMP on their websites.


The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee also serves as the Emergency Management Team (EMT) and the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC); this triple duty high energy group meets each month. As part of this NHMP process, the group has established LEPC bylaws and within the bylaws set the requirement that the NHMP will be reviewed and discussed twice per year as part of the meetings. This will help ensure that the NHMP is a living document that is used and stays connected to the plans, policies, and programs of the involved jurisdictions and other Steering Committee members. In addition, the EMPG grant requires review of the NHMP twice per year.

How Does this Mitigation Plan Reduce Risk?

The NHMP will assist in reducing risk from natural hazards in Malheur County by identifying resources, information, and strategies for risk reduction. It will help guide and coordinate mitigation activities throughout Malheur County. A key part of the NHMP is the risk assessment. It consists of three phases: hazard identification, vulnerability assessment, and risk analysis, as illustrated in Figure ES-1.

44 CFR 201.6(c)(2) – A Risk Assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy . . .

Figure ES-1 Understanding Risk



Source: 2014 Malheur County NHMP, Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience, 2006.

By identifying and understanding the relationship between natural hazards, vulnerable systems, and existing capacity, Malheur County is better equipped to identify and implement actions aimed at reducing the overall risk to natural hazards. Section 2 Risk Assessment and Volume II Hazard Annexes provide details on the natural hazards in Malheur County and the Cities, as well as the

vulnerabilities and risks. Mitigation actions are identified to help reduce risk; see Section 3 Mitigation Strategy for details.

What is the County's Overall Risk to Hazards?

Malheur County, along with the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale, reviewed and updated their risk assessment to evaluate the probability of each natural hazard as well as the vulnerability of the community to that hazard. All the previously identified natural hazards were retained for this NHMP. They noted that in the future, they will consider air quality as a natural hazard. The NHMP Steering Committee performed the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) at the April 12, 2018 meeting. Table ES-1 below summarizes the risk score and risk level for each hazard as determined by the Steering Committee. See also Section 2 Risk Assessment for additional information.

Table ES-1 Natural Hazards, Risk Scores, and Risk Levels

HAZARD	RISK SCORE	RISK LEVEL (H-M-L)
Droughts	240	High
Winter Storms	226	High
Wind Storms	195	High-Medium
Floods	188	High-Medium
Wildfire	175	High-Medium
Severe Weather	165	High-Medium
Extreme Temperature	126	Medium
Volcanic Events	124	Medium
Dust Storms	116	Medium
Earthquakes	64	Low
Landslides	60	Low

Source: Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee, 2018

What is the Plan's Mission?

The mission of the Malheur County NHMP is to:

To create a disaster-resilient Malheur County by building partnerships, reducing risk, preventing loss, and protecting life, property, and the environment from future natural hazard events.

44 CFR 201.6(c)(3)(i) – A description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.

What are the Plan Goals?

The plan goals describe the overall direction that the participating jurisdiction's agencies, organizations, and citizens can take toward mitigating risk from natural hazards. Below is a list of the plan goals. Note that although numbered, the goals are not prioritized.

Goal 1: *Protect Infrastructure, Safeguard Economy*

Implement projects and activities to lessen the impacts of natural hazards on infrastructure and property, protect the local economy, and reduce economic hardship in post-disaster situations.

Goal 2: *Increase Education, Outreach and Awareness*

Implement education programs to increase awareness of hazards and risk reduction practices for citizens, government, and business.

Goal 3: *Strengthen Organizational and Community Capacity*

Develop, strengthen, and sustain community partnerships among public and private sector stakeholders to build upon local resources for mitigation efforts.

Goal 4: *Reduce the Threat to Life Safety*

Minimize the threat to life in disaster events through mitigation activities that improve community notification and preparation.

Goal 5: *Protect Natural and Cultural Resources*

Strengthen land use planning and natural resource management to protect natural systems and allow them to serve mitigation functions. Develop measures to protect cultural resources from natural hazard risks.

How are the Mitigation Actions Organized?

The mitigation actions are organized within a Mitigation Actions Table included within Section 3 Mitigation Strategy (full descriptions of each mitigation action are provided in Appendix A Action Item Forms). The Steering Committee agreed to use the risk level rankings from the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) (shown in summary in Table ES-1) as a way to prioritize the mitigation actions. As a result of this, the high priority actions are all of the multi-hazard (MH) actions and the hazard-specific actions for drought and winter storms. Droughts and winter storms are the two hazards with the highest risk scores. The Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP is Table 2-5 in the Section 3 Mitigation Strategy.

44 CFR 201.6(c)(3)(ii) – A section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions . . .

Data collection, research, Steering Committee discussion, and the public participation process resulted in the development of the mitigation actions. The Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP,

Table 3-3, portrays the overall plan framework and identifies links between the plan goals and actions. The table documents the title of each action along with the coordinating organization, timeline, and the plan goals addressed. Each participating jurisdiction is identified and an x marks the applicability of the mitigation action to that jurisdiction.

How will the plan be implemented?

Section 4 Plan Implementation and Maintenance details the formal process that will ensure that the *2019 Malheur County NHMP* remains an active and relevant document. The plan will be implemented, maintained and updated by a designated convener. The Malheur County Emergency Manager is the designated convener and is responsible for overseeing the review and implementation processes. The plan maintenance process includes a schedule for monitoring and evaluating the plan twice per year and updating the NHMP every five years to maintain eligibility for pre- and post- disaster funds from FEMA. This section of the NHMP describes how the communities will integrate public participation throughout the plan maintenance process.

44 CFR 201.6(c)(3)(iii) – An action plan describing how the actions . . . will be prioritized, implemented and administered . . .

44 CFR 201.6(c)(4) – A plan maintenance process . . .

Plan Adoption

Once the NHMP is locally reviewed and deemed ready, the Plan Convener and DLCD submit it to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer at the Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM). OEM reviews the plan and submits it to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA – Region X) for review. This review will address the federal criteria outlined in FEMA Interim Final Rule 44 CFR Part 201.6.

44 CFR 201.6(c)(5) – Documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction . . .

44 CFR 201.6(d) – Plan review [process] . . .

Upon pre-approval by FEMA, indicated by a letter provided from FEMA to Malheur County called the "Approval Pending Adoption" (APA) the County will then adopt the NHMP via resolution. Following County adoption, the other participating jurisdictions will need to adopt it. Once FEMA is provided with final resolution documentation, they will formally approve the *2019 Malheur County NHMP*. At that point Malheur County will maintain their eligibility for the Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) pre- and post- disaster funds. These funds are distributed through the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program, the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), and the Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) program.

The accomplishment of the *2019 Malheur County NHMP* goals and actions depends upon regular Steering Committee participation and support from County and City leadership. Thorough familiarity with this NHMP will result in the efficient and effective implementation of mitigation actions and a reduction in the risk and the potential for loss from future natural hazard events.

Copies of the resolutions of approval from Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale will be included in this NHMP once they are received. Copies of the FEMA APA and final approval letters will also be included in this NHMP when they are received.

SECTION I: INTRODUCTION

This introduction provides a general introduction to natural hazards mitigation planning in Malheur County. In addition, it addresses the planning process requirements contained in 44 CFR 201.6(b) thereby meeting the planning process documentation requirement contained in 44 CFR 201.6(c)(1). The section concludes with a general description of how the plan is organized.

What is Natural Hazard Mitigation?

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) defines mitigation as “. . . the effort to reduce loss of life and property by lessening the impact of disasters . . . through risk analysis, which results in information that provides a foundation for mitigation activities that reduce risk.”¹ Said another way, natural hazard mitigation is a method of permanently reducing or alleviating the losses of life, property, and injuries resulting from natural hazards through long and short-term strategies. Example strategies include policy changes, such as updated ordinances, projects, such as seismic retrofits to critical facilities; and education and outreach to targeted audiences, such as Spanish speaking residents or the elderly. Natural hazard mitigation is the responsibility of the “Whole Community” – individuals and families; private businesses and industries; non-profit groups; schools and academia; media outlets; faith based and community organizations; and federal, state, and local governments.²

Engaging in mitigation activities provides jurisdictions with a number of benefits, including reduced loss of life, property, essential services, critical facilities and economic hardship; reduced short-term and long-term recovery and reconstruction costs; increased cooperation and communication within the community through the planning process; and increased potential for state and federal funding for recovery and reconstruction projects.

Why Develop a Mitigation Plan?

Malheur County developed this Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP), along with the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale in an effort to reduce future loss of life and damage to property resulting from natural hazards. The current Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee is doing an update to the existing NHMP that was approved on May 2, 2014 by FEMA and valid through May 1, 2019.

It is not possible to predict exactly when natural hazard events will occur, or the extent to which they will affect community assets. However, with careful planning and collaboration among public agencies, private sector organizations, and citizens within the community, it is possible to minimize the impacts and losses that can result from natural hazards.

In addition to establishing a comprehensive community-level mitigation strategy, the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K) and the regulations contained in 44 CFR 201 require that

¹ FEMA, *What is Mitigation?* <http://www.fema.gov/what-mitigation>, accessed December 20, 2018,

² FEMA, *Whole Community*, <https://www.fema.gov/whole-community>, accessed December 20, 2018.

jurisdictions maintain an approved NHMP in order to receive federal funds for pre- and post-disaster mitigation funds. Local and federal approval of this plan ensures that Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale will remain eligible for pre- and post-disaster mitigation funding.

What Federal Requirements Does This Plan Address?

DMA2K is a key piece of federal legislation addressing natural hazards mitigation planning. It reinforces the importance of mitigation planning and emphasizes planning for natural hazards before they occur. As such, this Act established the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) grant program and requirements for the national post-disaster Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP).

Section 322 of the Act specifically addresses mitigation planning at the state and local levels. State and local jurisdictions must have approved NHMPs to qualify to receive post-disaster HMGP funds. Mitigation plans must demonstrate that their proposed mitigation actions are based on a sound planning process that accounts for the risk to the individual and their capabilities. Chapter 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), section 201.6, also requires a local government to have an approved NHMP in order to receive HMGP project grants.³

Pursuant of Chapter 44 CFR, the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan planning processes shall include opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during review, and the NHMP shall include documentation of the public planning process used to develop the plan.⁴ The NHMP update must also contain a risk assessment, mitigation strategy and a plan maintenance process that has been formally adopted by the governing body.

Development of the Malheur County NHMP 2018-19 update was pursued in compliance with subsections from 44 CFR 201.6 guidelines. These four subsections address plan requirements, the planning process, plan content, and plan review.

- Subsection (a) provides an outline of the overall plan requirements, including an overview of general plan components, exceptions to requirements, and multi-jurisdictional participation.
- Subsection (b) outlines the requirements of the planning process, with particular focus on public involvement in the update process, as well as the role of local agencies, organizations and other relevant entities in the development process, as well as standards for adequate levels of review and incorporation of existing plans and policies.
- Subsection (c) outlines requirements concerning the plan update's content, including an overview of necessary components for the update's planning process, risk assessment, mitigation strategy, plan maintenance, and overall process documentation.
- Subsection (d) outlines the steps and agencies required for proper review of the plan before finished plans are adopted by their respective communities.

⁵The Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan must be submitted to Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM) for initial plan review, and then it is submitted to FEMA for review and federal

³ Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 44. Section 201.6, subsection (a), 2010

⁴ *ibid*, subsection (b). 2010

⁵ *ibid*, subsection (c). 2010

approval.⁶ Once FEMA provides the Approval Pending Adoption letter, the local jurisdictions must approve the NHMP. Once the local jurisdictions have provided resolutions showing the adoption of the NHMP, FEMA will send the approval letter with the dates of the NHMP approval. The approval period is for five years.

Additionally, the Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG), which helps fund local emergency management programs, also requires a FEMA-approved NHMP.

What is the Policy Framework for Natural Hazards Planning in Oregon?

Planning for natural hazards is an integral element of Oregon's statewide land use planning program, which began in 1973. All Oregon cities and counties have comprehensive plans and implementing ordinances that are required to comply with the Statewide Planning Goals. The challenge faced by state and local governments is to keep this network of local plans coordinated in response to the changing conditions and needs of Oregon communities.

Statewide land use planning Goal 7, Areas Subject to Natural Hazards, calls for local plans to include inventories, policies and ordinances to guide development in or away from hazard areas. Goal 7, along with other land use planning goals, has helped to reduce losses from natural hazards. Through risk identification and the recommendation of risk-reduction actions, this NHMP aligns with the goals of the jurisdictions' comprehensive plans, and helps each jurisdiction meet the requirements of statewide land use planning Goal 7.

The primary responsibility for the development and implementation of risk reduction strategies and policies lies with local jurisdictions. However, resources exist at the state and federal levels. Some of the key agencies in this area include OEM, Oregon Building Codes Division (BCD), Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF), Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI), and the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD).

How was the Update to the NHMP Developed?

The Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) Steering Committee with the collaboration of DLCD staff is updating the *2014 Malheur County NHMP*. The *2014 Malheur County NHMP* was approved by FEMA on May 2, 2014 and is valid through May 1, 2019. The Ontario Addendum was adopted on April 21, 2014; the Nyssa Addendum was adopted on May 13, 2014, and the Vale Addendum was adopted on April 9, 2014.

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. A roster of the Steering Committee is included in the Acknowledgements section of this NHMP. The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee also serves as the Emergency Management Team (EMT) and the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC); there are meetings each month for this triple duty high energy group.

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee formally convened at three meetings (April 12, 2018; June 14, 2018; and August 9, 2018) with the DLCD Natural Hazards Planner, in person, to

⁶ *ibid*, subsection (d). 2010

discuss and revise the plan. In addition, the DLCD Natural Hazards Planner called in for discussion about mitigation actions, outreach, and the project timeline during the November 8, 2018 NHMP/ EMT/ LEPC meeting. Also, the DLCD Natural Hazards Planner called in for discussion about the draft NHMP and review comments, as well as project timeline public outreach during the January 10, 2019 and February 14, 2019 NHMP/ EMT/ LEPC meetings.

Steering Committee members contributed data and information, did outreach and advocacy for the NHMP, and reviewed and updated the NHMP in collaboration with DLCD.

An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective NHMP. To develop a comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process includes opportunity for the public, neighboring communities, local and regional agencies, as well as, private and non-profit entities to comment on the plan during review.⁷ Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale maintained a publicly accessible website throughout the planning process and provided opportunities for the general public to provide feedback. In addition, there were flyers made and distributed about the NHMP, and outreach at events. See Appendix B Planning and Public Process.

How is the Plan Organized?

Each volume of the NHMP provides specific information and resources to assist readers in understanding the hazard-specific issues facing county and city residents, businesses, and the environment. Combined, the sections work in synergy to create a NHMP that furthers the community's mission to reduce or eliminate risk to people and their property from hazards and their effects. This NHMP structure enables stakeholders to use the section(s) of interest to them; see the Table of Contents in addition to the descriptions below. The Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale participated in the process along with Malheur County and the other organizations on the NHMP Steering Committee. See the Acknowledgements for a list of participating organizations and their representatives. See Appendix B Planning and Public Process for more information.

Volume I: Basic Plan

Executive Summary

The executive summary provides an overview of the FEMA requirements plans process and highlights the key elements of the risk assessment, mitigation strategy and implementation and maintenance strategy.

Section I: Introduction

The Introduction briefly describes the countywide mitigation planning efforts and the methodology used to develop the plan.

⁷ Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 44. Section 201.6, subsection (b). 2010

Section 2: Risk Assessment

Section 2 provides the factual basis for the mitigation strategies contained in Section 3. Additional information is included within Appendix C, Community Profile, which contains an overall description of Malheur County and the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale.

The Risk Assessment section includes a brief description of community sensitivities and vulnerabilities and an overview of the hazards further addressed in Volume II Hazard Annexes. Climate is also discussed in the Risk Assessment, the Hazard Annexes, and Appendix F.

The Risk Assessment allows readers to gain an understanding of Malheur County's, and other jurisdictions', sensitivities – those community assets and characteristics that may be impacted by natural hazards, as well as the County's, and other jurisdictions', resilience – the ability to manage risk and adapt to hazard event impacts. The Risk Assessment provides summary information on the jurisdictions' participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) with additional details in the Flood Annex.

Section 3: Mitigation Strategy

This section documents the plan vision, mission, goals, and actions and also describes the components that guide implementation of the identified mitigation strategies. Mitigation actions are based on community sensitivity and resilience factors and the hazard assessments in Section 2 Risk Assessment and Volume II Hazard Annexes. In Section 3, the status of the 2014 NHMP mitigation actions is identified in Table 3-2, and the mitigation actions for the 2018 NHMP are identified in Table 3-3.

Section 4: Plan Implementation and Maintenance

This section provides information on the implementation and maintenance of the plan. It describes the process for prioritizing projects, and includes a suggested list of tasks for updating the plan to be completed at the semi-annual and five-year review meetings. There is a five-year update cycle for the NHMP.

As mentioned previously, the Malheur County Steering Committee also serves as the Emergency Management Team (EMT) and the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC); there are meetings each month for this triple duty high energy group. As part of this NHMP process, the group is establishing LEPC bylaws and within the bylaws set the requirement that the NHMP will be reviewed and discussed twice per year as part of the meetings. This will help ensure that the NHMP is a living document that is used and stays connected to the plans, policies, and programs of the involved jurisdictions and other Steering Committee members. In addition, the EMPG grant requires review of the NHMP twice per year.

Volume II: Hazard Annexes

The hazard annexes describe the risk assessment process and summarize the best available local hazard data. A hazard summary is provided for each of the hazards addressed in the plan. The summary includes hazard history, location, extent, vulnerability, impacts, and probability.

The hazard specific annexes included with this NHMP are the following:

- Drought;
- Earthquake;

- Flood;
- Landslide;
- Severe Weather, including
 - o Dust Storm
 - o Extreme Temperature
 - o Wind Storm, and
 - o Winter Storm
- Volcanic Event; and
- Wildfire.

Volume III: Mitigation Resources

The resource appendices are designed to provide the users of the Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan with additional information to assist them in understanding the contents of the NHMP, and with potential resources to assist with plan implementation.

Appendix A: Action Item Forms

This appendix contains the detailed mitigation action item forms for each of the mitigation actions identified in this NHMP.

Appendix B: Planning and Public Process

This appendix includes documentation of all the countywide public processes utilized to update the plan. It includes invitation lists, meeting agendas, sign-in sheets, screen shots from websites, and copies of flyers, as well as any other public involvement methods.

Appendix C: Community Profile

The Community Profile describes Malheur County and participating cities from a number of perspectives to help define and understand the regions sensitivity and resilience to natural hazards. The information represents a snapshot in time of the current sensitivity and resilience factors in the region when the plan was updated. Sensitivity factors can be defined as those community assets and characteristics that may be impacted by natural hazards, (e.g., special populations, economic factors, and historic and cultural resources). Community resilience factors can be defined as the community's ability to manage risk and adapt to hazard event impacts (e.g., governmental structure, agency missions and directives, and plans, policies, and programs).

Appendix D: Economic Analysis of Natural Hazard Mitigation Projects

This appendix describes FEMA's requirements for benefit/cost analysis in natural hazards mitigation, and two other approaches: the cost effectiveness and the STAPLE/E. The Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience (OPDR) developed this appendix in the previous NHMP. It has been retained and slightly modified.

Appendix E: Grant Programs and Resources

This appendix lists state and federal resources (including funding) and programs.

Appendix F: Future Climate Projections Reports

This appendix includes two reports provided by the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI): *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports* and *Future Climate Projections Malheur County: A Report to the Oregon Land Conservation and Development*. Both reports are dated August 2018. These reports were funded by DLCD using a small portion of the PDM 16 grant funds obtained by DLCD.

Appendix G: Malheur County NHMP Success Stories

These are stories illustrate when a community in Malheur County identifies a problem or concern and then works to solve it. These stories were identified and provided by the members of the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee.

Appendix H: Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios

This report was prepared by DOGAMI in 2007. It was never published but it was included in the 2014 Malheur County NHMP. It contains scenarios for crustal and probabilistic earthquakes including maps and descriptions of the impacts. HAZUS is an earthquake loss estimation model that was developed by FEMA and the National Institute of Building Sciences. Using HAZUS, the described impacts are to buildings, critical facilities, transportation, and utilities. It describes the social impacts and economic loss. Also, it describes fires that can follow earthquakes, and debris generation. A similar report was produced for Lake County and Harney County.


SECTION 2: RISK ASSESSMENT

This section of the NHMP addresses 44 CFR 201.6(b)(2) - Risk Assessment. In addition, this chapter can serve as the factual basis for addressing Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 7 – Areas Subject to Natural Hazards. Assessing natural hazards risk has three phases:

- **Phase 1:** Identify hazards that can impact the jurisdiction. This includes an evaluation of potential hazard impacts – type, location, extent, etc.
- **Phase 2:** Identify important community assets and system vulnerabilities. Example vulnerabilities include people, businesses, homes, roads, historic places, and drinking water sources.
- **Phase 3:** Evaluate the extent to which the identified hazards overlap with, or have an impact on, the important assets identified by the community.

The information presented below, along with hazard specific information presented in Volume II Hazard Annexes and community characteristics presented in Appendix C Community Profile will be used as the local level rationale for the risk reduction actions identified as mitigation actions in Section 3 Mitigation Strategy. The risk assessment process is graphically depicted in Figure 2-1 below. Ultimately, the goal of hazard mitigation is to reduce the area where hazards and vulnerable systems overlap, which is the area called the risk of disaster.

Figure 2-1 Understanding Risk




Source: Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience, 2006.

What is a Risk Assessment?

A risk assessment consists of three phases: hazard identification, vulnerability assessment, and risk analysis, as illustrated in the following graphic.

Figure 2-2 Three Phases of a Risk Assessment



Source: *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, 1998

The first phase, **hazard identification**, involves the identification of the geographic extent of a hazard, determining a hazards causes and characteristics, its intensity, and its probability of occurrence. This level of assessment typically involves producing a map. The outputs from this phase can also be used for land use planning, management, and regulation; public awareness; defining areas for further study; and identifying properties or structures appropriate for acquisition or relocation.¹

The second phase, **vulnerability assessment**, combines the information from the hazard identification with an inventory of the existing (or planned) property and population exposed to a hazard, and attempts to predict how different types of property and population groups will be affected by the hazard. This step can assist in justifying changes to building codes or development regulations, property acquisition programs, policies concerning critical and public facilities, taxation strategies for mitigating risk, and informational programs for members of the public who are at risk.²

The third phase, **risk analysis**, involves estimating the damage, injuries, and costs likely to be incurred in a geographic area over a period of time. Risk can be described quantitatively, qualitatively, or both. Risk has two measurable components: (1) the magnitude of the harm that may result, defined through the vulnerability assessment, and (2) the likelihood or probability of the harm occurring. An example of a product that can assist communities in completing the risk analysis phase is HAZUS, a risk assessment software program for analyzing potential losses from floods, hurricane winds and earthquakes. In Hazards U.S. – Multi-Hazard (HAZUS-MH) current scientific and engineering knowledge is coupled with the latest geographic information systems (GIS) technology to produce estimates of hazard-related damage before, or after a disaster occurs.

Multi-jurisdictional Risk Assessment - §201.6(c) (2) (iii): For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment must assess each jurisdiction’s risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.

The planning area for this NHMP is Malheur County, both unincorporated and incorporated areas. Information provided in this Risk Assessment section is supplemented by the Hazard Annexes and

¹ Burby, *Cooperating with Nature* (Washington, DC: Joseph Henry Press, 1998), 126.

² Ibid, 133.

Hazard Identification

Malheur County identifies eleven natural hazards that could have an impact on the County and the Cities, as shown in Table 2-1. For specific information pertaining to individual hazards, see Volume II Hazard Annexes. Note that the Severe Weather is listed as a separate hazard, but in the description it includes dust storms, tornadoes, extreme temperatures, windstorms, rain storms, and winter storms. This was done at the request of the Steering Committee for the 2018 NHMP.

Table 2-1 identifies the hazards identified by the Steering Committee in relationship to the hazards identified in the *2015 Oregon NHMP* for Southeastern Oregon in Region 8, which includes Harney and Malheur Counties. Notably, the *2015 Oregon NHMP* does not include ratings for Malheur County for Extreme Temperature (Heat).

Table 2-1 Malheur County Hazard Identification

Hazard Identified in Malheur County NHMP*	Hazard identified in Oregon NHMP**
Winter Storms	Winter Storms
Wind Storms	Wind Storms
Earthquakes	Earthquakes
Droughts	Droughts
Floods	Floods
Volcanic Events	Volcanoes
Wildfire	Wildfire
Landslides	Landslides
Extreme Temperature	NA
Dust Storm	Dust Storm
Severe Weather	NA

Source: *Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee, 2018, and **2015 Oregon NHMP, Region 8: Southeast Oregon

This Hazard Identification section includes descriptions for each natural hazard in the following ways: significant changes since the 2014 NHMP, characteristics, and the location/extent. For additional details on the history of events for each hazard, the relationship with climate projections, and maps of the hazards, see Volume II Hazard Annexes and Appendix F.

As part of the NHMP update process, there is a requirement to examine changes in development. Climate change and climate resilience are important parts of this discussion. According to the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), climate resilience is defined as “the capacity of

social, economic, and environmental systems to cope with a hazardous event or trend or disturbance, responding or reorganizing in ways that maintain their essential function, identity, and structure, while also maintaining the capacity for adaptation, learning, and transformation (IPCC, 2014b, p. 1772).”

In Appendix F Future Climate Projections Reports, the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute’s (OCCRI) *Future Climate Projections Malheur County: A Report to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*, provide important information regarding the influence and impacts of climate change on existing natural hazards events such as heavy rains, river flooding, drought, heat waves, cold waves, wildfire, and air quality. The overview discusses all eight of the counties while the respective individual county reports are specific to each county. OCCRI’s research and analysis focuses on how climate change is expected to influence natural hazards.

The overview describes results for the natural hazards using climate metrics in summary and as a comparison. For example, “Drought conditions, as represented by snowpack, is projected to become more frequent whereas drought conditions represented by low summer soil moisture and low summer runoff may become less frequent in Malheur County by the 2050s compared to the historical baseline.” There is a very useful table that is a “summary of projected direction of changes in climate change-related risk of natural hazard occurrence across eight Oregon Counties.”

Each county report describes county-specific projected changes in climate metrics related to selected natural hazards. The reports present future climate projections for the 2020s (2010-2039 average) and the 2050s (2040-2069 average) compared to the 1971-2000 average historical baseline. Each hazard in the report has a box highlighting “key messages” that call out the main points of the research and analysis for that hazard.

In addition to Appendix F, climate related information is included in the Introduction of Volume II Hazard Annexes in the “Predicted Climate Variability” section.

The Hazard Vulnerability Analysis/Assessment and the analysis of risk are included after the Hazard Identification of this Risk Assessment. This analysis covers all of the identified natural hazards in a broad manner. Note that Table 2-7 Critical Facilities, Critical Infrastructure, and Lifelines, identifies whether the asset would be impacted by a specific natural hazard. For a more detailed assessment of the hazard-specific vulnerability, see Volume II Hazard Annexes.

Federal Disaster and Emergency Declarations

Looking at the past events that have occurred in Malheur County can provide a general sense of the hazards that have caused significant damage in the County. Where trends emerge, disaster declarations can help inform hazard mitigation project priorities.

President Dwight D. Eisenhower approved the first federal disaster declaration in May 1953 following a tornado in Georgia. Since then, federally declared disasters have been approved within every state as a result of natural hazard related events. When governors ask for presidential declarations of major disaster or emergency, they stipulate which counties in their state they want included in the declaration.


A Major Disaster Declaration provides a wide range of federal assistance programs for individuals and public infrastructure, including funds for both emergency and permanent work. An Emergency

Declaration is more limited in scope and without the long-term federal recovery programs of a Major Disaster Declaration. Generally, federal assistance and funding are provided to meet a specific emergency need or to help prevent a major disaster from occurring. Fire Management Assistance is provided after a State submits a request for assistance to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Regional Director at the time a "threat of major disaster" exists.

As of December 2018, FEMA has approved a total of 33 federal major disaster (DR) declarations, two emergency (EM) declarations and 39 fire management assistance (FM) declarations in Oregon. There are also 37 Fire Suppression Authorizations (FSA) on record for Oregon. The total number of disasters in Oregon is 111 as identified in the FEMA "Disaster Declarations by State/Tribal Government" list on their website³ However, this contrasts with the 85 declared disasters that FEMA has listed for Oregon on their state by state "Historical Disaster Data" website. The "Historical Disaster Data" website includes the graphic shown in Figure 2-3, illustrating the types of disasters and the location of the disasters.⁴

Figure 2-3 Disaster Declarations in Oregon Since 1953

Then, learn about the **85** disasters that have occurred in **Oregon** since 1953.



Source: FEMA, <https://recovery.fema.gov/state-profiles/HistoricalDisasterData>, accessed December 19, 2018

Table 2-2 summarizes the disaster declarations declared in Oregon that have directly affected Malheur County since 1953. The table shows that the two major disaster (DR) declarations for Malheur County have been weather related. There have been two emergency declarations (EM)

³ FEMA, *Declared Disasters by Year or State*, <https://www.fema.gov/disasters/grid/state-tribal-government/88>. Accessed November 20, 2018.

⁴ FEMA, *Historical Disaster Data*, <https://recovery.fema.gov/state-profiles/HistoricalDisasterData>. Accessed December 19, 2018.

that have affected Malheur County. There have not been any fire management assistance (FM) declarations for Malheur County. ⁵

Table 2-2 FEMA Major Disaster, Emergency, and Fire Management Declarations for Malheur County

Declaration Number	Declaration Date	Incident Period	Incident	Individual Assistance	Public Assistance Categories
DR-1510	19-Feb-04	26-Feb-03 to 14-Jan-04	Severe Winter Storm	None	A, B, C, D, E, F, G
DR-184	24-Dec-64	24-Dec-64 to 24-Dec-64	Heavy rains and flooding	Yes	A, B, C, D, E, F, G
EM-3228	7-Sep-05	29-Aug-05 to 01-Oct-05	Hurricane Katrina Evacuation	None	B
EM-3039	29-Apr-77	29-Apr-77 to 29-Apr-77	Drought	None	A, B

Source: FEMA, Oregon Disaster History. Major Disaster Declarations, <https://www.fema.gov/disasters>, accessed December 19, 2018 and reaffirms the data in the 2014 Malheur County NHMP.

Drought

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP drought was ranked in third place for the risk scores, with winter storms and floods ahead of it. In the Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA) for the 2018 NHMP, the Steering Committee awarded 240 out of 240 possible points for drought, making it the number one ranked natural hazard for Malheur County out of the eleven total natural hazards.

Characteristics

Droughts are common in Oregon, especially in eastern Oregon. They occur in all parts of the state in both summer and winter months. Droughts appear to be recurring and they can have a profound effect on the economy, particularly the hydro-power and agricultural sectors. Droughts can have particularly significant impact on farming communities, the financial impact of which affects the economic stability of the county.

The environmental consequences also are far-reaching. They include insect infestations in forests and the lack of water to support endangered fish species. In recent years, the state has addressed drought emergencies through the Oregon Drought Council. This interagency (state/federal) council meets to discuss forecasts and to advise the Governor as the need arises.

The Oregon State University Extension Service published a report in June 1979 following the 1977 drought (EM-3039). Highlights of the survey findings indicate that the 1977 drought affected 80% of ranches in eastern Oregon (including Malheur County), decreased forage, increased purchase of

⁵ FEMA, *Declared Disasters by Year or State*, <https://www.fema.gov/disasters/grid/state-tribal-government/88>. Accessed November 20, 2018.

feed, reduced rate of gain of cattle, delayed breeding, herd health problems and increased water hauling and equipment investments⁶.

Location/Extent

The extent of drought events depends upon the degree of moisture deficiency, and the duration and size of the affected area. Typically, droughts occur as regional events and often affect more than one city and county.

Malheur County has a history of many drought events, dating back to 1904 according to the Significant Historic Hazard Events Tables in Table DR-1 within the Volume II Drought Annex of this NHMP. From this table it could be said that the incidence of drought in Oregon is between three and six years. The table notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it.

Malheur County is susceptible to droughts because of its location east of the Cascades and within the high desert. The region experiences dry conditions annually during the summer months from June to September.

For more information on the drought hazard in Malheur County see the Drought Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

According to OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report, "Drought conditions, as represented by low spring snowpack, is projected to become more frequent whereas drought conditions represented by low summer soil moisture and low summer runoff may become less frequent in Malheur County by the 2050s compared to the historical baseline." See Appendix F for more information.

Dust Storms

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP dust storms were ranked in eight place for the risk scores, out of eleven natural hazards. In the 2018 HVA, dust storms were ranked in ninth place.

Characteristics

A dust storm is a strong, violent wind that carries fine particles such as silt, sand, clay, and other materials, often for long distances. Dust storms usually arrive with little warning and advance in the form of a big wall of dust and debris. The dust is blinding, making driving safely a challenge. A dust storm may last only a few minutes at any given location, but often leave serious car accidents in their wake, occasionally massive pileups. The arid regions of Central and Eastern Oregon can experience sudden dust storms on windy days.

⁶ Oregon State University Extension Services, *Effects of the 1977 Drought on Eastern Oregon Ranches* (1979), retained from 2014 Malheur County NHMP.

Location/Extent

The areas of most concern to dust storm events are on highways that have a potential to cause a collision. These types of dust storms were considered by the Steering Committee during a worst-case scenario type event.

The Severe Weather Significant Historic Hazard Events Table, Table SW-x, includes several dust storms. The table notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it. The SC noted that dust storms reduce visibility and can have dramatic impacts to people. A discussion occurred during the SC meeting about looking at statistics at hospitals to see if there is an uptick in people going there with respiratory issues after a dust storm. For more information on the dust storm hazard in Malheur County see the Severe Weather Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

According to OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report, "Limited research suggests that the risk of dust storms in summer would decrease in eastern Oregon under climate change in areas that experience an increase in vegetation cover from the carbon dioxide fertilization effect." See Appendix F for more information.

Earthquake

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP earthquakes were ranked in seventh place and in the 2018 HVA they were ranked in tenth place.

Characteristics

Oregon and the Pacific Northwest are susceptible to earthquakes from these sources: 1) shallow crustal events within the North American Plate; 2) deep intra-plate events within the subducting Juan de Fuca Plate; 3) the off-shore Cascadia Subduction Zone; and 4) earthquakes associated with renewed volcanic activity.⁷

The Cascadia Subduction Zone and the subduction process is responsible for most of the earthquakes in the Pacific Northwest as well as for creating the volcanoes in the Cascades. Researchers recently calculated the likelihood of a Magnitude 8 to 9 Cascadia Subduction Zone earthquake at 37% over the next 50 years. The last such event occurred in January of 1700, causing a tsunami in Japan. See the Earthquake Annex in Volume II.

Location/Extent

The areas most susceptible to ground amplification and liquefaction have young, soft alluvial sediments, found along river and stream channels. The extent of the damage to structures and injury and death to people will depend upon the type of earthquake, proximity to the epicenter and the magnitude and duration of the event. Buildings, dams, levees and lifelines including water, sewer, storm water and gas lines, transportation systems, and utility and communication networks

⁷ DLCD, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, Community Planning Workshop, (2000): 8-8.

are particularly at risk. Also, damage to roads, bridges and water systems will make it difficult to respond to post-earthquake fires.

In 2004 a swarm of over 100 earthquakes occurred in Jordan Valley under Antelope Reservoir in southeast Malheur County; the largest event measured at magnitude 3.6. There are several faults in that region that are capable of causing damage as shown in the Earthquake Annex, Figure EQ-7.

Southeastern and Central Oregon have experienced multiple earthquakes of an estimated magnitude of four and greater since recorded history, with larger earthquakes in 1906, 1920, 1923, 1958, 1968, and 1993. The Malheur County region has experienced minor earthquakes in the past 20 years, most of which were only felt by seismic equipment. Primary earthquake hazards include ground shaking amplification, liquefaction, and earthquake-induced landslides.

The SC described concerns about high impacts from earthquakes such as landslides, road closures, downed power supplies, and limitations to resources (such as groceries, fuel, and so forth) coming in and out of the county. They noted that there was a 1984 earthquake in Idaho and they felt it in Ontario. They also noted that earthquakes happen locally in Malheur County but rarely have any impact to people.

In Volume II Hazard Annexes, the Earthquake Annex has earthquakes identified in Table EQ-1, Significant Historic Hazard Events; the table note the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it. For more information on the Earthquake hazard in Malheur County see the Earthquake Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

Earthquake was not one of the identified climate change metrics therefore OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report does not include information about earthquakes.

Extreme Temperatures

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP extreme temperature was ranked in seventh place. In the 2018 HVA extreme temperature was again ranked in seventh place, in a tie with earthquakes.

Characteristics

Malheur County can also be a place of extreme temperature events. From extreme cold spells to extreme heat waves, extreme temperature events have the potential to inflict serious health damage. In extreme heat environments the body must work harder to maintain a normal temperature, these conditions can induce health related illnesses, particularly among vulnerable population types.⁸ Extreme cold events can be defined similarly - where conditions get so severe that health related illnesses occur. To be extreme, the temperature would be quite a bit over or under the typical temperature

⁸ FEMA "Extreme Heat" <http://www.ready.gov/heat>

Location/Extent

Extreme temperature events can occur throughout Malheur County; however the places most vulnerable places are within the areas of greater population including the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale.

For more information on the Extreme Temperatures hazard in Malheur County see the Severe Weather Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes. The SC noted that consecutive hot days have increased and in the past 10 years they have set records for that. In Ontario, they sometimes open warming and cooling centers in extreme temperature or severe weather events. Extreme temperatures are identified in Table SW-7, Significant Historic Hazard Events Table; the table notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it.

In OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report, there are three findings each for heat waves and cold waves. These are included here and further explanation can be found in Appendix F.

"Extreme heat events are expected to increase in frequency, duration, and intensity due to continued warming temperatures."

"In Malheur County, the frequency of hot days with temperatures at or above 90F is projected to increase on average by 38 days (with a range of 21 to 50 days) by the 2050s under the higher emissions scenario compared to the historical baseline."

"In Malheur County, the temperature of the hottest day of the year is projected to increase by 8F (with a range of 3 to 11 F) by the 2050s under the higher emissions scenario compared to the historical baseline"

"Cold extremes are still expected to occur from time to time, but with much less frequency and intensity as the climate warms."

"In Malheur County, the frequency of days at or below freezing is projected to decline on average by 13 days (with a range of 6 to 18 days) by the 2050s under the higher emissions scenario compared to the historical baseline."

"In Malheur County, the temperature of the coldest night of the year is projected to increase by 10F (with a range of 2 to 16F) by the 2050s under the higher emissions scenario compared to the historical baseline."

Flood

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP floods were ranked in first place. In the 2018 HVA floods ranked in fourth place.

Characteristics

The principal types of flooding that occurs in Malheur County include riverine, flash, shallow area, urban, and snow melt. Major flooding events occur in Malheur County approximately every ten years. Riverine and snow-melt are the most common types of flooding; however, major flash

flooding events have also occurred in Malheur County's history. See Table FL-1 in the Flood Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

Flash floods

Flash floods usually result from intense storms dropping large amounts of rain within a brief period. Flash floods usually occur in the summer during thunderstorm season, appear with little or no warning and can reach full peak in a few minutes. They are most common in the arid and semi-arid central and eastern areas of the state where there is steep topography, little vegetation and intense but short-duration rainfall. Flash floods can occur in both urban and rural settings, often along smaller rivers and drainage ways.

In flash flood situations, waters rise rapidly, generally move at high velocities and often carry large amounts of debris. In these instances a flash flood may arrive as a fast moving wall of debris, mud, water or ice. Material can accumulate at a natural or man-made obstruction and restrict the flow of water. Water held back in such a manner can cause flooding both upstream and then later downstream if the obstruction is removed or breaks free.

Ice Jams

Ice jams commonly happen during the winter and early spring, while the river is still frozen. Sudden warming at higher altitudes can melt waters resulting in increased runoff of water and ice into large reaches of frozen river below. On the way downstream, the ice can "jam" in narrow places on the river or against a road crossing, effectively damming the river, sometimes followed by a sudden breach and release of the water and ice.

Riverine floods/ Snow melt (spring) Flooding

Riverine floods occur when water levels in rivers and streams overflow their banks. Most communities located along such water bodies have the potential to experience this type of flooding after spring rains, heavy thunderstorms or rapid runoff from snow melt. Riverine floods can be slow or fast rising, but usually develop over a period of days.

Flooding throughout the region is most commonly linked to the spring cycle of melting snow. The weather pattern that produces these floods occurs during the winter months and has come to be associated with La Nina events, a three to seven year cycle of cool, wet weather. In brief, cool, moist weather conditions are followed by a system of warm, moist air from tropical latitudes. The intense warm rain associated with this system quickly melts foothill and mountain snow. Above-freezing temperatures may occur well above pass levels (4,000-5,000 feet). Some of Oregon's most devastating floods are associated with these events.

Shallow area floods

These floods are a special type of riverine flooding. FEMA defines a shallow area flood hazard as an area that is inundated by a 100-year flood with a flood depth between one to three feet. Such areas are generally flooded by low velocity sheet flows of water.

Urban floods

Urban flooding occurs where land has been converted from fields or woodlands to developed areas consisting of homes, parking lots, and commercial, industrial and public buildings and structures. In such areas the previous ability of water to filter into the ground is often prevented by the extensive impervious surfaces associated with urban development. This in turn results in more water quickly

running off into watercourses, which causes water levels to rise above pre-development levels. During periods of urban flooding streets can rapidly become swift moving rivers and basements and backyards can quickly fill with water. Storm drains may back up with yard waste or other flood debris leading to further localized flooding. Another source of urban flooding is grading associated with development. In some cases, grading can alter changes in drainage direction of water from one property to another.

Location/ Extent

Spring runoff has caused significant riverine flooding in the County, resulting in damage along the Malheur, Snake, and Owyhee Rivers, in addition to some smaller tributaries. Most spring flooding has been precipitated by a particular combination of factors: ground saturation followed by a heavy ground freeze, a heavy snowpack in higher elevations, and then spring rains and Chinook winds causing sudden snow melt.

Malheur County experiences flash flood warnings every year. Generally, these have occurred in remote, sparsely populated areas of the county and have resulted in no widespread property or infrastructure damage. Some crop damage has occurred. Additionally, flash flooding risk is exacerbated by wildfire, which destroys flood mitigating vegetation and weakens soil, thus increasing an area's vulnerability to severe flood-induced erosion. Irrigation districts have reported chronic minor problems with debris clogging along open irrigation canals as a result of flash floods.

Ice jams on the Snake and Malheur Rivers have created flood conditions in the past and will continue to do so due to local topography. According to an Army Corps of Engineers report, this type of flooding is predictable, with the determining factor being a daily high temperature at Glenn's Ferry, Idaho of 5 degrees F for five consecutive days. This will result in ice jams in the Ontario area. There are several bridges in the County which can jam up with ice and debris flow in the aftermath of weather events such as the freezing and melting events mentioned above.

Bridges which can be sites of ice jamming:

- 36th St Bridge outside Ontario (upgraded to reduce ice jam flood risk)
- Union Pacific railroad trestle near Nyssa

Malheur County experiences flooding with winter storms and summer thunderstorms, with wind and hail occurring in summer months. There are many flood events listed in the Flood Significant Historic Hazard Events Table, FL-1, for Malheur County and they occur in any given month. The table notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it. The SC noted that they built levees after the 1957 flood and that alleviated much of the flooding issue. For more information on the flood hazard in Malheur County see the Flood Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report states, "Flood risk to Malheur County is expected to increase based on projected increases in non-regulated peak flow magnitudes on the Snake River at Nyssa and the Owyhee River. Because basins in Malheur County are mixed rain-snow basins, they are sensitive to warming temperatures and resulting hydrologic changes including increasing and earlier seasonal peak flows."

Landslide

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP landslides were ranked fourth. In the 2018 HVA the Steering Committee ranked landslides in eleventh place.

Characteristics

In Oregon, a significant number of locations are at risk to dangerous landslides. While not all landslides result in private property damage, many landslides impact transportation corridors, fuel and energy conduits, and communication facilities. They can also pose a serious threat to human life.

Landslides can be classified into one of the following six types of movements: (1) slides, (2) flows, (3) spreads, (4) topples, (5) falls, or (6) complex⁹. In addition, landslides may be broken down into the following two categories: (1) rapidly moving; and (2) slow moving¹⁰. Rapidly moving landslides are typically “off-site” (debris flows and earth flows) and present the greatest risk to human life. Rapidly moving landslides have caused landslide-related injuries and deaths in Oregon, including eight deaths in 1996 following La Niña storms¹¹. Slow moving landslides tend to be “on-site” (slumps, earthflows, and block slides) and can cause significant property damage, but are less likely to result in serious human injuries¹².

Landslides vary greatly in the volumes of rock and soil involved, the length, width, and depth of the area affected, frequency of occurrence, and speed of movement. Some characteristics that determine the type of landslide are slope of the hillside, moisture content, and the nature of the underlying materials.¹³

Location/Extent

In general, areas at risk to landslides have steep slopes (25 percent or greater,) certain soil types, drainage flow, cut and fill work, or a history of nearby landslides. In otherwise gently sloped areas, landslides can occur along steep river and creek banks, and along ocean bluff faces. At natural slopes under 30 percent, most landslide hazards are related to excavation and drainage practices, or the reactivation of preexisting landslide hazards.¹⁴

The severity or extent of landslides is typically a function of geology and the landslide triggering mechanism. Rainfall initiated landslides tend to be smaller, and earthquake induced landslides may

⁹ Oregon Military Department – Office of Emergency Management Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team. *Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*. Salem, OR, (2012).

¹⁰ DLCD, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, Community Planning Workshop, (1999).

¹¹ Ibid

¹² Ibid.

¹³ Ibid.

¹⁴ Oregon Military Department – Office of Emergency Management Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team. *Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*. Salem, OR, (2012).

be very large. Even small slides can cause property damage, result in injuries, or take lives. Natural conditions and human activities can both play a role in causing landslides. The incidence of landslides and their impact on people and property can be accelerated by development.¹⁵

While Malheur County has rarely experienced major landslides, there are areas in the County that are potentially vulnerable as identified by the Steering Committee in the 2014 NHMP. The areas are noted as being “at greater landslide risk due to steep slopes and unstable ground.” As described in the 2014 NHMP and affirmed by the Steering Committee in 2018, these areas are the following:

- The westernmost 20-30 miles of Highway 20 near Juntura.
- Lytle Boulevard, which is the only major access road for Owyhee Dam, experiences chronic minor rock fall and debris due to the steepness of the slope into which the road was constructed. The Malheur County road department maintains this road and if serious rock fall or landslide activity occurred, access to and from the dam could be completely cut off until the debris was cleared. No such serious incidents have occurred.
- Owyhee Dam is also vulnerable in certain places along the reservoir and its canal system to landslides and debris flow – a major landslide into Owyhee Dam when full could cause spillover and temporary flooding. One area at the dam site prone to chronic minor landslides has been reinforced and thus far has had no further landslide activity.
- Along the banks of Lake Owyhee (Owyhee Reservoir).
- A portion of Oregon Highway 201 near the northern border of the County (known locally as “The Slides”) experiences chronic ground instability and must be re-coated with asphalt annually at a cost of \$30,000/year to ODOT. However, it is a low-volume road and is not slated for major repair efforts on the state or local level, so while it is documented as a concern, no Action Items have been developed for this concern at this time.
- The City of Nyssa’s three-million gallon water storage tank sits atop a hillside adjacent to Main Street (highway 26) that is prone to erosion from irrigation of nearby fields. If this erosion continues without reinforcing the tank and hillside, it is at risk of damaging 2 nearby houses and blocking Highway 26.
- There are no other landslide event descriptions specific to Malheur County in the 2014 NHMP. The SC stated that some landslides could occur, most likely related to Hwy 201, especially by Huntington (in Baker County) and where ODOT uses asphalt.

Table LS-1, Landslides Significant Historic Hazard Events, notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it. Most of the landslides listed are statewide disaster declarations. For more information on the landslide hazard in Malheur County see the Landslide Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

Landslide was not one of the identified climate change metrics therefore OCCRI’s *Future Climate Projections* report does not include information about landslides.

¹⁵ DLCD, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, Community Planning Workshop, (1999).

Severe Weather

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP severe weather was ranked fourth. In the 2018 HVA severe weather was ranked sixth.

The Steering Committee decided to retain Severe Weather as a separate hazard for the Hazard Vulnerability Analysis, thus a risk score and a risk level for that hazard was identified. However, the Steering Committee also identified a risk score and risk level for some of the hazards within the Severe Weather hazard – dust storms, winter storms, wind storms, and extreme temperature. Rain storms and tornadoes were not identified with separate risk scores and risk levels.

Characteristics

Because Malheur County is located in an arid high desert region, severe thunderstorms with significant quantities of rain are not as frequent an occurrence as in other parts of the state. However, when these events do occur, they can exacerbate or cause other hazards, including landslides and flash floods. Lightning is another significant concern that stems from thunderstorms, as it is a primary source of wildfires in the summer fire season.

Microbursts are another thunderstorm-related hazard. A microburst is a localized column of rapidly sinking air, producing damaging divergent and straight-line winds, often with precipitation, sized 4 km or less. Microbursts are similar to tornadoes and can produce winds of over 75 mph. Winds from microbursts have been recorded up to 49 mph in the county. Tornadoes are infrequent, but they have occurred historically in the County and have caused damages to property (no injuries or deaths have been recorded).

Location/Extent

All of Malheur County is vulnerable to severe weather although impacts are typically isolated to small areas affected by localized events. The magnitude or severity of severe weather is determined by a number of meteorological factors including the amount of rainfall/ hail, extent, and event duration. Areas within the County that are particularly vulnerable to severe weather include flat stretches of road (both rural and highway); canyons (susceptible to flash floods) and farms and agricultural lands. In Ontario, they sometimes open warming and cooling centers in extreme temperature or severe weather events.

For more information on the severe weather hazard in Malheur County see the Severe Weather Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes. The Severe Weather Significant Historic Hazard Events Table, Table SW-7, includes winter storms, wind storms, rain storms, dust storms, tornadoes, and extreme temperature. The table notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it.

In OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report, "The intensity of extreme precipitation events is expected to increase slightly in the future as the atmosphere warms and is able to hold more water vapor."

"In Malheur County, the magnitude of precipitation on the wettest day and wettest consecutive five days per year is projected to increase on average by about 19% (with a range of 2 to 35%) and 13%

(with a range of -7% to 28%), respectively, by the 2050s under the higher emissions scenario compared to the historical baseline.”

“In Malheur County, the frequency of days with at least $\frac{3}{4}$ ” of precipitation and the frequency of days exceeding a threshold for landslide risk is not projected to change substantially.”

Volcanic Event

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP volcanic events were ranked sixth. In the 2018 HVA volcanic events ranked eighth out of eleven natural hazards.

Characteristics

Malheur County and the Pacific Northwest lie within the “ring of fire”, an area of very active volcanic activity surrounding the Pacific Basin. Volcanic events occur regularly along the ring of fire, in part because of the movement of the Earth’s tectonic plates. Volcanic events have the potential to coincide with numerous other hazards including ash fall, earthquakes, lava flows, pyroclastic flows, lahars and debris flows, and landslides. Ash fall and earthquakes are the two associated hazards that have the potential to impact Malheur County directly.

Location/Extent

Active volcanoes that could impact Malheur County include Mt. Hood, Mt. Jefferson, Newberry Volcano, and the Three Sisters among others. If any of these volcanoes erupted, there could be a possibility of ash that could affect air quality and/or the water quality. The extent of damage from these hazards depends on the distance from the volcano, vent location, and type of hazardous events that occur during an eruption. The indirect effects of volcanoes must be considered as well; including disruption of engines of motor vehicles, reduced visibility when driving, and vulnerable populations, such as people with asthma, suffering respiratory impacts.

Although not highly vulnerable to most direct volcanic hazards such as blast effects, relatively nearby volcanoes could inundate the area with ashfall sufficient to impact transportation and cause widespread health concerns. The SC noted that if Yellowstone goes “50,000 years past due” it could impact them. Volcanic events are identified in Table EQ-1 Significant Historic Volcanic Events; it notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it. For more information on the volcanic event hazard in Malheur County see the Volcanic Event Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

Volcanic events were not a climate change metric so OCCRI’s *Future Climate Projections* report does not include volcanic events.

Wildfire

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

Wildfire was ranked fourth in the 2014 NHMP and in the 2018 HVA it was ranked fifth out of eleven natural hazards.

Characteristics

Wildfires are common to the arid areas of central and eastern Oregon, as such the potential for losses due to Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) fires in the urbanized region should not be ignored. Fire is an essential part of Oregon's ecosystem, but it is also a serious threat to life and property. Wildfires that have the potential to affect Malheur County can be divided into these categories: WUI, wildland, firestorms, and prescribed burns. Ignition of a wildfire may occur naturally from lightning or from human causes such as debris burns, arson, careless smoking, and recreational activities or from an industrial accident. Once started, fuel, topography, weather and development conditions affect fire behavior.

Location/Extent

In Eastern Oregon, large costly fires have become regular events, disrupted communities, cost millions of dollars in suppression and recovery costs, and increased the risk to private property owners. According to the Oregon Department of Forestry, "large fires that threaten dwellings are 48% more expensive to fight, and the likelihood of human-caused fires exponentially increases with the addition of each new home. Throughout Oregon's wildland-urban interfaces historically normal fires have become economically and socially unacceptable due to the scale of damage they cause."¹⁶

According to the Oregon Forest Resources Institute (OFRI), "Despite fire suppression systems regarded as best-in-class for private and public lands, lightning and human-caused wildfires ravaged the state's forest and rangelands, making 2017 one of the worst wildfire seasons on record." The OFRI also noted that both small and significant fires occurred in Oregon in 2017, burning 665,000 acres of forest and rangeland in more than 2,000 fires. The report from OFRI describes how wildfires directly impact our lives by examining these categories: air quality and health; sporting events; travel and tourism; employment and the economy; transportation; local impact; and long-term effects. The overall cost for fire suppression in Oregon in 2017 was \$454 million.¹⁷

The extent of damage to Malheur County from WUI fires is dependent on a number of factors, including temperature, wind speed and direction, humidity, proximity to fuels, and steepness of slopes. WUI fires can be intensified by development patterns, vegetation and natural fuels, and can merge into unwieldy and unpredictable events. In addition to WUI threats, wildfire also threatens timber products, cattle ranching and agricultural areas near grasslands. Communities and areas particularly susceptible to wildfires include populated areas on the edges of wild land brush and wooded areas.

Malheur County has a Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP) that covers its jurisdictional boundaries - the *Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan* was approved February 18, 2009. The CWPP includes detailed analysis of every area under threat of wildfire, an assessment of the risk posed to each area, the state of wildfire prevention, and protection in Malheur County, and finally, protection action items. Table 2-3 shows areas within the County that are considered to have

¹⁶ Oregon Department of Forestry, *Oregon Forests Report*, 2007-2009.

¹⁷ Oregon Forest Resources Institute, *Impacts of Oregon's 2017 Wildfire Season: Time for a Crucial Conservation*, January 2, 2018.

high or moderate risk to wildfire; the wildland urban interface community name is shown followed by its score (out of a possible 23) in parentheses.

Table 2-3 Communities at Risk to Wildfire

High	Moderate
Ontario Heights (19)	Crowley (16)
Riverside (19)	Rockville (16)
Vale (19)	Burns Junction (16)
Owyhee Reservoir (18)	McDermitt (16)
Harper (17)	Rome (16)
Juntura (17)	Nyssa (15)
Adrian (17)	Basque Station (15)
Jordan Valley (17)	Annex (15)
	Ironside (14)

Source: Malheur County CWPP, 2009

Malheur County is prone to wildfires and the Wildfire Significant Historic Hazard Events Table supports that; the table notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it. See Table WF-1 in the Wildfire Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

The areas where development meets vegetative fuels, such as forestland, are commonly referred to as the wildland-urban interface (WUI). Often these areas where development is next to areas with heavy fuel loads (vegetation) do not have adequate defensible space. Wildfires impact agriculture, buildings, transportation, utilities, and business. Smoke exposure is a hazard throughout Malheur County when there are wildfires. Roads close because of smoke visibility issues, animals on the rangelands can be affected, and people have respiratory issues.

During the April 12, 2018 SC meeting, the SC described that they see an uptick in the number of hospital admissions for respiratory conditions when there are wildfires. During additional discussion about air quality issues in Malheur County at the SC meeting on June 14, 2018, the SC decided that they may add air quality as natural hazard during the next NHMP update. For more information on the wildfire hazard in Malheur County see the Wildfire Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes.

OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report states, "Wildfire risk, as expressed through the frequency of very high fire danger days, is projected to increase under future climate change. In Malheur County, the frequency of very high fire danger days per year is projected to increase on average by about 40% (with a range of -1 to +83%) by the 2050s under the higher emissions scenario compared to the historical baseline."

Wind Storm

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP wind storms were ranked fifth. In the 2018 HVA wind storms were ranked third.

Characteristics

Extreme winds occur throughout Oregon, and most communities have some level of vulnerability to wind storms. Wind storms can result in collapsed or damaged buildings, damaged or blocked roads and bridges, damaged traffic signals, streetlights, and parks, among other impacts. Roads blocked by fallen trees during a windstorm may have severe consequences to people who need access to emergency services. Emergency response operations can be complicated when roads are blocked or when power supplies are interrupted. Wind storms can trigger flying debris, which can also damage utility lines; overhead power lines can be damaged even in relatively minor wind storm events. Industry and commerce can suffer losses from interruptions in electric service and from extended road closures.

Although rare, tornadoes can and do occur in Oregon. Tornadoes are the most concentrated and violent storms produced by the earth's atmosphere. They are created by a vortex of rotating winds and strong vertical motion, which possess remarkable strength and cause widespread damage. Smaller wind events, often known as, "dust devils", occur in Malheur County and pose some risk to the local community.

Location/Extent

The damaging effects of wind storms may extend for distances of 100 to 300 miles from the center of storm activity. Wind storms in Malheur County usually occur from October to March, and their extent is determined by their track, intensity (the air pressure gradient they generate), and local terrain.

Oregon and other western states experience tornadoes on occasion, many of which have produced significant damage and occasionally injury or death. Most of the tornadoes that develop in Oregon are caused by intense local thunderstorms. These storms also produce lightning, hail, and heavy rain, and are more common during the warm season from April to October.¹⁸ Several of the more significant windstorms in Malheur County have occurred during this period.

High winds can occur throughout the year, toppling trees and power lines. Buildings, agriculture, utilities, transportation, and business are impacted. The SC stated that the wind blows at a particularly high level during March and April. High winds can aggravate wildfires and volcanic events that result in ashfall. One person on the SC noted that wind speeds greater than 25 mph trigger the response of the irrigation district.

For more information on the wind storm hazard in Malheur County see the Severe Weather Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes. The Significant Historic Hazard Events Table, Table SW-7, includes winter storms and wind storms. The list is substantial, revealing a long history of events. The table notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it.

In OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report, "Limited research suggests very little, if any, change in the frequency and intensity of wind storms in the Pacific Northwest as a result of climate change."

¹⁸ George Taylor and others, *A History of Tornadoes in Oregon*, Oregon Climate Service – Oregon State University (1996). Retained from 2014 Malheur County NHMP.

Winter Storm

Significant changes since 2014 NHMP

In the 2014 NHMP winter storms were ranked second and in the 2018 HVA they were ranked second again. The risk score in 2018 was only five points less than that for drought which is the first ranked natural hazard for Malheur County.

Characteristics

Severe winter storms can consist of rain, freezing rain, ice, snow, cold temperatures, and wind. They originate from troughs of low pressure offshore that ride along the jet stream during fall, winter, and early spring months. Severe winter storms affecting Malheur County typically originate in the Gulf of Alaska or in the central Pacific Ocean. These storms are most common from November through March.

Winter storm events are relatively common in eastern Oregon, where the air is generally cold enough for snow and ice, when a Pacific storm is associated with an air mass from the Gulf of Alaska, a major snowstorm may ensue.

Like snow, ice storms are comprised of cold temperatures and moisture, but subtle changes can result in varying types of ice formation, including freezing rain, sleet, and hail. Freezing rain can be the most damaging of ice formations. While sleet and hail can create hazards for motorists when it accumulates, freezing rain can cause the most dangerous conditions within a community. Ice buildup can bring down trees, communication towers, and wires creating hazards for property owners, motorists, and pedestrians alike.

Location/Extent

All of Malheur County is vulnerable to winter storms and impacts typically extend region-wide. The magnitude or severity of severe winter storms is determined by a number of meteorological factors including the amount and extent of snow or ice, air temperature, wind speed, and event duration. Areas within the county that are particularly vulnerable to winter storms include unsanded, flat stretches of road (both rural and highway); farms and agricultural lands. Winter storms are very common throughout Malheur County, within the cities and the unincorporated areas. Heavy snow and ice can severely impact buildings, agriculture, transportation, utilities, and business.

For more information on the winter storm hazard in Malheur County see the Severe Weather Annex in Volume II Hazard Annexes. The Severe Weather Significant Historic Hazard Events Table includes winter storms and wind storms. It is a substantial table, revealing a long and detailed list of events. The table, Table SW-7, notes the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it.

In OCCRI's *Future Climate Projections* report, winter storms was not a metric. Therefore the report does not include winter storms.

Hazard Vulnerability Analysis

Malheur County's Hazard Analysis was last completed in 2013 as part of Malheur County's 2014 Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP). The 2018 NHMP update provided a good opportunity to revisit the hazards, update the analysis, and reorder the mitigation action priorities as necessary.

Malheur County's natural hazards in 2018 are:

- Winter Storms
- Wind Storms
- Earthquakes
- Droughts
- Floods
- Volcanic Events
- Wildfire
- Landslides
- Extreme Temperature
- Dust Storm
- Severe Weather – includes flash flood rain events

The methodology for this **hazard analysis** was first developed by FEMA in 1983. It was gradually refined by Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM) and shared with local jurisdictions across Oregon. Although nearly every jurisdiction in Oregon uses this process, the range of values is relative only within the individual jurisdiction; unless two or more jurisdictions conduct their analyses at the same time and utilize the same criteria in determining the values to apply. It is not meant to compare one jurisdiction to another. These calculations and hazard analysis should not be applied to other jurisdictions without familiarization with the process applied.

The methodology produces scores that range from 24 (lowest possible) to 240 (highest possible), one order of magnitude from lowest to highest. **Vulnerability** and **probability** are the two key components of the methodology.

- **Vulnerability** examines both typical and maximum credible events.
- **Probability** endeavors to reflect how physical changes in the jurisdiction and scientific research modify the historical record for each hazard.

Vulnerability accounts for approximately 60% of the total score, and probability accounts for approximately 40%.

This particular hazard analysis is an early step in determining the risk – the potential for harm – facing a community. When complete, it provides a table of relative risks to focus planning priorities on those hazards most likely to occur and cause the most damage. This analysis, therefore, is constructed to:

- Establish priorities for planning, capability development, and hazard mitigation,
- Identify needs for hazard mitigation measures,
- Educate the public as well as public officials about hazards and vulnerabilities, and
- Make informed judgments about potential risks.

Values assigned are very subjective.
“One person’s rare event could be another’s frequent!”

DESIGNATION	RATING
LOW	0 to 3
MEDIUM	4 to 7
HIGH	8 to 10

History is the record of previous occurrences requiring a response.

Low: 0-1 event in the past 10 years
Medium: 2-3 events in the past 10 years
High: 4+ events in the past 10 years

The weight factor for the history category is 2.

Vulnerability is a measure of the percentage of the population and property likely to be affected during an occurrence of an incident.

Low: <1% affected
Medium: 1 – 10% affected
High: >10% affected

The weight factor for the vulnerability category is 5.

Maximum Threat is a measure of the highest percentage of the population or property which could be impacted under a worst-case scenario.

Low: <5% affected
Medium: 5 – 25% affected
High: >25% affected

The weight factor for the maximum threat category is 10.

Probability is a measure of the likelihood of a future event occurring within a specified period of time.

Low: more than 10 years between events
Medium: from 5 to 10 years between events
High: likely within the next 5 years

The weight factor for the probability category is 7.

By multiplying the *weight factors* associated with the categories by the *severity ratings*, we can arrive at a sub-score for history, vulnerability, maximum threat, and probability for each hazard. This information was captured in a table showing each of those four sub-scores as well as the total score for the hazard. Adding the sub-scores will produce a **total** score, called the risk score, for each hazard.

Table 2-4 2018 Hazard Vulnerability Analysis scores for Malheur County includes the full list of natural hazards and their sub-scores for the components that comprise the risk score.

Table 2-4 2018 Hazard Vulnerability Analysis

HAZARD	HISTORY WF = 2	VULNERABIL ITY WF = 5	MAX THREAT WF = 10	PROBABILIT Y WF = 7	RISK SCO RE
Winter Storms	2 x 8	5 x 8	10 x 10	7 x 10	226
Wind Storms	2 x 10	5 x 7	10 x 7	7 x 10	195
Earthquakes	2 x 1	5 x 1	10 x 5	7 x 1	64
Droughts	2 x 10	5 x 10	10 x 10	7 x 10	240
Floods	2 x 10	5 x 7	10 x 7	7 x 9	188
Volcanic Events	2 x 1	5 x 3	10 x 10	7 x 1	124
Wildfire	2 x 10	5 x 7	10 x 5	7 x 10	175
Landslides	2 x 4	5 x 2	10 x 2	7 x 1	60
Extreme Temperature	2 x 8	5 x 5	10 x 5	7 x 5	126
Dust Storm	2 x 8	5 x 2	10 x 2	7 x 10	116
Severe Weather	2 x 10	5 x 5	10 x 5	7 x 10	165

Source: Malheur County (includes Nyssa, Vale and Ontario) NHMP Steering Committee, 2018.

The total risk scores from the HVA are listed in Table 2-5 as the risk score. After establishing the risk scores they were put into levels using a high, medium, and low designation, as shown in Table 2-5.

Table 2-5 Natural Hazards, Risk Scores, and Risk Levels

HAZARD	RISK SCORE	RISK LEVEL (H-M-L)
Droughts	240	High
Winter Storms	226	High
Wind Storms	195	High-Medium
Floods	188	High-Medium
Wildfire	175	High-Medium
Severe Weather	165	High-Medium
Extreme Temperature	126	Medium

Volcanic Events	124	Medium
Dust Storms	116	Medium
Earthquakes	64	Low
Landslides	60	Low

Source: Malheur County (includes Nyssa, Vale and Ontario) NHMP Steering Committee, 2018.

The Hazard Vulnerability Analysis for the NHMP update was performed at the April 12, 2018 Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee (SC) meeting. During the meeting, the SC decided to retain all the existing natural hazards. This Hazard Analysis Summary was prepared on May 17, 2018.

During the June 14, 2018 SC meeting, the SC stated they would like to revise this Hazard Vulnerability Analysis Summary to add the statement that they will consider adding air quality as a natural hazard in the next NHMP update process.

In the 2014 NHMP, a Malheur County Hazard Vulnerability Analysis was prepared and also one was prepared specifically for each of the Cities of Nyssa, Vale, and Ontario.

For the 2018 NHMP update, it should be noted that the Hazard Vulnerability Analysis involves the same jurisdictions: Malheur County and the Cities of Nyssa, Vale, and Ontario. The SC agreed that one Hazard Analysis could be performed together with all the jurisdictions participating. This would be efficient and demonstrate collaboration. The group recognized that it would be very important to capture all the comments, as well as similarities and differences between the jurisdictions.

In this assessment, as described previously, four measures characterizing risk – history, vulnerability, maximum threat, and probability – are assessed as to severity, weighted, and added together to derive a relative risk score for each hazard. The weights for each measure are noted within the matrix above in Table 2-4 2018 Hazard Vulnerability Analysis.

For the Hazard Analysis discussion, DLCD provided a document called Significant Historic Hazard Events Tables. This document included tables of significant events for each of Malheur County's natural hazards. The tables noted the dates, locations, and a description of the event, identifying if there was a disaster declaration related to it. DLCD staff invited SC members to review and comment on the information; in particular, to add events that had impacted them. These Significant Historic Hazards Events Tables are found in Volume II Hazard Annexes for each of the natural hazards that affect Malheur County.

To begin the discussion with the SC, DLCD staff asked the SC what they thought were their most common and impactful hazards are. The SC said droughts and winter storms. Interestingly, the risk score results supported the droughts and winter storms as high level hazards, with droughts as #1 and winter storms as #2 in the rankings.

Malheur County has eleven natural hazards. Looking at the 2013 risk scores and rankings in comparison to the 2018 results, floods dropped from #1 to #4; droughts moved from #3 to #1; and winter storms remained at #2. Interestingly, in 2013 wildfire and landslides tied for #4 while in the 2018 results wildfire was #5 and landslides was #11.

In reviewing the overall risk scores for each hazard, DLCD staff noticed several tiers. As shown above in the table above, staff identified risk scores into risk levels of high, high-medium, medium, and low. These are shown in a progression of color from red as high to yellow as low.

Discussion occurred regarding the definitions of the weighted measures. For example, when defining vulnerability and maximum threat, the percentages are based on those “affected.” Questions arose as to how much impact or influence is considered “affected” to the population and property. It was noted that more than half of the population in Malheur County is within the cities. This revealed the highest percentage of population would be impacted in the cities, but yet substantial impacts are also felt in geographically wide but less populated areas. Estimating the appropriate percentage for vulnerability and maximum threat provided some challenge.

The group came to consensus on the ratings for each of the four HVA measures, as well as the total score, for each hazard. Most of the hazards were discussed in detail by the SC.

Table 2-6 2018 Total Risk Scores and Rankings with 2013 Total scores and Rankings for Comparison

HAZARD	2018 SCORES	2018 RANKING	2013 SCORES	2013 RANKING
Droughts	240	1	190	3
Winter Storms	235	2	191	2
Wind Storms	195	3	155	5
Floods	188	4	240	1
Wildfire	175	5	165	4
Severe Weather	165	6	165	4
Extreme Temperature	126	7	72	7
Volcanic Events	124	8	134	6
Dust Storms	116	9	52	8
Earthquakes	64	10	72	7
Landslides	60	11	165	4

Source: Tricia Sears, DLCD, June 25, 2018

Community Vulnerability

Vulnerability is a measure of the exposure of the built environment to hazards. The exposure of community assets to hazards is critical in the assessment of the degree of risk a community has to

each hazard. Identifying the facilities and infrastructure at risk from various hazards can assist the county in prioritizing resources for mitigation, and can assist in directing damage assessment efforts after a hazard event has occurred. The exposure of county and city assets to each hazard and potential implications are explained in each hazard section.

Vulnerability includes the percentage of population and property likely to be affected under an “average” occurrence of the hazard. Community vulnerabilities are an important supplement to the NHMP risk assessment. For more in-depth information regarding specific community vulnerabilities, reference Appendix C Community Profile.

Population

The socio-demographic qualities of the community population such as language, race and ethnicity, age, income, and educational attainment are significant factors that can influence the community’s ability to cope, adapt to and recover from natural disasters. Historically, 80 percent of the disaster burden falls on the public.¹⁹ Of this number, a disproportionate burden is placed upon special needs groups, particularly children, the elderly, the disabled, minorities, and low-income persons. Population vulnerabilities can be reduced or eliminated with proper outreach and community mitigation planning. For planning purposes, it is essential Malheur County, Nyssa, Ontario and Vale consider both immediate and long-term socio-demographic implications of hazard resilience.

Population Vulnerabilities

- As of 2016, 17.4% of Malheur County’s population is over the age of 65 while neighboring Harney County has 23.6% of the population over the age of 65 and Wheeler County has 32.3% in that category.²⁰
- The Malheur County total fertility rate is 2.78 while Oregon’s is 1.79²¹.
- The amount of Hispanic or Latino population has increased from 25.6% in 2000 to 31.5% in 2010²².
- Malheur County has a per capita personal income of \$30,255 which ranked last in the list “County Components of Per Capita Personal Income” for Oregon counties.²³

Economy

Economic diversification, employment and industry are measures of economic capacity. However, economic resilience to natural disasters is far more complex than merely restoring employment or income in the local community. Building a resilient economy requires an understanding of how the component parts of employment sectors, workforce, resources and infrastructure are interconnected in the existing economic picture. The current and anticipated financial conditions of a community are strong determinants of community resilience, as a strong and diverse economic

¹⁹ Hazards Workshop Session Summary #16, *Disasters, Diversity, and Equity*, University of Colorado, Boulder (2000).

²⁰ Oregon Employment Department, *Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*. May 2017.

²¹ Portland State University, Population Forecast Program, Regional Forecast Meeting, October 24, 2018.

²² Ibid.

²³ Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*. May 2017.

base increases the ability of individuals, families and the community to absorb disaster impacts for a quick recovery. It is imperative that Malheur County, Nyssa, Ontario and Vale recognize that economic diversification is a long-term issue; more immediate strategies to reduce vulnerability should focus on risk management for the dominant industries.

Economic Vulnerabilities

- According to the Oregon Employment Department, the Malheur County unemployment rate in October 2018 was 4.4%. The total number of unemployed people was 525 while the nonfarm employment was 12,300. In the event of a large-scale disaster, unemployment has the potential to rise when businesses and companies are unable to overcome the ramifications of the hazard event.²⁴
- Although rural Oregon housing is more affordable relative to metropolitan areas, it is among the least affordable compare with other rural communities across the nation.²⁵
- More than 40% of rural Oregon employment is concentrated in natural resources, leisure and hospitality (tourism), and government.²⁶
- Anticipated job growth in Malheur County, according to employment projections for the 2014-2024 period, is less than 5%. Government, education and health services, and retail trade are the top sources of projected replacement openings – each industry will need to replace about 700 workers by 2024.²⁷
- In the event of a natural disaster, manufacturing and government sectors may not be as vulnerable in the short term as other sectors; however, other large industries such as retail and wholesale trade may be significantly affected by a disaster as these industries tend to rely on a stable disposable income, which may decline following a disaster.
- Counties in southern Oregon and eastern Oregon have high shares of land owned by the federal government, with Malheur, Lake, and Harney Counties topping 70 percent of the land owned by the federal government.²⁸
- In Malheur County, 73% of the land is owned by the federal government, 5% is owned by the State, and less than 1% is owned by Tribes.²⁹

Environment

The capacity of the natural environment is essential in sustaining all forms of life including human life, yet it often plays an underrepresented role in community resiliency to natural hazards. The natural environment includes land, air, water and other natural resources that support and provide space to live, work and recreate.³⁰ Natural capital such as wetlands and forested hill slopes play significant roles in protecting communities and the environment from weather-related hazards, such

²⁴Oregon Employment Department, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/eastern-oregon>, Accessed November 20, 2018.

²⁵ Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*. May 2017.

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ ibd.

²⁸ Ibid.

²⁹ ibid.

³⁰ Mayunga, J. *Understanding and Applying the Concept of Community Disaster Resilience: A Capital-Based approach. Summer Academy for Social Vulnerability and Resilience Building*, (2007).

as flooding and landslides. When natural systems are impacted or depleted by human activities, those activities can adversely affect community resilience to natural hazard events.

Environmental Vulnerabilities

- Dynamic weather and relatively flat, arid land across eastern Malheur County are indicators of hazard vulnerability when combined with the changing climate and severe weather related events. Both wet and dry cycles are likely to last longer and be more extreme, leading to periods of deeper drought and more frequent flash flooding. Less precipitation in the summers and subsequently lower soil moisture with hotter temperatures will likely increase the amount of vegetation consumed by wildfire.
- Extended drought periods affect snowpack and agricultural irrigation.
- The combination of a growing population and development intensification can lead to the increasing risk of hazards, threatening loss of life, property and long-term economic disruption if land management is inadequate.

In Appendix F Future Climate Projections Reports, the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute's (OCCRI) *Future Climate Projections Malheur County: A Report to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*, provide important information regarding the influence and impacts of climate change on existing natural hazards events such as heavy rains, river flooding, drought, heat waves, cold waves, wildfire, and air quality.

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

The Malheur County Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), like much of eastern Oregon, are not modernized. Below is a recap of current information related to the NFIP in Malheur County and the incorporated cities. For more details see the Flood Annex section of the Hazard Annexes. Table FL-2 Flood Insurance Details, which shows information as of November 2018 for Malheur County and the incorporated cities.

Malheur County and incorporated cities:³¹

- Have 109 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) policies in force;
- Have 26 paid claims;
- Are not members of the Community Rating System (CRS);
- Have no repetitive loss and no severe repetitive loss building claims; and
- The last Community Assistance Visit (CAV) in Malheur County was on September 27, 2011 with the City of Ontario.

Critical Infrastructure, Critical Facilities, and Lifelines

Critical facilities (i.e. police, fire, and government facilities), housing supply, and physical infrastructure are critical during a disaster and are essential for proper functioning and response. The lack or poor condition of infrastructure can negatively affect a community's ability to cope,

³¹ Celinda Adair, Department of Land Conservation and Development, November 30, 2018 and David Lentzner, Department of Land Conservation and Development, personal communication, December 14, 2018.

respond and recover from a natural disaster. Following a disaster, communities may experience isolation from surrounding cities and counties due to infrastructure failure. These conditions force communities to rely on local and immediately available resources.

Critical Infrastructure, Critical Facilities, and Lifelines: Definitions

One definition of **critical infrastructure** is “Systems and assets, whether physical or virtual, so vital to the United States that the incapacity or destruction of such systems and assets would have a debilitating impact on security, national economic security, national public health or safety, or any combination of those matters” (U.S. Department of Homeland Security [U.S. DHS], n.d.).

A definition of **critical facilities**: “Structures and institutions necessary, in the community’s opinion, for response to and recovery from emergencies. Critical facilities must continue to operate during and following a disaster to reduce the severity of impacts and accelerate recovery” (*2015 Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) Guidance*, FEMA).

A definition of **lifelines**: “Lifelines include utility systems (potable water, wastewater, oil, natural gas, electric power facilities and communication systems) and transportation systems (airways, bridges, roads, tunnels and waterways). Communication facilities are also important lifelines.” (*Portland Local Energy Assurance Plan*, 2012).

The table below, Table 2-7, includes assets for Malheur County and the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario, and Vale. The asset is a critical or essential facility, critical infrastructure, or lifeline for Malheur County and/or the individual cities. The exact location of the asset is not identified in this list. The x’s represent the hazard that could impact the asset. Note that the hazard of snow/ winter storm is specifically called out instead of being included in the severe weather hazard category. For Table 2-7, severe weather includes dust storm, rain storm, wind storm, and extreme temperature.

As discussed by Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee members regarding Table 2-7:

- Hazards may physically impact the hospital, like a flood getting into the basement or an earthquake damaging the building. However, it is noted that the hospital will be impacted/affected by all of the hazards because of the role and function of the hospital.
- Schools are often used as shelters in times of a disaster. For example, fire camps have been set up in schools so that personnel fighting wildfires have lodging. Buses can be used to evacuate people and move supplies.

Table 2-7 Critical Facilities, Critical Infrastructure, and Lifelines

Malheur County Asset Identification	Drought	Earthquake	Flood	Landslide	Severe Weather	Wildfire	Snow/ winter storm	Volcanic Event
Malheur County								
Health Department		X	X		X		X	X
Environmental Health Department		X	X		X	X	X	X
County Road District 1		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Assessed Rural Road Districts		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Court House		X	X		X		X	X
Sheriff Office and Public Safety Answer Point (911) (PSAP)/EOC		X	X		X		X	X
Ambulance Service District and Veteran Affairs		X	X		X		X	X
Hospital - St Alphonsus Medical Center	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Schools – Listed by School District								
Adrian SD:								
Adrian Elementary School		X			X	X	X	X
Adrian High School		X			X	X	X	X
Annex SD:								
Annex Charter School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Arock SD:								
W.W. Jones Elementary		X	X		X	X	X	X
Harper SD:								
Harper Charter School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Huntington SD:								
Huntington Charter School	N/A							
Jordan Valley SD:								
Jordan Valley Elementary		X	X		X	X	X	X
Jordan Valley High School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Rockville, Elementary School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Juntura SD:								

Malheur County Asset Identification	Drought	Earthquake	Flood	Landslide	Severe Weather	Wildfire	Snow/ winter storm	Volcanic Event
Juntura Elementary School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Nyssa SD:								
Nyssa High School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Nyssa Middle School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Nyssa Elementary		X	X		X	X	X	X
Ontario SD:								
Ontario High School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Ontario Middle School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Aiken Elementary School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Alameda Elementary School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Cairo Elementary School		X	X		X	X	X	X
May Roberts Elementary		X	X		X	X	X	X
Pioneer Elementary School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Vale SD:								
Vale High School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Vale Middle School (current)		X	X		X	X	X	X
Vale Middle School (new building)		X	X		X	X	X	X
Vale Elementary School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Willowcreek Elementary		X	X		X	X	X	X
Other Schools								
Four Rivers Community School		X	X		X	X	X	X
St. Peter's Catholic School		X	X		X	X	X	X
Treasure Valley Christian		X	X		X	X	X	X
Treasure Valley Community College		X	X		X	X	X	X
Arock Community Center		X	X		X	X	X	X
Jordan Valley Lion's Hall		X	X		X	X	X	X
Juntura Community Center		X	X		X	X	X	X

Malheur County Asset Identification	Drought	Earthquake	Flood	Landslide	Severe Weather	Wildfire	Snow/ winter storm	Volcanic Event
State Highways: US 30, US 20/26, US 20, US 26 US 95, US 78 US 52, US 95 SPUR, I-84, and OR 201		X	X	X	X		X	X
Malheur County Fairgrounds		X	X		X	X	X	X
Four Rivers Cultural Center		X	X		X	X	X	X
First Church of the Nazarene		X	X		X	X	X	X
The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints (LDS) Church		X	X		X	X	X	X
Owyhee Dam		X	X			X	X	
Owyhee Irrigation District facilities		X					X	
City of Nyssa								
Water Treatment Plant (all the assets associated with the production of clean and safe drinking water)		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Water distribution systems including pumping stations and tankage.		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Wastewater Treatment Plant (all treatment trains in used to address and maintain discharge permit parameters)		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lift stations for collection of wastewater from the City to the treatment plant.		x	x		x		x	x
EMS facilities, including all equipment with the structures		x	x		x		x	x
Critical road infrastructure to provide access to hospitals, police and fire service. Primary and secondary road system identified in snow removal plan.	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
City Hall		x	x		x		x	x
Water distribution line under Snake River Bridge		x	x		x		x	x
Water Tank 100,000 gallon		x	x		x		x	x
City of Ontario								
Water Treatment Plant (all the assets associated with the production of clean and safe drinking water)	x	x	x		x		x	x
Water distribution systems including pumping stations and tankage (water storage tanks).								
Bench Reservoir	x	x	x		x		x	x
Sunset Reservoir	x	x	x		x		x	x

Malheur County Asset Identification	Drought	Earthquake	Flood	Landslide	Severe Weather	Wildfire	Snow/ winter storm	Volcanic Event
Eastside Reservoir	x	x	x		x		x	x
Canyon Two Booster Station	x	x	x		x		x	x
Ontario Wastewater Treatment Plant (all treatment trains in use to address and maintain discharge permit parameters)		x		x	x		x	x
Lift stations (for collection of wastewater from the City to the treatment plant).								
W. Idaho Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
Malheur Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
Regional Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
8 th Ave Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
East Side Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
Murakami Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
Malheur Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
Lower Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
Tapadera Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
LID 44 Lift Station		x	x	x	x		x	x
Critical road infrastructure to provide access to hospitals, police and fire service. Primary and secondary road system identified in the City of Ontario Snow and Ice Control Plan.		x	x	x	x		x	x
City Hall and Fire & Rescue		x			x		x	x
Airport (city owned /BLM base there)		x			x	x	x	x
City of Vale								
Water Treatment Plant (all the assets associated with the production of clean and safe drinking water).		x	x		x	x	x	x
Water distribution systems including pumping stations and tankage.		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Vale Wastewater Treatment Plant (all treatment trains in used to address and maintain discharge permit parameters).		x	x		x		x	x
Lift stations (for collection of wastewater from the City to the treatment plant).		x	x		x		x	x
EMS facilities including all equipment with the structures.		x	x		x		x	x

Malheur County Asset Identification	Drought	Earthquake	Flood	Landslide	Severe Weather	Wildfire	Snow/ winter storm	Volcanic Event
Critical road infrastructure to provide access to hospitals, police and fire service. Primary and secondary road system identified in the City of Vale Snow Removal Plan.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
City Hall		X	X		X		X	X
City Maintenance Shops		x	x		x		x	x
Miller Memorial Airpark Airport		x	x		x	x	x	x

Source: Malheur County Emergency Manager and NHMP Steering Committee, Tricia Sears, DLCD, November 2018

Critical Facilities and Infrastructure Vulnerabilities

- It is critical to maintain the quality of built capacity (transportation networks, critical facilities, utility transmission, etc.) throughout the area, as poor infrastructure can negatively affect Malheur County's ability to cope, respond and recover from a natural disaster.
- Based on U.S. Census data, 32.3% of the residential housing throughout Malheur County was built from 1960 to 1979. From 1980 to 1999 in Malheur County, 26.7% of the housing units were constructed. The local implementation of the flood elevation requirements was in the 1970's (Malheur County FIRMs were not completed until the 1980s). The current seismic building standards were implemented in 1990. Implementation of the flood and seismic provisions greatly reduced impacts.³²
- Malheur County has 11,640 total housing units with 4,194 or 40.7% occupied by renters and 6,100 or 59.3% owner occupied.³³ Studies have shown that renter, for a variety of reasons, are less likely than homeowners to prepare for natural hazard events.
- Mobile homes account for 17.3% of the housing in Malheur County. In Jordan Valley it is 46.9%, in Adrian it is 26.5%, in Vale it is 18.8%, in Nyssa it is 14%, and in Ontario it is 10.1% of the housing units are mobile homes. These structures are particularly vulnerable to certain natural hazards, such as windstorms and heavy flooding events.³⁴
- Some roads and bridges in Malheur County are highly vulnerable to hazards, specifically earthquakes. Because bridges vary in size, materials, siting, and design, any given hazard will affect them differently. The County and Cities should also pay considerable attention to roads and bridges that may become obstructed that serve as primary interstate travel routes (Interstate 5, Highways 20 and 26), as this will likely have significant impacts on access in and out of Malheur County and the region. ODOT has jurisdiction over the interstate and highways, but the Cities and County usually control maintenance in and around the communities.

³² U.S. Census Bureau, 2006-2010 American Community Survey, Table S2504, *Physical Housing Characteristics for Occupied Housing*, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>

³³ U.S. Census Bureau, Table DP-04, *Selected Housing Characteristics: 2016*, accessed November 19, 2018, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>

³⁴ U.S. Census Bureau, Table DP-04, *Selected Housing Characteristics: 2016*, accessed November 19, 2018, <http://factfinder2.census.gov>

SECTION 3: MITIGATION STRATEGY

Section 3 outlines Malheur County's strategy to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards. This section presents a mission and specific goals and mitigation actions thereby addressing the mitigation strategy requirements contained in 44 CFR 201.6(c). The Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) Steering Committee reviewed and updated the mission, goals and mitigation actions documented in this plan. Additional planning process documentation is in Appendix B Planning and Public Process.

Mitigation Plan Mission

The plan mission states the purpose and defines the primary functions of Malheur County's NHMP. It is intended to be adaptable to any future changes made to the plan and need not change unless the community's environment or priorities change.

The mission of the Malheur County NHMP is:

To create a disaster-resilient Malheur County by building partnerships, reducing risk, preventing loss, and protecting life, property, and the environment from future natural hazard events.

The 2018 NHMP Steering Committee reviewed the existing NHMP mission statement and agreed it accurately describes the overall purpose and intent of this NHMP; therefore the mission statement was retained as is. The Steering Committee believes the concise nature of the mission statement allows for a comprehensive approach to mitigation planning.

Mitigation Plan Goals


Mitigation plan goals are more specific statements of direction that Malheur County citizens, and public and private partners can take while working to reduce the County's risk from natural hazards. These statements of direction form a bridge between the broad mission statement and particular mitigation action items. The goals listed here serve as checkpoints as agencies and organizations begin implementing mitigation action items.

Public participation was a key aspect in developing the NHMP goals in previous plans. Meetings with the Steering Committee, stakeholder interviews, surveys, and public workshops all served as methods to obtain input and priorities in developing goals for reducing risk and preventing loss for natural hazards in Malheur County.

Public participation was also a key aspect in this update to the NHMP. The 2018 Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee reviewed the existing NHMP goals and determined they would keep the

same goals for the 2018 update. The two graphics in Figure 3-1 illustrate the equal importance of the goals and their statements.

Figure 3-1 Malheur County 2018 NHMP Goals and Statements



Source: Tricia Sears, DLCD, created August 8, 2018

Existing Mitigation Activities

Existing mitigation actions include current mitigation programs and activities that are being implemented by Malheur County in an effort to reduce the community's overall risk to natural hazards. Documenting these efforts can assist the jurisdiction to better understand risk and identifying successes. See Mitigation Actions Table – Status of 2014 NHMP, Table 3-2, and Mitigation Actions 2019 NHMP, Table 3-3. For details on each hazard see Volume II, Hazard Annexes.

Government Structure

In addition to the Emergency Management Department, most departments within the County and City governance structures have some degree of responsibility in building overall community resilience. Each plays a role in ensuring that jurisdiction functions and normal operations resume after an incident, and the needs of the population are met. For further explanation regarding how these departments influence hazard resilience, see Appendix C, Community Profile.

Existing Plans and Policies

Communities often have existing plans and policies that guide and influence land use, land development, and population growth. Linking existing plans and policies to the NHMP helps identify what resources already exist that can be used to implement the action items identified in the Plan. Plans and policies already in existence have support from local residents, businesses and policy makers.¹ A list documenting plans and policies already in place in Malheur County and the Cities can be found in Section 4 Implementation Table 4-1 and [Appendix C Community Profile in Table C-x.](#)

Community Organizations and Programs

In planning for natural hazard mitigation, it is important to know what social systems exist within the community because of their existing connections to the public. Malheur County and Cities can use existing social systems as resources for implementing such communication-related activities because these service providers already work directly with the public on a number of issues, one of which could be natural hazard preparedness and mitigation. Appendix C, Community Profile, provides a comprehensive list of community organizations and programs, and offers a more thorough explanation of how existing community organizations and programs can be utilized for hazard mitigation.

NHMP Mitigation Actions

Mitigation actions identified through the planning process are an important part of the mitigation plan. Mitigation actions are detailed recommendations for activities that local departments, citizens, and others could engage in to reduce risk. They address both multi-hazard (MH) and hazard-specific issues. Mitigation actions can be developed through a number of sources. A description of how Malheur County's 2019 NHMP mitigation actions were developed is provided

¹ Raymond J. Burby, "Cooperating with Nature: Confronting Natural Hazards with Land-Use Planning for Sustainable Communities," (1998).

below in the “Mitigation Action Development Process” section. The process resulted in the creation of two mitigation actions tables.

- The Mitigation Actions Table – Status of 2014 NHMP is included in Table 3-2 and provides an update on the status of each mitigation action from the 2014 NHMP.
- Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions 2019 NHMP includes the mitigation actions that the Steering Committee supports for the current 2018-2019 NHMP.

Mitigation Action Item Worksheets

Each mitigation action has a corresponding action item form describing the activity, identifying the rationale for the project, identifying potential ideas for implementation, and assigning coordinating and partner organizations. The action item worksheets can assist the community in pre-packaging potential projects for grant funding. The worksheet components are described below. These action item worksheets are located in Appendix A Action Item Forms.

Mitigation Action Title

Each mitigation action item includes a title and a brief description of the proposed action.

Alignment with Plan Goals

The plan goals addressed by each mitigation action are identified as a means for monitoring and evaluating how well the mitigation plan is achieving its goals, following implementation.

Affected Jurisdiction

Many of the mitigation actions within this plan apply to all of the participating Cities and Malheur County; however, some actions items are specific. The list of affected jurisdictions is provided on the right side of the matrix. The action item form in Appendix A provides more detailed information.

Alignment with Existing Plans / Policies

Identify any existing community plans and policies where the mitigation action can be incorporated. Incorporating the mitigation action into existing plans and policies, such as comprehensive plans, will increase the likelihood that it will be implemented.

Rationale or Key Issues Addressed

Mitigation actions should be fact-based and tied directly to issues or needs identified throughout the planning process. Mitigation actions can be developed at any time during the planning process and can come from a number of sources, including participants in the planning process, noted deficiencies in local capability, or issues identified through the risk assessment. The rationale for proposed mitigation actions is based on the information documented in Section 2 Risk Assessment and Volume II Hazard Annexes.

Implementation through Existing Programs

For each mitigation action, the Mitigation Action Item form asks for some ideas for implementation, which serve as the starting point for taking action. This information offers a transition from theory

to practice. Ideas for implementation could include: (1) collaboration with relevant organizations, (2) alignment with the community priority areas, and (3) applications to new grant programs.

The ideas for implementation offer a transition from theory to practice and serve as a starting point for this plan. This component of the mitigation action is dynamic, since some ideas may prove to not be feasible, and new ideas may be added during the plan maintenance process. Ideas for implementation include such things as: collaboration with relevant organizations, grant programs, tax incentives, human resources, education and outreach, research, and physical manipulation of buildings and infrastructure. When an action is implemented, more work may be needed to determine the exact course of action.

The Malheur County NHMP includes a range of mitigation actions that, when implemented, will reduce loss from hazard events in the County. Within the NHMP, FEMA requires the identification of existing programs that might be used to implement these action items. Malheur County and the participating cities currently address statewide planning goals and legislative requirements through their comprehensive land use plans, capital improvements plans, mandated standards and building codes. Plans and policies already in existence have support from local residents, businesses, and policy makers. Many land use, comprehensive, and strategic plans are updated regularly, and can adapt easily to changing conditions and needs.² Implementing the NHMP's action items through such plans and policies increases their likelihood of being supported and implemented. The jurisdictions will work to incorporate the mitigation actions into existing programs and procedures.

Coordinating Organization

The coordinating organization is the public agency with the regulatory responsibility to address natural hazards, or that is willing and able to organize resources, find appropriate funding, or oversee activity implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

The Coordinating Organization and main contact for the Malheur County NHMP is the Malheur County Emergency Manager, Rich Harriman, and the Emergency Management Team (EMT) which is also known as Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC). The EMT/LEPC members doubled as the NHMP Steering Committee for the 2018 NHMP update.

Internal and External Partners

The internal and external partner organizations listed in the Mitigation Actions Table 2018 NHMP included below and in the Action Item Worksheets, located in Appendix A, are potential partners recommended by the Steering Committee but not necessarily contacted during the development of the plan. The coordinating organization should contact the identified partner organizations to see if they are capable of and interested in participation. This initial contact is also to gain a commitment of time and/or resources toward completion of the action items.

Internal partner organizations are departments within the County or other participating jurisdiction that may be able to assist in the implementation of action items by providing relevant resources to the coordinating organization.

² Ibid

External partner organizations can assist the coordinating organization in implementing the action items in various functions and may include local, regional, state, or federal agencies, as well as local and regional public and private sector organizations.

Potential Funding Sources

Where possible, identify potential funding sources for the mitigation action. Example funding sources can include: the federal Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) and Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Programs; state funding sources such as the Oregon Seismic Rehabilitation Grant Program; or local funding sources such as capital improvement or general funds. A mitigation action may have multiple funding sources. The funding sources are identified general as short- or long-term (see below) and includes an element of funding capacity of the jurisdiction for that action. Appendix A Action Item Forms includes the more detailed description of each mitigation action; funding sources are included there. See Appendix E Grant Programs and Resources for additional information on funding opportunities.

Estimated Cost

Where possible, an estimate of the cost for implementing the mitigation action is included.

Timeline

Action items include both short- and long-term activities. Each action item includes an estimate of the timeline for implementation.

- *Short-term action items* (ST) are activities that may be implemented with existing resources and authorities in one to two years.
- *Long-term action items* (LT) may require new or additional resources and/or authorities, and may take from one to five years to implement.
- *Ongoing* action items signify that work has begun and will either exist over an indefinite timeline, or an extended timeline. These are successful mitigation actions that have often been well integrated into the practices of the jurisdiction.

Status

As mitigation actions are implemented or new ones are created, it is important to indicate the status of the action item—whether it is ongoing, complete, no longer included – and to create new actions. Documenting the status of the action will make reviewing and updating mitigation plan easier during the plan’s five-year update, and can be used as a benchmark for progress.

Priority

The priority designations for the mitigation actions are described below in the Mitigation Actions Tables section to clarify the importance of these mitigation actions for the affected jurisdictions.

Mitigation Action Development Process

Development of mitigation actions was a multi-step, iterative process that involved brainstorming, discussion, review, and revisions. The bulk of this work occurred during the second and third Steering Committee meetings which were held on June 14, 2018 and August 9, 2018. Additional

conversation occurred at the November 8, 2018 Emergency Management Team (EMT)/ Local Emergency Preparedness Committee (LEPC) with DLCD’s Natural Hazards Planner and project lead calling in for discussion. DLCD’s project lead also called in for discussion of the entire NHMP at the January 10 and February 14, 2019 EMT/LEPC meetings.

One of the first steps was to discuss the status of the mitigation actions from the 2014 NHMP. The Steering Committee went through each mitigation action and ascertained if the action was completed or in progress.

- *Completed mitigation actions* were deemed a successful accomplishment and removed from the table.
- *No longer included mitigation actions* were removed from the table due to resource constraints or other factors.
- *Mitigation actions that were retained* were retained in full or modified to more accurately reflect the current situation.
- During this process, *new mitigation actions* were also identified.

The Mitigation Actions Table – Status of the 2014 NHMP is included in Table 3-2 and provides an update on the status of each mitigation action from the 2014 NHMP.

With the new mitigation actions and the retained existing mitigation actions (some of which were modified), a table was created to include all the mitigation actions that would be moved forward for the 2019 NHMP. Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions 2019 NHMP includes the mitigation actions that the Steering Committee supports for the 2019 NHMP.

Mitigation Actions Tables

The Mitigation Actions Tables portray the overall action plan framework and identify links between the plan goals, partnerships (coordination and partner organizations), and actions. The tables document a description of the action, the level of priority, the coordinating organization, partner organizations, timeline, and the plan goals addressed. The County and the Cities are included in these table; there is an x marked for each jurisdiction the mitigation action applies to. Refer to Appendix A Action Item Forms for detailed information about each mitigation action.

Table 3-2 Mitigation Actions Table – Status of 2014 NHMP includes the status and explanation of the 2014 NHMP mitigation actions as provided by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee (SC) at NHMP meetings in 2018. The decisions to retain, modify, or delete the mitigation actions were also discussed at the meetings. Follow up discussions occurred with SC members by email and phone calls. This table has been refined so as to include an overall summary from the discussions. While there is a column entitled “Priority” none of the mitigation actions in the 2014 NHMP were listed with a priority rating or ranking except Flood (FL) #6. It was listed as a high priority and highlighted in green.

The NHMP Steering Committee finalized the mitigation actions for the 2019 NHMP and determined the factors to consider for prioritizing them. At the August 9, 2018 Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) would be used as a way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The “Priority” column lists the priority. All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high priority. The hazard-specific actions are high, high-medium, and low. The risk level rankings are found in Section 2 Risk Assessment in Table 2-5 and the rankings are further described in the Risk Assessment section.

In Table 3-3, the Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP, all the natural hazards - winter storms, wind storms, earthquakes, droughts, floods, volcanic events, wildfire, landslides, extreme temperature, dust storms, and severe weather - impacting Malheur County and the Cities have mitigation actions. The hazards of volcanic events, dust storm, landslides, and extreme temperature are included in the multi-hazards actions and do not have hazard specific actions.

Table 3-2 Malheur County Mitigation Actions – Status of 2014 NHMP

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organizati on(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
Multi-Hazard (MH)																
MH#1		Conduct Business Continuity Plan Development Workshops for small businesses and potentially local nonprofits, governments and human services organizations.	Emergency Management Coordinator	IBHS, Chambers of Commerce, Emergency Management Preparedness Team, Incorporated cities	Ongoing		X	X			X	X	X	X	Previous Emergency Services Manager met with safety committees of organizations like schools, DHS, and nursing homes and looked at emergency operations plans.	Retain and modify proposed action title. Presentation and information sharing about COOP is important. Suggestion to work with Chamber of Commerce more and to consider a Main Street COOP.
MH#2		Work with Southeast Oregon Regional Food Bank to develop a plan/system for stocking and distributing emergency food boxes at all county food pantry locations	Southeast Oregon Regional Food Bank	Four Rivers Hunger Awareness and Prevention Coalition, Emergency Management Preparedness Team	Ongoing		X	X			X	X	X	X	Previous Emergency Services Manager worked on SE Pantry Plan. Food comes from Portland. They have talked with Idaho about that and potential other.	Retain.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
		for disaster situations.														
MH#3		Develop an education program for Malheur County to raise awareness of natural hazards and potential mitigation activities.	Emergency Management Preparedness Team	County, Incorporated cities, Snake River Fire Chiefs Association, local public safety committee (law enforcement)	Ongoing		X				X	X	X	X	The Emergency Coordinator and the Emergency Services Manager do education. Outreach is made through in person presentations, Facebook, and the website.	Retain it. Modify the lead agency information.
MH#4		Update the mission of the Malheur County Emergency Management Preparedness Team to include the maintenance and review of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan	Emergency Management Preparedness Team	County Court, Incorporated cities, FEMA	Short Term			X			X				The Malheur County NHMP has a mission statement. A mission statement will be prepared, and existing draft bylaws for the EMT will be reviewed at the July 2018 EMT meeting.	Retain. Mission and bylaws are in process for the EMT and will include the maintenance and review of the NHMP.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
MH#5		Identify historic structures that represent a significant cultural resource for the community, and identify mitigation to protect them from natural hazards.	Malheur County Planning Department	Oregon State Historic Preservation Office	Long Term	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	SC wants to protect historic and cultural resources from natural hazards impacts. Malheur County Planning notes there is no historic structures list for the County or the Cities. Could use the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) information.	Modify. Start by identifying the historic structures based on the SHPO and National Register of Historic Places.
MH#6		Incorporate the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan into the comprehensive Plan (in particular Goal 7).	County/City Planning Departments	Department of Land Conservation and Development, Oregon Office of Emergency Management, Federal Emergency Management Agency	Long Term				X	X	X	X	X	X	The Comprehensive Plan is from 1985. The NHMP information has not been integrated to it.	Retain and modify slightly.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
MH#7		Install arsenic removal equipment on municipal wells #7 and #9 to provide backup drinking water supply out of the floodplain.	City of Nyssa Public Works Department	City of Nyssa, FEMA	Long Term	X		X	X	X		X			The City of Nyssa will finish construction on the water treatment plan in August 2018; it will remove arsenic from the water. There is no way to remove arsenic from the water in wells #7 and #9. Nyssa has received approval from DEQ to use the water from these two wells for drinking and fire-fighting only in an emergency.	Action accomplished. It can be deleted.
Drought (DR)																
DR #1		Support the Malheur County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) in its	Malheur Co. SWCD	Irrigation Districts, Malheur Watershed Council, Owyhee Watershed	Ongoing	X		X		X	X				The SC noted that the lead agency should change and that support could take many forms.	Retain and modify the proposed action title and the lead agency. SC said to add the cities

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
		countywide water assessment project.		Council, FEMA, USDA-Farm Services Division												in addition to the County.
DR #2		Support the Malheur, Owyhee and Vale Irrigation Districts' ongoing efforts to convert dirt irrigation canals into pipes.	Malheur Watershed Council	Irrigation Districts, Soil and Water Conservation District, Owyhee Watershed Council, FEMA	Ongoing	X		X		X	X				The SC noted that the lead agency should change.	Retain and modify the lead agency. SC said to add the cities in addition to the County.
Earthquake (ER)																
EQ #1		Research opportunities for a seismic study of Antelope Reservoir.	Jordan Valley Irrigation District	Malheur County, DOGAMI, Idaho Geology, FEMA	Long Term	X				X	X				Nothing happened. SC agreed to strike this action.	Delete
EQ #2		Perform an earthquake risk evaluation, and retrofit, for buildings not listed in the DOGAMI RVS report. Consider both	Emergency Management	County/ City Building and Public Works, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon, SHPO	Long Term	X			X		X	X	X	X	Nothing happened. SC agreed to strike this action.	Delete

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
		structural and non-structural retrofit options.														
EQ #3		Seismically retrofit Adrian RFPD to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Adrian RFPD	Malheur County, Adrian, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X			X		X				The listed buildings in EQ #3 through #14 have not been seismically upgraded. The SC determined a new approach is needed now	Retain and modify the proposed action title. Refer to the Critical Infrastructure List. The SC would evaluate the list and determine the priorities for which buildings would be identified for seismic upgrades. Connect this list and seismic upgrade priorities to the County and cities strategic plans. This would elevate the awareness of the buildings that are a priority for seismic upgrades and

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
																replacements, and the need for those to happen.
EQ #4		Seismically retrofit Nyssa High School to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Nyssa School District #26	Malheur County, Nyssa, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X			X		X	X			See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.
EQ #5		Seismically retrofit Nyssa Middle School to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Nyssa School District #26	Malheur County, Nyssa, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X			X		X	X			See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
EQ #6		Seismically retrofit Nyssa Police Department to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Nyssa Police Department	Malheur County, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X	X			See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.
EQ #7		Seismically retrofit Alameda Elementary School to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Ontario School District #8C	Malheur County, Ontario, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X		X		See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
EQ #8		Seismically retrofit May Roberts Elementary School to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Ontario School District #8C	Malheur County, Ontario, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X		X		See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.
EQ #9		Seismically retrofit Ontario High School to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Ontario School District #8C	Malheur County, Ontario, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X		X		See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.
EQ #10		Seismically retrofit Pioneer Elementary School to reduce the facility's	Ontario School District #8C	Malheur County, Ontario, DOGAMI, OEM,	Long Term	X				X	X		X		See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
		vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.		Business Oregon												
EQ #11		Seismically retrofit Ontario Fire and Police Departments to reduce the facilities' vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Ontario Fire and Police Departments	Malheur County, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X		X		See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.
EQ #12		Seismically retrofit Treasure Valley Community College to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and	Treasure Valley Community College	Malheur County, Ontario, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X		X		See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
		non-structural retrofit options.														
EQ #13		Seismically retrofit Vale Middle School to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Vale School District #84	Malheur County, Vale, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X			X	See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.
EQ #14		Seismically retrofit Vale High School to reduce the facility's vulnerability to seismic hazards. Consider both structural and non-structural retrofit options.	Vale School District #84	Malheur County, Vale, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon	Long Term	X				X	X			X	See EQ #3 for status and explanation	Delete.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
Flood (FL)																
FL #1		Update County and City floodplain ordinances	Malheur Co. Planning Department	Ontario, Nyssa, Vale, Adrian, Jordan Valley, DLCD, FEMA	Short Term	X			X		X	X	X	X	Previous Emergency Services Manager worked with the National Weather Service on flood stage level information. A few years ago FEMA showed maps which would change the existing floodplain areas. The levee was built in Vale after the 1957 flood.	Retain.
FL #2		Explore the potential for Malheur County to participate in the Community Rating System	Malheur County Planning Department	Incorporated Cities, Chambers of Commerce, DLCD, FEMA	Long Term	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	It has not been done.	Retain.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
		(CRS) of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)														
FL #3		Implement storm water improvement measures as identified in the 2003 City of Ontario Storm water Master Plan	City of Ontario Public Works	City of Ontario, Ontario Planning Department, FEMA, DLCD	Long Term	X							X		Not done.	Retain.
FL #4		Update the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for the county and cities	Malheur County Planning Department	Incorporated cities, Malheur County GIS staff, FEMA, DLCD, DOGAMI, Silver Jackets	Long Term		X	X			X	X	X	X	The update was in process in 2016 but the process of reviewing revised maps stopped.	Retain.
FL #5		Replace faulty flapper valve and head gate valve in storm drain near the	Vale Department of Public Works	City of Vale, FEMA, ACOE, Silver Jackets	Short Term	X								X	The flapper and head gate valves have been replaced; also there is a manual gate	Action accomplished. Delete.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
		city school bus shed													that can be closed between the flapper valve and the river.	
FL #6	High	Develop a maintenance plan and restore the Bully Creek Levee System (in particular systems Q and R).	Vale Department of Public Works	City of Vale, Malheur County, FEMA, ACOE, Silver Jackets	Long Term	X			X		X			X	The maintenance plan is not written. In June 2018 the Bully Creek Levee System was inspected by USACE.	Modify language after we read the inspection report from USACE and ascertain the appropriate actions to take.
Landslide (LS)																
LS #1		Reinforce the hillside underneath Nyssa's water storage tank to prevent erosion and a possible	City of Nyssa Public Works Department	City of Nyssa, Local Landowners, FEMA	Long Term	X			X			X			Nyssa City Manager says there are no issues with that hillside and is not sure why this action was established.	Delete.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
		landslide and tank collapse														
Severe Weather/Winter Storms/ Wind Storms (SW)																
SW #1		Convert primary electrical overhead lines to mountaintop communication services with underground lines.	Southeast Oregon Electric Cooperatives	Malheur County, Companies which are served by the utility and the utility company	Ongoing	X					X				Southeast Oregon Electric Cooperatives does not exist. The Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association (ORECA) Executive Director says the Harney Electric Cooperative serves Malheur and Harney County. Blue Mountain in Malheur County has undergrounded lines. They apply for FEMA grants to do this.	Retain. Modify lead agency and partner agency.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
SW #2		Shorten spans and anchor poles on utility lines in high wind or heavy icing areas.	Southeast Oregon Electric Cooperatives	Malheur County, Century Link	Ongoing	X					X				See SW #1. They apply for FEMA grants to do this.	Retain. Modify lead agency and partner agency.
SW #3		Obtain land along I-84 corridor to serve as emergency truck parking during winter storm events.	City of Ontario Economic Development	Chamber of commerce, local businesses, police, public works, Malheur County, ODOT	Long Term	X		X	X		X		X		The SC notes that some work has been done to increase the land along I-84 for emergency truck parking. There are number of issues that make this complicated. More work to be done.	Retain and modify.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organizati on(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale		
Volcanic Event (VE)																
VE#1		No actions identified. This hazard is rated as low probability and low vulnerability.														
Wildfire (WF)																
WF #1		Coordinate wildfire mitigation action items through the Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan.	Emergency Manageme nt Preparedne ss Team	Malheur County Emergency Services, BLM, County Court, Oregon Department of Forestry, FEMA	Ongoing		X	X			X	X	X	X	The mitigation actions are coordinated through the CWPP. SC said that the CWPP is about to be updated.	Done but retain it so that mitigation actions continue to be coordinated between the NHMP and CWPP.
WF #2		Construct barriers on pole power transformers to prevent birds from building nests on them,	Southeast Oregon Electric Cooperativ es	Malheur County, Harney County, Audubon	Ongoing		X	X			X				See SW #1. They use their own money to do this, it is a budgeted activity.	Retain. Modify lead agency and partner agency.

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions				Status & Explanation	Retain, Modify or Delete
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nysa	Ontario	Vale		
		thereby reducing the chance of wildfires from transformer shorts.		Society, Century Link												
WF #3		Manage weeds and vegetation growth at base of poles in fire prone regions.	Southeast Oregon Electric Cooperatives	Malheur County, Harney County, BLM, USFW	Ongoing		X	X			X				See SW #1. They use their own money to do this, it is a budgeted activity.	Retain. Modify lead agency and partner agency.

Source Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee, updated 2018

Table 3-3 Malheur County Mitigation Actions 2019 NHMP

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
Multi-Hazard (MH)														
MH #1	High	Conduct Business Continuity Plan Development information sharing through presentations, workshops, and other methods for small businesses, local nonprofits, governments, and human services organizations.	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager	Chambers of Commerce, Emergency Management Preparedness Team, Incorporated cities	Ongoing	x	X	X			X	X	X	X
MH #2	High	Work with Southeast Oregon Regional Food Bank to develop a plan/system for stocking and distributing emergency food boxes at all county food pantry locations for disaster situations.	Southeast Oregon Regional Food Bank	Four Rivers Hunger Awareness and Prevention Coalition, Emergency Management Preparedness Team	Ongoing		X	X			X	X	X	X

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
MH #3	High	Develop an education program for Malheur County to raise awareness of natural hazards and potential mitigation activities.	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager, Malheur County Health Department Emergency Coordinator	Malheur County, Incorporated cities, Snake River Fire Chiefs Association, local public safety committee (law enforcement)	Ongoing		X	x			X	X	X	X
MH #4	High	Update the mission of the Malheur County Emergency Management Preparedness Team to include the maintenance and review of the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan.	Emergency Management Team (EMT)	Malheur County Court, City of Ontario, City of Nyssa, City of Vale, FEMA	Short Term			X			X			
MH #5	High	Identify historic structures that represent a significant cultural resource for the community. Use information from the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office and the National	Malheur County Planning Department	Oregon State Historic Preservation Office	Long Term	X			X	X	X	X	X	X

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
		Register of Historic Places.												
MH #6	High	Incorporate the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan information into the Comprehensive Plan (in particular Goal 7).	Malheur County and City Planning Departments	Department of Land Conservation and Development, Oregon Office of Emergency Management, Federal Emergency Management Agency	Long Term	x			X	X	X	X	X	X
MH #7	High	Continue to work on the Malheur County Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP).	Malheur County Emergency Manager	Emergency Management Team (EMT), all Malheur County departments	Short Term	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x
Drought (DR)														
DR #1	High	Cooperate and help the Malheur County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) maintain and continue in its countywide water assessment project.	Emergency Management Team	Irrigation Districts, Malheur County SWCD, Malheur Watershed Council, Owyhee Watershed Council, FEMA, USDA-Farm Services Division	Ongoing	X		X		X	X	x	x	x

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
DR #2	High	Support the Malheur, Owyhee and Vale Irrigation Districts' ongoing efforts to convert dirt irrigation canals into pipes.	Emergency Management Team	Irrigation Districts, Malheur Watershed Council, Soil and Water Conservation District, Owyhee Watershed Council, FEMA	Ongoing	X		X		X	X	x	x	x
Earthquake (EQ)														
EQ #1	Low	Review Critical Infrastructure List prepared for the 2018-19 NHMP. Evaluate the list and determine the priority buildings for seismic retrofits or replacements. Include the Critical Infrastructure List in the strategic plans for the County and the Cities.	Emergency Management Team/ SC NHMP	Malheur County, Cities of Nyssa, Vale, and Ontario, DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon,	Long Term	X			X		X	x	x	x

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
Flood (FL)														
FL #1	High-Medium	Update County and City floodplain ordinances.	Malheur County Planning Department and Cities Planning Departments	Ontario, Nyssa, Vale, Adrian, Jordan Valley, DLCD, FEMA	Short Term	X			X	x	X	X	X	X
FL #2	High-Medium	Explore the potential for Malheur County to participate in the Community Rating System (CRS) of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).	Malheur County Planning Department	Incorporated Cities, Chambers of Commerce, DLCD, FEMA	Long Term	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
FL #3	High-Medium	Implement stormwater improvement measures as identified in the 2003 City of Ontario Stormwater Master Plan (see Section 7.0). Evaluate	City of Ontario Public Works	City of Ontario, Ontario Planning Department, FEMA, DLCD	Long Term	X		x					X	

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
		implementation progress each year.												
FL #4	High-Medium	Update the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for the County and Cities.	Malheur County Planning Department	Incorporated cities, Malheur County GIS staff, FEMA, DLCD, DOGAMI, Silver Jackets	Long Term		X	X			X	X	X	X
FL #5	High-Medium	Develop a maintenance plan and restore the Bully Creek Levee System. Include vegetation and debris removal as part of maintenance efforts. Prioritize actions that are identified in the inspection report when it is available from USACE (inspection done in June 2018).	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager, Vale Department of Public Works, Vale Irrigation District	City of Vale, Malheur County, FEMA, USACE, Silver Jackets	Long Term	X	x	x	X		X			X
FL #6	High-Medium	Explore funding opportunities with Business Oregon for the maintenance, repairs, and other	Malheur County Emergency Manager, City of Vale	Business Oregon, Regional Solutions office, USACE, Silver Jackets, OEM, DLCD	Short Term	x		x	x		x			x

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
		work related to Bully Creek Levee System.												
FL #7	High-Medium	Evaluate status of the water treatment plant (1900 SE 5 th Ave) and the wastewater treatment plant (2405 Malheur Drive) in Ontario in regards to preparing for and mitigating flood issues. Portions of these sites are within the floodplain.	Malheur County Emergency Manager, City of Ontario	FEMA, USACE, Silver Jackets, OEM, DLCD	Long-term	x		x	x		x		x	
FL #8	High-Medium	Ice jam and weather event flooding occur along the rivers due to flow levels. When water is released from storage facilities, it may cause or contribute to flood events. Malheur County cannot manage these releases of water. Notification, communication, and a	Malheur County Emergency Manager, Owyhee Irrigation District	Malheur County, Bureau of Reclamation, Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL), Army Corps of Engineers, Cities of Nyssa, Vale, and Ontario	Long-term	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
		memorandum of understanding between regulating agencies is needed.												
Severe Weather/Winter Storms/Winds Storms (SW)														
SW #1	High	Convert primary electrical overhead lines to mountaintop communication services with underground lines.	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association and Harney Electric Cooperative	Malheur County, Harney Electric Cooperative (serves Malheur, Lake, and Harney Counties), Companies which are served by the utility and the utility company	Ongoing	X					X			
SW #2	High	Shorten spans and anchor poles on utility lines in high wind or heavy icing areas.	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association and Harney Electric Cooperative	Malheur County, Harney Electric Cooperative (serves Malheur, Lake, and Harney Counties), Century Link	Ongoing	X					X			

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
SW #3	High	Work on obtaining land along I-84 corridor to serve as emergency truck parking during winter storm events.	City of Ontario Economic Development	Chamber of commerce, local businesses, police, public works, Malheur County, ODOT	Long Term	X		X	X		X		X	
SW #4	High	Severe weather events occur with debris or snow removal beyond the resources available to the County, the Cities, and the Special Districts affected. A list of available local resources, contacts, and a memorandum of understanding between contributing agencies and organizations is needed.	Malheur County Emergency Manager, Owyhee Irrigation District	Malheur County, Cities of Ontario, Vale, and Nyssa, Nyssa Rural Road District, Ontario Rural Road Assessment District #3, ODOT	Long-term	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Wildfire (WF)														
WF #1	High Medium	Coordinate the wildfire mitigation action items in the NHMP with those in	Emergency Management	Malheur County Emergency Services, BLM, County Court,	Ongoing		X	X			X	X	X	X

Hazard Action Item	Priority	Proposed Action Title	Lead Agency	Partner Organization(s)	Timeline	Plan Goals					Jurisdictions			
						1	2	3	4	5	Malheur County	Nyssa	Ontario	Vale
		the Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP).	Preparedness Team	Oregon Department of Forestry, FEMA										
WF #2	High Medium	Construct barriers on pole power transformers to prevent birds from building nests on them, thereby reducing the chance of wildfires from transformer shorts.	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association and Harney Electric Cooperative	Malheur County, Harney Electric Cooperative (serves Malheur, Lake, and Harney Counties), Harney County, Audubon Society, Century Link, Idaho Power	Ongoing		X	X			X			
WF #3	High Medium	Manage weeds and vegetation growth at base of poles in fire prone regions.	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association and Harney Electric Cooperative	Malheur County, Harney Electric Cooperative (serves Malheur, Lake, and Harney Counties), Harney County, BLM, USFW, Idaho Power	Ongoing		X	X			X			

Source: Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee, updated 2018.

SECTION 4:

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Plan Implementation and Maintenance section details the formal process that will ensure that the Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) remains an active and relevant document. The plan implementation and maintenance process includes a schedule for monitoring and evaluating the plan semi-annually, as well as producing an updated plan every five years. This section also describes how the Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale will integrate public participation throughout the plan maintenance and implementation process.

Implementing the Plan

The success of the Malheur County NHMP depends on how well the mitigation actions in Table 3-3 are implemented. In an effort to ensure that the mitigation actions are implemented, the following steps will be taken. The plan will be formally adopted, a coordinating body will be assigned, a convener shall be designated, the identified activities will be prioritized and evaluated, and finally, the plan will be implemented through existing plans, programs, procedures, and policies.

Plan Adoption

Once the NHMP is locally reviewed and ready, the Plan Convener and DLCDD submit it to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer at Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM). OEM reviews the plan and submits it to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region X for review. This review addresses the federal criteria outlined in FEMA Interim Final Rule 44 CFR Part 201.6.

Upon pre-approval by FEMA, indicated by a letter provided from FEMA to Malheur County called the "Approval Pending Adoption" (APA) the County will then adopt the NHMP via resolution. Following County adoption, the other participating jurisdictions will need to adopt it. Once FEMA is provided with final resolution documentation, they will formally approve the Malheur County multi-jurisdictional NHMP. At that point Malheur County will maintain their eligibility for the Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) pre- and post- disaster funds. These funds are distributed through the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program, the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), and the Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) program.

The accomplishment of the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan goals and actions depends upon regular Steering Committee participation and support from County and City leadership. Thorough familiarity with this NHMP will result in the efficient and effective implementation of mitigation actions and a reduction in the risk and the potential for loss from future natural hazard events.

Copies of the resolutions of approval from Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale will be included in this NHMP once they are received. Copies of the FEMA APA and final approval letters will also be included in this NHMP when they are received.

Convener

The Malheur County Emergency Manager will take responsibility for plan implementation and will facilitate the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan coordinating body meetings. The Emergency Manager

will assign tasks as needed. Plan implementation and evaluation will be a shared responsibility among all of the coordinating body members. The convener's responsibilities include:

- Coordinate NHMP Steering Committee/ Emergency Management Team/ Local Emergency Preparedness meeting dates, times, locations, agendas, and member notification;
- Documenting the discussions and outcomes of committee meetings;
- Serving as a communication conduit between the steering committee and the public/stakeholders;
- Identifying emergency management-related funding sources for natural hazard mitigation projects; and
- Utilizing the Risk Assessment as a tool for prioritizing proposed natural hazard risk reduction projects.

Coordinating Body

The Malheur County NHMP convener is the Emergency Manager; this person will facilitate a Steering Committee for maintaining, updating, and implementing the NHMP. The coordinating body is composed of members of the NHMP Steering Committee, the Emergency Management Preparedness Team (EMT), and the Local Emergency Preparedness Committee (LEPC). The coordinating body responsibilities include:

- Attending future plan maintenance and plan update meetings (or designating a representative to serve in your place);
- Serving as the local evaluation committee for funding programs such as the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program (PDM), the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) funds, and Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) program funds;
- Prioritizing and recommending funding for natural hazard risk reduction projects;
- Evaluating and updating the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan in accordance with the prescribed maintenance schedule;
- Developing and coordinating ad hoc and/or standing subcommittees as needed; and
- Coordinating public involvement activities.

Members

The NHMP update was developed by the Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan Steering Committee which includes Malheur County, the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale, and many other organizations and agencies. A roster of the Steering Committee is included in the Acknowledgements section of this NHMP. The Malheur County Steering Committee also serves as the Emergency Management Team (EMT) and the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC); there are meetings each month for this triple duty high energy group.

As part of this NHMP process, the group has established LEPC bylaws and within the bylaws set the requirement that the NHMP will be reviewed and discussed twice per year as part of the meetings. This will help ensure that the NHMP is a living document that is used and stays connected to the plans, policies, and programs of the involved jurisdictions and other Steering Committee members. In addition, the EMPG grant requires review of the NHMP twice per year.

To make the coordination and review of the Malheur County NHMP as broad and useful as possible, the Malheur County Emergency Manager will engage the stakeholders to implement the identified mitigation actions. Specific organizations have been identified as either internal or external partners for the mitigation actions listed for the 2019 NHMP; these are identified in Table 3-3 and described in the more detailed Mitigation Action Item Forms found in Appendix A.

Implementation through Existing Programs

The NHMP includes mitigation actions that, when implemented, will reduce loss from hazard events throughout Malheur County. Within the NHMP, FEMA requires the identification of existing plans, programs, and policies that might be used to implement these mitigation actions.

Malheur County and the participating Cities currently address Oregon’s Statewide Planning Goals and legislative requirements through their comprehensive land use plans, capital improvement plans, mandated standards, and building codes. Malheur County and participating Cities will incorporate the mitigation actions from this NHMP into existing programs, procedures, plans, and policies. Plans, programs, procedures, and policies already in existence often have support from local residents, businesses, and policy-makers. Many land use, comprehensive, and strategic plans are updated regularly, and can adapt easily to changing conditions and needs. Implementing the mitigation actions from the NHMP through such plans and policies increases their likelihood of being supported and implemented.

Examples of plans, programs or agencies that may be used to implement mitigation actions:

- City and County Budgets
- Community Wildfire Protection Plans
- Comprehensive Land Use Plans
- Economic Development Action Plans
- Zoning Ordinances & Building Codes
- Emergency Operations Plans and Continuity of Operations Plans (COOP)

The specific plans that presently exist relate to this NHMP and the FEMA requirement are listed in **Table 4-1** and are the same plans listed in **Table C-x** in Appendix C Community Profile. For additional examples of plans, programs, policies, procedures and agencies that may be used to implement mitigation actions, refer to the sections entitled “Government Structure” and “Existing Plans & Policies” in Appendix C Community Profile, the Mitigation Action Table 2019 NHMP, and the Appendix A Action Item Forms.

Insert Table 4-1 which is Table C-35 in 2014 NHMP/Table C-x now, with the update list of plans

Plan Maintenance

Plan maintenance is a critical component of the NHMP. Proper maintenance of the plan ensures that this plan will maximize Malheur County and participating City’s efforts to reduce the risks posed by natural hazards. The coordinating body and local staff are responsible for implementing this process, in addition to maintaining and updating the plan through a series of meetings outlined in the maintenance schedule below.

Meetings

The coordinating body is composed of members of the NHMP Steering Committee which is also the Emergency Management Preparedness Team (EMT) and the Local Emergency Preparedness Committee (LEPC). The coordinating body will meet twice per year to complete the following tasks.

During the first meeting, the NHMP Steering Committee/EMT/LEPC will:

- Review existing action items to determine appropriateness for funding;
- Educate and train new members on the plan and mitigation in general;
- Identify issues that may not have been identified when the plan was developed; and
- Prioritize potential mitigation projects using the methodology described below.

During the second meeting the NHMP Steering Committee/EMT/LEPC will:

- Review status and progress of the mitigation actions;
- Document the status of the mitigation actions;
- Review existing and new risk assessment data;
- Discuss already held and upcoming continued public involvement events; and
- Document successes and lessons learned during the year.

These meetings are an opportunity for each jurisdiction and organization to report back to Malheur County and the NHMP Steering Committee/EMT/LEPC on progress that has been made towards their components and mitigation actions of the NHMP.

The convener is the Malheur County Emergency Manager and he/she will be responsible for documenting the outcome of the semi-annual meetings. The process the coordinating body, which is the NHMP Steering Committee/EMT/LEPC, will use to prioritize mitigation projects is described in Section 3 Mitigation Strategy and briefly below in the “Project Prioritization Process” section.

The NHMP format allows Malheur County and participating jurisdictions and organizations to review and update sections when new data becomes available. New data can be easily incorporated, and discussed with the Steering Committee, resulting in a NHMP that remains current and relevant to the participating jurisdictions and organizations. The regularly scheduled monthly meetings of the NHMP Steering Committee/EMT/LEPC provide an excellent forum for discussions such as those on the status of mitigation actions, new data, and opportunities for funding.

Project Prioritization Process

The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires that jurisdictions identify a process for prioritizing potential mitigation actions. Potential mitigation actions often come from a variety of sources; therefore the project prioritization process needs to be flexible and shaped to the community’s needs. Projects may be identified by Steering Committee members, local government staff, other planning documents, or the risk assessment.

In brief, the selected prioritization format used in the Malheur County NHMP is that the risk level rankings from the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment will be used. All the multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority. The hazard-specific mitigation actions that are a high priority are the drought and severe weather mitigation actions. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Action Table 2019 NHMP.

Resource availability, including such factors as staff time and funding, are part of the categorization of whether the action is short- or long-term.

- *Short-term actions* are activities that may be implement with existing resources and authorities in one to two years.
- *Long-term actions* are those that may require new or additional resources and/or authorities.
- *Ongoing activities* are those that are currently in process and will continue to be implemented during the next planning period.

Below, the project prioritization process that was written by the Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience (OPDR) and included in the 2014 NHMP. The process includes four steps and is a more general description of the process. It has been slightly modified for inclusion in this 2018 NHMP. It is not the process that Malheur County used to establish priorities for the mitigation actions. In Appendix D Economic Analysis of Natural Hazard Mitigation Projects, there is a detailed description of the three potential approaches of economic analysis that a jurisdiction could take to prioritize the mitigation actions: benefit/cost analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, and the STAPLE/E approach.

Four General Steps for Project Prioritization

Step 1: Examine funding requirements

The first step in prioritizing the plan's mitigation actions is to determine which funding sources are open for application. Several funding sources may be appropriate for a county's proposed mitigation projects. Examples of mitigation funding sources include but are not limited to: FEMA's Pre-Disaster Mitigation program (PDM), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) program, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), National Fire Plan (NFP), Community Development Block Grants (CDBG), local general funds, and private foundations, among others. Please see Appendix E Grant Programs and Resources for a more comprehensive list of potential grant programs.

Because grant programs open and close on differing schedules, the coordinating body will examine upcoming funding streams' requirements to determine which mitigation activities would be eligible. The coordinating body may consult with the funding entity, Oregon's Office of Emergency Management (OEM), or other appropriate state or regional organizations about project eligibility requirements. This examination of funding sources and requirements will happen during the coordinating body's twice yearly maintenance meetings.

Step 2: Complete risk assessment evaluation

The second step in prioritizing the plan's mitigation actions is to examine which hazards the selected actions are associated with and where these hazards rank in terms of community risk. The coordinating body will determine whether or not the plan's risk assessment supports the implementation of eligible mitigation activities. This determination will be based on the location of the potential activities, their proximity to known hazard areas, and whether community assets are at risk. The coordinating body will additionally consider whether the selected actions mitigate hazards that are likely to occur in the future, or are likely to result in severe / catastrophic damages.

Step 3: Committee Recommendation

Based on the steps above, the coordinating body will recommend which mitigation actions should be moved forward. If the coordinating body decides to move forward with an action, the coordinating organization designated on the mitigation action item form will be responsible for taking further action and documenting success upon project completion. The coordinating body will convene a meeting to review the issues surrounding grant applications and to share knowledge and/or resources. This process will afford greater coordination and less competition for limited funds.

Step 4: Complete quantitative and qualitative assessment, and economic analysis

The fourth step is to identify the costs and benefits associated with the selected natural hazard mitigation actions which may include measures or projects. This is discussed in more detail for three potential approaches to economic analysis- benefit/cost analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, and the STAPLE/E approach - in Appendix Economic Analysis of Natural Hazard Mitigation Projects

The recommended approaches are benefit/cost for structural projects and either cost-effectiveness or STAPLE/E for the non-structural projects.

If the activity requires federal funding for a structural project, the Committee will use a FEMA-approved cost-benefit analysis tool to evaluate the appropriateness of the activity. A project must have a benefit/cost ratio of greater than one in order to be eligible for FEMA grant funding.

For non-federally funded or nonstructural projects, a qualitative assessment will be completed to determine the project's cost effectiveness. The committee could use a multivariable assessment technique called STAPLE/E to prioritize these actions. STAPLE/E stands for Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, and Environmental. Assessing projects based upon these seven variables can help define a project's qualitative cost effectiveness. ODPR has tailored the STAPLE/E technique for use in natural hazard mitigation action prioritization.

Appendix D includes a diagram, Economic Analysis Flowchart, to illustrate the process.

Continued Public Involvement & Participation

The participating jurisdictions are dedicated to involving the public directly in the continual reshaping and updating of the Malheur County NHMP. In addition to the members of the Coordinating Body, also known as the NHMP Steering Committee/ EMT/LEPC, the public will also have the opportunity to continue to provide feedback about the NHMP.

To ensure that these opportunities will continue, the County and participating jurisdictions will:

- Post copies of their plans on corresponding websites;
- Place articles in the local newspaper directing the public where to view and provide feedback; and
- Use existing newsletters such as schools and utility bills to inform the public where to view and provide feedback.

The Malheur County NHMP on the County's website at: <http://www.malheurco.org/>.

The NHMP will also be archived and posted on the University of Oregon Libraries' Scholar's Bank Digital Archive at <https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu> and on the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development's website at <https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/Pages/index.aspx>.

Five-Year Review of Plan

This plan will be updated every five years in accordance with the update schedule outlined in the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000. **With FEMA approval granted in 2019, the Malheur County NHMP would be due to be updated in 2024.** The convener, who is the Malheur County Emergency Manager, will be responsible for organizing the coordinating body, which is the NHMP Steering Committee/ EMT/LEPC, to address plan update needs. The coordinating body will be responsible for updating any deficiencies found in the plan, and for ultimately meeting the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000's plan update requirements.

The following 'toolkit' can assist the convener in determining which plan update activities can be discussed during regularly-scheduled plan maintenance meetings, and which activities require additional meeting time and/or the formation of sub-committees.

Table 4-I Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan Update Toolkit

Question	Yes	No	Plan Update Action
Is the planning process description still relevant?			Modify this section to include a description of the plan update process. Document how the planning team reviewed and analyzed each section of the plan, and whether each section was revised as part of the update process. (This toolkit will help you do that).
Do you have a public involvement strategy for the plan update process?			Decide how the public will be involved in the plan update process. Allow the public an opportunity to comment on the plan process and prior to plan approval.
Have public involvement activities taken place since the plan was adopted?			Document activities in the "planning process" section of the plan update
Are there new hazards that should be addressed?			Add new hazards to the risk assessment section
Have there been hazard events in the community since the plan was adopted?			Document hazard history in the risk assessment section
Have new studies or previous events identified changes in any hazard's location or extent?			Document changes in location and extent in the risk assessment section
Has vulnerability to any hazard changed?			Document changes in vulnerability in the risk assessment section
Have development patterns changed? Is there more development in hazard prone areas?			Document changes in vulnerability in the risk assessment section
Do future annexations include hazard prone areas?			Document changes in vulnerability in the risk assessment section
Are there new high risk populations?			Document changes in vulnerability in the risk assessment section
Are there completed mitigation actions that have decreased overall vulnerability?			Document changes in vulnerability in the risk assessment section
Did the plan document and/or address National Flood Insurance Program repetitive flood loss properties?			Document any changes to flood loss property status
Did the plan identify the number and type of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities in hazards areas?			1) Update existing data in risk assessment section, or 2) determine whether adequate data exists. If so, add information to plan. If not, describe why this could not be done at the time of the plan update
Did the plan identify data limitations?			If yes, the plan update must address them: either state how deficiencies were overcome or why they couldn't be addressed
Did the plan identify potential dollar losses for vulnerable structures?			1) Update existing data in risk assessment section, or 2) determine whether adequate data exists. If so, add information to plan. If not, describe why this could not be done at the time of the plan update
Are the plan goals still relevant?			Document any updates in the plan goal section
What is the status of each mitigation action?			Document whether each action is completed or pending. For those that remain pending explain why. For completed actions, provide a 'success' story.
Are there new actions that should be added?			Add new actions to the plan. Make sure that the mitigation plan includes actions that reduce the effects of hazards on both new and existing buildings.
Is there an action dealing with continued compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program?			If not, add this action to meet minimum NFIP planning requirements
Are changes to the action item prioritization, implementation, and/or administration processes needed?			Document these changes in the plan implementation and maintenance section
Do you need to make any changes to the plan maintenance schedule?			Document these changes in the plan implementation and maintenance section
Is mitigation being implemented through existing planning mechanisms (such as comprehensive plans, or capital improvement plans)?			If the community has not made progress on process of implementing mitigation into existing mechanisms, further refine the process and document in the plan.

Source: Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience, 2010.

Volume II: Hazard Annexes



Source: Range fire and snow removal at Aiken Elementary photos from Lt. Rich Harriman, Malheur County, personal communication, February 7, 2019

Introduction

Malheur County identifies eleven natural hazards that could have an impact on the County and the Cities, as described in Section 2 Risk Assessment and within these Hazard Annexes. Table HA-1 below is the same as Table 2-5; it summarizes the hazards and their risk scores and risk level.

Each hazard has a Hazard Annex. Note that the Severe Weather is listed as a separate hazard, but in the description of that hazard in these Hazard Annexes it includes dust storms, tornadoes, extreme temperatures, wind storms, rain storms, and winter storms. As determined by the Steering Committee, severe weather, wind, winter, and dust storms as well as extreme temperature have separately listed risk scores and risk levels. Rain was not scored separately.

The natural hazard identification and risk levels were assessed and ascertained by the Steering Committee; they play into the establishment and prioritization of mitigation actions. It is useful to keep in mind that knowing your hazards is the key to reducing the risk. Without knowing them, the ability to reduce risk is lessened and appropriate mitigation actions are difficult to establish. Mitigation actions for Malheur County and the Cities are in Section 3 Mitigation Strategy, Table 3.3.

Table HA-1 Natural Hazards, Risk Scores, and Risk Levels

HAZARD	RISK SCORE	RISK LEVEL (H-M-L)
Droughts	240	High
Winter Storms	226	High
Wind Storms	195	High-Medium
Floods	188	High-Medium
Wildfire	175	High-Medium
Severe Weather	165	High-Medium
Extreme Temperature	126	Medium
Volcanic Events	124	Medium
Dust Storms	116	Medium
Earthquakes	64	Low
Landslides	60	Low

Source: Malheur County (includes Nyssa, Vale and Ontario) NHMP Steering Committee, 2018.

These Hazard Annexes describe the characteristics, location, extent, history, and probability for each hazard addressed in the Malheur County NHMP. Probability and vulnerability are described and uses the OEM Methodology; see the full description of the OEM Methodology in Volume I, Section 2 Risk Assessment. The Risk Assessment and these Hazard Annexes comprise and provide a risk analysis and vulnerability assessment for the natural hazards identified by Malheur County. Additional

information pertaining to the types and characteristics of each natural hazard is available in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Region 8 Southeast Risk Assessment.

Notable changes from the 2014 NHMP to this 2019 NHMP for the Risk Assessment (see Volume I Section 2) and these Hazards Annexes include:

- The Hazard Annexes were significantly altered for clarity. Hazard identification, characteristics, history, probability, vulnerability, and hazard specific mitigation activities were updated. Extraneous information was removed and links to technical reports, studies, and data were added.
- Hazard Annexes include information for Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale (previously the Cities were in separate addenda).
- All hazard subsections have been reformatted to emphasize characteristics, location and extent, history, probability, and vulnerability.
- The addition of new hazard history events in all hazards.
- The addition of more extensive climate change information.
- Maps depicting hazard location and local vulnerability were added whenever available.
- Previously included statistics and information was updated with most current data.

Predicted Climate Variability

Temperatures increased across the Pacific Northwest by 1.3°F in the period 1895–2011 (the observed record). In that same timeframe, Cascade Mountain snowpacks have declined, and higher temperatures are causing earlier spring snowmelt and spring peak streamflows. In Oregon’s forested areas, large areas have been impacted by disturbances that include wildfire in recent years, and climate change is probably one major factor.

The state climate change information in the *2015 Oregon NHMP* indicates that hazards projected to be impacted by climate change in Malheur County include drought and wildfire. Climate models project warmer drier summers and a decline in mean summer precipitation for Oregon. Winter storms and wind storms also affect Malheur County. There is an increasing amount of research on how climate change influences these and other hazards in the Pacific Northwest.

As part of the PDM 16 grants, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) contracted with the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) to provide an analysis of climate change influences on natural hazards. The collaboration resulted in products which provide information regarding the influence and impacts of climate change on existing natural hazards events such as heavy rains, river flooding, droughts, heat waves, cold waves, wildfire, and air quality.

The products include:

- *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County (see Appendix F);*
- *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports (see Appendix F);*
- *Climate Change One-Pager; and*
- *Future Climate Change Projections to Support County Natural hazard Mitigation Planning in Oregon (webinar).*

The basis of the research prepared by OCCRI uses future climate projections that are derived from 10–20 global climate models and have been “downscaled”—made locally relevant. Several climate metrics that relate to natural hazards are being calculated for historical and mid-21st century periods

under two future emissions scenarios that result in varying future temperature increases for the State of Oregon.

Each county report describes county-specific projected changes in climate metrics related to the selected natural hazards. The reports present future climate projections for the 2020s (2010-2039 average) and the 2050s (2040-2069 average) compared to the 1971-2000 average historical baseline. Each hazard in the report has a box highlighting “key messages” that call out the main points of the research and analysis for that hazard.

Table HA-2 provides an overview of expected climate change impacts for Malheur County. The table shows the direction of change (increasing, decreasing, unchanging) and indicates the level of confidence in direction of change (high, medium, low).

According to the OCCRI reports:

- There is high confidence that heat waves will increase and that cold waves will decrease.
- There is medium confidence that heavy rains, river flooding, wildfire, droughts, prevalence of invasive species, and loss of wetland ecosystems will increase.
- There is low confidence that dust storms will decrease and poor air quality will increase.

The overview describes results for the natural hazards using climate metrics in summary and as a comparison. For more information see the OCCRI reports in Appendix F. Of note, the climate metrics used by OCCRI do not exactly match the natural hazards identified by Malheur County.

Table HA-2 Overview of Expected Climate Change Impacts for Malheur County

Heat Waves	↑↑	Heavy Rains	↑↑	Poor Air Quality	↑↑
Cold Waves	↓↓	River Flooding	↑↑	Dust Storms	↓↓
		Wildfire	↑↑		
		Droughts	↑↑		
		Increased Invasive Species	↑↑		
		Loss of Wetlands	↑↑		
Level of Confidence in Direction of Change			Expected Direction of Change		
	High Confidence		Risk Increasing		↑↑
	Medium Confidence		Risk Decreasing		↓↓
	Low Confidence		Risk Unchanging		=

Source: OCCRI, Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties, August 2018.

Risk Score: 240

Risk Level: High

DROUGHT HAZARD ANNEX

Causes and Characteristics of Drought

A drought is a period of drier than normal conditions that results in water-related problems.¹ In the most general sense, drought is defined as a deficiency of precipitation over an extended period of time (usually a season or more), resulting in a water shortage. The effects of this deficiency are often called drought impacts. Natural impacts of drought can be made worse by the demand that humans place on a water supply.² Drought is a temporary condition – it is seen in an interval of time, generally months or years, when moisture is consistently below normal.³ It differs from aridity, which is restricted to low rainfall regions and is a permanent feature of climate.⁴

The National Drought Mitigation Center (NDMC) categorizes drought into types: meteorological, agricultural, hydrological, socioeconomic, and ecological. The descriptions included below are largely excerpted from the definitions on the NDMC's website.⁵ Oregon's *Emergency Operations Plan* includes the *Incident Annex for Drought*; all the drought types except ecological are described in that document. The *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (2015 Oregon NHMP)* also includes all the drought types except ecological.

Meteorological Droughts

Meteorological droughts are defined in terms of the departure from a normal precipitation pattern and the duration of the event. These are region specific since the atmospheric conditions that result in deficiencies of precipitation are highly variable from region to region. This drought type may relate specific precipitation departures to average amounts on a monthly, seasonal, or yearly basis.

Agricultural Droughts

Agricultural drought links various characteristics of meteorological or hydrological drought to agricultural impacts, focusing on precipitation shortages, differences between actual and potential evapotranspiration, soil water deficits, and reduced groundwater or reservoir levels. Plant water demand depends on prevailing weather conditions, biological characteristics of the specific plant, its stage of growth, and the physical and biological properties of the soil. A good definition of

¹ Moreland, A. USGS, *Drought. Open File Report 93-642*, 1993, <https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ofr93642>.

² National Drought Mitigation Center, *Drought Basics*. <https://drought.unl.edu/Education/DroughtBasics.aspx>, accessed January 24, 2019.

³ 2014 Malheur County NHMP. <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

⁴ National Drought Mitigation Center, *Types of Drought*, <https://drought.unl.edu/Education/DroughtIn-depth/TypesofDrought.aspx>, accessed January 24, 2019.

⁵ Ibid.

agricultural drought accounts for the variable susceptibility of crops during different stages of crop development, from emergence to maturity.

Hydrological Droughts

Hydrological droughts refer to deficiencies in surface water and sub-surface water supplies. It is measured as stream flow, and as lake, reservoir, and ground water levels. When precipitation is reduced or deficient over an extended period of time, the shortage will be reflected in declining surface and sub-surface water levels. Hydrological droughts are usually out of phase with or lag the occurrence of meteorological and agricultural droughts. It takes longer for precipitation deficiencies to show up in components of the hydrological system such as soil moisture, streamflow, and groundwater and reservoir levels. As a result, these impacts are out of phase with impacts in other economic sectors. Also, water in hydrologic storage systems (e.g., reservoirs, rivers) is often used for multiple and competing purposes (e.g., flood control, irrigation, recreation, navigation, hydropower, wildlife habitat), further complicating the sequence and quantification of impacts. Competition for water in these storage systems escalates during drought and conflicts between water users increase significantly.

Socioeconomic Droughts

Socioeconomic definitions of drought associate the supply and demand of some economic good with elements of meteorological, hydrological, and agricultural drought. It differs from the aforementioned types of drought because its occurrence depends on the time and space processes of supply and demand to identify or classify droughts. The supply of many economic goods, such as water, forage, food grains, fish, and hydroelectric power, depends on weather. Because of the natural variability of climate, water supply is ample in some years but unable to meet human and environmental needs in other years. Socioeconomic drought occurs when the demand for an economic good exceeds supply as a result of a weather-related shortfall in water supply.

In most instances, the demand for economic goods is increasing as a result of increasing population and per capita consumption. Supply may also increase because of improved production efficiency, technology, or the construction of reservoirs that increase surface water storage capacity. If both supply and demand are increasing, the critical factor is the relative rate of change. Is demand increasing more rapidly than supply? If so, vulnerability and the incidence of drought may increase in the future as supply and demand trends converge.

Ecological Droughts

A more recent effort focuses on ecological drought, defined as "a prolonged and widespread deficit in naturally available water supplies — including changes in natural and managed hydrology — that create multiple stresses across ecosystems."

Oregon's Drought Planning and Monitoring

The State of Oregon's *Emergency Operations Plan* (EOP), dated April 2017, includes an *Incident Annex for Drought*, dated January 2016. The drought types included there are meteorological, agricultural, hydrological, and socioeconomic. The *Incident Annex for Drought* describes the way a drought is determined in Oregon. A brief description is included here.

“To trigger specific actions from the Water Resources Commission and the Governor, a “severe and continuing drought” must exist or be likely to exist. Oregon relies upon two inter-agency groups to evaluate water supply conditions, and to help assess and communicate potential drought-related impacts. The Water Supply Availability Committee (WSAC) is a technical committee chaired by the Water Resources Department. The other group—the Drought Readiness Council—is a coordinating body of state agencies co-chaired by the Water Resources Department and the Office of Emergency Management.”⁶

The WSAC utilizes the Surface Water Supply Index (SWSI)⁷. The SWSI is an index of current water conditions throughout the state. The index utilizes parameters derived from snow, precipitation, reservoir and streamflow data. The data is gathered each month from key stations in each basin. The lowest SWSI value, -4.1, indicates extreme drought conditions. The highest SWSI value, +4.1, indicates extreme wet conditions. The mid-point is 0.0, which indicates a normal water supply.⁸ Additional information can be found on the Natural Resource Conservation Service’s website; <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/or/snow/waterproducts/?cid=stelprdb1244919>.

The following are indicators used by the WSAC for evaluating drought conditions:

- Snowpack
- Precipitation
- Temperature anomalies
- Long range temperature outlook
- Long range precipitation outlook
- Current stream flows and behavior
- Spring and summer streamflow forecasts
- Ocean surface temperature anomalies (El Nino, La Nina)
- Storage in key reservoirs
- Soil and fuel moisture conditions
- NRCS Surface Water Supply Index.⁹

In the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (2015 Oregon NHMP)*, it states “Oregon has not undertaken a comprehensive statewide analysis to identify which communities are most vulnerable to drought. However, based on a review of drought declarations issued by the Governor, Malheur County could be considered one of the communities most vulnerable to drought and its related impacts.”¹⁰ Since 1992, Malheur County has been under an emergency drought declaration on ten occasions: 1992, 1994, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2007, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2018.

⁶ State of Oregon, *Emergency Operations Plan, Incident Annex for Drought*, April 2016, https://www.oregon.gov/oem/Documents/2015_OR_EOP_IA_01_drought.pdf.

⁷ 2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

⁸ Barry Norris, Administrator, Technical Services Division, Water Resources Department, Planning for Drought, 2001.

⁹ State of Oregon, *Emergency Operations Plan, Incident Annex for Drought*, April 2016, https://www.oregon.gov/oem/Documents/2015_OR_EOP_IA_01_drought.pdf.

¹⁰ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

Ranching, farming, and other agricultural activities greatly contribute to the economy of Malheur County. "Malheur County ranks fourth in the state for agricultural sales, with \$373 million in gross farm and ranch sales in 2012. Drought can have a significant impact on the agricultural community and associated businesses that rely on this industry."¹¹ Besides the economy, the *2015 Oregon NHMP* also describes impacts of droughts on the environment, population, infrastructure, critical/essential facilities, and state-owned and operated facilities.

See the History of Drought in Malheur County and Table DR-1 Significant Historic Drought Events for more details.

History of Drought in Malheur County and Oregon

Quantifying drought requires an objective criterion for defining the beginning and end of a drought period. The Palmer Drought Severity Index is most effective in determining long-term drought — e.g. several months — and is not as good with short-term forecasts, e.g. a matter of weeks.

As described in the *2015 Oregon NHMP*, "Most federal agencies use the Palmer Method which incorporates precipitation, runoff, evaporation, and soil moisture. However, the Palmer Method does not incorporate snowpack as a variable. Therefore, it does not provide a very accurate indication of drought conditions in Oregon and the Pacific Northwest, although it can be very useful because of its long-term historical record of wet and dry conditions."¹²

The Palmer Method or Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) indicates the prolonged and abnormal moisture deficiency or excess. It indicates general conditions and not local conditions caused by isolated rain. The PSDI is an important climatological tool for evaluating the scope, severity, and frequency of prolonged period of abnormally dry or wet weather. It can be used to delineate disaster areas and indicate the availability of irrigation water supplies, reservoir levels, range conditions, amount of stock water, and potential intensity of forest fires.¹³

The PDSI uses readily available temperature and precipitation data to estimate relative dryness. It is a standardized index that spans -10 (dry) to +10 (wet). As it uses temperature data and a physical water balance model, it can capture the basic effect of global warming on drought through changes in potential evapotranspiration. Monthly PDSI values do not capture droughts on time scales less than about 12 months;¹⁴ The PDSI uses a zero (0) as normal, and drought is shown in terms of

¹¹ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf


¹² Ibid.

¹³ Oregon Drought Conditions Map – May 13, 2017, <https://www.plantmaps.com/interactive-oregon-drought-conditions-map.php>

¹⁴ National Center for Atmospheric Research, *The Climate Data Guide: Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI)*, <https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/palmer-drought-severity-index-pdsi>

negative numbers; for example, negative two (-2.00) is moderate drought, negative three (-3.00) is severe drought, and negative four (-4.00) is extreme drought.¹⁵ See Figure DR-1.

Figure DR-1 Oregon Counties Palmer Drought Severity Index Map for January 2019



Source: West Wide Drought Tracker, Oregon – PDSI, <https://wrcc.dri.edu/wwdt/index.php?region=or>

Some Oregon droughts were especially significant during the period of 1928 to 1994. The period from 1928 to 1941 was a prolonged drought that caused major problems for agriculture. The only area spared was the northern coast, which received abundant rains in 1930-33. The three Tillamook burns (1933, 1939, and 1945) were the most significant results of this very dry period.¹⁶

During 1959-1962 stream flows were low throughout Eastern Oregon, but areas west of the Cascades had few problems. The driest period in Western Oregon was the summer following the benchmark 1964 flood. Low stream flows prevailed in Western Oregon during the period from 1976-81, but the worst year, by far, was 1976-77, the single driest year of the century. The Portland

¹⁵ 2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%20202014.pdf>.

¹⁶ 2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%20202014.pdf>.

airport received only 7.19 inches of precipitation between Oct. 1976 and Feb. 1977, only 31% of the average 23.16 inches for that period. The 1985-94 drought was not as severe as the 1976-77 drought in any single year, but the cumulative effect of ten consecutive years with mostly dry conditions caused statewide problems. The peak year of the drought was 1992, when a drought emergency was declared for all of Oregon. Forests throughout the state suffered from a lack of moisture. Fires were common and insect pests, which attacked the trees, flourished.¹⁷In 2001 and 2002 Oregon experienced drought conditions. These conditions were compounded by actions taken by the federal government in the Klamath Basin.

During the 2005 drought the Governor issued declarations for eight counties, all east of the Cascades, and the USDA issued three drought declarations, overlapping two of the Governor's. State declarations were made for Baker, Crook, Gilliam, Hood River, Klamath, Morrow, Sherman, and Umatilla counties. Federal declarations were made in Coos, Klamath, and Umatilla counties. Wheeler County made a county declaration. The USDA declarations provided access to emergency loans for crop losses.¹⁸

State declaration of drought conditions were made in various counties, including Malheur, throughout Oregon during 1988, 1991-1995, 1999, 2001, 2002-2005, 2007, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2018.

Table DR-I Significant Historic Drought Events

Date	Location	Description
1094-05	Statewide	Drought period of about 18 months.
1917-31	Statewide	Very dry period punctuated by brief wet spells (1920, 1927). The 1920s and 30s were commonly known as the Dust Bowl.
1939-41	Statewide	Three-year intense drought.
1959-1964	Eastern Oregon	Stream flows were low throughout eastern Oregon.
1965-68	Statewide	Three-year drought following the big regional floods of 1964-65.
1976-77	Statewide	EM-3039. Oregon Drought. Declared April 29, 1977. Brief very intense statewide drought. There were significant impacts to agriculture. Affected Lake County.
1988	Regions 7 and 8	Extreme drought for Malheur County.
1991	Statewide	Governor declared drought in 10 counties via several Executive Orders, including Harney (Executive Order 91-06).
1992	Statewide	Governor declared drought (Executive Order 92-21) in many counties, including Harney, Lake and Malheur, for the period of September through October.
1993	Lake County	Disaster loans made available for drought in Lake County.
1985-94	Statewide	Generally dry period, capped by statewide droughts in 1992 and 1994. In 1994, the Governor declared drought in 11 counties within regions 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8.
2001-2003	Statewide	Governor declared drought (Executive Order 01-12) from May 2001 through June 2003 (additional Executive Orders such as 01-05, 02-21, 04-03 and 03-05) in 18 counties including: Malheur, Harney, Lake, Hood River, Wasco, Sherman, and Gilliam.
2004	Eastern Oregon	Governor declared drought (Executive Orders) for Morrow, Baker, Klamath, and Malheur Counties.
2005	Several counties	Governor declared drought (Executive Orders) for Baker, Crook, Deschutes, Gilliam, Hood River, Klamath, Lake, Morrow, Sherman,

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ Ibid.

Date	Location	Description
		Umatilla, Wallowa, Wasco, and Wheeler Counties. Stream and river levels were low. Malheur County did not have a drought declaration in 2005.
2007	Several counties	Governor declared drought for Harney (Executive Order 07-10), Malheur (Executive Order 07-11), and Lake (07-16) County and three other counties (other Executive Orders).
2010	Region 6	Governor declared drought (Executive Order 10-03) for Klamath County and contiguous counties.
2012	Region 6	Governor declared drought (Executive Order 12-15) for Lake and Klamath Counties, specific to the Lost River Basin. Malheur County was included in the Federal Secretary of Agriculture Drought Declaration.
2013	Eastern Oregon	Five counties affected by drought declarations (Executive Orders 13-05, 13-06, 13-09): Gilliam, Morrow, Klamath, Baker, and Malheur.
2014	Regions 4, 6-8	Governor declared drought in 10 counties (via several Executive Orders). This was the third driest Nov.-Jan. period since 1895. State drought declarations: Baker, Crook, Grant, Harney, Jackson, Josephine, Klamath, Lake, Malheur and Wheeler counties. USDA drought disaster declarations: Baker, Benton, Coos, Crook, Curry, Deschutes, Douglas, Grant, Harney, Jackson, Jefferson, Josephine, Klamath, Lake (Ex Order 14-01), Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Malheur (Ex Order 14-01), Morrow, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa and Wheeler counties.
2015	Statewide	Governor declared drought for Harney County (Executive Order 15-03), Lake and Malheur Counties (Executive Order 15-02), and others (via other Executive Orders) in 2015.
2018	Malheur County	Governor declared drought in Malheur County (Executive Order 18-26).

Sources: University of Oregon, Malheur County NHMP, May 2014; DLCD, Oregon NHMP, 2015; FEMA, Disaster Declarations for Oregon, retrieved 2017. The Oregonian, http://www.oregonlive.com/weather/index.ssf/2014/09/oregon_drought_not_much_relief.html; Oregon Water Resources Department Public Declaration Report http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/wr/wr_drought/declaration_status_report.aspx, Haberman, Margaret (September 15, 2014). The Oregonian. http://www.oregonlive.com/weather/index.ssf/2014/09/oregon_drought_not_much_relief.html; Taylor and Hatton, 1999.

Risk Assessment

How are Hazards Identified?

The extent of the drought depends upon the degree of moisture deficiency, and the duration and size of the affected area. Typically, and as indicated in the History of Malheur County and Oregon, droughts occur as regional events and often affect more than one city and county. In severe droughts, environmental, infrastructure, critical/essential facilities, state-owned and operated facilities, population, and economic consequences can be significant.

Hazard Risk Analysis

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee completed a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment/Analysis (HVA) during this NHMP update. This was described in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The method used for the HVA was developed from a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) tool that has been refined by the Oregon Office of Emergency Management (OEM). It addresses and weights (shown as percent within parentheses) probability (29%), vulnerability (21%), maximum threat (42%) and the history (8%) of each natural hazard and attributes a final hazard analysis score. The methodology produces scores that range from 24 to 240.

For local governments, conducting the HVA is a useful step in planning for hazard mitigation. The method provides the jurisdiction with a relative ranking from which to prioritize mitigation actions, but does not predict the occurrence of a particular hazard.

In 2013 drought hazards had a risk score of 190 and a rank of 3 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 drought hazards had a risk score of 240 and a rank of 1 out of 11 natural hazards. In the methodology used to determine the risk score, there are 240 points possible. So drought scored every point available, in the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee's evaluation.

For more information on all the risk scores and ranks of the natural hazards, see Volume I Basic Plan, Section 2 Risk Assessment of this NHMP.

Probability Assessment

Oregon's drought history reveals many short-term and a few long-term events. The average recurrence interval for severe droughts in Oregon is somewhere between 8-12 years.¹⁹ According to the Probability section for drought that is within the *2015 Oregon NHMP*, "Drought is a normal, recurrent feature of climate, although many erroneously consider it a rare and random event. It is a temporary condition and differs from aridity because the latter is restricted to low rainfall regions and is a permanent feature of climate. It is rare for drought not to occur somewhere in North America each year. Despite impressive achievements in the science of climatology, estimating drought probability and frequency continues to be difficult. This is because of the many variables that contribute to weather behavior, climate change, and the absence of historic information."²⁰

Vulnerability Assessment

Droughts have effects on lake and river levels, which harms wildlife, farmers and ranchers. Its effect on forest is less obvious and can have a tremendous impact. For example, during extended periods of drought trees are weakened by water shortages and tree pests proliferate. Wildfires also often coincide with droughts. The severity of a drought occurrence poses a risk for agricultural and timber losses, property damage, and disruption of water supplies and availability in urban and rural areas. Factors used to assess drought risk include agricultural practices, such as crop types and varieties grown, soil types, topography, and water storage capacity (e.g. behind dams and in reservoirs).²¹

In droughts, environmental, infrastructure, critical/essential facilities, state-owned and operated facilities, population, and economic consequences can be significant. Dams and reservoirs are considered critical/essential facilities; these are discussed in the Flood Hazard Annex of this NHMP.

¹⁹ 2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>.

²⁰ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

²¹Water availability and precipitation are not always correlated; drought conditions affect regions differently than others due to available water supplies.

Community Hazard Issues

What is susceptible to damage during a hazard event?

Given the breadth of impacts identified in the Vulnerability Assessment as possibly resulting from drought, losses from a drought could be extensive and far-reaching. As described in Appendix F Future Climate Projections Reports, drought conditions represented by low spring snowpack are projected to become more frequent whereas drought conditions represented by low summer soil moisture and low summer runoff may become less frequent in Malheur County by the 2050s as compared to the historical baseline. Fiscal impacts to Malheur County were not available.

Drought is a normal, recurrent feature of climate, one experienced frequently in the arid high desert of southeastern Oregon. It is a temporary condition, but its effects can accumulate slowly and last from several months to several years, even well after the termination of the drought itself. Because of this characteristic of drought, it can be difficult to fully quantify the impact of drought upon communities. Additionally, estimating drought probability and frequency is difficult: Oregon lacks long historic databases for drought, many variables contribute to the weather behavior that causes drought, and different regions are affected to varying degrees of severity based on natural features and human infrastructure. Droughts can happen at any time of the year.


Winter droughts can have a profound impact on agriculture, particularly east of the Cascade Mountains. Also, below average snowfall in higher elevations has a far-reaching effect, especially in terms of hydroelectric power, irrigation, recreational opportunities and a variety of industrial uses. Drought is a particularly significant risk in Malheur County due to its limited annual rainfall and economic reliance on agriculture and ranching. Agriculture and ranching are heavily dependent on water supply and a complex network of irrigation systems and dams spread throughout the County. Malheur County is 9,926 square miles in size, with 94% of its land area in rangeland use. Rangeland, in addition to irrigated farmland (percentage unavailable), is susceptible to drought impacts.

Oregon climate Zone 9 occupies the southeast corner of the state and comprises the entirety of Malheur County. See Figure DR-1. With the exception of a few high-elevation mountain areas, Zone 9 receives low amounts of precipitation; the majority of the region averages less than 15 inches of precipitation per year. Valleys near the unincorporated communities of Rome and Burns Junction are the driest, averaging 7.62 and 8.04 inches per year, respectively.²²

Drought can affect all segments of a jurisdiction's population, particularly those employed in water-dependent activities (e.g., agriculture, hydroelectric generation, recreation, etc.). Also, domestic water-users may be subject to stringent conservation measures (e.g., rationing) and could be faced with significant increases in electricity rates. Facilities affected by drought conditions include irrigation systems, storage systems for potable water, sewage treatment facilities, water storage for firefighting, and hydroelectric generating plants (there are none in Malheur County but several on the Snake River just to the north of the county, which affects the region's power and water supply).

²² 2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%20202014.pdf>.

Figure DR-1 Map of Climatic Divisions



Source: NOAA, National Weather Service Climate Prediction

Center, https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/regional_monitoring/CLIM_DIVS/oregon.gif

There also are environmental consequences. A prolonged drought in forests promotes an increase of insect pests, which in turn, damage trees already weakened by a lack of water. A moisture-deficient forest or grassland constitutes a significant fire hazard (see the Wildfire Hazard Annex). In addition, drought and water scarcity add another dimension of stress to species listed pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973.

Most agricultural land in the county is irrigated, and in drought conditions irrigation pumping can be restricted to manage low flow conditions. The Owyhee Reservoir, created by Owyhee Dam, serves as the largest source of irrigation water for agricultural lands in the county. Reservoir capacity information is available in the Flood Hazard Annex. Ranching land (mostly BLM-managed), which comprises the majority of the county's land use, is not irrigated, and livestock depend on water sources (e.g. natural waterways, built reservoirs, and wells) that can disappear in drought conditions. Drought conditions have a negative impact on cattle ranching, and have impacted tourism in the county and the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge. Water-dependent activities, such as agriculture and ranging, are particularly vulnerable to droughts.

In addition to already described impacts, Malheur County may experience impacts such as:

- The County's irrigation system is generally functional, but much of its pumping equipment is old, and dirt canal walls are prone to collapse. Up to 30% of irrigation water is lost due to evaporation from open dirt canals. Several local organizations are working upgrade key dirt canals to enclosed pipeline to improve efficiency and reduce agricultural runoff into waterways.
- The County's irrigation system is divided into several irrigation districts and there is no one location for data on the entire system. The Malheur County Soil and Water

Conservation District would like to comprehensively map the irrigation network; this would help districts work more closely together to prioritize improvements (<http://malheurcoswcdorg.netfirms.com/swcd/>).

- Current off-stream water storage for agricultural use (reservoirs, basins) is insufficient in drought years.

CITY SPECIFIC DAMAGE

Ontario

Because of the predominance of ranching, farming and other agricultural activities as a major economic force in the City of Ontario, the economic impacts of drought are significant both for those individuals and for businesses, which support and are supported by the agricultural community. Countywide drought declarations apply to the County and the City of Ontario.

Nyssa

Because of the predominance of ranching, farming and other agricultural activities as a major economic force in the City of Nyssa, the economic impacts of drought are significant both for those individuals and for businesses, which support and are supported by the agricultural community. The City of Nyssa falls within the boundaries of Owyhee Irrigation District for irrigation water.

The City of Nyssa has had some municipal water shortages due to overuse in drought conditions, but water meters were installed in the early 1990s which allowed for more accurate usage billing and reduced overuse problems. The City also has two plans that deal with drought: the Water Conservation Plan and the Water Master Plan. Water curtailment measures have not been imposed since 1995. However, the City does have odd/ even watering days and a system designed to accommodate water decreases to their wells. Countywide drought declarations apply to the County and the City of Nyssa.

Vale

Because of the predominance of ranching, farming and other agricultural activities as a major economic force in the City of Vale, the economic impacts of drought are significant both for those individuals and for businesses, which support and are supported by the agricultural community.

The City falls within the boundaries of Warm Springs and Vale Irrigation Districts for irrigation water, but most agricultural activity takes place just outside of city limits. Several Warm Springs irrigation canals pass through the City. Countywide drought declarations apply to the County and the City of Vale.

Government Assistance when Droughts Occur

Once drought conditions have been established, Oregon communities may request government assistance. The mechanism to trigger federal or state assistance is contained in ORS 536.710.

“1) The Legislative Assembly finds that an emergency may exist when a severe, continuing drought results in a lack of water resources, thereby threatening the availability of essential services and jeopardizing the peace, health, safety and welfare of the people of Oregon.

(2) The Legislative Assembly finds it necessary in the event of an emergency described in subsection (1) of this section, to promote water conservation and to provide an orderly procedure to assure equitable curtailment, adjustment, allocation or regulation in the domestic, municipal and industrial use of water resources where more than one user is dependent upon a single source of supply."²³

Locally, farmers may apply for assistance only when the state has declared the County a disaster area. The process for such a declaration is as follows: local County Court has passes a resolution declaring the County to be in a "State of Drought Emergency," which is sent to the state Department of Agriculture for review. If the Department deems the County's production losses sufficient, it will request that the Governor designate the County a disaster area, making local farmers eligible for emergency loans and other assistance from the USDA Farm Service Agency. To receive assistance, farmers must provide documentation of crop losses and typical yields; additionally, they are only eligible for funds if this documentation reveals a 35% or greater loss in production due to drought.²⁴

Comprehensive cost estimates for droughts in Malheur County are not kept on record, but a county-wide drought declaration can incur \$500,000 – 5,000,000 dollars in disaster assistance payments for farmers from the USDA. Most farmers in the county do not carry drought insurance, according to the USDA Farm Service Agency.²⁵

Existing Hazard Mitigation Activities and Resources

Water Resources Commission, Water Supply Availability Committee, and the Drought Readiness Council

As described in the Oregon Drought Planning and Monitoring section, to trigger specific actions from the Water Resources Commission and the Governor, it must be likely that a severe and continuing drought will occur. There are two inter-agency groups that evaluate water supply conditions, and help assess and communicate potential drought-related impacts:

- The Water Supply Availability Committee (WSAC) is a technical committee chaired by the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD).
- The Drought Readiness Council is a coordinating body of state agencies co-chaired by the OWRD and the Office of Emergency Management (OEM).

See the State of Oregon's *Emergency Operations Plan, Incident Annex for Drought*, https://www.oregon.gov/oem/Documents/2015_OR_EOP_IA_01_drought.pdf.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (Malheur County)

Since 1935, the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) (previously the Soil Conservation Service) has provided leadership in a partnership effort to help America's private land owners and managers conserve their soil, water, and other natural resources. NRCS employees provide technical

²³ State of Oregon, ORS 536.710, <https://www.oregonlaws.org/ors/536.710>.

²⁴ 2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>.

²⁵ Ibid.

assistance based on sound science and suited to a customer's specific needs. They provide financial assistance for many conservation activities. Participation in the programs is voluntary. The NRCS has a service center located in Ontario.²⁶ There is a *NRCS Natural Resources Long Range Strategy in Malheur County, Oregon*. It was written in June 2010 and updated in March 2018.

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/or/contact/local/?cid=nrcs142p2_046133

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/PA_NRCSConsumption/download?cid...ext=pdf

Malheur County Emergency Management

The Malheur County Emergency Management Department maintains files on significant droughts that include County Court declarations of emergency and any other relevant information. Contact the Emergency Management Department for details.

<https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>

USDA Farm Service Agency in Malheur County

The Malheur County Farm Service Agency (FSA) is located in Ontario. It maintains records on how much drought disaster assistance it has paid to farmers impacted by drought conditions; records go back to 1992. FSA offers disaster assistance and low-interest loan programs to assist agricultural producers in their recovery efforts during qualifying natural disasters.

<https://www.fsa.usda.gov/>

State Natural Hazard Risk Assessment: Drought

The risk assessment in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* provides an overview of drought risk in Oregon and identifies the most significant droughts in Oregon's recorded history. It has overall state and regional information, and includes drought related mitigation actions for the entire

state. https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASState.pdf

National Drought Mitigation Center: Drought Monitor

On the National Drought Mitigation Center website there is a page called US Drought Monitor. It include a map and weekly summary of current drought conditions for each state in the US. There is an intensity and impacts scale that is used to indicate the severity level of conditions; there are five levels. There is also a section called data which provides a variety of statistics. You can select data each week such as percent of area, total area, percent of population and total population. Spatial scale choices include national, state, county and urban areas, and many more.

There is also a Drought Classification page on the website which includes the five levels of severity, and the types of systems used to classify and measure them: the Palmer Drought Severity Index, the

²⁶Natural Resources Conservation Service, <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/or/about/>

CPC Soil Moisture Model, the USGS Weekly Streamflow, the Standardized Precipitation Index, and the Objective Drought Indicator Blends.

<https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/>

Future Changing Conditions/ Climate Change

In the Malheur County NHMP, there are several locations that describe future changing conditions or climate change as it relates to the natural hazards that impact Malheur County. In the order of appearance in the NHMP: the Risk Assessment, the Hazards Annexes, and Appendix F contain this information. Within Appendix F there are two documents, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

Drought Mitigation Action Items

The drought mitigation actions have been identified by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee which includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP and the action item worksheets in Appendix A for a more detailed description of the mitigation actions.

In the HVA, drought had a risk score of 240 out of 240 points and is listed as a high risk level. Drought mitigation actions are identified as a high priority. There are two specific mitigation actions related to drought.

DR #1: “Cooperate and help the Malheur Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) maintain and continue in its countywide water assessment project.”

DR #2: “Support the Malheur, Owyhee, and Vale Irrigation Districts’ efforts ongoing efforts to convert dirt irrigation canals into pipes.”

There are seven multi-hazard mitigation actions for the NHMP and several of those include drought related mitigation actions, in conjunction with the other hazards. The multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority.

At the August 9, 2018 NHMP Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the HVA would be used as the way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The risk level rankings are in Table 2-5 in Section 2 Risk Assessment.

Risk Score: 64

Risk Level: Low

EARTHQUAKE HAZARD ANNEX

Causes and Characteristics of Earthquake

Earthquakes occur in Oregon everyday; every few years an earthquake is large enough for people to feel; and every few decades there is an earthquake that causes damage. Each year, the Pacific Northwest Seismic Network locates more than 1,000 earthquakes greater than magnitude 1.0 in Washington and Oregon. Of these, approximately two dozen are large enough to feel. These noticeable events offer a subtle reminder that the Pacific Northwest is an earthquake-prone region.

Seismic hazards pose a real and serious threat to many communities in Oregon, including Malheur County, requiring local governments, planners, and engineers to consider their community's safety. Currently, no reliable scientific means exists to predict earthquakes. Identifying seismic-prone locations, adopting strong policies and implementing measures, and using other mitigation techniques are essential to reducing risk from seismic hazards in Malheur County.¹

Oregon and the Pacific Northwest in general are susceptible to earthquakes from three sources: 1) shallow crustal fault – slippage events within the North American Plate; 2) deep intraplate events within the subducting Juan de Fuca Plate; and 3) the off-shore Cascadian Subduction Zone.²

Crustal Fault Earthquakes

Crustal fault earthquakes are the most common earthquakes and occur at relatively shallow depths of 6-12 miles below the surface.³ When crustal faults slip, they can produce earthquakes of magnitudes up to 7.0. Although most crustal fault earthquakes are smaller than 4.0 and generally create little or no damage, some of them can cause extensive damage. Crustal earthquakes occur in the North American plate at relatively shallow depths of 10–20 km (6–12 mi) below the surface. Earthquakes related to volcanic activity can also affect the region.

Deep Intraplate Earthquakes

Occurring at depths from 18 to 60 miles below the earth's surface in the subducting oceanic crust, deep intraplate earthquakes can reach magnitude 7.5.⁴ This type of earthquake is more common in the Puget Sound; in Oregon these earthquakes occur at lower rates and have none have occurred at a damaging magnitude.⁵ The February 28, 2001 earthquake in Nisqually, Washington was a deep

¹ Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team. 2012, *Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*. Salem, Oregon Office of Emergency Management.

² OPDR, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, July 2000, p. 8-8.

³ Madin, Ian P. and Zhenming Wang, *Relative Earthquake Hazard Maps Report*, DOGAMI, 1999.

⁴ OPDR, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, July 2000, p. 8-8.


⁵ Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team. 2012, *Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Oregon Office of Emergency Management.

intraplate earthquake. It produced a rolling motion that was felt from Vancouver, British Columbia to Coos Bay, Oregon and east to Salt Lake City, Utah.⁶

Subduction Zone Earthquakes

The Pacific Northwest is located at a convergent continental plate boundary, where the Juan de Fuca and North American tectonic plates meet. The two plates are converging at a rate of about 1.5 inches per year⁷. This boundary is called the Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ). It extends from British Columbia to northern California. See Figure EQ-1 for an illustration. Earthquakes are caused by the abrupt release of this slowly accumulated stress.

Figure EQ-1 Cascadia Subduction Zone



Source: Cascadia Region Earthquake Workgroup (2005), <http://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/ofr/O-05-05.pdf>

Although there have been no large recorded earthquakes along the offshore Cascadia Subduction Zone, similar subduction zones worldwide do produce "great" earthquakes with magnitudes of 8 or larger. Historic subduction zone earthquakes include the 1960 Chile earthquake (magnitude 9.5), the 1964 southern Alaska (magnitude 9.2) earthquakes, the 2004 Indian Ocean earthquake (magnitude 9.0) and the 2011 Tohoku earthquake. Returning to closer to home, geologic evidence shows that the Cascadia Subduction Zone has generated great earthquakes, most recently about 300 years ago.⁸ Large earthquakes also occur at the southern end of the Cascadia Subduction Zone (in northern California near the Oregon border) where it meets the San Andreas Fault system.

These earthquakes occur because the oceanic crust "sticks" as it is being pushed beneath the continent, rather than sliding smoothly. Over hundreds of years, large stresses build which are

⁶Hill, Richard, *Geo Watch Warning Quake Shook Portland 40 Years Ago*, The Oregonian. October 30, 2002.

⁷Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team. 2012. *Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Oregon Office of Emergency Management.

⁸ Ibid.

released suddenly in great earthquakes. Such earthquakes typically have a minute or more of strong ground shaking, and are quickly followed by numerous large aftershocks.

While all three types of earthquakes have the potential to cause major damage, subduction zone earthquakes pose the greatest danger. A major event could generate an earthquake with a magnitude of 9.0 or greater resulting in devastating damage and loss of life. Such earthquakes may cause great damage to the coastal area of Oregon as well as inland areas in western Oregon. Malheur County is unlikely to be directly affected by a subduction zone earthquake; however, it could be affected as populations of refugees flee eastward and supplies are staged in the area. It is estimated that shaking from a large subduction zone earthquake could last up to five minutes.⁹

The specific hazards associated with an earthquake are:

- ground shaking,
- ground shaking amplification,
- surface faulting,
- liquefaction and subsidence, and
- earthquake induced landslides and rockfalls.

Ground Shaking

Ground shaking is the motion felt on the earth's surface caused by seismic waves generated by the earthquake. Ground shaking is the primary cause of earthquake damage. The strength of ground shaking depends on the magnitude of the earthquake, the type of fault that is slipping, and distance from the epicenter (where the earthquake originates). Buildings on poorly consolidated and thick soils will typically see more damage than buildings on consolidated soils and bedrock.

Ground Shaking Amplification

Ground shaking amplification refers to the soils and soft sedimentary rocks near the surface that can modify ground shaking from an earthquake. Such factors can increase or decrease the amplification (i.e., strength) as well as the frequency of the shaking. The thickness of the geologic materials and their physical properties determine how much amplification will occur. Ground motion amplification increases the risk for buildings and structures built on soft and unconsolidated soils.

"Due to the amount of faulting in the area, [the 1999 Klamath Falls earthquake] is just business as usual for such a geologically active region. Historic evidence, combined with geologic evidence for large numbers of earthquakes in the prehistoric past, suggest that one or more earthquakes capable of damage (magnitude 4 – 6) hit south-central Oregon every few decades, so it pays to be prepared."

James Roddey, formerly with DOGAMI

Surface Faulting


Surface faulting are planes or surfaces in Earth materials along which failure occurs. Such faults can be found deep within the earth or on the surface. Earthquakes occurring from deep lying faults usually create only ground shaking.

⁹ OPDR, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, July 2000, p. 8-9.

An article published by DOGAMI and others in September 2018 describes a newly discovered fault zone on Mount Hood. In this article “The Mount Hood Fault Zone – Late Quaternary and Holocene Fault Features Newly Mapped with High-Resolution Lidar Imagery” the fault zone includes two faults, the Blue Ridge and the Twin Lakes Faults. According to this article, the discovery of “this active fault system is important for understanding the potential seismic threat for nearby communities.” The article states that based on the estimates of the earthquake capability, which are based on observations of average displacement and surface rupture, the fault could produce an earthquake of 6.5 or greater.

While it is distant from major population centers, the fault zone “poses serious seismic threat to the cities of Hood River, Odell, Parkdale, White Salmon, Stevenson, Cascade Locks, Government Camp, and the Villages at Mount Hood” as well as highway and rail transportation corridors in the Columbia Gorge, power generation facilities at Bonneville Dam, storage reservoirs, and the City of Portland’s drinking water system in Bull Run. Impacts of an earthquake along this fault could readily impact Malheur, Lake, and Harney Counties.¹⁰

Figure EQ-2 Map of Faults in Oregon Identified with Lidar



Source: Ian Madin, DOGAMI, personal communication, October 30, 2018


¹⁰ Madin, Ian, Ashley Streig, William J. Burns, and Lina Ma. *The Mount Hood Fault Zone – Late Quaternary and Holocene Fault Features Newly mapped with High-Resolution Lidar Imagery.*

Liquefaction and Subsidence

Liquefaction occurs when ground shaking causes wet, granular soils to change from a solid state into a liquid state. This results in the loss of soil strength and the soil's ability to support weight. When the ground can no longer support buildings and structures (subsidence), buildings and their occupants are at risk. The severity of an earthquake is dependent upon factors including: 1) the distance from the earthquake's source (or epicenter); 2) the ability of the soil and rock to conduct the earthquake's seismic energy; 3) the degree (i.e., angle) of slope materials; 4) the composition of slope materials; 5) the magnitude of the earthquake; and 6) the type of earthquake.¹¹

In Figure EQ-3, the most current information about the susceptibility of soils to liquefaction is shown for Malheur, Harney, and Lake Counties (Bill Burns, DOGAMI, personal communication, December 13, 2018). In Figure EQ-6, included later in this Earthquake Annex, the liquefaction of Malheur County is shown with susceptibility categories of high, moderate, and low.

Figure EQ-3 Map of Relative Liquefaction Susceptibility Hazard for Lake, Harney, and Malheur Counties



Source: Burns, et al, 2007. Unpublished Report. *Geologic Hazards, Earthquake and Landslide Hazard Maps, and Future Earthquake Damage and Loss Estimates for three Counties in the southeastern Region Including Lake, Malheur, and Harney*. DOGAMI Open File Report.

¹¹ Burns, et al, 2007. Unpublished Report. *Geologic Hazards, Earthquakes and Landslide Hazard Maps, and Future Earthquake Damage and Loss Estimates for three Counties in the southeastern Region including Lake, Malheur, and Harney*. DOGAMI Open File Report.

Earthquake-Induced Landslides and Rockfalls

Earthquake-induced landslides are secondary hazards that occur from ground shaking and can destroy roads, buildings, utilities and critical facilities necessary to recovery efforts after an earthquake. Some Malheur County communities are built in areas with steep slopes. These areas often have a higher risk of landslides and rockfalls triggered by earthquakes. As noted in “Liquefaction and Subsidence” the severity of an earthquake is dependent upon several factors.

History of Earthquakes in Oregon and Malheur County

The Pacific Northwest has experienced major earthquakes in 1949 (magnitude 7.1), 1962 (magnitude 5.2), and 2001 (magnitude 6.8). Table EQ-1 shows the date, location, size, and description of selected earthquakes that have occurred in Oregon and Washington.

All of Oregon west of the Cascades is at risk from the three earthquake types and associated hazards. East of the Cascades the earthquake hazard is predominately of the crustal type. No deep intraplate earthquakes have occurred in Oregon at a recordable magnitude. A subduction zone earthquake is anticipated to occur off the Oregon and Washington coasts in the next 50 years, as described below in the “Probability Assessment.” The amount of earthquake damage at any place will depend on its distance from the epicenter, local soil conditions, and types of construction. Due to Oregon’s relatively short written history and the infrequent occurrence of severe earthquakes, few Oregon earthquakes have been recorded in writing.

The Malheur County region has been historically shaken by crustal and intraplate earthquakes centered on the area. In the past century, there have been no reported damage or injuries in Malheur County due to earthquakes. However, several significant earthquake events have occurred in southwestern Oregon in the past 150 years. Details concerning these events are highlighted below. Figure EQ-4 shows selected earthquakes in the Malheur County region from 1989-2013.

Malheur County must consider seismic activity in Oregon and the crustal seismic activity coming from Idaho: in 1983 the 6.9-magnitude Borah Peak earthquake occurred in Idaho and resulted in damage in Vale and Ontario. In addition, the following Idaho events were felt in Malheur County:

- July 12, 1944: Central Idaho hit by an earthquake also felt in Oregon.
- May 12, 1916: Boise hit by an earthquake also felt in Oregon.
- November 11, 1905: A shock in southern Idaho is felt in Oregon.

The 2004 Jordan Valley Swarm

In 2004, Jordan Valley and the vicinity experienced an earthquake swarm. From Idaho Disaster Services: “Boise State University seismologist James Zollweg has reported a swarm of small earthquakes near Antelope Reservoir southwest of Jordan Valley, Oregon. The swarm began April 22, and over 100 events have been recorded so far, with the largest at Magnitude 3.6. While not large enough to be damaging, the activity has not been observed before, and only a few isolated small events have been recorded in the last 20 years. There are several active faults in the area which seismologists believe are capable of producing damaging earthquakes, so we are monitoring the situation.” These events occurred without local monitoring equipment. Since this occurrence, USGS has installed monitoring equipment, as the swarm originated beneath Antelope Reservoir and is thus a potential concern for the Antelope Reservoir dam.

Table EQ-I Significant Historic Earthquakes

Date	Location	Size (M)	Description
Approximate years: 1400 BCE*, 1050 BCE, 600 BCE, 400. 750, 900	Offshore Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ)	Probably 8.0-9.0	Based on studies of earthquake and tsunami at Willapa Bay, Washington. These are the mid-points of the age ranges for these six events.
Jan. 1700	CSZ	About 9.0	On January 26, 1700, an approximately 9.0 earthquake generated a tsunami that struck Oregon, Washington, and Japan. Destroyed Native American villages along the coast.
Nov. 1873	Brookings, OR	7.3	Impacts: chimneys fell in Port Orford, Grants Pass, and Jacksonville; no aftershocks; origin probably in the Gorda block of the Juan de Fuca plate; intraplate event.
Oct. 1897	Gresham, OR	6.7	Occurred on October 12, 1897.
Feb. 1892	Portland, OR	5.6	Occurred on February 4, 1892.
Mar. 1893	Umatilla, OR	5.7	Occurred on March 7, 1893.
Apr. 1906	North of Lakeview, OR	V	Three felt aftershocks.
May 1916	Richland, WA	5.7	Earthquake on May 13, 1916 centered on Richland, WA.
Apr. 1920	Fort Klamath, OR	5.0	Three shocks felt at Fort Klamath; the center was probably in the vicinity of Crater Lake.
Jan. 1923	Lakeview, OR	VI	
Jul. 1936	Milton-Freewater, OR	6.1	The earthquake occurred on July 16, 1936. There were two foreshocks and many aftershocks felt. Damages were approximately \$100,000 (1936 dollars).
Apr. 1949	Olympia, WA	7.1	Significant damage in Washington, including eight deaths. Minor damage in NW Oregon.
Jan. 1951	Hermiston, OR	V on the Modified Mercalli Intensity	Damage unknown.
Dec. 1953	Portland, OR	5.6	Occurred on December 16, 1953.
Nov. 1962	Vancouver, WA	5.5	Occurred on November 5, 1962. Centered in Vancouver and felt in the metro area, including Portland.
Oct. 1964	Portland, OR	5.3	Occurred on October 1, 1964. Earthquake on Sauvie Island in the Columbia River
Apr. 1965	Seattle-Tacoma, WA	6.5	3 people killed. Only felt shaking in Multnomah County.
May 1968	Near Lakeview, OR	5.1	Occurred on May 30, 1968 and lasted through July, decreasing in intensity. Earthquake near the Adel-Warner Lakes in south central Oregon. Largest of the tremors was 5.1.
Apr. 1976	Near Maupin, OR	4.8	Sounds described as distant thunder, sonic booms, and strong wind.
Feb. 1981	Mt. St. Helens, WA	5.5	Occurred on February 13, 1981. Centered near Mt. St. Helens and shook the Portland area.
Apr. 1992	Cape Mendocino, CA	7.0	Subduction earthquake at the triple junction of the Cascadia Subduction Zone, San Andreas, and Mendocino faults.
Mar. 1993	Scotts Mills, OR	5.6	DR-985. On Mt. Angel-Gales Creek fault. \$30 million damage (including Oregon Capitol Building in Salem). Magnitude 5.6 centered near Woodburn occurred on March 23, 1993.
Sep. 1993	Klamath Falls, OR	6.0	DR-1004. Two earthquakes in Klamath Falls, 2 people killed. Occurred on September 20, 1993. Magnitude 6.0 centered 10 mi NW of Klamath Falls and caused damaged to the courthouse and county offices. Magnitude 5.9 centered 15 mi NW of Klamath Falls closed highways and bridges.
Apr. 1999	Christmas Valley, OR	3.8	On April 28 this earthquake was felt and recorded. Damage unknown.
Apr. 1999	Christmas Valley, OR	1.9-3.0	At least 6 earthquakes occurred in the area.
Feb. 2001	Nisqually, WA	6.8	Felt in the region. No damage reported.
Jun. 2004	SE of Lakeview, OR	4.4	On June 30 this earthquake was felt and recorded. Damage unknown.
Jun. 2004	SE of Lakeview, OR	1.9-3.9	At least 20 earthquakes occurred in the area.

*BCE: Before the Common Era.

Sources: Wong and Bolt, 1995; University of Oregon, Malheur County NHMP, May 2014; DLCD, Oregon NHMP, 2015; FEMA, Disaster Declarations for Oregon, retrieved 2017.

Risk Assessment

How are Hazards Identified?

The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI), in partnership with other state and federal agencies, has undertaken a rigorous program in Oregon to identify seismic hazards, including active fault identification, bedrock shaking, tsunami inundation zones, ground motion amplification, liquefaction, and earthquake induced landslides. DOGAMI has published a number of seismic hazard maps that are available for Oregon communities to use. The maps show liquefaction, ground motion amplification, landslide susceptibility, and relative earthquake hazards.

DLCD and Malheur County used the DOGAMI Statewide Geohazards Viewer to create maps of:

- Figure EQ-4 Malheur County Historical Earthquake,
- Figure EQ-5 Malheur County Expected Shaking,
- Figure EQ-6 Malheur County Liquefaction Susceptibility, and
- Figure EQ-7 Malheur County Fault Lines.

The extent of the damage to structures and injury and death to people will depend upon the type of earthquake, proximity to the epicenter and the magnitude and duration of the event. As the maps indicate, the predominant risks for the County lie in the southwestern portion of the County away from populated areas and near geographic center of the County.

Hazard Risk Analysis

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee completed a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment/Analysis (HVA) during this NHMP update. This was described in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The method used for the HVA was developed from a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) tool that has been refined by the Oregon Office of Emergency Management (OEM). It addresses and weights (shown as percent within parentheses) probability (29%), vulnerability (21%), maximum threat (42%) and the history (8%) of each natural hazard and attributes a final hazard analysis score. The methodology produces scores that range from 24 to 240.

For local governments, conducting the HVA is a useful step in planning for hazard mitigation. The method provides the jurisdiction with a relative ranking from which to prioritize mitigation actions, but does not predict the occurrence of a particular hazard.

In 2013 earthquake hazards had a risk score of 72 and a rank of 7 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 earthquake hazards had a risk score of 64 and a rank of 10 out of 11 natural hazards.

For more information on all the risk scores and ranks of the natural hazards, see Volume I Basic Plan, Section 2 Risk Assessment of this NHMP.

See Appendix H Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios for details about simulated scenarios and the results. Some information below has been excerpted from this prepared by DOGAMI unpublished 2007 report and included here in this Earthquake Annex. The report includes two HAZUS-MH scenarios: Malheur Arbitrary Crustal M6.9 and 2500 Year Probably

Scenario M6.5 Driving. The report includes inventories of: buildings; critical facilities; and transportation and utilities. The damage assessment is listed in the categories of direct damage, induced damage, social impact, and economic loss.

Probability Assessment

The DOGAMI report does not explain why these HAZUS-MH probabilistic scenarios were chosen. Nonetheless, the report from 2007 provides useful information, described briefly in the Vulnerability Assessment. Here, we turn to other sources for the probability of earthquake occurrence.

Paleoseismic studies along the Oregon coast indicate that the state has experienced seven Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ) events possibly as large as M9 in the last 3,500 years. These events are estimated to have an average recurrence interval between 500 and 600 years, although the time interval between individual events ranges from 150 to 1,000 years. The last CSZ event occurred approximately 300 years ago. Scientists estimate the chance in the next 50 years of a great subduction zone earthquake is between 10 and 20 percent, assuming that the recurrence is on the order of 400 +/- 200 years (Oregon Geology, Volume 64, No. 1, Spring 2002).¹²

New research from Oregon State University suggests that the CSZ has at least four segments that sometimes rupture independently of one another. Magnitude-9 ruptures affecting the entire subduction zone have occurred 19 times in the past 10,000 years. Over that time, shorter segments have ruptured farther south in Oregon and Northern California, producing magnitude-8 quakes. As such, the risks of a subduction zone earthquake may differ from north to south. Earthquakes originating in the northern portion of the CSZ tend to rupture the full length of the subduction zone. In southern Oregon and Northern California, quakes along the subduction zone appear to strike more frequently.¹³

In August 2016, new analysis about CSZ earthquakes, from Oregon State University, was published. The analysis suggests that CSZ earthquakes affecting more heavily populated areas are slightly more frequent than previously thought. These findings show the chances of an earthquake in the next 50 years have increased. "For central and northern Oregon, the chance of a seismic event during that period has been changed to 15-20 percent instead of 14-17 percent. In the zone area within Washington and British Columbia, the chance of an event has increased to 10-17 percent from 8-14 percent."¹⁴

According to Chris Goldfinger of OSU,

These new results are based on much better data than has been available before, and reinforce our confidence in findings regarding the potential for major earthquakes on the Cascadia Subduction

¹² Oregon Geology, Volume 64, No. 1, Spring 2002,

¹³ Rojas-Burke, Joe, *Predicting the next Northwest mega-quake still a struggle for geologists*, The Oregonian. April 20, 2010.

¹⁴ Meny, E. (2016, August 5). *Subduction zone earthquakes more frequent than originally thought, OSU finds*. KVAL-TV. Retrieved from <http://kval.com/news/local/osu-researchers-find-subduction-zone-earthquakes-more-frequent-than-originally-thought>

Zone, especially the northern parts. The frequency, although not the intensity, of earthquakes there appears to be somewhat higher than we previously estimated.¹⁵

Establishing a probability for crustal earthquakes is more difficult. There have been two earthquakes above magnitude 4 near the Malheur County region (but predominately north of the county and populated areas). Oregon's seismic record is short and the number of earthquakes above a magnitude 4 centered in the southeastern Oregon region is small. Therefore, any kind of prediction would be questionable. Earthquakes generated by volcanic activity in Oregon's Cascade Range are possible, but likewise unpredictable.

Vulnerability Assessment

The effects of earthquakes span a large area. The degree to which earthquakes are felt, however, and the damages associated with them may vary. At risk from earthquake damage are unreinforced masonry buildings, bridges built before earthquake standards were incorporated into building codes, sewer, water, and natural gas pipelines, petroleum pipelines, and other critical facilities and private property located within the county. The areas that are particularly vulnerable to potential earthquakes in Malheur County have been identified as those with soft, alluvial sediments and lands along stream channels, which appear in an around the cities of Vale and Ontario; see Figure EQ-6 Expected Soft Soil Hazards for more information.

Earthquake damage to roads and bridges can be particularly serious by hampering or cutting off the movement of people and goods and disrupting the provision of emergency response services. Such effects in turn can produce serious impacts on the local and regional economy by disconnecting people from work, home, food, school and needed commercial, medical and social services. A major earthquake can separate businesses and other employers from their employees, customers, and suppliers thereby further hurting the economy. Malheur County is less susceptible to being isolated, unlike other areas of Oregon, due to its location along Highways 20, 26, 30, 95, 76 and I-84, which run through multiple locations in the county. It should be stated that following an earthquake event, the cleanup of debris can be a huge challenge for the community.

As mentioned previously, Appendix H Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios has details about two simulated scenarios and the results: Malheur Arbitrary Crustal M6.9 and 2500 Year Probably Scenario M6.5 Driving. Both are described below.

Malheur Arbitrary Crustal M6.9: The damage assessment is listed in the categories of direct damage, induced damage, social impact, and economic loss. The information below is excerpted from the unpublished 2007 DOGAMI report.

In the direct damage category:

HAZUS estimated that 4,290 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. That is over 45% of the buildings in the region. There will be an estimated 1,187 buildings that will be damaged beyond repair. HAZUS estimated that only one bed in the only hospital in Malheur County would be available for use. After one week the estimate is 12% of the beds and after 30 days 48% of the beds

¹⁵ Ibid.

will be available. The transportation and utility lifeline damage is shown in multiple tables with damage to the transportation system, utility system, pipelines, potable water, and electric power.

In the induced earthquake damage category:

There are two categories: fire following earthquake and debris generation. Fires often occur after an earthquake. HAZUS estimated that fires will displace 38 people and burn 1 million dollars of building value. HAZUS estimated the amount of two categories of debris: brick and wood, and concrete and steel. In the report, the total amount of debris is not listed but it does state that brick and wood will comprise 42% and the remainder will be concrete and steel.

In the social impact category:

HAZUS estimates that 1,224 households would be expected to be displaced from their homes. Of these, 347 people out of a total population of 31,615 will seek temporary shelter in public shelters. HAZUS estimates the number of injuries and casualties from the earthquake in four levels of severity and at three times of day.

In the economic loss category:

HAZUS estimates the total economic loss from the earthquake to be 604.42 million dollars, which includes building and lifeline related losses. The building losses are described in two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. Building direct losses were 453.47 million dollars. 64% of the total loss was from residential occupancies. Transportation system losses include those from highways, railways, light rail, bus, ferry, ports, and airports. Utility system losses include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, oil systems, electrical power, and communication. There is also a table about indirect economic impact with outside aid.

2500 Year Probably Scenario M6.5 Driving: The damage assessment is listed in the categories of direct damage, induced damage, social impact, and economic loss. The information below is excerpted from the unpublished 2007 DOGAMI report.

In the direct damage category:

HAZUS estimates that about 2,151 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. That's over 23% of the buildings in the region. 297 buildings will be damaged beyond repair. The one hospital in the county will be damaged such that 27% beds will be available for use immediately, 59% will be available after one week, and after 30 days there will be 91% of the beds available. The transportation and utility lifeline damage is shown in multiple tables with damage to the transportation system, utility system, pipelines, potable water, and electric power.

In the induced earthquake damage category:

There are two categories: fire following earthquake and debris generation. Fires often occur after an earthquake. HAZUS estimated that fires will displace 33 people and burn 1 million dollars of building value. HAZUS estimated the amount of two categories of debris: brick and wood, and concrete and steel. In the report, the total amount of debris is not listed but it does state that brick and wood will comprise 46% and the remainder will be concrete and steel.

In the social impact category:

HAZUS estimates that 357 households to be displaced from their homes. Of that, 99 people out of a total population of 31,615 will seek temporary shelter in public shelters. HAZUS estimates the number of injuries and casualties from the earthquake in four levels of severity and at three times of day.

In the economic loss category:

HAZUS estimates the total economic loss from the earthquake to be 210.09 million dollars, which includes building and lifeline related losses. The building losses are described in two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. Building direct losses were 143.37 million dollars. 68% of the total loss was from residential occupancies. Transportation system losses include those from highways, railways, light rail, bus, ferry, ports, and airports. Utility system losses include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, oil systems, electrical power, and communication. There is also a table about indirect economic impact with outside aid.

Building Collapse Potential

In 2007, DOGAMI completed a rapid visual screening (RVS) of educational and emergency facilities in communities across Oregon, as directed by the Oregon Legislature in Senate Bill 2 (2005). RVS is a technique used by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), known as FEMA 154, to identify, inventory, and rank buildings that are potentially vulnerable to seismic events. DOGAMI surveyed 30 facilities in Malheur County. DOGAMI scored each building with a ‘low,’ ‘moderate,’ ‘high,’ or ‘very high’ potential of collapse in the event of an earthquake. It is important to note that these rankings represent a probability of collapse based on limited observed and analytical data and are therefore approximate rankings.¹⁶

To fully assess a building’s potential of collapse, a more detailed engineering study completed by a qualified professional is required, but the RVS study can help to prioritize which buildings to retrofit. There has not been a new study since 2007, so the same table that was included in the 2014 Malheur County NHMP is included again in this updated NHMP. See Table EQ-2, Rapid Visual Survey Scores. In the table, note that of the school facilities evaluated by DOGAMI using RVS, five buildings have high (greater than 10% chance) collapse potential; 23 buildings have very high (100% chance) collapse potential.

Malheur County and the Cities have opted to consolidate the 2014 mitigation actions related to the facilities that have a “high” or “very high” ratings in the table. For the 2018-19 NHMP, the County and the Cities have established one mitigation action for earthquakes – See Table 3-3. It involves review of the Critical Infrastructure List; the list will be evaluated and a priority will be determined for seismic retrofits or replacements of buildings. Malheur County recognizes the buildings are located throughout the County and that all of them can play a key role in disasters events during the event and during long-term recovery.

The Steering Committee agreed that prioritization is important with limited budgets. Including the Critical Infrastructure List in the County and Cities strategic plans would keep this as an important action. Also, they noted earthquake hazards are listed as a low risk level and many other natural hazards were identified with higher risk scores and risk levels.

¹⁶ State of Oregon Department of Geologic and Mineral Industries, *Implementation of 2005 Senate Bill 2 Relating to Public Safety, Seismic Safety and Seismic Rehabilitation of Public Building*, May 22, 2007, Open File Report 0-07-02.

Table EQ-2 Rapid Visual Survey Scores

Facility	Level of Collapse Potential			
	Low (< 1%)	Moderate (>1%)	High (>10%)	Very High (100%)
Adrian Elementary School				X
Adrian RFPD			X	
Jordan Valley VFD	X			
Nyssa High School	X	X		X
Nyssa Middle School				X
Nyssa Elementary School	X			
Nyssa City Police Department				X
Nyssa Fire Department				X
Aiken Elementary School	X, X			
Alameda Elementary School				X
Cairo Elementary School		X		
May Roberts Elementary School	X			X
Ontario High School				X, X, X
Ontario Middle School	X	X, X, X	X, X	
Pioneer Elementary School	X		X	X
Holy Rosary Medical Center - Ontario	X			
Ontario Fire Department				X
Ontario Police Department				X
Barber Hall Administration Building				X
Easley Memorial Gymnasium				X
Four Rivers Cultural Center and Museum	X			
Malheur Dormitory	X			
Weese Building				X
Oregon Trail Building				X
Tech Lab Building				X
Vale High School	X		X	X
Vale Middle School				X, X
Vale Elementary School	X	X		X, X
Vale Fire Department	X			
Malheur County Sheriff	X			

Source: DOGAMI 2007. Open File Report 0-07-02. Statewide Seismic Needs Assessment Using Rapid Visual Assessment. <http://www.oregongeology.org/sub/projects/rvs/county/county-malheur.htm>, accessed September 05, 2013.

Community Hazard Issues

Earthquake damage occurs because humans have built structures that cannot withstand severe shaking. Buildings, airports, schools, and lifelines (highways, phone lines, gas, water, etc.) suffer damage in earthquakes and can ultimately result in death or injury to humans. Listed below are the top earthquake concerns followed by more general issues:

- Some of the County and Cities' critical infrastructure is built from unreinforced masonry, the most vulnerable building material to earthquake damage. Mitigation action EQ #1 refers to the Critical Infrastructure List prepared for the 2018-2019 NHMP; it requires

the communities to evaluate the list and determine the priority buildings for seismic retrofits or replacements. Also, to include the Critical Infrastructure List in the County and the Cities strategic plans.

- Providing the community with information on protecting one's home and property in the event of an earthquake could help spread awareness and increase knowledge.
- Antelope Reservoir was the site of seismic activity in 2004; little is known about its ability to withstand future activity.

Death and Injury

Death and injury can occur both inside and outside of buildings due to falling equipment, furniture, debris, and structural materials. Likewise, downed power lines or broken water and gas lines endanger human life. Death and injury are highest in the afternoon when damage occurs to commercial and residential buildings and during the evening hours in residential settings.¹⁷

Building and Home Damage

Wood structures tend to withstand earthquakes better than structures made of brick or unreinforced masonry buildings.¹⁸ Building construction and design play a vital role in the survival of a structure during earthquakes. Damage can be quite severe if structures are not designed with seismic reinforcements or if structures are located atop soils that liquefy or amplify shaking. Whole buildings can collapse or be displaced.

Bridge and Damage

All bridges can sustain damage during earthquakes, leaving them unsafe for use. More rarely, some bridges have failed completely due to strong ground motion. Bridges are a vital transportation link; damage to them can make some areas inaccessible.

Because bridges vary in size, materials, siting, and design, earthquakes will affect each bridge differently. Bridges built before the mid 1970's often do not have proper seismic reinforcements. These bridges have a significantly higher risk of suffering structural damage during a moderate to large earthquake. Bridges built in the 1980's and after are more likely to have the structural components necessary to withstand a large earthquake.¹⁹

2001 Nisqually Earthquake

A 6.8 magnitude earthquake centered southwest of Seattle struck on February 28, 2001, followed by a mild aftershock the next morning, and caused more than \$1 billion worth of damage. Despite this significant loss, the region escaped with relatively little damage for two reasons: the depth of the quake center and preparations by its residents. Washington initiated a retrofitting program in 1990 to strengthen bridges, while regional building codes mandated new structures withstand certain amounts of movement. Likewise, historic buildings have been voluntarily retrofitted with earthquake-protection reinforcements.

Source: "Luck and planning reduced Seattle quake damage", CNN Report, March 1, 2001

¹⁷ OPDR, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, (July 2000).

¹⁸ Wolfe, Myer, et al. *Land Use Planning for Earthquake Hazard Mitigation: A Handbook for Planners*, Special Publication 14, Natural Hazards Research and Applications Information Center.

¹⁹ University of Washington, www.geophys.washington.edu/SEIS/PNSN/INFO_GENERAL/faq.html#3.

Damage to Lifelines

Lifelines are the connections between communities and critical services. They include water and gas lines, transportation systems, electricity, and communication networks. Ground shaking and amplification can cause pipes to break open, power lines to fall, roads and railways to crack or move, and radio or telephone communication to cease. Disruption to transportation makes it especially difficult to bring in supplies or services. Lifelines need to be usable after an earthquake to allow for rescue, recovery, and rebuilding efforts and to relay important information to the public. Section 2 Risk Assessment includes this information specific to Malheur County and the Cities; see Table 2-7, Essential/Critical Facilities, Critical Infrastructure, and Lifelines.



Image of damage from the 2001 Nisqually earthquake near Seattle

Disruption of Critical Facilities and Infrastructure

Critical facilities sometimes referred to as essential facilities, are police stations, fire stations, hospitals, and shelters. These are facilities that provide services to the community and need to be functional after an earthquake event. The earthquake effects outlined above can cause emergency response to be disrupted.²⁰ Section 2 Risk Assessment includes Table 2-7, Essential/Critical Facilities, Critical Infrastructure, and Lifelines.

Economic Loss: Equipment and Inventory Damage, Lost Income

Seismic activity can cause great loss to businesses, either a large-scale corporation or a small retail shop. Losses not only result in rebuilding cost, but fragile inventory and equipment can be destroyed. When a company is forced to stop production for just a day, business loss can be tremendous. Residents, businesses, and industry all suffer temporary loss of income when their source of finances are damaged or disrupted.

Fire

Downed power lines or broken gas mains can trigger fires. When fire stations suffer building or lifeline damage, quick response to quench fires is less likely.

Debris

After damage occurs to a variety of structures, much time is spent cleaning up brick, glass, wood, steel or concrete building elements, office and home contents, and other materials.

CITY SPECIFIC DAMAGE

Information on specific buildings' estimated seismic resistance, determined by DOGAMI in 2007, is available on the DOGAMI "Statewide Seismic Needs Assessment using Rapid Visual Screening"

²⁰ *Earthquake Damage in Oregon: Preliminary Estimates of Future Earthquake Losses.*

webpage (<http://www.oregongeology.org/sub/projects/rvs/>). Table EQ-2 lists those buildings. There is one earthquake specific mitigation action in this update to the Malheur County NHMP.

Ontario

The concentration of residents and infrastructure within Ontario city limits is greater than anywhere else in Malheur County. Much of the City of Ontario's critical infrastructure, including city hall, several local schools, public works facilities, and hospital, are almost exclusively unreinforced masonry, which is especially vulnerable to seismic events.

Nyssa

Much of the City of Nyssa's critical infrastructure, including public works facilities, city hall, and numerous downtown buildings on the National Historic Register, are almost exclusively unreinforced masonry, which is especially vulnerable to seismic events.

Vale

Like the County, much of the City of Vale's critical infrastructure, including public works facilities, city hall, and numerous downtown buildings (some on the National Historic Register), are almost exclusively unreinforced masonry, which is especially vulnerable to seismic events. The City is particularly concerned about the seismic safety of city hall, stonehouse and the Vale Hotel.

The 1984 Borah Peak earthquake in Idaho damaged the original, oldest wing of Vale Elementary School, a three-story structure. This part of the structure, while still standing after the earthquake, had to be vacated and ultimately demolished due to damages and safety concerns.

Existing Hazard Mitigation Activities and Resources

Mitigation through either regulatory or non-regulatory, voluntary strategies allow communities to gain cooperation, educate the public and provide solutions to increase safety in the event of an earthquake.²¹

Ordinances

- Malheur County contracts with a private firm to administer state building codes, including those for seismic resistance on new structures. Ordinances are available on file in the Malheur County Planning Department.

Studies/Reports

- The USGS *Open File Report for Quaternary Faults and Folds in Oregon* contains a list of documented faults in Malheur County and their basic geologic properties. It notes that none have shown activity within the timeframe of Oregon's historical record (but have been active in the distant geologic past). See <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2003/ofr-03-095/>

²¹ OPDR, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, July 2000, p. 8-20.

- In 2007, DOGAMI prepared *HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios for Malheur County*. These reports provide a comprehensive cost assessment of two potential earthquake scenarios which could impact the county; but the reports were not published. The citation for the information is: Burns, et al, 2007. Unpublished Report. *Geologic Hazards, Earthquake and Landslide Hazard Maps, and Future Earthquake Damage and Loss Estimates for three Counties in the southeastern Region Including Lake, Malheur, and Harney*. DOGAMI Open File Report. See Appendix H.
- Statewide Seismic Needs Assessment Using Rapid Visual Screening (RVS) [Senate Bill 2 (2005)]. Oregon Senate Bill 2 (2005) directed DOGAMI, in consultation with project partners, to develop a statewide seismic needs assessment that included seismic safety surveys of K-12 public school buildings and community college buildings that had, at the time, a capacity of 250 or more persons, hospital buildings with acute inpatient care facilities, fire stations, police stations, sheriffs' offices and other law enforcement agency buildings.
See https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/lawsstatutes/2005orLaw0763ses.html.
- In 2007, DOGAMI released the Statewide Seismic Needs Assessment Using Rapid Visual Screening (RVS), which contains a preliminary assessment of the seismic resilience of critical infrastructure in each county in Oregon. Table EQ-2, Rapid Visual Survey Scores, shows the results of the assessment for Malheur County. For more information on the Statewide Seismic Assessment Using Rapid Visual Screenings, see <https://www.oregongeology.org/rvs/default.htm>.

State Natural Hazard Risk Assessment

- The risk assessment in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* provides an overview of seismic risk in Oregon and identifies the most significant earthquakes in Oregon's recorded history. It has overall state and regional information, and includes earthquake related mitigation actions for the entire state. https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASchedule.pdf
- Published in 2013, *The Oregon Resilience Plan: Reducing Risk and Improving Recovery for the Next Cascadia Earthquake and Tsunami* provides excellent information on the seismic situation in Oregon. https://www.oregon.gov/oem/documents/oregon_resilience_plan_final.pdf

Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide

- This guide describes basic mitigation strategies and resources related to earthquakes and other natural hazards, including examples from communities in Oregon. <https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/1909>

Individual Preparedness

At an individual level, preparedness for an earthquake is minimal as perception and awareness of earthquake hazards are low.²² Strapping down heavy furniture, water heaters and expensive personal property as well as having earthquake insurance, is a step towards earthquake mitigation.

Earthquake Awareness Month

April is Earthquake Awareness Month. Oregon Military Department – Office of Emergency Management coordinates activities such as earthquake drills and encourages individuals to strap down computers, heavy furniture and bookshelves in homes and offices.

School Education

Schools conduct earthquake drills regularly throughout Oregon and teach students how to respond when an earthquake event occurs.

Building Codes

The Oregon State Building Codes Division adopts statewide standards for building construction that are administered by the state, cities and counties throughout Oregon. The codes apply to new construction and to the alteration of, or addition to, existing structures. Within these standards are six levels of design and engineering specifications that are applied to areas according to the expected degree of ground motion and site conditions that a given area could experience during an earthquake.

The *2014 Oregon Structural Special Code (OSSC)* requires a site-specific seismic hazard report for projects including critical/essential facilities such as hospitals, fire and police stations, emergency response facilities, and special occupancy structures, such as large schools and prisons. See http://ecodes.biz/ecodes_support/free_resources/Oregon/14_Structural/14_ORStructural_main.html.

The seismic hazard report required by *OSSC* for critical/essential facilities and special occupancy structures considers factors such as the seismic zone, soil characteristics including amplification and liquefaction potential, any known faults, and potential landslides. The findings of the seismic hazard report must be considered in the design of the building.

The *2017 Oregon Residential Special Code (ORSC)* incorporates prescriptive requirements for foundation reinforcement and framing connections based on the applicable seismic zone for the area. The cost of these requirements is rarely more than a small percentage of the overall cost for a new building. See https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/document/1018?site_type=public.

Requirements for existing buildings vary depending on the type and size of the alteration and whether there is a change in the use of the building that is considered more hazardous. Oregon State Building Codes recognize the difficulty of meeting new construction standards in existing buildings and allow some exception to the general seismic standards. Upgrading existing buildings to resist earthquake forces is more expensive than meeting code requirements for new construction.

²² Darienzo, Mark, Oregon Office of Emergency Management, personal communication, February 22, 2001.

The state code only requires seismic upgrades when there is significant structural alteration to the building or where there is a change in use that puts building occupants and the community at greater risk.

Local building officials are responsible for enforcing these codes. Although there is no statewide building code for substandard structures, local communities have the option of adopting a local building code to mitigate hazards in existing buildings. Oregon Revised Statutes allow municipalities to create local programs to require seismic retrofitting of existing buildings within their communities. The building codes do not regulate public utilities or facilities constructed in public right-of-way, such as bridges.

Future Changing Conditions/ Climate Change

Several locations in the Malheur County NHMP describe future changing conditions or climate change as it relates to the natural hazards that impact Malheur County. In the order of appearance in the NHMP: the Risk Assessment, the Hazards Annexes, and Appendix F contain this information. Appendix F has two documents, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

Earthquake Mitigation Action Items


The earthquake mitigation actions have been identified by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee which includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP and the Action Item Forms in Appendix A for a more detailed description of the mitigation actions.

There is one earthquake specific mitigation action which is “Review Critical Infrastructure List prepared for the 2018-2019 NHMP. Evaluate the list and determine the priority buildings for seismic retrofits or replacements. Include the Critical Infrastructure List in the strategic plans for the County and the Cities.” The Critical Infrastructure List is included in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The mitigation action has a low priority because the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) resulted in earthquakes having a low risk level.

There are seven multi-hazard mitigation actions for the NHMP and several of those include earthquake related mitigation actions, in conjunction with the other hazards. The multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority.


At the August 9, 2018 NHMP Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the HVA would be used as the way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The risk level rankings are in Table 2-5 in Volume I Section 2 Risk Assessment.

Figure EQ-4 Malheur County Historical Earthquake




Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, January 2, 2019

Figure EQ-5 Malheur County Earthquake Expected Shaking Map




Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 31, 2018

Figure EQ-6 Malheur County Liquefaction Susceptibility Map



Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, January 2, 2019

Figure EQ-7 Malheur County Fault Lines



Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, January 2, 2019

Risk Score: 188

Risk Level: High-Medium

FLOOD HAZARD ANNEX

Causes and Characteristics of Flood

Flooding results when rain and snowmelt creates water flow that exceeds the carrying capacity of rivers, streams, channels, ditches, and other watercourses. In Oregon, flooding is most common from October through April when storms from the Pacific Ocean bring intense rainfall. Most of Oregon's destructive natural disasters have been floods.¹ Flooding can be aggravated when rain is accompanied by snowmelt and frozen ground; these are often called rain-on-snow events. The spring cycle of melting snow is the most common source of flood in the region.

Anticipating and planning for flood events is an important activity for Malheur County. Federal programs provide insurance and funding to communities engaging in flood hazard mitigation. The Federal Emergency Management Association (FEMA) manages the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP). These programs provide grant money to owners of properties who have suffered losses from floods, and in some cases, suffered losses from other natural hazard events.

- The NFIP provides flood insurance and pays claims to policyholders who have suffered losses from floods.
- The HMGP provides grants to help mitigate flood hazards by elevating structures or relocating or removing them from flood hazard areas.

The principal types of flood that occur or may occur in Malheur County include riverine, flash floods, ice jams, and dam failures. These are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Riverine Flooding (Spring/ Snow Melt) Flooding

Flooding throughout the region is most commonly linked to the spring cycle of melting snow. Rain-on-snow floods are common in western Oregon, and also occur east of the Cascades. The weather pattern that produces these floods may occur during the winter or spring months and has come to be associated with La Nina events, a three to seven year cycle of cool, wet weather. In brief, cool, moist weather conditions are followed by a system of warm, moist air from tropical latitudes. The intense warm rain associated with this system quickly melts foothill and mountain snow. Above-freezing temperatures may occur well above pass levels (4,000-5,000 feet). Some of Oregon's most devastating floods are associated with these rain-on-snow events.

Spring runoff has caused significant riverine flooding in the County, resulting in damage along the Malheur, Snake, and Owyhee Rivers, in addition to some smaller tributaries. Most spring flooding has been precipitated by a particular combination of factors: ground saturation followed by a heavy ground freeze, a heavy snowpack in higher elevations, and then spring rains and Chinook winds causing sudden snow melt.

¹ Taylor, George H. and Chris Hannan, *The Oregon Weather Book*, Oregon State University Press, 1999.


Flash Floods

Flash floods usually result from intense storms dropping large amounts of rain within a brief period. They usually occur in the summer during thunderstorm season, appear with little or no warning and can reach full peak in only a few minutes. They are most common in arid and semi-arid areas of Oregon like Malheur County where there is often steep topography, little vegetation, and intense but short-duration rainfall.

In flash flood situations, waters not only rise rapidly, but also generally move at high velocities and often carry large amounts of debris. In these instances a flash flood may arrive as a fast moving wall of debris, mud, water or ice. Such material can accumulate at a natural or man-made obstruction and restrict the flow of water. Water held back in such a manner can cause flooding both upstream and then later downstream if the obstruction is removed or breaks free.

Malheur County experiences flash flood warnings every year. Generally, these have occurred in remote, sparsely populated areas of the County and have resulted in no widespread property or infrastructure damage. Some crop damage has occurred. Additionally, flash flooding risk is exacerbated by wildfire, which destroys flood mitigating vegetation and weakens soil, thus increasing an area's vulnerability to severe flood-induced erosion. Irrigation districts have reported chronic minor problems with debris clogging along open irrigation canals as a result of flash floods.

Figure FL-1 Map of Major Drainage Basins, Lakes, Streams, and Rivers in the Region



Source: Malheur County 2014 NHMP, Department of the Interior - Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Portland, Oregon.

Ice Jams

An ice jam, or ice dam, happens when chunks of ice clump together to block the flow of a river. This can result in severe flooding. Ice jams are caused by melting snow and ice in the springtime. Warm temperatures and spring rains cause snow and ice to melt very rapidly. This extra water causes frozen rivers and streams to swell up, and the layer of ice on top of the river begins to break up. The rushing river carries large chunks of ice downstream, and sometimes a group of ice chunks get stuck in a narrow river passage. The ice chunks form an ice jam, which blocks the natural flow of the river.²

Ice jams on the Snake and Malheur Rivers have created flood conditions in the past and will continue to do so due to local topography. Ice jams commonly happen during the winter and early spring, while the river is still frozen. Sudden warming at higher altitudes can melt waters resulting in increased runoff of water and ice into large reaches of frozen river below. On the way downstream, the ice can “jam” in narrow places on the river or against a road crossing, effectively damming the river, sometimes followed by a sudden breach and release of the water and ice. According to an Army Corps of Engineers report, this type of flooding is predictable, with the determining factor being a daily high temperature at Glenns Ferry, Idaho of 5 degrees F for five consecutive days. This will result in ice jams in the Ontario area. There are several bridges in the County, which can jam up with ice and debris flow in the aftermath of weather events such as the freezing and melting events mentioned above.³

Bridges which can be sites of ice jamming:

- 36th St Bridge outside Ontario, and
- Union Pacific railroad trestle near Nyssa.

Dam Failure

Major flooding could also result from partial or complete failure of man-made structures constructed to restrict the flow of water on Malheur County’s waterways, either impounding reservoirs or diversion dams. There are 106 dams located in Malheur County that meet the statutory definition and are listed in the Oregon Water Resources Department’s dam inventory database (https://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/misc/dam_inventory/). The statutory definition is a dam that is 10 feet or higher and has a capacity for storage of at least 3 million gallons of water. This definition includes all the Bureau of Reclamation dams.⁴ The largest dam in Malheur County is Owyhee Dam. Dams will be further discussed in the Hazard Vulnerability section of this Flood Hazard Annex.

² NOAA, What is an Ice Jam?, <https://scijinks.gov/ice-jams/>

³ 2014 Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

⁴ Keith Mills, Oregon Water Resources Department, personal communication, December 27, 2018.

Factors that contribute to flooding in Malheur County

Precipitation

Malheur County averages 9 inches of rainfall and 11 inches of snow per year. On average, there are 211 sunny days per year. The July high temperature is around 92 degrees and the January low is around 22 degrees.⁵ See the Severe Weather Hazard Annex for more details on weather and climate, as well as Appendix F Future Climate Projections Reports. As described in the previous paragraphs, rain-on-snow events often occur in the winter or spring and are the most common cause of flooding in Malheur County. Some of the most severe flood events in Malheur County were the result of rain falling on snow pack.

Geography

Malheur County is Oregon's second largest county in terms of landmass, covering 9,926 square miles. This is a region of high desert, mountain ranges, plateaus, and river valleys. Elevation in the county ranges from about 2,000 feet to nearly 8,000 feet above sea level.

Vegetation Cover

Malheur County has a relative lack of forested land. Grasslands naturally cover most of the region. Other vegetation, such as agricultural lands cover the county. The County is 94 percent rangeland.⁶

Location of Development

Development has followed the land use patterns of the early settlers; locating near Malheur County's large bodies of water in the northern portion. Consequently, development surrounding these low-lying water bodies is subject to increased risk from associated flood hazards.

When development is located in the floodplain, it may cause floodwaters to rise higher than before the development was located in the floodplain. This is particularly true if the development is located within the floodway. When structures or fill are placed in the floodplain, water is displaced. Development raises the base flood elevation by forcing the river to compensate for the flow space obstructed by the inserted structures. Over time, when structures or materials are added to the floodplain and no fill is removed to compensate, serious problems can arise.

Displacement of a few inches of water can mean the difference between no structural damage occurring in a given flood event and the inundation of many homes, businesses, and other facilities. Careful attention must be paid to development that occurs within the floodplain and floodway of a river system to ensure that structures are prepared to withstand flood events.

Surface Permeability

In urbanized areas, increased pavement leads to an increase in volume and velocity of runoff after a rainfall event, exacerbating potential flood hazards. Storm water systems collect and concentrate

⁵ Best Places, <https://www.bestplaces.net/climate/county/oregon/malheur>, accessed December 26, 2018.

⁶ *Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP)*, 2009.

rainwater and then rapidly deliver it into the local waterway. Traditional stormwater systems are a benefit to urban areas, by quickly removing captured rainwater. However, they can be detrimental to areas downstream because they cause increased stream flows due to the rapid influx of captured stormwater into the waterway. It is very important to evaluate stormwater systems in conjunction with development in the floodplain to prevent unnecessary flooding to downstream properties. Frozen ground is another contributor to rapid runoff in the urban and rural environment.

Terms Related To Flooding

Floodplain

A floodplain is land adjacent to a river, stream, lake, estuary or other water body that is subject to inundation of water, otherwise known as flooding. These areas, if left undisturbed, act to store excess floodwater. The floodplain is made up of two areas: the flood fringe and the floodway. These are described below and illustrated in Figures FL-2 and FL-3.

Floodplains perform functions valuable to both humans and wildlife. Important functions of the floodplain might include: flood water storage, water quality maintenance, fish and wildlife habitat, and recreation/open space. Floodplains provide important habitat areas including river channels, riparian buffers, and wetlands. The variety of habitat types, the presence of water, and other factors result in a rich diversity of plant and animal species. Additionally, vegetation that grows in the floodplain influences how water flows across the land and can play a major role in controlling erosion and sediment deposition. When these features are lost, habitat and species diversity suffer.⁷

Under the National Floodplain Insurance Program (NFIP), areas that have a 1% chance in any given year of being covered by flood waters are mapped as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA), requiring floodplain management according to NFIP standards.⁸ SFHA is the area where flood insurance is typically required for structures with federally-backed mortgages. The SFHA represents inundation from a given flooding source, such as a river, ocean, or lake, during a 1 percent annual chance probability (aka 100-year) flood event. The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the elevation of the 100-year flood event at a specific location in the SFHA.⁹

Floodway

The floodway is the portion of the floodplain that is closer to the river or stream. For NFIP and regulatory purposes, floodways are defined as the channel of a river or stream, and the over-bank areas adjacent to the channel. Unlike floodplains, floodways do not reflect a recognizable geologic feature. The floodway carries the bulk of the floodwater downstream and is usually the area where water velocities and forces are the greatest.

⁷ Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, <https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/NH/Pages/NFIP.aspx>, accessed December 26, 2018.

⁸ Ibid.

⁹ DOGAMI, *Base Flood Elevation Determinations Fact Sheet*, <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/fs/BFE-fact-sheet.pdf>, accessed December 26, 2018.


NFIP regulations require that the floodway be kept open and free from development or other structures, so that flood flows are not obstructed or diverted onto other properties. Floodways are not mapped for all rivers and streams but are typically mapped in developed areas.

According to FEMA, "A "Regulatory Floodway" means the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height. Communities must regulate development in these floodways to ensure that there are no increases in upstream flood elevations. For streams and other watercourses where FEMA has provided Base Flood Elevations (BFEs), but no floodway has been designated, the community must review floodplain development on a case-by-case basis to ensure that increases in water surface elevations do not occur, or identify the need to adopt a floodway if adequate information is available.¹⁰

The Flood Fringe

The flood fringe refers to the outer portions of the floodplain, beginning at the edge of the floodway and continuing outward. This is the area where development is most likely to occur, and where precautions to protect life and property need to be taken.


Figure FL-2 Cross Section View of the SFHA and its Components



Source: DOGAMI, Base Flood Elevation Determinations Fact Sheet, <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/fs/BFE-fact-sheet.pdf>, accessed December 26, 2018.

¹⁰ FEMA, *Definition of Floodway*, <https://www.fema.gov/floodway>, accessed December 26, 2018.

Figure FL-3 Map View of the SFHA and its Components



Source: DOGAMI, Base Flood Elevation Determinations Fact Sheet, <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/fs/BFE-fact-sheet.pdf>, accessed December 26, 2018.

History of Floods in Malheur County

The interior drainage of closed basin lakes and creeks and rivers in southeastern Oregon have a long history of flooding. Floods are one of Malheur County's greatest natural hazard risks. Floods occur frequently and have historically caused damage to residential, commercial, and agricultural lands. Flooding in the county generally involves a rise in rivers or creeks caused by three frequently – occurring natural situations and one man-made situation: spring and summer flash floods, ice jams, spring runoff, and dam failure. These were described previously. Most of the lake water originates from high mountain snowpack above the basin. Flooding follows winters with deep snow accumulation. Such was the case in 1952 and subsequent years, when rain and snowmelt on frozen ground caused economic damage within the region.¹¹ Residential development, farms, ranches, public and private utilities, roads, and highways are at risk.

The floodplains along the Malheur River are devoted to agriculture while the floodplains along the Snake River include residential, commercial, industrial, and agricultural development.¹² Flooding also occurs on Jordan Creek and the Owyhee River.

Not all flood sources affect all jurisdictions in Malheur County; see the city addendums for city-specific flood concerns. Principal flood sources in Malheur County are, according to FEMA's *Malheur County Flood Insurance Study*: Snake River, Malheur River, Owyhee River, Bully Creek, Willow Creek,

¹¹ FEMA, *Malheur County Flood Insurance Study (FIS)*, 9/29/86.

¹² Ibid.

Jordan Creek, Indian Creek, Clover Creek, and Cottonwood Creek.¹³ Floods of significant size have occurred periodically within Malheur County, particularly in association with flooding of the Malheur and Snake Rivers. Damaging floods have occurred on the Malheur River as early as 1910. Other floods along the Malheur River caused significant damage in 1910, 1952, and 1957. Damaging floods along the Snake River have occurred since early settlements.

The table below shows the history of major flood events within Malheur County.

Table FL-I Significant Historic Floods

Date	Location	Type of Flood	Description
1904	Harney and Malheur Counties	River flooding	Severe flooding on the Silvies and Malheur Rivers. The City of Vale was impacted.
Mar. 1910	Malheur County	River flooding	Severe Malheur River flooding in March.
Feb. 1925	Malheur County	River flooding	Flooding
Mr. 1932	Malheur County	River flooding	The Malheur, Grande Ronde, John Day, and Umpqua Rivers flooded.
May 1948	Columbia River	River flooding	Columbia River crested at 34.4 ft. Flood stage at that time was 15 ft. This is the flood that destroyed the City of Vanport. Fifteen people died in the flood.
1952	Harney and Malheur Counties	River flooding	Severe flooding on Jordan Creek, and the Silvies, Malheur, Grand Ronde, and John Day Rivers. The highest flood stages on these rivers in 40 years; 6 families evacuated. Bridges and railroads under water.
Dec. 1955	Statewide	Rain on snow	DR-49. Event occurred on December 29, 1955. Flooding and strong winds; 5 fatalities.
Jul. 1956	Statewide	Storms, flooding	DR-60. Event occurred on July 20, 1956. Storms and flooding.
Feb. 1957	Harney and Malheur Counties	Warm rain on snow/frozen ground	Severe flooding on Jordan Creek, the Silvies and Malheur Rivers. \$3.2 million in flood damage. Vale business district inundated, agricultural damage, cattle drowned, two bridges washed out, and 40 homes inundated.
Mar. 1957	Statewide	Flooding	DR-69. Event occurred on March 1, 1957.
1959	Malheur County	Flooding	Flooding on Jordan Creek in Jordan Valley.
Oct. 1962	Statewide	Storms	DR-136. Event occurred on October 12, 1962. Referred to as the Columbus Day Storm.
Feb. 1963	Statewide	Flooding	DR-144. Event occurred on February 25, 1963. There was flooding in Vale area along the Malheur River.
Dec. 1964	Statewide	Heavy rains, flooding, rain on snow	DR-184. Event occurred on December 24, 1964. Statewide damage totaled \$157 million and 17 deaths.
Jan. 1971	Malheur County	Flooding	Moderate flooding on the Malheur River.
Apr. 1972	Malheur County	Flooding	Moderate flooding on the Owyhee River.
Jan. 1974	Western Oregon	Rain on snow, flooding	DR-413. Flooding resulted from rain on snow events. Willamette River at Portland crested at 25.7 feet. Nine counties declared disasters.
Apr. 1978	Malheur County	Flooding	Moderate flooding on Malheur, Owyhee, and Bully Creek Rivers; cost \$46,000.
Fe. 1982	Malheur County	Flooding	Moderate flooding in the Malheur and Owyhee Basins. One bridge was damaged, four homes and several agricultural fields flooded.
Mar. 1983	Malheur County	Flooding	Mild flooding in Vale; one house was surrounded by water.
Mar. – Apr. 1983	Malheur County	Flooding	Moderate flooding on Owyhee River; cost \$2,750,000.
Dec. 1985	Malheur County	Ice jam flooding	40 miles of ice on Snake River between Farewell Bend and Ontario. At least 35 people evacuated.
Feb. 1986	Statewide	Snow melt, flooding	Intense rain, a melting snow, and flooding. Some homes evacuated. Event occurred February 22-23.
Jun. 1989	Malheur County	Flash flood	Flash Flood, high winds, and crops damaged. It was near Nyssa.

¹³ *ibid.*

Date	Location	Type of Flood	Description
Jul. 1989	South and Central Oregon	Flooding	On July 15, there was snow melt flood in Lake, Malheur, and neighboring counties. Warm rains, hail caused extensive snowpack melt which occurred quickly; many rivers and creeks overflowed.
1990	Western Oregon	Rain on snow, flooding	Ten rivers in eight counties were flooding in a rain-on-snow weather event. Many bridges were washed away.
Aug. 1990	Harney and Malheur Counties	Thunderstorms	Thunderstorms, hail, wind, and flooding occurred on August 20. There was \$2,500 in crop damage in Harney County and \$27,500 damage in Malheur County.
Mar. 1992	Burns, OR	Rain	Heavy rains caused flooding in Burns.
Mar. 1993	Malheur and Harney Counties	Warm rain on heavy snowpack	There was widespread flooding in rural areas; highways were closed. The Owyhee River had flood of record. Event occurred March 18-21. There were 4 houses evacuated; 36 th St. bridge in Ontario damaged. Noted \$550,000 property damage.
Feb. 1996	Statewide	Storms, flooding, rain on snow	DR-1099. Winter storms with rain, snow, ice, floods, and landslides. Power outages, road closures and property damage. Warm temperatures, record breaking rains; extensive flooding in Multnomah County; widespread closures of major highways and secondary roads; 8 fatalities. There are 27 counties covered by the disaster declaration.
Aug. 1996	Malheur County	Flooding	There was a flash flood west of Burns Junction on August 27.
Dec. 1996-Jan. 1997	Statewide	Winter storm, flooding	DR-1160. Severe snow and ice. Up to 4 to 5 inches of ice in the Columbia Gorge. Interstate 84 closed for 4 days. Hundreds of downed trees and power lines. Lake County received \$219,382; Lakeview receive \$30,701, and Paisley received \$2,909 from FEMA to repair and replace damaged structures.
Jun. 1997	Malheur County	Flooding	On June 11 there was a flash flood near Westfall; it was caused by a thunderstorm.
Sep. 1997	Malheur County	Flooding	Heavy showers and thunderstorms triggered minor flash flooding in Adrian and Nyssa on September 11.
Apr. - May 1998	Malheur, Lake, and Harney Counties	Persistent rain on mountain snowpack	Numerous monthly rainfall records set. There was widespread flooding; mudslides in Malheur County. There was a flood in Jordan Valley on May 13. Three homes were sandbagged. There was a flood on May 23 north of Harper. Also on May 23, in Westfall, a house had its shingles torn off and the siding come off the barn. Secretarial Natural Disaster Determination for flooding in Lake County.
Jun. 1998	Malheur County	Flooding	Severe thunderstorm, flooding, and landslides throughout the county on June 12. Flash flood in the Juntura area.
Jul. 1998	Malheur County	Flooding	Scattered thunderstorms produced minor flash floods. There was a flash flood in Ironside.
Jan.-Feb. 1999	NW Oregon	Rain, flooding, landslides, mudslides	Widespread flooding on smaller rivers and streams; numerous landslides and mudslides.
Jun. 2002	Malheur County	Flooding	Slow moving thunderstorms dropped heavy rainfall on June 23. Flash flood near the Bake-Malheur County line.
Aug. 2002	Malheur County	Flooding	Very heavy rainfall and flash flood in Juntura.
Jul. 2003	Malheur County	Flooding	Very heavy rainfall from slow moving thunderstorms caused flash flooding. Occurred in east-central Malheur County. Flash flood in Ontario and Harper on July 26.
Jun. 2004	Malheur County	Flooding	On June 29 there was a flash flood in Sheaville.
Jun. 2004	Malheur County	Flooding	On June 30 there was a flash flood between Harper and Westfall.
Jan. – Apr. 2006	Malheur County	Flooding	Malheur River flooded. Moderate flooding, roads blocked by high water, minor roadway damage, agricultural fields flooded.

Date	Location	Type of Flood	Description
Nov. 2006	Statewide	Severe storms, flooding, landslides, mudslides	DR-1672. The events occurred November 6-8, 2006. The declared disaster included Hood River, Clatsop, Lincoln, and Tillamook Counties.
Dec. 2008	Statewide	Winter storms, heavy rain, flooding	DR-1824. Severe winter storm, flooding, winds, record and near record snow, landslides and mudslides. Gresham received, 26" of snow. Many roads closed. Significant damages to public infrastructure, homes and businesses. Event occurred Dec. 13-26. Counties in the declaration: Clackamas, Clatsop, Columbia, Marion, Multnomah, Polk, Yamhill, and Hood River.
Jun. 2009	Harney and Malheur Counties	Thunderstorm flood	Sections of 205 washed out near Wild Horse Ranch. On June 13 there was a flash flood in Unity as a result of high intensity rainfall.
Aug. 2010	Malheur County	Flooding	On August 6 there was a flash flood in Ontario.
Jan. 2011	Statewide	Winter storm	DR-1956. Severe winter storm, flooding, mudslides, landslides, and debris flows. Malheur River flooded on January 17.
Apr. 2011	Harney, Malheur, and Baker Counties	Basin flooding	There was widespread basin flooding on the Silvies River. ODOT closed and breached U.S.20 at milepost 132.6 on April 8, 2011, for flood relief. The breach was done by the request of Harney County Emergency Operations Center to avoid damage to nearby residences; larger culverts were later installed. Heavy Rain and snowmelt in Baker and Malheur County on May 15.
Jan. 2012	W. Oregon	Severe winter storms, flooding, landslides, mudslides	DR-4055. The incident period was January 12-21, 2012. Severe winter storm with flooding, landslides, and mudslides. Declaration involves 12 counties including Hood River County.
Dec. 2015	Western Oregon	Winter storm, heavy rain	DR-4258. Severe winter storms, straight-line winds, flooding, landslides, and mudslides.
Jan. 2017	Hood River, Columbia, Deschutes, Josephine Counties	Severe winter storms, flooding, landslides, mudslides	DR-4238. The event occurred January 7-10, 2017.

Sources: University of Oregon, Malheur County NHMP, May 2014; DLCD, Oregon NHMP, 2015; FEMA, Disaster Declarations for Oregon, retrieved 2017; Taylor and Hatton, 1999.

Risk Assessment

How are Hazards Identified?

Malheur County features multiple rivers and creeks that are susceptible to annual flooding events that pose threats to life and safety and cause significant property damage. These flood sources include Malheur River, Snake River, Bully Creek, Willow Creek, Owyhee River, and Jordan Creek.¹⁴ Malheur County's FIRM floodplain maps have not been updated since 1986 and the maps do not reflect current flood patterns. Updating the floodplain maps would be a useful action that would increase the accuracy of floodplain information and increase the County's ability, as well as the Cities abilities, to make sound planning and hazard mitigation decisions about flood management.

¹⁴ FEMA, Malheur County FIS, 9/29/86.

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

The Malheur County Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), like much of eastern Oregon, are not modernized. Table FL-2 shows that as of November 2018, Malheur County, including the incorporated cities, has 109 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) policies in force.

There have been 26 paid claims. The last Community Assistance Visit (CAV) in Malheur County was on September 27, 2011 with the City of Ontario. Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale are not members of the Community Rating System (CRS).

The table for Malheur County identifies zero repetitive loss building and zero severe repetitive loss claims. There are also zero repetitive loss and repetitive severe loss buildings within the Cities of Nyssa, Vale and Ontario.¹⁵

¹⁵ Celinda Adair, Department of Land Conservation and Development, November 30, 2018 and David Lentzner, Department of Land Conservation and Development, personal communication, December 14, 2018.

Table FL-2 Flood Insurance Detail

Jurisdiction	Insurance in Force	Total Paid Claims	Pre- FIRM Claims Paid	Substantial Damage Claims	Total Paid Amount	Repetitive Loss Structures	Severe Repetitive Loss Properties	# Policies	Last Community Assistance Visit
Malheur County	\$ 20,455,100	26	13	1	\$ 104,198	0	0	109	-
Unincorporated	\$13,463,500	15	5	1	\$30,230	0	0	69	6/28/1993
Adrian	\$ 300,500	0	0	0	\$0.00	0	0	2	7/1/1989
Jordan Valley	993,400	0	0	0	\$ -	0	0	5	6/30/1993
Nyssa	128,700	1	1	0	\$ 2,736	0	0	1	
Ontario	4,484,000	3	1	0	58,195	0	0	28	9/27/2011
Vale	1,085,000	7	6	0	13,037	0	0	4	7/1/1989

NP - Not Participating , No FIRM

NA - Information not Available/ Not Applicable

-- none

CIS accessed 11/30/2018 by Celinda Adair, NFIP Coordinator

Source: Celinda Adair, Department of Land Conservation and Development, November 30, 2018 and David Lentzner, Department of Land Conservation and Development, personal communication, December 14, 2018.

Hazard Risk Analysis

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee completed a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment/Analysis (HVA) during this NHMP update. This was described in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The method used for the HVA was developed from a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) tool that has been refined by the Oregon Military Department – Office of Emergency Management (OEM). It addresses and weights (shown as percent within parentheses) probability (29%), vulnerability (21%), maximum threat (42%) and the history (8%) of each natural hazard and attributes a final hazard analysis score. The methodology produces scores that range from 24 to 240.

For local governments, conducting the HVA is a useful step in planning for hazard mitigation. The method provides the jurisdiction with a relative ranking from which to prioritize mitigation actions, but does not predict the occurrence of a particular hazard.

In 2013 flood hazards had a risk score of 240 and a rank of 1 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 flood hazards had a risk score of 188 and a rank of 4 out of 11 natural hazards.

For more information on all the risk scores and ranks of the natural hazards, see Volume I Basic Plan, Section 2 Risk Assessment of this NHMP.

Probability Assessment

The probability of an occurrence has been assessed by FEMA and is displayed on the Federal Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). FEMA has mapped the 10, 50, 100, and 500-year floodplains in the Malheur County. This corresponds to a 10%, 2%, 1% and 0.2% chance of a certain magnitude flood in any given year. In addition, FEMA has mapped the 100-year floodplain (i.e., 1% flood) in the incorporated cities. The 100-year flood is the benchmark upon which the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is based. The FIRM maps were issued on September 29, 1986 for Malheur County.

Vulnerability Assessment

Currently the County has insufficient data to fully calculate potential flood losses. This is due to the unpredictability of flood severity and length, limited local records in terms of quantifying losses, and the use of outdated maps from 1986. The SC for this NHMP identified a mitigation action “update the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for the County and Cities.” The priority of the mitigation action is medium-high, which corresponds to the risk level that the SC determined for floods using the OEM Methodology. See Section 2 Risk Assessment for additional information.

The potential for dam failures exists in Malheur County. The Oregon Water and Resources Department (OWRD) has inventoried all dams located in Oregon and Malheur County. Table FL-3 lists the number of dams by hazard level: high, significant, and low. Table FL-4 lists the large and notable high hazard dams and reservoirs. These facilities need regular maintenance and repair; dams may crack or break. Inspections occur to check the conditions of the dams and reservoirs.

Of particular concern for Vale is the Bully Creek Dam and Reservoir. According to OWRD staff, there Bully Creek Dam failed in 1925 and after that the Bureau of Reclamation took ownership. Other notable concerns include the Willow Creek 3 (Malheur Dam) and the Malheur Reservoir (see below for additional information), the Pole Creek Dam, the Crowley Dam, and the Owyhee Dam. Willow Creek (Malheur) Dam is a 110 foot tall dam on Willow Creek in the northern part of Malheur County. Willow Creeks flows between Ironside and Vale, OR. The dam is listed in unsatisfactory condition

and is owned by Orchard Water Company. Pull Creek Dam is also owned by the Orchard Water Company and it is listed in poor condition. Crowley Dam in Crowley is in unsatisfactory condition and is owned by an individual.¹⁶

Table FL-3 Malheur County Dam Inventory

Number of Dams	Hazard Level or Potential
10	High
4	Significant
92	Low

Source: Oregon Water Resources Department, Dam Inventory Query, http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/misc/dam_inventory/, accessed December 27, 2018.

Table FL-4 Large and Notable Dams and Reservoirs in Malheur County

Large Dams and Reservoirs	Acre Feet Capacity	Hazard Level or Potential	Location
Owyhee Dam and Reservoir	715,000 acre feet	High	31 miles SW of Nyssa
Warm Springs Dam and Reservoir	192,400 acre feet	High	13 miles SW of Juntura*
Agency Valley Dam and Reservoir	59,900 acre feet	High	15 miles N of Juntura
Bully Creek Dam and Reservoir	31,650 acre feet	High	10 miles W of Vale
Antelope Reservoir**	70,000 acre feet	High	11 miles SW of Jordan Valley
Willow Creek 3 (Malheur) and Malheur Reservoir**	94,000 acre feet	High	18 miles E of Ironside, near Brogan
Pole Creek Reservoir	1,525 acre feet	High	15 miles N of Vale
Crowley Dam	3,700 acre feet	High	115 miles SW of Ontario
Rock Creek	834 acre feet	High	9 miles W of Vale
Lonesome Lake	186 acre feet	Significant	On the border of Oregon and Idaho south of Adrian (Google maps lists it at 43°36'27.8"N, 117°01'36.9"W)

*Unincorporated community

**Not operated by the federal Bureau of Reclamation; owned and operated by irrigation districts or water companies.

¹⁶ Keith Mills, Oregon Department of Water Resources, personal communication, December 27, 2018.

Source: Oregon Water Resources Department, Dam Inventory Query, http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/misc/dam_inventory/, accessed March 2013 for the 2014 Malheur County NHMP and data was updated and expanded on December 27, 2018 and January 14, 2019; Tony Janicek, Oregon Department of Water Resources, personal communication, February 25, 2019; Keith Mills, Oregon Department of Water Resources, personal communication, February 26, 2019.

Willow Creek 3 (Malheur) Dam

The following information is provided by Keith Mills and Tony Janicek of OWRD. The note the dam is much more vulnerable to failure in a flood (or in other conditions as well) than any other dam in the County, and is the only one that they are aware of with a formal safety restriction.¹⁷

Malheur (Willow Creek 3) Dam was designed as a 125 foot embankment dam. However, when originally constructed in 1911 the dam was only built to a height of 100 feet. At some point during the Depression the dam was raised an additional 25 feet to the full 125 foot design height. Due to limited water availability, the reservoir did not fill to the level of the raised portion until 1942. Shortly after the water reached this level, movement and cracking of the raised portion of the dam was observed, and a potential failure condition existed. An investigation concluded that the movement and cracking resulted from saturation and consolidation of the material in the raised portion. The investigation also determined that the material used to build the 25 foot raised portion of the dam was of poor quality and not suitable for use in building the dam. As a result, a water level restriction was set so that the water level in the reservoir would not reach the raised portion of the embankment. The dam has not been rehabilitated to fix deficiencies of the 25 foot raised portion and the water level restriction remains in place. The dam remains in unsatisfactory condition, and is unsafe if water levels rise much above the formal restriction.

A spillway is a key safety feature of a dam that allows the dam to pass flood flows from significant storm events without the dam overtopping. In the case of Malheur Dam, the water level restriction is well below the entrance to the emergency spillway. As a result, any significant incoming flood flows would raise the reservoir level past the restriction level before the reservoir level reached the emergency spillway and make the dam unsafe. As seen in the 1943 incident, when the water level reaches the restriction level, the structural integrity of the dam is compromised, greatly increasing the risk of dam failure. Since the restriction was put in place, there has not been a significant storm event that could generate flood flows which would cause the reservoir level to exceed the restriction level. However, a significant storm event will eventually occur that causes the reservoir level to increase past the restriction level. If the flood is large enough, it will cause the dam to fail.

When a dam fails, known as a dam breach, a large flood wave is generated which ultimately inundates areas downstream of the dam. If Malheur dam were to breach, large portions of Vale and Ontario (several hundred homes and businesses) would be inundated by the breach flood wave, to depths and velocities that would result in many fatalities.

Two important projects that provide irrigation water in Malheur County are the Vale Project and the Owyhee Project, both are operated by the Bureau of Reclamation.

¹⁷ Keith Mills, OWRD, personal communication, January 2 and 14, 2019.

Vale Project

“The Vale Project lands are located along the Malheur River and Willow Creek in east-central Oregon, surrounding the town of Vale. The project furnishes irrigation water to 35,000 acres of land. Features include Agency Valley Dam and Beulah Reservoir, Bully Creek Dam and Reservoir, Harper Diversion Dam, Vale Main Canal, and a distribution and drainage system. To supplement project needs, the Federal Government purchased one-half of the storage rights in the Warm Springs Reservoir built by the Warm Springs Irrigation District.”¹⁸

Owyhee Project

The Owyhee Dam and Reservoir make up the Bureau of Reclamation’s Owyhee Project, which is designed to furnish irrigation water to the land along the Snake River in Malheur County and in Idaho. “The Owyhee Project lies west of the Snake River in Malheur County, Oregon, and Owyhee County, Idaho. Principal towns in the area are Homedale, Idaho, and Adrian, Nyssa, and Ontario, Oregon. The project furnishes a full irrigation water supply to over 105,000 acres of land lying along the west side of the Snake River in eastern Oregon and southwestern Idaho. An additional 13,000 acres are furnished supplemental water. About 72 percent of the lands are in Oregon, and 28 percent in Idaho. Irrigable lands are divided into the Mitchell Butte, Dead Ox Flat, and Succor Creek Divisions. The key feature of the project is Owyhee Dam, on the Owyhee River about 11 miles southwest of Adrian, Oregon, which acts as both a storage and diversion structure. Project works also include canals, pipelines, tunnels, 9 pumping plants, laterals and drains.”¹⁹

According to the Bureau of Reclamation staff, the Owyhee Project now serves 124,992 acres.²⁰

A complete failure of Owyhee Dam would inundate the cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Adrian, in addition to residential and agricultural land along the Owyhee River and potentially the Snake and Malheur Rivers as well. The risk of dam failure was assessed by the Steering Committee during the 2014 NHMP. They used the OEM methodology described in Volume I, Section 2 Risk Assessment. The SC found that while the maximum threat for dam failure was high (major disaster), the probability of such an event is low, as is vulnerability. Of note, the Malheur County Sheriff’s Office keeps emergency plans on file for each major County dam in addition to those managed by Idaho, which could potentially affect Malheur County. An Emergency Action Plan is required for all high hazard dams. These must be completed by owners of high hazard dams and copies must be sent to the Dam Safety Program and to the State Emergency Management Office.²¹

The Owyhee Dam was created [partially] in attempt to control flooding. Owyhee Dam impounds 715,000 acre feet of irrigation water and powers a small electrical generation station. The total

¹⁸ Bureau of Reclamation, <https://www.usbr.gov/projects/index.php?id=415>, accessed December 26, 2018. Reaffirmed by Kayla Griffin, Bureau of Reclamation, personal communication, January 9, 2019.

¹⁹ Bureau of Reclamation, <https://www.usbr.gov/projects/index.php?id=374>, accessed December 26, 2018.

²⁰ Kayla Griffin, Bureau of Reclamation, personal communication, January 9, 2019.

²¹ Oregon Water Resources Department, <https://www.oregon.gov/OWRD/programs/streamslakessanddams/dams/DSPProgram/Pages/default.aspx>, accessed December 26, 2018.

capacity is 1,120,000 acre-feet.²² The informal flood control allocation curves require a minimum of 70,000 acre-feet of vacant space through the end of February, and more beginning in January, if the inflow forecast is sufficiently large. The goal of the flood control is to limit the flow of the Owyhee River below the Owyhee Dam to 12,000 cfs.²³

High vulnerabilities for Malheur County and the Cities:

- Outdated FIRM maps for the County and the Cities. Having updated, digital maps would greatly help the County and the Cities plan flood mitigation actions.
- Evaluate and update County and the Cities floodplain ordinances. Using updated, digital maps to re-evaluate and update ordinances could better address existing and new development in the floodplain.
- The County and Cities participation in NFIP could be evaluated and further addressed for more effective mitigation of the flood hazard.
- Ontario has minor issues with stormwater drainage in flood conditions and has a list of recommended repairs/upgrades in its *2003 Stormwater Management Plan*. These recommendations should be implemented.
- The location of so many critical facilities, infrastructure, and lifelines in areas subject to floods (see Table 2-7) increases Malheur County's and the City of Ontario's vulnerability.

Community Hazard Issues

What is susceptible to damage during a hazard event?

The extent of the damage and risk to people caused by flood events is primarily dependent on the depth and velocity of floodwaters. Fast moving floodwaters can wash buildings off their foundations and sweep vehicles downstream. Roads, bridges, other infrastructure, and lifelines (pipelines, utility, water, sewer, communications systems, etc.) can be seriously damaged when high water combines with flood debris, mud and ice. Extensive flood damage to residences and other structures can result in basement flooding and landslide damage related to soil saturation. Surface water entering into crawlspaces, basements, and daylight basements is common during flood events not only in or near flooded areas but also on hillsides and other areas far removed from floodplains. Most damage is caused by water saturating materials susceptible to loss (e.g., wood, insulation, wallboard, fabric, furnishings, floor coverings and appliances). If not properly protected from the entry of floodwaters, mechanical, electrical and similar equipment can also be damaged or destroyed by flooding.

Community Flood Issues

Human Life

Protection of human life is of primary importance. Keeping homes, businesses, and other places safe from floods will also help protect human life.

²² Bureau of Land Reclamation, Owyhee Dam, <https://www.usbr.gov/projects/index.php?id=315>, accessed December 26, 2018.

²³ Brule Lehman, Owyhee Irrigation District, personal communication, January 2, 2019.

Critical Facilities/Lifelines

Critical Facilities, Critical Infrastructure, and Lifelines for Malheur County and the Cities are identified in Table 2-7 in Volume I Section 2 Risk Assessment. Of note, quite a few of the listed facilities are subject to potential flood impacts, including the major regional hospital for Malheur County. The hospital is located in Ontario; it is outside of the Special Flood Hazard Area. The location of so many critical facilities in areas subject to floods increases Malheur County's and the City of Ontario's vulnerability.

Private Property

Homes

Homes in frequently flooded areas can also experience blocked sewer lines and damage to septic systems and drain fields. This is particularly the case of residences in rural flood prone areas who commonly utilize private individual sewage treatment systems. Inundation of these systems can result in the leakage of wastewater into surrounding areas creating the risk of serious water pollution and public health threats. This kind of damage can render homes unlivable.

Manufactured Homes

Many older manufactured home parks are located in floodplain areas. Manufactured homes have a lower level of structural stability compared to traditional lumber-built homes. Manufactured homes in floodplain zones should be anchored to provide additional structural stability during flood events.

Businesses

Floods impact businesses by damaging property and interrupting commerce. The economic losses due to business closures often total more than the initial property losses that result from flood events. Direct damages from flooding are the most common impacts, but indirect damages, such as diminished clientele, can be just as debilitating to a business. Flood events can cut off customer access and close businesses for repairs. A quick response to the needs of businesses affected by flood events can help a community maintain economic viability in the face of flood damage.

Public Infrastructure Flood Issues

Public buildings such as libraries, schools, and government buildings are of concern to Malheur County due to their potential utility in the event of a flood. These buildings can sometimes be used as temporary locations for medical and emergency housing services.

Roads are important to the local economy, and during hazard events, resilient road connections are critical for providing essential and emergency services. Roads are maintained by multiple jurisdictions. Federal, state, county, and city governments all have a stake in protecting roads from flood damage. Road networks in Malheur County frequently cross floodplain and floodway areas.

Bridges

Bridges are key points of concern during flood events for two primary reasons:

1. Bridges are often important links in road networks, crossing watercourses or other significant natural features.

2. Bridges can be obstructions in the floodway, collecting debris and inhibiting the flow of water during flood events. This can cause water to back up and inundate areas upstream from the bridge that would not otherwise be affected. Also, this build-up of water can suddenly release, causing a flash flood of larger magnitude downstream.

Wastewater and Drinking Water Systems

Flood events significantly impact drinking water and waste water systems. When sewer systems are inundated with floodwaters, raw sewage can be flushed into the waterways, posing a significant health hazard. Additionally, drinking water supplies can be contaminated with flushed wastewater or high levels of solids (eroded soil for example), and made unsafe for consumption. Both water and sewage systems often require significant repair and maintenance work following a flood event.

Stormwater

Stormwater systems collect and concentrate rainwater and rapidly deliver it into the local waterway. This infusion of water causes increased flows downstream. During large rainstorms and floods, these systems are pushed past their capacity and storm water begins flowing over-ground, causing other infrastructure damage. Traditional stormwater systems are a benefit to urban areas by quickly removing captured rainwater, however, they can be detrimental to areas downstream.

Other problems often develop where open ditches enter culverts or go underground into stormwater systems. An obstruction at these intersections causes overland water flow. The filling of ditches and swales near buildings can inhibit or prevent the flow of water can compound these problems. Inadequate maintenance, especially following leaf accumulation in the fall, can also contribute to the flood hazard in urban areas.

Parks and Open Space

Public parks and publicly owned open space can provide a buffer between flood hazards and private property. Wetlands in public ownership can reduce flood impacts by absorbing floodwaters and buffering water level fluctuations.

Power Supply

Flooding also significantly impacts electrical supply systems. Floodwaters short-out electrical lines and cause transformers to fail. Additionally, debris transported by floods can knock down power poles and put live, high-voltage lines in the water, posing a serious electrocution hazard to people.

Communications/Phone Lines

Telephone and cable lines are similarly susceptible to floodwaters and floating debris. Underground lines are more resistant to flood damage, but often are exposed and damaged by swift currents.

CITY SPECIFIC DAMAGE

There are four mitigation actions related to the County and Cities and four specific to the Cities.

Ontario

The City of Ontario is adjacent to both the Snake and Malheur Rivers and vulnerable to flooding from both of these sources, especially from spring runoff and ice jams. According to Table FL-2,

there have only been three paid NFIP claims in Ontario. There are two mitigation actions specific to the City of Ontario, FL-3 and FL-7.

Nyssa

Much of the City's infrastructure is located on the Snake River's floodplain. Nyssa is bordered on the east by the Snake River. Like all other Malheur County cities except for Vale, Nyssa is also within the floodway should the Owyhee Dam collapse. Steering Committee members noted that the City has not had significant flooding in approximately 20 years. Minor flooding (described as often just outside of city limits, none in the downtown core) has occurred relatively frequently. For example, in 2006, most parts of Malheur County near waterways experienced flooding.

Ice jams are the biggest flood concern for floods on the Snake River near Nyssa, but spring runoff flooding and flash floods are also a risk. A Union Pacific railroad trestle located just south of the City is the most frequent site of the ice jams, which cause water on the Snake River to back up and send overflow waters into agricultural and light industrial/commercial areas on the south side of Nyssa. Ice jam floods have also historically threatened the public school buildings and blocked Main Street and State Highway 20/26, at the western edge Nyssa where it crosses the Snake River into Idaho.

The City's domestic water wells #s 1-5 are located near the Snake River and in flood events are at risk of inundation, posing a threat to the City's potable water supply. The City has two other municipal water wells, #s 7 and 9, but these do not have an arsenic removal system and are thus unusable for drinking water. Nyssa did a project to make the well water in South Park available in case of an emergency. They did the necessary tests and received approval from Oregon DEQ to use the well water in time of an emergency. See Appendix G Success Stories for more information.

The City has built a new wastewater treatment facility and new sewage lagoons have berms to protect them from floodwaters. Future expansion includes sewer connections along the bridge that spans the Snake River into Idaho.

Because there are no National Weather Service gauges or other information-gathering resources on this section of the Snake River, official records on past flood events are limited. The NWS does have a river gauge on the Snake River at Weiser, but this is approximately 30 miles downstream of Nyssa.

Vale

Vale is adjacent to the Malheur River and has a history of flooding. Mild to moderate flooding has historically been a frequent occurrence, but severe floods have not occurred as often, primarily due to the mitigation provided by the dike.

Spring runoff flooding on the Malheur River is the biggest flood concern for flood events in Vale, but the City itself has not experienced severe flooding since 1957. After that flood, the Army Corps of Engineers installed dikes to manage the river and prevent another disastrous flood event. Dikes and levees on the Malheur River get inspected annually for safety and soundness by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

More recent floods have caused road blockages and minor problems with the City's stormwater system. The last major flooding was a flash flood event in 2010. The City would like to replace a faulty storm valve that has led to flood concerns in the vicinity of the school district's bus shed.

The Malheur River is the major flood source in the City. Its flow can be affected several miles upstream of Vale by Bully Creek, which has its own dam and an irrigation reservoir and ultimately flows into the Malheur. Flooding has not occurred on Bully Creek for nearly 30 years. There are two mitigation actions in this NHMP specific to the Bully Creek Levee System, FL-5 and FL-6.

Existing Hazard Mitigation Activities and Resources

Floodplain Ordinances (Planning)

- The Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario and Nyssa floodplain ordinances are available online.
 - o Malheur County Code, Title 5, Building and Flood Control Regulations, https://www.sterlingcodifiers.com/codebook/index.php?book_id=695
 - o City of Ontario Comprehensive Plan, Goal 7, Areas Subject to Natural Disasters and Hazards, https://library.municode.com/or/ontario/codes/planning_and_zoning_development_standards?nodeId=TIT10COPL_GOAL_7ARSUNADIHA_10-7-4FLSTSLHAPO
 - o City of Ontario, Title 10, Flood Hazard Overlay Zone, https://library.municode.com/or/ontario/codes/planning_and_zoning_development_standards?nodeId=TIT10ASUZORE_CH10A-47FHFLHAOVZO
 - o City of Nyssa, Title 10, Flood Control, https://www.sterlingcodifiers.com/codebook/index.php?book_id=988
- The City of Vale's ordinances are not available online.

After Incident Reports (Emergency Services)

- The County Emergency Services Department maintains files on significant floods that include County Court declarations of emergency and any other relevant information, including photographs. At the time of the 2018 NHMP, files were only available for selected flood years and are on hard copy file in the Malheur County Emergency Services Department.²⁴

State Natural Hazard Risk Assessment: Flood

The risk assessment in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* provides an overview of flood risk in Oregon and identifies the most significant floods in Oregon's recorded history. It has overall state and regional information, and includes flood related mitigation actions for the entire state. https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASState.pdf

²⁴ 2014 Malheur County NHMP. Reaffirmed by Rich Harriman, personal communication, December 27, 2018.

Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide

This guide describes basic mitigation strategies and resources related to coastal hazards and other natural hazards, including examples from communities in

Oregon. <https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/1909>

Emergency Operations Plans

- The Malheur County Emergency Services Department (Sheriff's Office) keeps emergency plans on file for every major dam in the County, in addition to those in Idaho which could affect the County.²⁵

There are programs to mitigate flooding in Malheur County; these range from federally funded national programs to individual projects by landowners. This section outlines a few of these.

Federal Programs

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

Properties in and near the floodplains in Malheur County are subject to flooding. Since flooding is such a pervasive problem throughout the County, some residents maintain flood insurance policies to help recover from losses incurred from floods. Most people get insurance through the NFIP; however there are some private companies that also offer flood insurance. While it is most common to purchase insurance when properties are within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), not all insurance policy holders are within the SFHA (also known as the 1% or 100-year flood area).

In Malheur County, including the Cities and the unincorporated areas, there are 109 NFIP policies²⁶ in force. The number of policy holders who have private flood insurance is unknown. These numbers suggest that a significant number of property owners lack flood insurance coverage.

Figure FL-4 shows the entire land area of County, the location of the SFHA or 1% flood area, and the .02% flood area. This map uses FEMA printed FIRMs from 1986 that have been digitized by Malheur County staff. Figure FL-5 shows the entire land area of the County with the SFHA or 1% flood area only. The information is from the Statewide Flood Hazard Database for Oregon.

There are 3,548 total parcels within the 1% and .02% areas shown on Figure FL-4. Within that total there are 1,395 parcels in the 1% flood area or SFHA and 2,153 parcels in the .02% flood area.²⁷

The NFIP is a federal program administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The function of the NFIP is to provide flood insurance to homes and businesses located in floodplains at a reasonable cost, and to encourage the location of new development away from the floodplain. The program maps flood risk areas, and requires local implementation to reduce the risk, primarily through restricting new development in floodplains. The maps are known as Flood

²⁵ Ibid.

²⁶ Celinda Adair, Celinda Adair, Department of Land Conservation and Development, personal communication, November 30, 2018.

²⁷ Gina Lewis, Malheur County, personal communication, December 28, 2018

Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Malheur County's FIRMs have not been updated since 1986 and the maps do not reflect current flood patterns.

Flood insurance covers only the improved land, or the actual building structure. Malheur County is a participating community in the NFIP. The number of claims in the Cities, in the unincorporated areas, and the total claims from this program in the County are shown in Table FL-2. It is important to note that property located outside the SFHA may still be subject to severe flooding. FEMA reports that 25% of all flood insurance claims are from owners of property located in low to moderate-risk areas located outside of the SFHA.²⁸

Repetitive loss structures are defined as a NFIP- insured structure that has had at least two paid flood losses of more than \$1,000 each in any 10-year period since 1978²⁹. Repetitive loss structures are troublesome because they continue to expose lives and property to flooding. Local governments and the federal agencies, such as FEMA, attempt to address losses through floodplain insurance and attempts to remove the risk from repetitive loss of properties through projects such as acquiring land and improvements, relocating homes or elevating structures. Continued repetitive loss claims from flood events lead to an increased amount of damage caused by floods, higher insurance rates, and contribute to the rising cost of taxpayer funded disaster relief for flood victims³⁰.

Community Rating System (CRS)

The Community Rating System (CRS) voluntary program recognizes and rewards efforts that go beyond the minimum standards of the NFIP. This recognition is in the form of reduced flood insurance premiums for communities that adopt such standards. CRS encourages voluntary community activities that reduce flood losses, facilitate accurate insurance rating, and promote flood insurance awareness. Malheur County and the Cities do not currently participate in the CRS. For CRS communities, flood insurance premium rates are discounted in increments of 5%; i.e., a Class 1 community would receive a 45% premium discount, while a Class 9 community would receive a 5% discount.³¹ The table below illustrates how the CRS point system is broken down.

²⁸ Federal Emergency Management Agency. Definitions, https://www.fema.gov/txt/rebuild/repetitive_loss_faqs.txt, accessed December 27, 2018.

²⁹ Ibid.

³⁰ National Flood Insurance Program, <https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program>, accessed December 27, 2018.

³¹ Ibid.

Table FL-5 Summary of Points and Insurance Rate Discounts Under CRS

Credit Points	Class	Premium Reductions
0-499	10	0%
500-999	9	5%
1000-1499	8	10%
1500-1999	7	15%
2000-2499	6	20%
2500-2999	5	25%
3000-3499	4	30%
3500-3999	3	35%
4000-4599	2	40%
4500+	1	45%

Source: FEMA, National Flood Insurance Program, <http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program>, accessed December 27, 2018.

State Programs

State Land Use Planning Goals

There are 19 statewide planning goals that guide land use in the State of Oregon. These became law via Senate Bill 100 in 1973.³² One goal in particular focuses on land use planning and natural hazards.

Goal 7 Areas Subject to Natural Disasters and Hazards,³³ requires local governments to identify hazards and adopt appropriate safeguards for land use and development. Goal 7 advocates the continuous incorporation of hazard information in local land use plans and policies.

The communities of Malheur County, Nyssa, Ontario and Vale all have approved comprehensive plans that include information pertinent to Goal 7.

Silver Jackets

The Silver Jackets program is a joint state-federal-local flood mitigation subcommittee, which is tied to a national USACE initiative. Silver Jackets provides a forum where DLCD, DOGAMI, OEM, USACE, FEMA, USGS, and additional federal, state and sometimes local and Tribal agencies can come together to collaboratively plan and implement flood mitigation, optimizing multi-agency utilization of federal assistance by leveraging state/local/Tribal resources, including data/information, talent and funding, and preventing duplication among agencies.

Objectives of this subcommittee include:

³² Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, <https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/OP/Pages/History.aspx>, accessed December 27, 2018.

³³ Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, <https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/OP/Pages/Goals.aspx>, accessed December 27, 2018.

- Facilitate strategic life-cycle flood risk reduction,
- Create or supplement a continuous mechanism to collaboratively solve state-prioritized issues and implement or recommend those solutions,
- Improve processes, identifying and resolving gaps and counteractive programs,
- Leverage and optimize resources,
- Improve and increase flood risk communication and present a unified interagency message, and
- Establish close relationships to facilitate integrated post-disaster recovery solutions.

The State of Oregon established the Silver Jackets as a subcommittee to the IHMT, with the primary intents of strengthening interagency relationships and cooperation, optimizing resources, and improving risk communication and messaging.

County and City Programs

Zoning Ordinance – Floodplain Standards

Community participation in the NFIP requires the adoption and enforcement of a local floodplain management ordinance that controls development in the floodplain. Malheur County and the cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Communities participating in the NFIP may adopt regulations that are more stringent than those contained in 44 CFR 60.3, but not less stringent.³⁴

This type of ordinance is currently in effect in Malheur County, Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale. See links to the websites as noted above. The ordinance identifies the types of uses allowed in the floodplain and floodway; and outlines the compliance procedures and restrictions imposed on each use. It also describes construction performance standards and specifications for flood hazard protection.

Floodplain Development

To minimize damage to structures during flood events, the County requires all new construction in the floodplain to get a floodplain development permit. The permit requires development to be anchored against movement by floodwaters, resistant to flood forces, constructed with flood resistant materials, and flood-proofed or elevated so that the first floor of living space, as well as all mechanical and services, is at least one foot above the elevation of the 100-year flood. These standards apply to new structures and to substantial improvements of existing structures. Critical facilities are required to the extent possible to be outside of the SFHA. Other types of development within the floodplain, such as, grading, cut and fill, installation of riprap, and other bank stabilization techniques also require a floodplain development permit.

Elevation Certificate Maintenance

Elevation certificates are administered by Development Services and are required for buildings constructed in the floodplain in order to demonstrate that the building is elevated adequately to

³⁴ FEMA, Region 10, *Floodplain Management: a Local Administrator's Guide to the National Flood Insurance Program*, <https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/15477>.

protect it from flooding.³⁵ The Elevation Certificate is an important administrative tool of the NFIP. It is used to determine the proper flood insurance premium rate; it can be used to document elevation information necessary to ensure compliance with community floodplain management regulations; and it may be used to support a request for a Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) or Letter of Map Revision based on fill (LOMR-F).

FEMA Flood Maps

The flood maps are known as Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Malheur County's FIRMs have not been updated since 1986 and the maps do not reflect current flood patterns.

Future Changing Conditions/ Climate Change

In the Malheur County NHMP, there are several locations that describe future changing conditions or climate change as it relates to the natural hazards that impact Malheur County. In the order of appearance in the NHMP: the Risk Assessment, the Hazards Annexes, and Appendix F contain this information. Within Appendix F there are two documents, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

Flood Mitigation Action Items

The flood mitigation actions have been identified by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee which includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions Table 2018 NHMP and the action item worksheets in Appendix A for a more detailed description of the mitigation actions.


There are eight specific mitigation actions for flood. The mitigation actions have high-medium priority because the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) resulted in floods having a low high-medium risk level.

There are seven multi-hazard mitigation actions for the NHMP and several of those include flood related mitigation actions, in conjunction with the other hazards. The multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority.

At the August 9, 2018 NHMP Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the HVA would be used as the way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The risk level rankings are in Table 2-5 in Section 2 Risk Assessment.


³⁵ Ibid.

Figure FL-4 Flood Hazard: All Mapped FEMA Zones for Malheur County



Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, January 3, 2019

Figure FL-5 Flood Hazard: FEMA SFHA For Malheur County



Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, January 2, 2019

Risk Score: 60

Risk Level: Low

LANDSLIDE HAZARD ANNEX

Causes and Characteristics of Landslides

Landslides are a geologic hazard in almost every state in America. Nationally, landslides cause 25 to 50 deaths each year.¹ In Oregon, economic losses due to landslides for a typical year are estimated to be over \$10 million.² In years with heavy storms, such as in 1996, losses can be an order of magnitude higher and exceed \$100 million.³ In Oregon, a significant number of locations are at risk to dangerous landslides. While not all landslides result in private property damage, many landslides impact transportation corridors, fuel and energy conduits, and communication facilities. They can also pose a serious threat to the lives of humans and animals, and to the environment.

Types of Landslides

Landslides are downhill or lateral movements of rock, debris, or soil mass. Landslides vary greatly in the volumes of rock and soil involved, the length, width, and depth of the area affected, frequency of occurrence, and speed of movement. Some characteristics that determine the type of landslide are slope of the hillside, moisture content, and the nature of the underlying materials. Landslides are given different names depending on the type of failure and their composition and characteristics. According to Bill Burns of DOGAMI, all landslides can be classified into six types of movement: 1) falls, 2) topples, 3) slides, 4) spreads, 5) flows, and 6) complex. See Figure LS-1 for illustration of landslide types.

Although the factors determining what type of movement will manifest for any given landslide are very complex, the topographic nature of the slope and the type of slope material often play dominant roles. Most slope failures are complex combinations of these distinct types, but the generalized groupings provide a useful means for framing discussion of the type of hazard and potential mitigation alternatives. Movement type should be combined with other landslide characteristics such as type of material, rate of movement, depth of failure, and water content in order to more fully understand the landslide behavior. For a more complete description of the different types of landslides, see U.S. Transportation Research Board *Special Report 247* (Turner and Schuster, 1996), which has an extensive chapter on landslide types and processes.⁴ It is common for failures to reoccur where previous ones happened; this is true for all types of landslide movements and over periods much longer than human recorded history.

¹ Mileti, Dennis. 1999. *Disasters by Design: A Reassessment of Natural Hazards in the United States*. Washington D.C.: Joseph Henry Press.

² Wang, Yumei, Renee D. Summers, R. Jon Hofmeister, and Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries. 2002. *Open-File Report O-02-05: Landslide Loss Estimation Pilot Project in Oregon*. <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/ofr/O-02-05.pdf>, accessed February 14, 2010 and reaffirmed January 22, 2019.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Turner, A. K., and Schuster, R. L., eds., 1996, *Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation*, National Research Council, Transportation Research Board Special Report 247, 673 p.

Figure LS-1 Landslide Types



DOGAMI, Oregon Geology Fact Sheet: Landslide Hazards in Oregon, <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/fs/landslide-factsheet.pdf>

Slides

Slides move in contact with the underlying surface. These movements include rotational slides where sliding material moves along a curved surface and translational slides where movement occurs along a flat surface. These slides are generally slow moving and can be deep. Slow-moving landslides can occur on relatively gentle slopes and can cause significant property damage, but are far less likely to result in serious injuries than rapidly moving landslides.⁵

Topples and Falls

Rock falls occur when blocks of material come loose on steep slopes. Weathering, erosion, or excavations, such as those along highways, can cause falls where the road has been cut through bedrock. They are fast moving with the materials free falling or bouncing down the slope.

In falls, material is detached from a steep slope or cliff. The volume of material involved is generally small, but large boulders or blocks of rock can cause significant damage. Rock falls have the potential to break off power poles located on hillsides.⁶

Spreads

Spreads are an extension and subsidence of commonly cohesive materials overlying layers. They are commonly triggered by earthquakes. Spreads usually occur on gentle slopes near open bodies of water.⁷

Flows

Flows are plastic or liquid movements in which land mass (e.g. soil and rock) breaks up and flows during movement. Earthquakes often trigger flows.⁸ Flows can be channelized and unchannelized, and may also be called debris avalanches and earth flows. Debris flows normally occur when a landslide moves downslope as a semi-fluid mass scouring, or partially scouring soils from the slope along its path. Flows are typically rapidly moving and also tend to increase in volume as they scour out the channel.⁹ Flows often occur during heavy rainfall, can occur on gentle slopes, and can move rapidly for large distances.

The channelized debris flow, which is sometimes referred to as “rapidly moving landslide” can be life threatening. They often initiate on a steep slope, move into a steep channel (or drainage), increase in volume by incorporating channel materials, and then deposit material, usually at the mouth of the channel on existing fans. Debris flows are commonly mobilized by other types of landslides that occur on slopes near a channel. They can also initiate within channels from accelerated erosion during heavy rainfall or snow melt (Bill Burns, personal communication, January 2019).

⁵ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

⁶ Ernie, Eichorn, Field Representative, Chemawa District, Bonneville Power Authority, personal communication, November 10, 2004.

⁷ DOGAMI, *Oregon Geology Fact Sheet: Landslide Hazards in Oregon*, <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/fs/landslide-factsheet.pdf>

⁸ Robert Olson Associates, June 1999, *Metro Regional Hazard Mitigation Policy and Planning Guide*.


⁹ Ibid.

Over time, ditches and culverts beneath hillside roads can become blocked with debris. If the ditches are blocked, run-off from the slopes is inhibited during periods of precipitation. This causes the run-off water to collect in soil, and in some cases, cause a slide. Usually the slides are small (100 – 1,000 cubic yards), but they can be quite large.

Complex

Complex landslides are the combinations of two or more types. A common complex landslide is a slump-earth flow, which usually exhibits slump features in the upper region and earth flow features near the toe.¹⁰

Figure LS-2 Landslide Features



Source: USGS, Landslide Factsheet, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2004/3072/pdf/fs2004-3072.pdf>

Conditions Affecting Landslides

Natural conditions and human activities can both play a role in causing landslides. Certain geologic formations are more susceptible to landslides than others. The incidence of landslides and their impact on people and property can be accelerated by development. Those who are uninformed about geologic conditions and processes may create conditions that can increase the risk of or even trigger landslides.

These are the principal factors that affect or increase the likelihood of landslides:

- Natural conditions and processes including the geology of the site, rainfall, rapid snow melt, freeze/thaw cycles, wave and water action, seismic tremors and earthquakes and volcanic activity.

¹⁰ Burns, Bill and Ian Madin, DOGAM, *Protocol for Inventory Mapping of Landslide Deposits from Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) Imagery*, Special Paper 42, 2009, https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/dds/slido/sp-42_onscreen.pdf.

- Excavation and grading on sloping ground for homes, roads and other structures.
- Drainage and groundwater alterations that are natural or human-caused can trigger landslides. Human activities that may cause slides include broken or leaking water or sewer lines, water retention facilities, irrigation and stream alterations, ineffective stormwater management and excess runoff due to increased impervious surfaces.
- Change or removal of vegetation on very steep slopes due to timber harvesting, land clearing and wildfire.
- Any combination of these factors.¹¹

History of Landslides in Malheur County

Most of Oregon's landslide damage has been associated with severe winter storms where landslide losses can exceed \$100 million in direct damage such as the February 1996 event. Annual average maintenance and repair costs for landslides in Oregon are over \$10 million.¹² During 1996 and 1997, there were five heavier than normal rains that caused thousands of landslides throughout Oregon. Of these, roughly 9,500 were identified and added to a DOGAMI database. Some of these landslides were the reactivation of ancient and historically active landslides and some were new failures.

Table LS-I Significant Historic Landslides/ Debris Flows

Date	Location	Description
Dec. 1964	Statewide	DR-184. Heavy rains and flooding, with landslides, on December 24, 1964.
Feb. 1996	Statewide	DR-1099. Heavy rains and rapidly melting snow contributed to hundreds of landslides / debris flows across the state; many occurred on clear cuts that damaged logging roads.
Dec. 2003-Jan. 2004	Statewide	DR-1510. Winter storms with landslides.
May 2006	Statewide	DR-1632. Statewide impacts from storms, floods, landslides, and mudslides.
Dec. 2008	Statewide	DR-1824. Severe winter storm, flooding, winds, record and near record snow, landslides and mudslides. Gresham received, 26" of snow. Many roads closed. Significant damages to public infrastructure, homes and businesses. Event occurred Dec. 20-26.
Jan. 2011	Statewide	DR-1956. Severe winter storm, flooding, mudslides, landslides, and debris flows.
Jan. 2012	W. Oregon	DR-4055. The incident was January 12-21, 2012. Severe winter storm with flooding, landslides, and mudslides. Declaration involves 12 counties including Benton, Columbia, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Hood River, Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Marion, Polk, and Tillamook.

Source: University of Oregon, Malheur County NHMP, May 2014; DLCD, Oregon NHMP, 2015; FEMA, Disaster Declarations for Oregon, retrieved 2017; Hazards and Vulnerability Research Institute (2007); the Spatial Hazard Events and Losses Database for the United States, Version 5.1 (online database), Columbia, S.C: University of South Carolina, available from <http://www.shieldus.org/>.

DOGAMI maps the State Landslide Information Layer for Oregon (SLIDO). The database contains only landslides that have been located on these maps. Many landslides have not yet been located or

¹¹ DOGAMI, Oregon Geology Fact Sheet: Landslide Hazards in Oregon, <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/fs/landslide-factsheet.pdf>

¹² Wang and Chaker, DOGAMI, 2004, *Geological Hazards Study for the Columbia River Transportation Corridor*, Open File Report OFR 0-4-08, <https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/ofr/O-04-08.pdf>.

are not on these maps and therefore are not in this database. This database does not contain information about relative hazards¹³.

Compared to other natural hazards with the potential to affect Malheur County and a proven history of past damages, landslides are not considered a major hazard.

The map in Figure LS-3 shows the vast majority of Malheur County to be at low risk for landslide activity, though the map also shows a fair amount of moderate susceptibility. There are a few clusters of high and very high susceptibility. This information is based on SLIDO (version 3.4) and the *2016 Landslide Susceptibility Overview Map of Oregon* with its corresponding Open File Report, O-16-02 (<https://www.oregongeology.org/pubs/ofr/p-O-16-02.htm>). Historically, no severe landslide events have occurred and been recorded in Malheur County, and Steering Committee members did not identify any events other than some small-scale chronic rock fall and areas with unstable ground; see the Vulnerability Assessment below.

Risk Assessment

How are Hazards Identified?

Geologic and geographic factors are important in identifying landslide-prone areas. Stream channels, for example, have major influences on landslides, due to undercutting of slopes by stream erosion and long-term hillside processes. The severity or extent of landslides is typically a function of geology and the landslide triggering mechanism. Even small slides can cause property damage, result in environmental destruction, and cause injuries or death to people and animals.

The Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF) *Storm Impacts and Landslides of 1996: Final Report* conducted after the 1996-97 landslide events found that the highest probability for the initiation of shallow, rapidly moving landslides was on slopes of 70 to 80 percent steepness. A moderate hazard of shallow rapid landslide initiation can exist on slopes between 50 and 70 percent.¹⁴

Areas at risk to landslides do not always have steep slopes (25 percent or greater,) or a history of nearby landslides. As indicated by the DOGAMI Open File Report O-16-02 and Special Paper 42, both previously mentioned, landslide hazards may be more effectively recognized using Light Detection and Ranging Imagery (LIDAR or lidar). Using lidar to craft inventory maps as well as shallow and deep susceptibility maps provides a substantial amount of information on the location and nature of the landslide hazards. Further mapping of Malheur County for landslides hazards is recommended.

Hazard Risk Analysis

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee completed a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment/Analysis (HVA) during this NHMP update. This was described in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The method used for the HVA was developed from a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) tool that has been refined by the Oregon Office of Emergency Management (OEM). It addresses and weights (shown as percent within parentheses) probability (29%), vulnerability

¹³ DOGAMI. Statewide Landslide Information Database for Oregon (SLIDO 3.4).
<https://www.oregongeology.org/slido/index.htm>

¹⁴ Oregon Department of Forestry, *Storm Impacts and Landslides of 1996: Final Report*, June 1999.
<https://digital.osl.state.or.us/islandora/object/osl%3A19728>

(21%), maximum threat (42%) and the history (8%) of each natural hazard and attributes a final hazard analysis score. The methodology produces scores that range from 24 to 240.

For local governments, conducting the HVA is a useful step in planning for hazard mitigation. The method provides the jurisdiction with a relative ranking from which to prioritize mitigation actions, but does not predict the occurrence of a particular hazard.

In 2013 landslide hazards had a risk score of 165 and a rank of 4 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 earthquake hazards had a risk score of 60 and a rank of 11 out of 11 natural hazards.

For more information on all the risk scores and ranks of the natural hazards, see Volume I Basic Plan, Section 2 Risk Assessment of this NHMP.

Probability Assessment

As has been noted in this Annex already, many factors contribute to the probability of landslides. The probability of an area to have a landslide is increased depending on the factors that reduce the stability without causing failure. When several of these factors are combined, such as an area with steep slopes, weak geologic material, and previous landslide movement, the probability of future landslides is increased. There is a strong correlation between intensive winter rainstorms and the occurrence of rapidly moving landslides (debris flows). The Oregon Department of Forestry tracks storms during the rainy season, monitors rain gauges and snow melt, and issues warnings as conditions warrant. Other agencies such as ODOT, DOGAMI, USGS, and National Weather Service also track weather conditions and potential landslide situations.

Vulnerability Assessment

To a large degree, landslides are very difficult to predict. Vulnerability assessments assist in predicting how different types of property and population groups will be affected by a hazard.¹⁵ The optimum method for doing this analysis at the city or county level is to use parcel-specific assessment data on land use and structures.¹⁶ Data that includes specific landslide-prone and debris flow locations in the county can be used to assess the population and total value of property at risk from future landslide occurrences.

Landslides can occur on their own or in conjunction with other hazards, such as flash flooding, when the damage is likely to compound and cascade. Depending upon the type, location, severity and area affected, severe property damage, injuries and loss of life can be caused by landslide hazards. Landslides can damage or temporarily disrupt utility services, block off or damage roads, critical lifeline services such as police, fire, medical, utility and communication systems, and emergency response. Were a significant landslide to occur along the Owyhee Reservoir, it could cause spillover flooding over the dam or other problems with the current dam and irrigation system.

Landslides could potentially occur nearly anywhere in Malheur County with slopes, but the risk in most locations is low. The greatest risk is located in areas with steep slopes or weak geologic material. Currently the County does not have sufficient data to calculate potential landslide losses.

¹⁵ Burby, R., ed. 1998. *Cooperating with Nature*.

¹⁶ Ibid.

This is due both to the unpredictability of landslide severity/location, limited local records in terms of quantifying losses, and lack of lidar mapping at the County level.

Although there is no record of major landslide events, the following areas in the county are at greater landslide risk due to steep slopes and unstable ground:

- The westernmost 20-30 miles of Highway 20 near Juntura.
- Lytle Boulevard, which is the main access road to Owyhee Dam for Vale residents, experiences chronic minor rock fall and debris due to the steepness of the slope into which the road was constructed. The County road department maintains this road and if serious rock fall or landslide activity occurred, access to and from the dam could be completely cut off until the debris was cleared. No such serious incidents have occurred. The main road to Owyhee Dam for others is Highway 201.
- Owyhee Dam is also vulnerable in certain places along the reservoir and its canal system to landslides and debris flow – a major landslide into Owyhee Dam when full could cause spillover and temporary flooding. One area at the dam site prone to chronic minor landslides has been reinforced and thus far has had no further landslide activity.
- Along the banks of Lake Owyhee (Owyhee Reservoir).
- A portion of Oregon Highway 201 near the northern border of the County (known locally as “The Slides”) experiences chronic ground instability and must be re-coated with asphalt annually at a cost of \$30,000/year to ODOT. It is a low-volume road and is not slated for major repair efforts on the state or local level. It is documented as a concern, but no Action Items have been developed at this time.
- The City of Nyssa’s three-million gallon water storage tank sits atop a hillside adjacent to Main Street (highway 26) that is prone to erosion from irrigation of nearby fields. If this erosion continues without reinforcing the tank and hillside, it is at risk of damaging 2 nearby houses and blocking Highway 26.

Community Hazard Issues

What is susceptible to damage during a hazard event?

Depending upon the type, location, severity and area affected, severe property damage, injuries and loss of life can be caused by landslide hazards. Landslides can damage or temporarily disrupt utility services, roads and other transportation systems and critical lifeline services such as police, fire, medical, utility and communication systems, and emergency response. In addition to the immediate damage and loss of services, disruption of roads, infrastructure and critical facilities and services may have longer term impacts on the economy of the community and surrounding area.

These factors can increase the risk to people and property from the effects of landslides:

- Improper excavation practices, sometimes aggravated by drainage issues, can reduce the stability of otherwise stable slopes.
- Allowing development on or adjacent to existing landslides or known landslide-prone areas raises the risk of future slides regardless of excavation and drainage practices. Homeowners

and developers should understand that in many potential landslide settings there are no development practices that can completely assure slope stability from future slide events.

- Building on fairly gentle slopes can still be subject to landslides that begin a long distance away from the development. Sites at greatest risk are those situated against the base of very steep slopes, in confined stream channels (small canyons), and on fans (rises) at the mouth of these confined channels. Home siting practices do not cause these landslides, but rather put residents and property at risk of landslide impacts. In these cases, the simplest way to avoid such potential effects is to locate development out of the impact area, or construct debris flow diversions for the structures that are at risk.
- Certain forest practices can contribute to increased risk of landslides. Forest practices may alter the physical landscape and its vegetation, which can affect the stability of steep slopes. Physical alterations can include slope steepening, slope-water effects, and changes in soil strength. Of all forest management activities, roads have the greatest effects on slope stability, although changing road construction and maintenance practices are reducing the effects of forest roads on landslides.
- High rainfall accumulation in a short period of time increases the probability of landslide. An extreme winter storm can produce inches of rainfall in a 24 hour period; if the storm occurs well into the winter season, when the ground is already saturated, the hydraulic overload effect is heightened.

CITY SPECIFIC DAMAGE

Ontario

The City of Ontario has had no problems with landslides in city limits in known history and is located in a generally stable area. A few neighborhoods on the northwest edge of the City and immediately outside of city limits (Ontario Heights) are located on steep hillsides surrounding the town but have not experienced problems in the past.

Nyssa

The City of Nyssa has had no problems with landslides in city limits in known history and is located in a generally stable area. However, Nyssa's water storage tank, which has a three million gallon capacity, is located on an unstable hillside that has experienced erosion from irrigation runoff from nearby agricultural fields. Mitigation has occurred to reduce immediate threats with terracing and drainage improvements (installation of French drain) to the hillside. There are at least two residential homes in the path of the water storage tank which would be impacted should it ever fall, in addition to Highway 26, which is the city's Main Street and a major route into Idaho to the east.

Vale

The City of Vale has not had problems with landslides in city limits in known history and is located in a generally stable area. Outside of the City, in unincorporated areas, there are areas of steep hillsides. These areas have not experienced significant problems in the past.

Existing Hazard Mitigation Activities and Resources

State Natural Hazard Risk Assessment

The risk assessment in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* provides an overview of landslide risk in Oregon and identifies the most significant landslides in Oregon's recorded history. It has overall state and regional information, and includes landslide mitigation actions for the entire state. https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASState.pdf

Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide

This guide describes basic mitigation strategies and resources related to landslides and other natural hazards, including examples from communities in Oregon. <https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/1909>

Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF)

According to the *Forest Facts: Landslides and Debris Flows* handout on their website, "the Oregon Department of Forestry regulates forest practices to manage landslide risk in order to protect the public's safety. Forest Practices Act rules for timber harvesting and constructing roads help minimize surface erosion and the potential for landslides, which provides protection for natural resources. The department's geotechnical specialists assist foresters and landowners by providing guidance and assessing the landslide hazards and risks.

Rule protections include such measures as prohibiting timber harvest, specifying how trees should be replanted or roads should be constructed, leaving trees and vegetation undisturbed along streams, and requiring that trees be harvested with a skyline cable logging system, rather than using ground-based equipment"

<https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/AboutODF/LandslidesDebrisFlowsFactsheet.pdf>.

The ODF debris flow maps include locations subject to naturally occurring debris flows and include the initiation sites and locations along the paths of potential debris flows (confined stream channels and locations below steep slopes). These maps neither consider the effects of management-related slope alterations (drainage and excavation) that can increase the hazard, nor do they consider very large landslides that could possibly be triggered by volcanic or earthquake activity. Areas identified in these maps are not to be considered "further review areas" as defined by Senate Bill 12 (1999).¹⁷

Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI)

The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) "works to increase understanding of Oregon's geologic resources and hazards through science and stewardship" (<https://www.oregongeology.org/default.htm>) and has many landslide related resources. <https://www.oregongeology.org/Landslide/landslidehome.htm>. Resources previously mentioned such as the *Landslide Hazards Fact Sheet*, *SLIDO*, and the *Landslide Susceptibility Overview Map* of Oregon with its corresponding *Open File Report, O-16-02*, are just a few of the

¹⁷ 2014 Malheur County NHMP identifies the source as the *Western Oregon Debris Flow Hazard Maps: Methodology and Guidance for Map Use*. 1999.

items found on their website. DOGAMI also has the Oregon HazVu: Statewide Geohazard Viewer where you can type in an address and discover the geohazards impacting that site. <https://www.oregongeology.org/hazvu/>

A historic example, after the 1996-1997 storm events, DOGAMI developed a landslide public outreach brochure in cooperation with several other state agencies. Forty thousand copies were printed in November 1997 and were distributed widely through building code officials, county planners, local emergency managers, natural resource agency field offices, banks, real estate companies, insurance companies, and other outlets.¹⁸

Debris Flow Warning System

The debris flow warning system was initiated in 1997 and involves collaboration between ODF, DOGAMI, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), local law enforcement, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Weather Radio and other media.

DOGAMI's website states, "Throughout the rainy season, the National Weather Service highlights the potential for debris flows and landslides as part of a flood watch, for areas included in the flood watch" (<https://www.oregongeology.org/Landslide/debrisflow.htm>). The information is provided by the National Weather Service (NWS) and broadcast via the NOAA Weather Radio, and on the Law Enforcement Data System. The information provided does not include the Debris Flow Warning System as originally designed. NWS provides the following language in their flood watches that highlights the potential for landslides and debris flows¹⁹:

A flood watch means there is a potential for flooding based on current forecasts. Landslides and debris flows are possible during this flood event. People, structures and roads located below steep slopes, in canyons and near the mouths of canyons may be at serious risk from rapidly moving landslides.

DOGAMI provides information on debris flows through the media. ODOT provides warning signs to motorists in landslide prone areas during high-risk periods.

Oregon State Building Code Standards

The Oregon Building Codes Division adopts statewide standards for building construction that are administered by the state and local municipalities throughout Oregon. The *2017 Oregon Residential Special Code (ORSC)* contains requirements for one- and two-family dwellings (https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/document/1018?site_type=public) and the *2014 Oregon Structural Special Code (OSSC)* (http://ecodes.biz/ecodes_support/free_resources/Oregon/14_Structural/14_ORStructural_main.html) contains provisions for grading and site preparation for the construction of building foundations.

Both codes contain requirements for cut, fill and sloping of the lot in relationship to the location of the foundation. There are also building setback requirements from the top and bottom of slopes.

¹⁸ 2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

¹⁹ NOAA, NWS. Letter dated December 20, 2010 from Stephen K. Todd, Meteorologist-in-Charge.

The codes specify foundation design requirements to accommodate the type of soils, the soil bearing pressure, and the compaction and lateral loads from soil and ground water on sloped lots.

The building official has the authority to require a soils analysis for any project where it appears the site conditions do not meet the requirements of the code, or that special design considerations must be taken. ORS 455.447 and the OSSC require a seismic site hazard report for projects that include essential facilities such as hospitals, fire and police stations and emergency response facilities, and special occupancy structures, such as large schools and prisons. This report includes consideration of any potentially unstable soils and landslides.²⁰

Future Changing Conditions/ Climate Change

In the Malheur County NHMP, there are several locations that describe future changing conditions or climate change as it relates to the natural hazards that impact Malheur County. In the order of appearance in the NHMP: the Risk Assessment, the Hazards Annexes, and Appendix F contain this information. Within Appendix F there are two documents, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

Landslide Mitigation Action Items

The landslide mitigation actions have been identified by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee which includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP and the action item worksheets in Appendix A for a more detailed description of the mitigation actions.


There are no landslide specific mitigation actions. If there were, the mitigation action would have a low priority because the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) resulted in landslides having a low risk level.

There are seven multi-hazard mitigation actions for the NHMP and several of those include landslide related mitigation actions, in conjunction with the other hazards. The multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority.

At the August 9, 2018 NHMP Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the HVA would be used as the way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The risk level rankings are in Table 2-5 in Section 2 Risk Assessment.

²⁰ OPDR, *Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide*, July 2000, Chapter 5.

Figure LS-3 Malheur County Landslide Susceptibility Map



Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 31, 2018.

There are five hazards in this Annex. See below.

SEVERE WEATHER HAZARD ANNEX

Causes and Characteristics of Severe Weather

The purpose of this annex is to summarize four different hazards dust storm, extreme temperature, wind storm, and winter storm (collectively called severe weather); provide their hazards history; and list the risk score and risk level for each hazard. Climate data is included. The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee determined a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment risk score (described later in this Annex and previously in Volume 1 Section 2 Risk Assessment) for severe weather, as well as for dust storm, extreme temperature, wind storm, and winter storm. These weather related hazards have significant impacts on the County and Cities. In Ontario, they sometimes open warming and cooling centers in extreme temperature or severe weather events.

Severe Weather (Rain, Hail, etc.)

Because Malheur County is located in an arid high desert region, severe thunderstorms with significant quantities of rain are not as frequent an occurrence as in other parts of Oregon. However, when these events do occur, they can exacerbate or cause other hazards, including landslides and flash floods. Lightning is another significant concern that stems from thunderstorms, as it is a primary source of wildfires in the summer season. Microbursts are another thunderstorm-related hazard. A microburst is a localized column of rapidly sinking air, producing damaging divergent and straight-line winds, often with precipitation, in an area 4 km or less. Microbursts are similar to tornadoes and can produce winds of over 75 mph. Winds from microbursts have been recorded up to 49 mph in Malheur County. While infrequent, tornadoes have occurred historically in the County and have caused damages to property (no injuries or deaths have been recorded).

Risk Score: 165

Risk Level: High-Medium

Dust Storm

A dust storm is a strong, violent wind that carries fine particles such as silt, sand, clay, and other materials, often for long distances. A dust storm can spread over hundreds of miles and rise over 10,000 feet. They have wind speeds of at least 25 miles per hour. Dust storms usually arrive with little warning and advance in the form of a big wall of dust and debris. The dust is blinding, making driving safely a challenge. A dust storm may last only a few minutes at any given location, but often leave behind damage such as car accidents. The arid regions of southeastern Oregon can experience sudden dust storms on windy days. These are produced by the interaction of strong winds, fine-grained surface material, and landscapes with little vegetation. The winds involved can be as small as "dust devils" or as large as fast moving regional air masses.¹

Risk Score: 116

Risk Level: Medium

¹ OPDR, 2012 Oregon NHMP, <https://oregonexplorer.info/content/oregon-natural-hazard-mitigation-plan-2012>.

Extreme Temperatures

Southeast Oregon can also be a place of extreme temperatures events. From extreme cold spells to extreme heat waves, extreme temperatures events have the potential to inflict serious health damage. In extreme heat environments the body must work harder to maintain a normal temperature, these conditions can induce health related illnesses, particularly among vulnerable populations. In most of the United States, extreme heat is defined as a long period (2 to 3 days) of high heat and humidity with temperatures above 90 degrees.² Extreme cold events can be described similarly in that the body must work harder and conditions can cause overexertion. Hypothermia, injuries from car accidents, frostbite, and carbon monoxide poisoning can also result from extreme cold.³ The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee noted that consecutive hot days have increased and in the past 10 years they have set records for that.

Risk Score: 126

Risk Level: Medium

Wind Storm

Extreme winds occur throughout Oregon. The most persistent high winds take place along the Oregon Coast and in the Columbia River Gorge. However, extreme weather events occur in all regions of Oregon.⁴ West winds generated from the Pacific Ocean are strongest along the coast and slow down inland due to the obstruction of the Coastal mountain range.⁵ Prevailing winds in Oregon vary with the seasons. In summer, the most common wind directions are from the west or northwest; in winter, they are from the south and east. Local topography, however, plays a major role in affecting wind direction.⁶ The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee stated that the wind blows at a particularly high level during March and April.

Risk Score: 195

Risk Level: High-Medium

Areas most vulnerable to wind storm damage are concentrated near the five incorporated cities and the unincorporated communities. Cairo Junction is particularly vulnerable to wind storms due to wind tunneling. Wind storms can have negative effects on agricultural, residential, and commercial property. The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee identified wind storms as the third highest risk score after drought (risk score 240) and winter storms (risk score 226).

As mentioned in the Severe Weather paragraph previously, although rare, tornadoes can and do occur in Oregon. Tornadoes are the most concentrated and violent storms produced by the earth's atmosphere. They are created by a vortex of rotating winds and strong vertical motion, which possess remarkable strength and cause widespread damage. Wind speeds in excess of 300 mph have been observed within tornadoes, and it is suspected that some tornado winds exceed 400 mph. The low pressure at the center of a tornado can destroy buildings and other structures.

² FEMA, *Extreme Heat*, <http://www.ready.gov/heat>.

³ FEMA, *Snowstorms and Extreme Cold*, <https://www.ready.gov/winter-weather>.

⁴OPDR, *2012 Oregon NHMP*, <https://oregonexplorer.info/content/oregon-natural-hazard-mitigation-plan-2012>.

⁵2014 Malheur County NHMP, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

⁶Statesman Journal, February 8, 2002.

Tornadoes are most common in the Midwest, and are more infrequent and generally small west of the Rockies. Nonetheless, Oregon and other western states have experienced tornadoes on occasion, many of which have produced significant damage and occasionally injury or death. Oregon's tornadoes can be formed in association with large Pacific storms arriving from the west. Most of them, however, are caused by intense local thunderstorms. These storms also produce lightning, hail, and heavy rain, and are more common during the warm season from April to October.⁷ Southeast Oregon's relatively low population may cause many tornadoes to go unreported.⁸

Winter Storm

Severe winter storms can consist of rain, freezing rain, ice, snow, cold temperatures, and wind. Specific characteristics of winter storms vary by temperature, wind velocity, ground saturation, and snowpack. Winter storms occur over eastern

Risk Score: 226

Risk Level: High

Oregon regularly during December through February.⁹ Southeast Oregon is known for cold, snowy winters. This is advantageous in at least one respect: in general, the region is prepared, and those visiting the region during the winter, usually come prepared. However, there are occasions when preparation cannot meet the challenge or the unprepared find themselves in a storm.

Drifting, blowing snow has often brought traffic to a standstill on highways and other roads. Windy, icy conditions have often closed mountain passes and canyons to certain classes of truck traffic. In these situations, travelers must seek accommodations, sometimes in communities where lodging is very limited. For local residents heating, food, and the care of livestock and other animals are everyday concerns; winter storms can provide challenges to accomplishing those tasks. Access to farms and ranches can be difficult and present a serious challenge to local emergency managers.¹⁰

Ice storms can occur anywhere in Oregon. Like snow, ice storms are comprised of cold temperatures and moisture, but subtle changes can result in varying types of ice formation, including freezing rain, sleet, and hail. While sleet and hail can create hazards for motorists when it accumulates, freezing rain can cause the most dangerous conditions within a community. Ice buildup can bring down trees, communication towers, buildings, and electrical lines creating hazards for property owners, motorists, and pedestrians alike. The most common freezing rain occurs near the Columbia Gorge, but it also poses a hazard to Southeast Oregon.¹¹ Snowstorms are common to central and eastern Oregon because the air can get cold enough and the only necessary ingredient is sufficient moisture.

⁷Taylor, George H., Holly Bohman, and Luke Foster, August 1996, *A History of Tornadoes in Oregon*, Oregon Climate Service. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University.

⁸ Taylor, George; Hatton Raymond, *Oregon Weather Book*, 1999, <http://osupress.oregonstate.edu/book/oregon-weather-book>.

⁹OPDR, 2012 *Oregon NHMP*, Winter Storms Chapter, <https://oregonexplorer.info/content/oregon-natural-hazard-mitigation-plan-2012>.


¹⁰ Ibid

¹¹ Taylor, George; Hatton Raymond, *Oregon Weather Book*, 1999, <http://osupress.oregonstate.edu/book/oregon-weather-book>.

Climate Data for Malheur County

The NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information (<https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/>) has established climate divisions in the United States for areas that have similar temperature and precipitation characteristics. Oregon's latitude, topography, and proximity to the Pacific Ocean give the state diversified climates. Malheur County is in Climate Division 9, Southeast, as seen in Figure SW-1. Oregon Climate Service is the recognized American Association of State Climatologists (AASC) (<https://www.stateclimate.org/about>) climate office for Oregon. It is housed in the College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science at Oregon State University (CEOAS)¹² which also houses the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI). OCCRI has provided climate change information for the Malheur County NHMP. In addition to the short description of climate change or future changing conditions in this Annex, see also Volume I Section 2 Risk Assessment and Appendix F for detailed information on climate change as it relates to natural hazards. Appendix C Community Profile also includes climate information for Malheur County.

Figure SW-1 Oregon's Climate Divisions



Source: NOAA, National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center, https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/regional_monitoring/CLIM_DIVS/oregon.gif

¹²Oregon Climate Service, <http://ocs.oregonstate.edu/>.

Climate data such as precipitation, temperature, and sunshine provides a framework for understanding the climate in Malheur County - information for Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale is included - and how it relates to natural hazards and hazard events. The U.S. Climate Data website is <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/ontario/oregon/united-states/usor0258>.

According to the U.S. Climate Data website, Tables SW-1 and 2, and Figure SW-2 are based on the climate data for Ontario, OR 97914 - 1961-1990 normals.

Table SW-1 Ontario Weather Averages by Month

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Average high in °F:	34	43	56	65	74	84
Average low in °F:	18	24	31	37	44	51
Av. precipitation in inch:	1.3	0.87	0.87	0.67	0.94	0.63
Days with precipitation:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hours of sunshine:	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Average high in °F:	93	92	81	66	47	36
Average low in °F:	57	54	44	34	26	20
Av. precipitation in inch:	0.31	0.28	0.47	0.55	1.18	1.42
Days with precipitation:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hours of sunshine:	-	-	-	-	-	-


Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/ontario/oregon/united-states/usor0258>

Table SW-2 Ontario Weather Averages by Year

Annual high temperature:	64.3°F
Annual low temperature:	36.7°F
Average temperature:	50.5°F
Average annual precipitation - rainfall:	9.49 inch
Days per year with precipitation - rainfall:	-
Annual hours of sunshine:	-
Av. annual snowfall:	-

Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/ontario/oregon/united-states/usor0258>

Figure SW-2 Ontario Climate Graph



Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/ontario/oregon/united-states/usor0258>

According to the U.S. Climate Data website, Tables SW-3 and 4, and Figure SW-3 are based on the climate data for Nyssa, OR 97913 - 1981-2010 normals.

Table SW-3 Nyssa Weather Averages by Month

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Average high in °F:	36	44	57	65	74	82
Average low in °F:	21	24	31	37	45	52
Av. precipitation in inch:	1.22	0.91	0.98	0.91	1.14	0.87
Days with precipitation:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hours of sunshine:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average snowfall in inch:	4	2	0	0	0	0
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Average high in °F:	92	91	80	66	48	36
Average low in °F:	58	56	46	35	27	21
Av. precipitation in inch:	0.24	0.28	0.47	0.71	1.14	1.5
Days with precipitation:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hours of sunshine:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average snowfall in inch:	0	0	0	0	1	5


Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/nyssa/oregon/united-states/usor0252>

Table SW-4 Nyssa Weather Averages by Year

Annual high temperature:	64.3°F
Annual low temperature:	37.8°F
Average temperature:	51.05°F
Average annual precipitation - rainfall:	10.37 inch
Days per year with precipitation - rainfall:	-
Annual hours of sunshine:	-
Av. annual snowfall:	12 inch

Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/nyssa/oregon/united-states/usor0252>

Figure SW-3 Nyssa Climate Graph



Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/nyssa/oregon/united-states/usor0252>

According to the U.S. Climate Data website, Tables SW-5 and 6, and Figure SW-4 are based on the climate data for Vale, OR 97918 - 1961-1990 normals.

Table SW-5 Vale Weather Average by Month

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Average high in °F:	35	44	57	66	75	84
Average low in °F:	18	24	30	35	44	51
Av. precipitation in inch:	1.22	0.94	0.98	0.87	1.06	0.75
Days with precipitation:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hours of sunshine:	-	-	-	-	-	-

	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Average high in °F:	93	92	80	66	48	37
Average low in °F:	56	53	42	33	26	18
Av. precipitation in inch:	0.47	0.39	0.51	0.63	1.1	1.34
Days with precipitation:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hours of sunshine:	-	-	-	-	-	-


Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/vale/oregon/united-states/usor0362>

Table SW-6 Vale Weather Averages by Year

Annual high temperature:	64.8°F
Annual low temperature:	35.8°F
Average temperature:	50.3°F
Average annual precipitation - rainfall:	10.26 inch
Days per year with precipitation - rainfall:	-
Annual hours of sunshine:	-
Av. annual snowfall:	-

Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/vale/oregon/united-states/usor0362>

Figure SW-4 Vale Climate Graph



Source: U.S. Climate Data, <https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/vale/oregon/united-states/usor0362>

History of Severe Weather in Malheur County

All of Malheur County is susceptible to severe weather. Elevation in the county varies from just above 2,000 feet above sea level (Ontario) to nearly 8,000 feet above sea level (the Trout Creek Mountains in the southern portion of the County). As such, severe weather varies by location. Table SW-7 includes a list of severe weather events that have occurred in Malheur County.

Table SW-7 Significant Severe Weather History

Date	Location	Type of Severe Weather	Description
Dec. 1861	Statewide	Snow	Snowfall 1-3 inches. Snow in Willamette Valley until late February 1862.
Dec. 1892	Northern counties in OR	Snow	15-30 inches of snow fell throughout the northern counties.
Jan. 1916	Statewide	Snow	Two snow storms, each dropped 5 inches or more.
Dec. 1924	Statewide	Cold	Coldest December on record at that time. Drewsey and Riverside set a state record for the lowest temperature at -53 F.
Winter 1927, 1933, 1936, 1937, 1943, 1949	Portland area, W. Oregon, Statewide	Snow	Heavy snowfall. On January 20-25, 1927, the Harney Experiment Station reached -36 F. In February 1933, it was the coldest February to date for eastern Oregon. Ukiah and Seneca reached -54. Jan. 31 – Feb. 4 in 1937 had heavy snows statewide.
Apr. 1931	Western and central Oregon	Winter, wind, and dust storms	Unofficial wind speeds reported at 78 mph. Damaged fruit orchards and timber. Dust in the Santiam Canyon.
Mar. 1935	Central Oregon	Dust Storm	Dust storm reduced visibility to a few hundred yards over several counties. A fine county of dust on the fields and highways.
Jan. 1950	Statewide	Snow	Friday the 13 th Storm. Heaviest snowfall since 1890. Freezing rain. Deep snowdrifts closed all highways west of

Date	Location	Type of Severe Weather	Description
			the Cascades and through the Columbia Gorge. Roads and schools closed. Downed power lines. Severed communication. Hundreds of thousands of dollars in property damage.
Nov. 1951	Statewide	Winter and wind storm	Nov. 10-11. Widespread damage. Transmission and utility lines damaged. Wind speeds were 40-60 mph and gusts 75-80 mph.
Dec. 1951	Statewide	Winter and wind storm	Statewide storm with wind speeds 60 mph in Willamette Valley. Widespread damage to buildings and utility lines.
Dec. 1955	Statewide	Winter and wind storm	Wind speeds 55-65 with 69 mph gust. Considerable damage to buildings and utility lines.
Nov. 1958	Statewide	Wind storm	Wind speeds at 51 mph with 71 mph gusts. Every major highway blocked by fallen trees.
Mar. 1960	Statewide	Snow	Snowfall amounts were 3-12 inches depending on location.
Oct. 1962	Statewide	Winter storm	DR-136. 1962 Columbus Day Storm. Most severe windstorm for Western Oregon due to sustained wind speeds and damage levels. Winds in the Willamette Valley up to 116 mph. 84 homes destroyed, 5,000 severely damaged. Killed 38 people and created \$170-200 million in damages in the state.
Dec. 1964	Statewide	Heavy rains and flooding	DR-184. The statewide event occurred on December 24, 1964. Lake County was affected.
Aug. 1966	Malheur County	Tornado	The tornado was from Adrian to the Oregon border just north of Ontario. Several farm buildings were destroyed; trees uprooted; and telephone poles displaced.
Jun. 1967	Malheur County	Tornado	There were two tornadoes that occurred 13 miles west of Sheaville. They were limited in extent and duration; one was damaging and one was not.
Jun. 1967	Malheur County	Tornado	The tornado is listed as remote in location and some damage.
Jan. 1969	Statewide	Snow	On January. 25-30 there was record-breaking snowfalls. \$3 to \$4 million in property damage.
Jun. 1969	Malheur County	Tornado	The tornado occurred 40-60 miles west of Jordan Valley. Some grain fields were damaged.
Mar. 1971	Statewide	Winter storm	Great damage in the Willamette Valley; homes and power lines destroyed by falling trees.
Jan. 1972	W. Oregon	Storms and flooding	DR-319. Storm and flooding events on January 21, 1972.
Jan. 1974	W. Oregon	Rain on snow, flooding	DR-413. Flooding resulted from rain on snow events. Willamette River at Portland crested at 25.7 feet. Nine counties declared disasters.
Apr. 1974	Malheur County	Tornado	The tornado hit 10 miles SW of Nyssa; destroyed farm buildings.
Jan. 1980	Statewide	Winter storm	On January 9-11, there were a series of storms bringing snow, ice, wind, and freezing rain. Six fatalities.
Nov. 1981	Statewide	Winter storm	The strongest windstorm since the Columbus Day storm in 1962.
Dec. 1983	Malheur County	Winter Storm	There was \$15,277 crop and property damage on December 19.
Nov. 1984	Malheur County	Thunderstorm and Winter storm	There was \$14,027 crop and property damage on November 1.
Feb. 1985	Statewide	Snow	Western valleys received 2-4 inches of snow. Massive power failures (tree limbs broke power lines). 2 feet of snow in northeast mountains. Event occurred on February 7-8.
Feb. 1986	Central and Eastern Oregon	Snow	Heavy snow in the Deschutes Basin and in eastern Oregon. Traffic accidents and broken power lines occurred.
Mar. 1988	Statewide	Winter storm	Strong winds. Heavy snow.
Feb. 1989	Statewide	Winter storm	Heavy snowfall. Record low temperatures. Event occurred February 1-8.
Jan. 1990	Statewide	Winter storm	Heavy rain with winds greater than 75 mph; significant damage; 1 death. Event occurred January 6-8. Snow in Cascades. On January 7 there was a thunderstorm in Malheur County with \$152,776 crop damage.

Date	Location	Type of Severe Weather	Description
Feb. 1990	Statewide	Snow	Average snowfall from one storm was about 4 inches in the Willamette Valley. The storm brought 24-35 inches of snow to Cascade Locks and Hood River. Event occurred February 11-16.
Aug. 1990	Malheur County	Thunderstorm	There was a thunder storm with hail; \$27,500 in crop and property damage.
Jan. 1991	Eastern Oregon	Winter storm	On January 6 and 7 there was a snow storm resulting in 1-6 inches of snow.
Jan. 1991	Most of Oregon	Severe wind storm	Severe wind storm impacts. Event occurred January 11-12.
Mar. 1991	Mid-Columbia/NE Oregon	Severe wind storm	Severe wind storm impacts.
Jul. 1991	Malheur County	Thunderstorm	On July 13 there was a thunder storm with \$50,000 in crop and property damage.
Dec. 1991	N. Central OR	Severe wind storm	Blowing dust. Event occurred December 12.
Dec. 1992	W. Oregon	Snow and wind	Heavy snow. Interstate 5 closed. Northeastern mountains had severe wind.
Jan. 1993	Northern OR	Wind storm	Severe wind storm. Damage to utilities.
Feb. 1993	W. Oregon	Snow	Record snowfalls.
Nov. 1993	Cascade Mountains, OR	Snow	Heavy snow throughout the region.
Feb. 1994	Southeastern Oregon	Snow	Heavy snow throughout the region. Event occurred February 10.
Mar. 1994	Cascade Mountains, OR	Snow	Heavy snow throughout the region.
May 1994	Eastern Oregon	Wind storm	Strong winds in Treasure Valley area (Ontario); blowing dust caused car accidents. Event occurred May 15.
Dec. 1995	Statewide	Wind storm	DR-1107. Event occurred on December 10-12. Winds reached 62 mph in the Willamette Valley. Strongest windstorm since 1981.
Feb. 1996	Statewide	Storms, flooding, rain on snow	DR-1099. Winter storms with rain, snow, ice, floods, and landslides. Power outages, road closures and property damage. Warm temperatures, record breaking rains; extensive flooding in Multnomah County; widespread closures of major highways and secondary roads; 8 fatalities. 27 counties covered by the disaster declaration.
Sep. 1996	Malheur County	Hail	On September 15 there was ¾ inch hail in Vale; about \$10,000 in property damage.
Dec. 1996	Statewide	Winter storm	DR-1160. Severe snow and ice. Up to 4 to 5 inches of ice in the Columbia Gorge. Interstate 84 closed for 4 days. Hundreds of downed trees and power lines. Lake County was impacted.
Apr. 1997	Malheur County	Tornado	On April 30 there was a F1 magnitude tornado in Ontario.
Jun. 1997	Malheur County	Tornado	On June 17 there was a F0 magnitude tornado in Ontario.
Jul. 1997	Malheur County	Thunderstorm and hail	On July 17, there was a thunderstorm with hail in Vale and Ontario with \$3,000,000 in crop and property damage.
Jul. 1997	Malheur County	Hail	On July 30 there was .9 inch hail in Nyssa.
Nov. 1997	W. Oregon	Wind storm	Uprooted trees. Considerable damage to small airports. Winds up to 52 mph.
Jun. 1998	Malheur County	Thunderstorm, hail, flood	On June 12 there was dime size hail reported in Juntura. East of Juntura the storm triggered a flash flood onto Highway 21 near milepost 202. 6-12 feet of water, mud, and debris swept over the road. A car with 2 people was carried 150 feet. No injuries.
Jul. 1998	Malheur County	Thunderstorm and hail	On July 4 there was a thunderstorm with hail in Ironside and \$1,000,000 crop and property damage.
Winter 1998-1999	Statewide	Snow	Series of storms. One of the snowiest winters in Oregon history. The snowfall at Crater Lake was 586 inches.
Feb. 1991	Malheur County	Wind storm	On February 8 there was a wind storm outside of Ontario in Cairo Junction; the roof of the elementary school gym was damaged.

Date	Location	Type of Severe Weather	Description
Feb. 2000	Southeast Oregon	Winter and wind storm	February 14 had high winds associated with a winter storm; up to 80 mph. Significant damage to Southeastern Oregon.
Apr. 2001	Near Klamath Falls, OR	Dust storms	US 97 about 5 miles north of Klamath Falls was closed for approximately 6 hours following 3 separate crashes. There were 11 cars involved, sending 9 people to the hospital. Crashes caused by limited visibility resulting from dust from a plowed field.
Nov. 2001	Malheur County	Winter storm	On November 24-28 there was 6-8 inches of snow; I-5 closed; numerous accidents.
Dec. 2001	Malheur County	Winter storm	On December 12 there was a winter storm with \$25,000 in crop and property damage.
Feb. 2002	W. Oregon	Winter storm	Damages \$6.14 million. Downed power lines and trees. Buildings damaged. Power outages caused some water supply problems.
Jul. 2003	Malheur County	Thunderstorm and hail	On July 26 there was a thunder storm with hail which resulted in \$2.8 million in crop damage.
Summer 2003	Malheur County	Wind storm	The Treasure Valley area had a wind storm; the golf course clubhouse had \$1,375 in damages.
Dec. 2003-Jan. 2004	Statewide	Snow and ice	DR-1510. Much of Portland area shut down. Twenty-six counties receive FEMA assistance. Malheur County was included.
Jul. 2004	Malheur County	Wind storm	On July 11 there was a wind storm in Ontario. Trees were uprooted across town.
May 2005	Malheur County	Thunderstorm and hail	On May 29 there was a thunderstorm with hail that resulted in \$3,000 in damage to crops and property.
Sep. 2005	Statewide	Evacuation	EM 3228. On September 7, there was a declaration for the Hurricane Katrina evacuation.
May 2005	Malheur County	Wind storm	Hail storm caused \$3,000 in crop damage.
May 2006	Statewide	Storms, flooding, landslides, mudslides	DR-1632. Statewide impacts from storms, floods, landslides, and mudslides. The winds ranged from 70-80 mph.
Jul. 2006	Statewide	Heatwave	Multiple days of temperatures over 100 degrees Fahrenheit.
Aug. 2006	Harney and Malheur Counties	Wind storm	Three high wind storms with winds measured at 67, 58, and 58 mph respectively. The storms occurred on August 10 in Diamond, on August 16 in Riley, and on August 28 at the Burns Airport.
Nov. 2006	W. Oregon	Winter storm, flooding, landslides	DR-1962. The events occurred November 6-8, 2006.
Dec. 2007-Jan. 2008	W. Oregon	Winter storm	DR-1824. Severe winter storm, record and near record snow, landslides and mudslides. January 4 high winds in Harney Co. On January 8 there was 8 in snow across Harney Co. On January 29 there was 4-7 in snow near Burns.
Dec. 2008	Statewide	Winter storms, heavy rain, flooding	DR-1824. Severe winter storm, flooding, winds, record and near record snow, landslides and mudslides. Gresham received, 26" of snow. Many roads closed. Significant damages to public infrastructure, homes and businesses. Event occurred Dec. 20-26. On December 22, 2008, over 22 inches of snow fell on Hood River in 22 hours. Up to 6 inches fell at Burns on December 21 and 60 in around Burns on December 25.
Dec. 2009	Statewide	Winter storm	Snow and freezing rain in Salem, and Portland to Hood River. I-84 closed for 22 hours. On December 14 there was 5 in snow across Harney County.
Aug. 2010	Malheur County	Thunder storm and hail	On August 6 there was a thunderstorm with hail in Harper, Ontario, and Vale. Crop damage was \$10,000.
Nov. 2010	Statewide	Winter storm	Snow, freezing rain, and ice in Portland to Hood River. On November 21, Harney County had 4 in snow.
Jan. 2011	Statewide	Winter storm	DR-1956. Severe winter storm, flooding, mudslides, landslides, and debris flows.
Jan. 2012	W. Oregon	Winter storm	DR-4055. The incident period was January 12-21, 2012. Severe winter storm with flooding, landslides, and mudslides. Declaration involves 12 counties including Hood River County. Harney County had 5-8 in snow on January 24.

Date	Location	Type of Severe Weather	Description
Aug. 2012	Harney and Malheur Counties	Dust storm	A massive dust storm due to 5-60 mph winds produced by thunderstorms eventually blew into Idaho. Some reports state the sky was dark for more than 2 hours.
Mar. 2013	Malheur County	Dust storm	Dust from this storm is reported to have accelerated snow melt in a SW Idaho mountain range.
Sep. 2013	Malheur County	Hail	On September 5 there was hail size 1.75 inches in Jordan Valley.
Dec. 2015	Western Oregon	Winter storm	DR-4258. Severe winter storms, straight-line winds, flooding, landslides, and mudslides.
Jan. 2017	Statewide	Severe winter storms, flooding, landslides, mudslides	DR-4238. The event occurred January 7-10, 2017. Counties that were part of the disaster declaration: Hood River, Columbia, Josephine, and Deschutes. Other counties were also greatly impacted by this and other storms that occurred.

Sources: University of Oregon, Malheur County NHMP, May 2014; DLCD, Oregon NHMP, 2015; FEMA, Disaster Declarations for Oregon, retrieved 2017. Taylor and Hatton, 1999; NOAA Storm Events Database, <http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/> (accessed 3/27/13).

Risk Assessment

How are Hazards Identified?

For these categories of severe weather, winter storms, wind storms, extreme temperatures, and dust storms, seasonal variability plays a role. Looking at Table SW 1, notice the substantial number of events, as well as the date and type of event. There are a lot of winter and wind storms.

Severe weather includes rain and thunderstorms. Rain might be freezing rain during winter and be classified as a winter storm. Thunderstorms can bring high winds to Malheur County during the warmer months (April to October).

Wind storms are year round events, though in Malheur County they usually occur from October to March. Their extent is determined by their track, intensity (the air pressure gradient they generate), and local terrain. The National Weather Service uses weather forecast models to predict oncoming wind storms, while monitoring storms with weather stations in protected valley locations throughout Oregon.¹³ Tornadoes are the most violent of wind storms and are occasionally caused by intense local thunderstorms, which are more common during the warm season (April to October).

Extreme temperature events – both high and low temperatures - are experienced in all regions of Oregon. Some the most extreme temperatures can occur in Eastern Oregon.

Dust storms can occur year round, typically occurring with strong winds.

Winter storms typically occur between December and February, but can be as early as October and as late as March. Winter storms can occur all across Oregon, they are common in Eastern Oregon.

Hazard Risk Analysis

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee completed a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment/Analysis (HVA) during this NHMP update. This was described in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The method used for the HVA was developed from a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) tool that has been refined by the Oregon Office of Emergency Management (OEM).

¹³ National Weather Service, Some of the Area's Windstorms, <https://www.wrh.noaa.gov/pgr/paststorms/wind.php>

It addresses and weights (shown as percent within parentheses) probability (29%), vulnerability (21%), maximum threat (42%) and the history (8%) of each natural hazard and attributes a final hazard analysis score. The methodology produces scores that range from 24 to 240.

For local governments, conducting the HVA is a useful step in planning for hazard mitigation. The method provides the jurisdiction with a relative ranking from which to prioritize mitigation actions, but does not predict the occurrence of a particular hazard.

A recap of the changes for the severe weather hazards between the HVA done in 2013 and in 2018:

- In 2013 **severe weather** hazards had a risk score of 165 and a rank of 4 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 severe weather hazards had a risk score of 165 and a rank of 6 out of 11 natural hazards.
- In 2013 **winter storm** hazards had a risk score of 191 and a rank of 2 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 winter storms hazards had a risk score of 235 and a rank of 2 out of 11 natural hazards.
- In 2013 **wind storms** hazards had a risk score of 155 and a rank of 5 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 wind storms hazards had a risk score of 195 and a rank of 3 out of 11 natural hazards.
- In 2013 **extreme temperature hazards** had a risk score of 72 and a rank of 7 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 extreme temperature hazards had a risk score of 126 and a rank of 7 out of 11 natural hazards.
- In 2013 **dust storm hazards** had a risk score of 52 and a rank of 8 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 dust storms hazards had a risk score of 116 and a rank of 9 out of 11 natural hazards.

For more information on all the risk scores and ranks of the natural hazards for Malheur County, see Volume I Basic Plan, Section 2 Risk Assessment of this NHMP.

Probability of Future Occurrence

The History of Severe Weather in Malheur County section and Table SW-7 Significant Severe Weather History detail many weather related hazards that affect Malheur County and Cities. In the *2014 Malheur County NHMP*, the following probability statistics were included:

- There is an average of one wind storm or tornado every 1.8 years.
- The recurrence interval for winter storms throughout Oregon is about every 13 years; however, there can be many localized storms between these periods. Those included average out to one winter storm every 2.5 years.
- No approximate average of occurrence of extreme temperature or dust storm was included.
- Some included events are localized and do not affect large areas of the County or Cities.

Specific probability rates have not been calculated for each of these hazards in Malheur County. Of note, as has been described in Volume 1 Section 2 Risk Assessment, probability is one of the four factors that are included in the risk score. It is quite probable that severe weather, dust storms, extreme temperatures, wind storms, and winter storms will continue to occur. Storms and weather information are tracked by numerous agencies such as NOAA/National Weather Service (NWS), USGS, Oregon Climate Services, ODOT, and DOGAMI, and warnings are issued by NWS when certain thresholds are reached.

An example for the wind hazard, in terms of probability, is included below. Table SW-8 shows the wind speed probability intervals that structures 33 feet above the ground would expect to be exposed to within a 25-, 50- and 100- year period. The regions that experience the highest wind speeds are Regions 1 and 6. Structures in Malheur County, within Region 8, can expect to be exposed to lower wind speeds than most regions within the state.

Table SW-8 Probability of Severe Wind Events by Natural Hazard Region

	25-Year Event (4% annual probability)	50-Year Event (2% annual probability)	100-Year Event (1% annual probability)
Region 1: Oregon Coast	75 mph	80 mph	90 mph
Region 2: North Willamette Valley	65 mph	72 mph	80 mph
Region 3: Mid/Southern Willamette Valley	60 mph	68 mph	75 mph
Region 4: Southwest Oregon	60 mph	70 mph	80 mph
Region 5: Mid-Columbia	75 mph	80 mph	90 mph
Region 6: Central Oregon	60 mph	65 mph	75 mph
Region 7: Northeast Oregon	70 mph	80 mph	90 mph
Region 8: Southeast Oregon	55 mph	65 mph	75 mph

Source: 2015 Oregon Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASate.pdf

Vulnerability Assessment

The impacts of the hazards of severe weather, dust storms, extreme temperatures, wind storms, and winter storms happen at a range of levels. Communities are vulnerable in many ways such as, emergency services may be challenged to respond, critical facilities may be damaged, and economic vitality may be impacted.

Thunderstorms with hail are predominantly an economic concern for the County's agricultural community. If a storm occurs or a lightning strike happens during the growing season, damages to row crops can be economically devastating, especially to the uninsured. Microbursts have damaged

buildings and have contributed to instances of several inches of rain falling in an hour or less. Severe thunderstorms occurring after a recent wildfire can wash out canals and waterways stripped of undergrowth by fire, which then exacerbate flood issues and can damage roads and irrigation infrastructure.

Extreme cold and heat can cause bodies to work harder to maintain themselves which stresses them and cause injury. Accidents can occur.

Dust storms can reduce visibility, which can cause traffic accidents. They can also exacerbate health conditions such as asthma or other respiratory illness. According to the *2015 Oregon NHMP*, Malheur County is considered one of the counties most vulnerable to dust storms in the state.

Snow and ice storms can block traffic; cause traffic accidents and block roads; damage crops, livestock, and agricultural buildings; and delay transportation of products. People may be stranded. Events and activities may be cancelled. Power outages and downed trees can happen. All of these cause economic disruptions, and pose a high risk for injuries and loss of life. The events can also be typified by a need to shelter and care for adversely impacted individuals.

Wind storms can also cause power outages, transportation, and economic disruptions. Structures most vulnerable to high winds and snow storms in Malheur County include insufficiently-anchored manufactured homes and older buildings with roof structures not designed for anticipated wind loads and snow loads.

Manufactured homes, multi-story retirement homes, and buildings in need of roof repair are structures that may be most vulnerable to wind storms. Buildings adjacent to open fields or adjacent to trees are also more vulnerable to wind storms than more protected structures. The effects of wind speed are shown in the table below.

Table SW-9 Effects of Wind Speed

Wind Speed (mph)	Wind Effects
25-31	Large branches will be in motion.
32-38	Whole trees in motion; inconvenience felt walking against the wind.
39-54	Twigs and small branches may break off trees; wind generally impedes progress when walking; high profile vehicles such as trucks and motor homes may be difficult to control.
55-74	Potential damage to TV antennae; may push over shallow rooted trees, especially if the soil is saturated.
75-95	Potential for minimal structural damage, particularly to unanchored mobile homes; power lines, and signs; and tree branches may be blown down.
96-110	Moderate structural damage to walls, roofs, and windows; large signs and tree branches blown down; moving vehicles pushed off roads.
111-130	Extensive structural damage to walls, roofs, and windows; trees blow down; mobile homes may be destroyed.
131-155	Extreme damage to structures and roofs; trees uprooted or snapped.
Greater than 155	Catastrophic damage; structures destroyed.

Source: 2014 Malheur County NHMP cites Washington County, Office of Consolidated Emergency Mngt, Wind Effects.

Community Hazard Issues

What is susceptible to damage during a hazard event?

The impacts of the hazards of severe weather, dust storms, extreme temperatures, wind storms, and winter storms have been discussed in detail already. Listed below are some of the key severe weather issues for Malheur County:

- Buildings have sustained roof, window, and other damage from wind storms. Building codes are more stringent for new construction, but old buildings are vulnerable.
- Not all residents maintain 72-hour kits for emergency severe weather situations when they may be cut off from power, water, heat, or transportation resources for several days. Additional outreach is needed to encourage people to prepare these kits.
- Small businesses and nonprofits would benefit from outreach designed to help them plan and prepare for potential damages from a severe weather event and other natural hazards.
- Some open-air earthen irrigation canals in the County that have been weakened by gopher burrowing are especially vulnerable to washouts after thunderstorm activity.
- Some rural County roads are subject to washouts or blockage after a large storm event, which can affect residential and commercial traffic and limit residents' access to emergency resources.
- Hail during the growing season (spring-summer) can seriously damage row crops throughout the County and impact businesses such as car dealerships.
- Because of their lack of city resources and (often) geographic distance from resources, unincorporated communities are particularly vulnerable to road closures and lack of access to services after major storms.
- The County road department and special road districts have limited equipment and resources for major winter storm events. Much of the County's equipment is aging.

CITY SPECIFIC DAMAGE

Ontario

Severe Weather: The City of Ontario's vulnerability is due to the concentration of infrastructure in the City and the resulting potential losses. The damage that severe thunderstorms and hail can inflict on agricultural fields and irrigation systems and the resulting economic impacts, is substantial. Of note, Ontario is home to most of the car dealerships in Malheur County, all of which are vulnerable to inventory damage from hail.

Dust Storm: Dust storms are locally associated with microbursts (described previously) and may include low-pressure and 60 mph winds. Although dust storms are relatively common, the NHMP Steering Committee asserts that the City is not that vulnerable. Generally dust storms affect visibility for a short duration but do not cause extensive, or long lasting, damages.

Extreme Temperature: The City of Ontario, similar to Malheur County, experiences both hot and cold events on an annual basis. The effects of the events tend to be on the vulnerable populations. To the extent that the City is able, the impacts are mitigated through existing capabilities of through the use of air conditioning and heat in buildings. Extreme cold events may also affect the freezing pipes and possibly power outages. Local inversions can cause freezing temperatures for more than a month at a time. During these periods, air quality is also a concern (due to increased wood burning). There is a financial impact to the community, which includes the cost of fixing utilities.

The City has provided insulation blankets for meters (400 meters froze in January 2013) and also provides information in utility bills to help decrease the risks associated with hot and cold events. Associated with cold weather events are ice jams on the Snake River and closures to Interstate-5, which may involve the need to park trucks in the community.

Wind Storm: Wind storms impact the Ontario area (including Cairo Junction, which is an unincorporated community two miles outside of city limits). NHMP Steering Committee members recalled frequent past events wherein trees were uprooted, crops damaged, roofs and windows damaged, and power lines blown down. Wind storms have not caused disastrous local damage but are a persistent problem. Windstorms are often associated with microbursts (thunderstorms) and may include dust storms that affect visibility in the community.

Winter Storm: The City of Ontario sits at the lowest elevation point in Malheur County, and while major winter storms can and have occurred, they typically do not cause significant damage to the community. However, road closures on I-84 within an hour's drive of the City due to winter weather are a frequent occurrence and can interrupt commuter traffic. They also bring a large influx of stranded motorists and into the City, which can provide an economic boost to local motels and restaurants. The City budgets funds for seasonal winter storm needs, such as clearing roads. Among the largest concern for the City is finding a place for trucks to park off of the interstate when the passes north of the city are closed; action item SW #3 relates to this concern. The weight of snow can damage or collapse buildings.

Nyssa

Severe weather: Impacts to the City of Nyssa are similar to those in the rest of Malheur County. One of the greatest impacts are to crops in the area and the economic impacts this can have. Microbursts are a frequent occurrence in the area, bringing brief, heavy rainstorms that can trigger flash flood conditions as well. See the Flood Annex for a description of flood impacts.

Dust Storm: The City of Nyssa does not consider dust storms to be a big vulnerability. See also the description for Ontario.

Extreme Temperature: The City of Nyssa, similar to Malheur County and the other Cities, experiences both hot and cold events on an annual basis. The effects of the events tend to be on the vulnerable populations. See Ontario for the impacts.

Wind Storm: The NHMP Steering Committee recalled frequent past events – group consensus was at least one windstorm each summer – wherein trees were uprooted, crops damaged, roofs and windows damaged, and power lines blown down. Winds storms have not caused disastrous local damage but are a persistent problem. Previous wind storms have blown off roofs at Fiesta Farms buildings and had 105 mph gusts.

Winter Storm: Historically, significant winter storms have caused power outages, road closures, and infrastructure damage, even necessitating National Guard assistance. Additionally, freezing fog has caused problems by damaging power lines, and water meters on the municipal water system have frozen in extreme cold. The City of Nyssa has three snowplows, one grader and two sanding trucks.

Vale

Severe Weather: Impacts to the City of Vale are similar to those in the rest of Malheur County. One of the greatest impacts are to crops in the area and the economic impacts this can have. Microbursts are a frequent occurrence in the area, bringing brief, heavy rainstorms that can trigger flash flood conditions as well. See the Flood Annex for a description of flood impacts. NHMP Steering Committee members recalled no thunderstorm-induced flash floods in the city.

Dust Storm: The City of Vale does not consider dust storms to be a big vulnerability. See also the description for Ontario.

Extreme Temperature: The City of Vale, similar to Malheur County and the other Cities, experiences both hot and cold events on an annual basis. The effects of the events tend to be on the vulnerable populations. See Ontario for the impacts.

Wind Storm: Wind storms are a rare event in Vale, but they can and have occurred. Damage in the City has not extended beyond downed trees and debris.

Winter Storm: Historically, significant winter storms have caused power outages, road closures, and infrastructure damage, but these severe events are infrequent. Because of the infrequent nature of severe winter storms in Vale, the City does not maintain sufficient equipment to clear and maintain roads made impassable by snow or ice. This can have an impact on local businesses and schools, in addition to truck and passenger vehicle traffic on Highway 20.

Existing Hazard Mitigation Activities

Severe Weather Hazards

State Natural Hazard Risk Assessment

The risk assessment in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* provides an overview of all the identified natural hazards in Oregon (in the State NHMP but not necessarily all the locally identified natural hazards) and identifies the most significant hazards in Oregon's recorded history. It has overall state and regional information, and includes mitigation actions for the entire state.

https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASState.pdf

Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide

This guide describes basic mitigation strategies and resources related to natural hazards, including examples from communities in Oregon. <https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/1909>

Oregon State Building Code Standards

The Oregon Building Codes Division adopts statewide standards for building construction that are administered by the state and local municipalities throughout Oregon. The *2017 Oregon Residential Special Code (ORSC)* contains requirements for one- and two-family dwellings

(https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/document/1018?site_type=public) and the 2014 Oregon Structural Special Code (OSSC) (http://ecodes.biz/ecodes_support/free_resources/Oregon/14_Structural/14_ORStructural_main.html) contains provisions for grading and site preparation for the construction of building foundations.

Street/ Road/ Highway Maintenance

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) is responsible for performing precautionary measures to maintain the safety and operability of major roads during winter storm conditions. The road maintenance programs are designed to provide the best use of limited resources to maximize the movement of traffic within the community during winter weather.

During storm events, most agencies at the county and city level focus on clearing major arterial and collector streets first, and then respond to residential connector streets, school zones, transit routes, and steep residential streets as resources become available.

The state, counties, and cities, may have various agreements, including mutual aid agreements, about road maintenance responsibilities during day to day operations and who does what in storm situations. In general, highways receive more attention. Routes on the National Highway System network, primary interstate expressways and primary roads, will be cleared more quickly and completely than other roads.

Dust Storm

Malheur County is home to a branch of the OSU Extension Service and to the Malheur County Soil Water and Conservation District.

The OSU Extension Service in Malheur County offers “research-based information to strengthen communities, improve lives & manage resources wisely with programs in 4-H Youth Development, Family & Community Health, Field Crops, Forage Crops & Livestock & Rangeland Management. The staff and volunteers assist local residents through meetings, workshops, short courses, tours, demonstrations and publications” (<https://extension.oregonstate.edu/malheur>).

Malheur County SWCD objectives are to:

- “Maintain & improve water quality within Malheur County,
 - Provide planning & technical service assistance to private landowners,
 - Promote watershed enhancement projects within Malheur County,
 - Promote soil & water conservation through information programs, and
 - Properly administer SWCD programs efficiently and effectively”
- (<http://malheurcoswcd.org.net/firms.com/swcd/>).

Extreme Temperatures

FEMA has recommendations for extreme temperature mitigation activities for both hot and cold temperatures. For example, in extreme cold events, measures should be taken to ensure that vulnerable populations are protected. These can include: organizing outreach by establishing and promoting accessible heating centers within the communities; requiring minimum temperatures in housing codes; encouraging utility companies to offer special arrangement for paying heating bills; and creating a database to track vulnerable populations (e.g. elderly and homeless). These activities

can include locating water pipes on the inside of the building insulation or keeping them out of attics, crawl spaces and vulnerable outside walls.¹⁴

Wind Storm

Oregon Building Codes (both residential and other codes) set standards to withstand 80 mph winds (<https://www.oregon.gov/bcd/codes-stand/pages/index.aspx>).

FEMA recommends having a safe room in homes or small businesses to prevent residents and workers from “dangerous forces” of extreme winds to avoid injury or death. (<https://www.fema.gov/fema-p-320-taking-shelter-storm-building-safe-room-your-home-or-small-business>).

Existing strategies and programs at the state level are usually performed by the Oregon Public Utility Commission (OPUC), Building Code Division (BCD), Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF), Oregon Emergency Management (OEM), and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT).

The Oregon Emergency Response System (OERS) coordinates and manages state resources in response to natural and technological emergencies and civil unrest involving multi-jurisdictional cooperation between all levels of government and the private sector (<https://www.oregon.gov/oem/emops/Pages/OERS.aspx>).

OPUC ensures operators manage, construct and maintain their utility lines and equipment in a safe and reliable manner. These standards are listed on this website: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/PUC/safety/index.shtml>. OPUC promotes public education and requires utilities to maintain adequate tree and vegetation clearances from high voltage utility lines and equipment.

Winter Storm

Studded tires can be used in Oregon from November 1 to April 1. They are defined under Oregon law as a type of traction tire. Research shows that studded tires are more effective than all-weather tires on icy roads, but can be less effective in most other conditions. Winter storm is similar to wind storm in terms of strategies and programs at the state level.

Future Changing Conditions/ Climate Change

In the Malheur County NHMP, there are several locations that describe future changing conditions or climate change as it relates to the natural hazards that impact Malheur County. In the order of appearance in the NHMP: the Risk Assessment, the Hazards Annexes, and Appendix F contain this information. Within Appendix F there are two documents, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

¹⁴ FEMA, *Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards*, http://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/20130726-1904-25045-0186/fema_mitigation_ideas_final508.pdf

Severe Weather Mitigation Action Items

The severe weather mitigation actions have been identified by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee which includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP and the action item worksheets in Appendix A for a more detailed description of the mitigation actions.

There are four severe weather specific mitigation actions. The mitigation actions have a high-medium priority because the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) resulted in severe weather having a high-medium risk level.

There are seven multi-hazard mitigation actions for the NHMP and several of those include severe weather related mitigation actions, in conjunction with the other hazards. The multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority.

At the August 9, 2018 NHMP Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the HVA would be used as the way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The risk level rankings are in Table 2-5 in Section 2 Risk Assessment.

Risk Score: 124

Risk Level: Medium

VOLCANIC EVENT HAZARD ANNEX

A volcano is an opening in the Earth's crust that allows molten rock, gases, and debris to escape to the surface.¹ Volcanoes are present in Washington, Oregon, and California where volcanic activity is generated by continental plates moving against each other (see the Earthquake Annex). Because the population of the Pacific Northwest is rapidly expanding, and scientists have increased their knowledge about the threats from the volcanoes of the Cascade Mountain Range, more people are aware of the dangers of these mountains.² In the Cascade Range vicinity, the number of people at immediate risk during volcanic eruptions is greater than at any other volcanic area within the United States. The 2010 census states that more than 10 million people live in Washington and Oregon.³

Besides the hazards, volcanoes provide benefits such as fertile soil, valuable metallic minerals, geothermal resources, and scenic beauty. They produce volcanic products that are used as building or road-building materials, as abrasive and cleaning agents, and as raw materials for many chemical and industrial uses. Soil rich in mineral nutrients and beautiful scenery encourages humans to settle.⁴

Causes and Characteristics of Volcanic Eruption

Malheur County, and the Pacific Northwest, lie within the "ring of fire," an area of high volcanic activity surrounding the Pacific Basin. Volcanic eruptions occur regularly here, in part because of the movement of the Earth's tectonic plates. The Earth's outermost shell, the lithosphere, is broken into a series of slabs known as tectonic plates. These plates are rigid, but they float on a hotter, softer layer in the Earth's mantle. As the plates move about on the layer beneath them, they spread apart, collide, or slide past each other. Volcanoes occur most frequently at the boundaries of these plates and volcanic eruptions occur when the hotter, molten materials, or magma, rise to the surface.

The primary threat to lives and property from active volcanoes is from violent eruptions that unleash tremendous blast forces, generate mud and debris flows, and produce flying debris and ash clouds. The immediate danger area generally lies within a 10-mile radius of the blast site but lahars can inundate more than 50 miles downstream. Falling ash and drifting clouds can impact areas hundreds or thousands of miles away.⁵ Scientists refer to proximal (close) and distal (farther) hazard zones. For example with Mt. Hood, the proximal zones includes the mapped hazards including lava

¹ FEMA, *Be Prepared for a Volcano*, https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/1533576019429-bb1357b03a5a2993bd8ee37767e47d86/Volcano_InfoSheet_080118.pdf

² Dzurisin, Dan, Peter H. Stauffer, and James W. Hendley II, *Living with Volcanic Risk in the Cascades*, USGS Fact Sheet 165-97, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/1997/fs165-97/fs165-97.pdf>

³ USGS, *Volcano Hazards in the Cascade Range*, <https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/observatories/cvo/hazards.html>


⁴ USGS, *What are some Benefits of Volcanoes?* https://www.usgs.gov/faqs/what-are-some-benefits-volcanic-eruptions?qt-news_science_products=0#qt-news_science_products

⁵ Dzurisin, Dan, Peter H. Stauffer, and James W. Hendley II, *Living with Volcanic Risk in the Cascades*, USGS Fact Sheet 165-97, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/1997/fs165-97/fs165-97.pdf>

flows, pyroclastic flows, debris avalanches, and lahars while the distal zone mapped hazard is lahar. Estimated lahar travel times may also be included on the map.⁶

There are three types of volcanoes: cinder cones, composite or stratovolcanoes, and shield volcanoes. Volcanoes are commonly conical hills or mountains built around a vent that connect with reservoirs of molten rock below the surface of the earth.⁷ Some younger volcanoes may connect directly with reservoirs of molten rock, while most volcanoes connect to empty chambers. Unlike most mountains, which are pushed up from below, volcanoes are built up by an accumulation of their own eruptive products: lava or ash flows and airborne ash and dust. When pressure from gases or molten rock becomes strong enough to cause an upsurge, eruptions occur. Gases and rocks are pushed through the opening and spill over, or fill the air with lava fragments. Figure VE-1 diagrams the basic features of a composite volcano.

Figure VE-1 Volcanic Hazard from a Composite Type Volcano



Source: Walder et al, "Volcano Hazards in the Mount Jefferson Region," 1999; W.E. Scott, R.M. Iverson, S.P. Schilling, and B.J. Fischer, Volcano Hazards in the Three Sisters Region, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 99-437, 14p., 200.,

⁶ USGS, *Hazards, Mt. Hood Hazards Zonation Map*,
https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/volcanoes/mount_hood/mount_hood_hazard_68.html

⁷ Tilling, Robert I., *Volcanoes*, USGS General Interest Publication, (1985).

Volcanic Hazards

ASH / TEPHRA

Tephra consists of volcanic ash (sand-sized or finer particles of volcanic rock) and larger fragments. During explosive eruptions, tephra together with a mixture of hot volcanic gas are ejected rapidly into the air from volcanic vents. Larger fragments fall down near the volcanic vent while finer particles drift downwind as a large cloud. When ash particles fall to the ground, they can form a blanket-like deposit, with finer grains carried further away from the volcano. In general, the thickness of ash fall deposits decreases in the downwind direction.

Tephra hazards include impact of falling fragments, suspension of abrasive fine particles in the air and water, and burial of structures, transportation routes and vegetation.

During an eruption that emits ash, the ash fall deposition is controlled by the prevailing wind direction. The predominant wind pattern over the Cascades is from the west, and previous eruptions seen in the geologic record have resulted in most ash fall drifting to the east of the volcanoes.⁸

EARTHQUAKES

Volcanic eruptions can be triggered by seismic activity or earthquakes can occur during or after a volcanic eruption. Earthquakes produced by stress changes are called volcano-tectonic earthquakes. These earthquakes, typically small to moderate in magnitude, occur as rock is moving to fill in spaces where magma is no longer present and can cause land to subside or produce large ground cracks.⁹ In addition to being generated after an eruption and magma withdrawal, these earthquakes also occur as magma is intruding upward into a volcano, opening cracks and pressurizing systems.¹⁰ Volcano-tectonic earthquakes do not indicate that the volcano will be erupting but can occur at anytime and cause damage to manmade structures or provoke landslides.

LAVA FLOWS

Lava flows are streams of molten rock that erupt relatively non-explosively from a volcano and move downslope, causing extensive damage or total destruction by burning, crushing, or burying everything in their paths. Secondary effects can include forest fires, flooding, and permanent reconfiguration of stream channels.¹¹

PYROCLASTIC FLOWS AND SURGES

Pyroclastic flows are avalanches of rock and gas at temperatures of 600 to 1500 degrees Fahrenheit. They typically sweep down the flanks of volcanoes at speeds of up to 150 miles per hour. Pyroclastic surges are a more dilute mixture of gas and rock. They can move even more rapidly than a

⁸DLCD, 2015 Oregon Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, State Risk Assessment, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASState.pdf.

⁹ Riley, Colleen M., A Basic Guide to Volcanic Hazards, Michigan Technological University: <http://www.geo.mtu.edu/volcanoes/hazards/primer>

¹⁰ Scott, W. E., USGS Cascades Volcano Observatory, Personal Correspondence, (July 5, 2001).

¹¹ DLCD, 2015 Oregon Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, State Risk Assessment, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASState.pdf.

pyroclastic flow and are more mobile. Both generally follow valleys, but surges sometimes have enough momentum to overtop hills or ridges in their paths. Because of their high speed, pyroclastic flows and surges are difficult or impossible to escape. If it is expected that they will occur, evacuation orders should be issued as soon as possible for the hazardous areas. Objects and structures in the path of a pyroclastic flow are generally destroyed or swept away by the impact of debris or by accompanying hurricane-force winds. Wood and other combustible materials are commonly burned. People and animals may also be burned or killed by inhaling hot ash and gases. The deposit that results from pyroclastic flows is a combination of rock bombs and ash and is termed *ignimbrite*. These deposits may accumulate to hundreds of feet thick and can harden to resistant rock.¹²

LAHARS AND DEBRIS FLOWS

Lahar is an Indonesian term that describes a hot or cold mixture of water and rock fragments flowing down the slopes of a volcano or river valley.¹³ Lahars typically begin when floods related to volcanism are produced by melting snow and ice during eruptions of ice-clad volcanoes like Mount Shasta, and by heavy rains that may accompany eruptions. Floods can also be generated by eruption-caused waves that could overtop dams or move down outlet streams from lakes.

Lahars react much like flash flood events in that a rapidly moving mass moves downstream, picking up more sediment and debris as it scours out a channel. This initial flow can also incorporate water from rivers, melting snow and ice. By eroding rock debris and incorporating additional water, lahars can easily grow to more than ten times their initial size. But as a lahar moves farther away from a volcano, it will eventually begin to lose its heavy load of sediment and decrease in size.¹⁴

Lahars often cause serious economic and environmental damage. The direct impact of a lahar's turbulent flow front or from the boulders and logs carried by the lahar can easily crush, abrade, or shear off at ground level just about anything in the path of a lahar. Even if not crushed or carried away by the force of a lahar, buildings and valuable land may become partially or completely buried by one or more cement-like layers of rock debris. By destroying bridges and key roads, lahars can also trap people in areas vulnerable to other hazardous volcanic activity, especially if the lahars leave deposits that are too deep, too soft, or too hot to cross.¹⁵

VOLCANIC LANDSLIDES (DEBRIS AVALANCHES)¹⁶

Volcanic landslides – or debris avalanches – are a rapid downhill movement of rocky material, snow, and (or) ice. Volcanic landslides range in size from small movements of loose debris on the surface of a volcano to massive collapses of the entire summit or sides of a volcano. Steep volcanoes are susceptible to landslides because they are built up partly of layers of loose volcanic rock fragments.

¹² DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan*, State Risk Assessment, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RAState.pdf.

¹³ USGS, *Lahars Flow Rapidly down Valleys like Rivers of Concrete*, <https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/vhp/lahars.html>.

¹⁴ Ibid.

¹⁵ Ibid.


¹⁶ USGS, *Landslides are Common on Tall, Steep, and Weak Volcanic Cones*, <https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/vhp/landslides.html>

Landslides on volcano slopes are triggered not only by eruptions, but also by heavy rainfall or large earthquakes that can cause materials to break free and move downhill.

History of Volcanic Events in Malheur County

Although there have been no recent volcanic events in the Malheur County area, it is important to note the volcanically active Cascade Mountain Range is nearby. Figure VE-2 displays the potentially active volcanoes of the western United States as identified by the USGS.

Figure VE-2 Potentially Active Volcanoes of the Western United States



Source: Dzurisin, Dan, Peter H. Stauffer, and James W. Hendley II, *Living with Volcanic Risk in the Cascades*, USGS Fact Sheet 165-97, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/1997/fs165-97/fs165-97.pdf>


There are six active volcanic areas that could potentially impact Malheur County and the broader region. These include: Mt. Saint Helens, Mt. Hood, Newberry Volcano, Mt. Bachelor, Three Sisters and Mt. Broken Top, and Mt. Mazama/ Crater Lake. All of these are in the very high threat category except Mt. Bachelor which is a moderate threat.¹⁷

Volcanoes in the Cascade Mountain Range have been erupting for hundreds of thousands of years. Newberry Volcano, for example, has had many events in the last 15,000 years as shown Figure VE-3. The Three Sisters region has also had some activity during this time while the last major eruptive activity at Mt. Mazama occurred approximately 7,700 years ago, forming Crater Lake in its wake.

¹⁷ USGS, 2018 Update to the U.S. Geological Survey National Volcanic Threat Assessment, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2018/5140/sir20185140.pdf>.

Some of the most recent events include Big Obsidian Flow at Newberry Volcano. All of the Cascade volcanoes are characterized by long periods of quiescence and intermittent activity. And these characteristics make predictions, recurrence intervals, or probability very difficult to ascertain.

Figure VE-3 Notable Volcanic Events in Central Oregon during the Past 15,000 Years



Source: D.R. Sherrod, L.G. Mastin, W.E. Scott, and S.P. Schilling, 1997, Volcano Hazards at Newberry Volcano, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97-513

In addition to the many online sources of information, a detailed report of the Pacific Northwest's catastrophic hazards and history written by Rick Gore appears in the May 1998 National Geographic, Vol. 193, No. 5. Table VE-1 describes volcanic events in Oregon and Washington.

Table VE-1 Significant Historic Volcanic Events

Date	Location	Description
About 18,000 to 7,700 YBP	Mount Bachelor, central Cascades	Cinder cones and lava flows.
About 20,000 to 13,000 years before present (YBP)	Polallie eruptive episode, Mount Hood	Lava dome, pyroclastic flows, lahars, and tephra.
About 13,000 YBP	Lava Mountain, south central Oregon	Lava Mountain field and lava flows.
About 13,000 YBP	Devils Garden, south central Oregon	Devils Garden field and lava flows.
About 13,000 YBP	Four Craters, south central Oregon	Four Craters field and lava flows.
About 7,780 to 15,000 YBP	Cinnamon Butte, Southern Cascades	Balsatic scaria cone and lava flows.

Date	Location	Description
About 7,700 YBP	Crater Lake Caldera	Formation of Crater Lake caldera, pyroclastic flows, and widespread ashfall.
About 7,7000 YBP	Parkdale, north central Oregon	Eruption of Parkdale lava flow.
About 7,000 YBP	Diamond Craters, eastern Oregon	Lava flows and tephra in Diamond Craters field.
About <7,700 YBP; 5,300 to 5,600 YBP	Davis Lake, southern Cascades	Lava flows and scoria cones in Davis Lake field.
About 10,000 to <7,7000 YBP	Cones south of Mount Jefferson; Forked Butte and South Cinder Peak	Lava flows.
About 4,000 to 3,000 YBP	Sand Mountain, central Cascades	Lava flows and cinder cones in Sand Mountain field.
About <3,2000 YBP	Jordan Craters, eastern Oregon	Lava flows and tephra in Jordan Craters field.
About 3,000 to 1,5000 YBP	Belknap Volcano, central Cascades	Lava flows and tephra.
About 2,000 YBP	South Sister Volcano	Rhyolite lava flow.
About 1,500 YBP	Timberline eruptive period, Mount Hood	Lava dome, pyroclastic flows, lahars, and tephra.
About 1,300 YBP	Newberry Volcano, central Oregon	Eruption of Big Obsidian flow.
About 1,300 YBP	Blue Lake Crater	Spatter cones and tephra.
1760–1810	Crater Rock/Old Maid Flat on Mount Hood	Pyroclastic flows in upper White River; lahars in Old Maid Flat; dome building at Crater Rock.
1859/1865	Crater Rock on Mount Hood	Steam explosions and tephra falls.
1907 (?)	Crater Rock on Mount Hood	Steam explosions.
1980	Mount St. Helens (Washington)	Mt. St. Helens erupts: Debris avalanche, ashfall, and flooding on Columbia River. 57 people died.
1981-1986	Mount St. Helens (Washington)	Lava dome growth, steam, and lahars.
1989-2001	Mount St. Helens (Washington)	Hydrothermal explosions.
2004-2008	Mount St. Helens (Washington)	Lava dome growth, steam, and ash.

Sources: USGS, n.d.; Wolfe and Pierson, 1995; Scott et al, 1997; University of Oregon, Malheur County NHMP, May 2014; DLCD, Oregon NHMP, 2015; FEMA, Disaster Declarations for Oregon, retrieved 2017.

Mount St. Helen's Case Study

On May 18, 1980, following two months of earthquakes and minor eruptions and a century of dormancy, Mount St. Helens in Washington, exploded in one of the most devastating volcanic eruptions of the 20th century. Although less than 0.1 cubic mile of magma was erupted, 58 people died, and damage exceeded 1.2 billion dollars. Fortunately, most people in the area were able to evacuate safely before the eruption because the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and other scientists had alerted public officials to the danger. As early as 1975, USGS researchers had warned that Mount St. Helens might soon erupt. Coming more than 60 years after the last major eruption in the Cascades (Lassen Peak), the explosion of St. Helens was a spectacular reminder that the millions of residents of the Pacific Northwest share the region with live volcanoes.¹⁸

¹⁸ Dzurisin, Dan, Peter H. Stauffer, and James W. Hendley II, *Living with Volcanic Risk in the Cascades*, USGS Fact Sheet 165-97, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/1997/fs165-97/fs165-97.pdf>


Risk Assessment

How are Hazards Identified?

Communities that are closer to volcanoes may be at risk to the proximal hazards – ash fall, debris avalanches, pyroclastic flows, lahars, and lava flows - as well as the distal hazards - lahars, lava flows, and ash fall. The communities that are farther away are most likely only at risk from the distal hazards, (mainly ash fall). Figure VE-4 shows the locations of some of the Cascade Range volcanoes (red triangles) with relative volcanic hazard zones. The dark orange areas have a higher volcanic hazard; light-orange areas have a lower volcanic hazard. Dark-grey areas have a higher ash fall hazard; light-grey areas have a lower ash fall hazard.

Geologic hazard maps have been created for most of the volcanoes in the Cascade Range by the USGS Volcano Program at the Cascade Volcano Observatory in Vancouver, WA and are available at http://vulcan.wr.usgs.gov/Publications/hazards_reports.html.

Figure VE-4 National Volcanic Hazard Map



Note: The red triangles are volcano locations. Dark-orange areas have a higher volcanic hazard; light-orange areas have a lower volcanic hazard. Dark-grey areas have a higher ash fall hazard; light-grey areas have a lower ash fall hazard. Information is based on data during the past 10,000 years.


Source: Image modified from USGS, *Volcano Hazards – A National Threat, Fact Sheet 2006-3014*, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2006/3014/2006-3014.pdf>

Scientists also use wind direction to predict areas that might be affected by volcanic ash. During an eruption that emits ash, the ash fall deposition is controlled by the prevailing wind direction. The predominant wind pattern over the Cascades originates from the west, and previous eruptions seen in the geologic record have resulted in most ash fall drifting to the east of the volcanoes.


Regional tephra fall shows the annual probability of ten centimeters or more of ash accumulation from Pacific Northwest volcanoes. Figure VE-5 depicts the potential and geographical extent of volcanic ash fall from several volcanoes in the Pacific Northwest.

Figure VE-5 Probable Geographic Extent of Volcanic Ashfall from Select Volcanic Eruptions in the Pacific Northwest

Map showing 30-year probability of accumulation of 1 centimeter (0.4 inch) or more of tephra from eruptions of volcanoes in the Cascade Range.



Map showing 30-year probability of accumulation of 10 centimeters (4 inches) or more of tephra from eruptions of volcanoes in the Cascade Range.



Source: Scott, W.E., Pierson, T.C., Schilling, S.P., Costa, J.E., Gardner, C.A., Vallance, J.W., & Major, J.J. (1997). Volcano Hazards in the Mount Hood region (Hazard Zonation Map for Mt. Hood), Oregon: USGS Open-File Report 97-89, Reston, VA, <http://vulcan.wr.usgs.gov/Volcanoes/Hood/Hazards/OFR97-89/OFR97-89.pdf>

A useful resource has been published by USGS, most recently in 2018, which is called the *National Volcanic Threat Assessment*. The USGS assesses active and potentially active volcanoes in the U.S., focusing on history, hazards and the exposure of people, property and infrastructure to harm during the next eruption. They use 24 factors to obtain a score and threat ranking for each volcano that is deemed potentially eruptible.¹⁹

In a description found on the USGS website “the update names 18 very high threat, 39 high threat, 49 moderate threat, 34 low threat, and 21 very low threat volcanoes. The volcanoes are in Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming, American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. The threat ranking is not an indication of which volcano will erupt next. Rather, it indicates how severe the impacts might be from future eruptions at any given volcano.”²⁰

The website further states, “Since 1980, there have been 120 eruptions and 52 episodes of notable volcanic unrest at 44 U.S. volcanoes. When erupting, all volcanoes pose a degree of risk to people


¹⁹ USGS, *The U.S. is one of Earth’s most Volcanically Active Countries*, <https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/index.html>

²⁰ Ibid.

and infrastructure. However, the risks are not equivalent from one volcano to another because of differences in eruptive style and geographic location.”²¹

The USGS describes that the volcanic threat assessment “helps prioritize U.S. volcanoes for research, hazard assessment, emergency planning, and volcano monitoring. It is a way to help focus attention and resources where they can be most effective, guiding the decision-making process on where to build or strengthen volcano monitoring networks and where more work is needed on emergency preparedness and response.”²²

Figure VE-6 Volcanic Threat Assessment Statistics



Source: USGS, The U.S. is one of Earth's most Volcanically Active Countries, <https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/index.html>

Hazard Risk Analysis

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee completed a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment/Analysis (HVA) during this NHMP update. This was described in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The method used for the HVA was developed from a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) tool that has been refined by the Oregon Office of Emergency Management (OEM). It addresses and weights (shown as percent within parentheses) probability (29%), vulnerability

²¹ Ibid.

²² Ibid.

(21%), maximum threat (42%) and the history (8%) of each natural hazard and attributes a final hazard analysis score. The methodology produces scores that range from 24 to 240.

For local governments, conducting the HVA is a useful step in planning for hazard mitigation. The method provides the jurisdiction with a relative ranking from which to prioritize mitigation actions, but does not predict the occurrence of a particular hazard.


In 2013 volcanic hazards had a risk score of 134 and a rank of 6 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 earthquake hazards had a risk score of 124 and a rank of 8 out of 11 natural hazards.

For more information on all the risk scores and ranks of the natural hazards, see Volume I Basic Plan, Section 2 Risk Assessment of this NHMP.

Probability Assessment

As mentioned above, the USGS has a *National Volcanic Threat Assessment* report, most recently published in 2018. There are six active volcanic areas that could potentially impact Malheur County and the broader region. These include: Mt. Hood, Mt. Saint Helens, Newberry Volcano, Mt. Bachelor, Three Sisters and Mt. Broken Top, and Mt. Mazama/ Crater Lake. See Figure VE-7.

Figure VE-7 Map Showing Volcano Locations within the Area of Responsibility of the Cascades Volcano Observatory



Source: USGS, 2018 Update to the U.S. Geological Survey National Volcanic Threat Assessment, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2018/5140/sir20185140.pdf>

Mt. St. Helens remains a probable source of air borne tephra as shown in the figures above. It has repeatedly produced voluminous amounts of this material and has erupted much more frequently in recent geologic time than any other Cascade volcano. It blanketed Yakima and Spokane, Washington during the 1980 eruption and again, in 2004.²³

The eruptive history of the nearby Cascade volcanoes to this region can be traced to late Pleistocene times (approximately 700,000 years ago) and will no doubt continue. But the central question remains: When? The most recent series of events at Newberry Volcano, which occurred about 1,300 years ago, consisted of lava flows and tephra fall. Newberry Volcano's recent history also includes pyroclastic flows and numerous lava flows. Volcanoes in the Three Sisters region, such as Middle and South Sister, and Crater Lake have also erupted explosively in the past. These eruptions have produced pyroclastic flows, lava flows, lahars, debris avalanches, and tephra. Any future eruptions at these volcanoes would most likely resemble those that have occurred in the past.²⁴

Geoscientists have provided some estimates of future activity in the vicinity of Newberry Caldera and its adjacent areas. They estimate a 1 in 3000 chance that some activity will take place in a 30-year period. The estimate for activity at Crater Lake for the same time period is significantly smaller at 0.003 to 0.0003. In the Three Sisters region, the probability of future activity is roughly 1 in 10,000 but any restlessness would greatly increase this estimate.²⁵

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee noted that if the volcano in Yellowstone National Park goes "50,000 years past due" it could impact them. According to an April 2018 article, Yellowstone National Park sits squarely over a giant, active volcano. Yellowstone has been a national park since 1872. However, it was only in the 1960s that scientists realized the scale of the volcano — it's 44 miles across — and not until the 1980s did they grasp that this thing is fully alive and still threatens to erupt catastrophically. Yellowstone is capable of eruptions thousands of times more violent than the Mount St. Helens eruption of 1980. Experts refer to Yellowstone as a caldera or caldera forming volcano. The Yellowstone region has had three big eruptions, with the most recent one occurring 630,000 years ago. The article describes new research about the volcano and its magma chamber, which will provide more insight on the volcano. Experts note that smaller eruptions are more likely to happen, but that it remains possible that a large eruption could occur.²⁶

Vulnerability Assessment

All of the Pacific Northwest is vulnerable to impacts from volcanic activity. Like the rest of Eastern Oregon, Malheur County has some risk of being impacted by volcanic activity in the Cascade Range. The principal sources are Mt. Hood, Mt. Saint Helens, Newberry Volcano, Mt. Bachelor, Three Sisters and Mt. Broken Top, and Mt. Mazama/ Crater Lake. Because of its geographic distance from these volcanic sites, Malheur County is not at risk for proximal hazards such as lava flows. However, it is at

²³ 2014 Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ Ibid.


²⁶ The Washington Post, *The Yellowstone Supervolcano is a Disaster Waiting to Happen*, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/speaking-of-science/wp/2018/04/20/the-yellowstone-supervolcano-is-a-disaster-waiting-to-happen/?noredirect=on&utm_term=.2031212ebc19

risk for distal hazards, primarily ash fall (tephra). The location, size, and shape of the area affected by tephra fall is determined by both the vigor and duration of the eruption and the wind direction at the time of eruption, making prediction of the area to be affected impossible more than a few hours in advance. The vulnerability to ash fallout is multi-pronged; for example ash can disrupt the engines of motor vehicles, reduce visibility, and exacerbate or induce respiratory illnesses.

While a quantitative vulnerability assessment - an assessment that describes number of lives or amount of property exposed to the hazard - has not yet been conducted for Malheur County volcanic eruption events, there are many qualitative factors - issues relating to what is in danger within a community - that point to potential vulnerability.

Figure VE-8 shows that Malheur County is not within an identified high or moderate volcanic event hazard zone. DOGAMI used data from the USGS Cascades Volcano Observatory (CVO) for this web application. CVO maintains proximal and distal hazard zone data for volcanic areas in the Western Cascades of Oregon. These areas include but are not limited to Mount St. Helens, Mount Hood, Crater Lake, Newberry, Mount Jefferson, and the Three Sisters.²⁷ HazVu shows two hazard zones: the high hazard zone (proximal zone) and moderate hazard zone (distal zone). Mt. Bachelor, which is listed as a moderate threat by the USGS,²⁸ is a dormant volcano monitored by the Jaffe Group at the University of Washington at Bothell.²⁹

Figure VE-8 Map of Generalized Vulnerability of the Region



Source: DOGAMI, HazVu: Statewide Geohazards Viewer, <https://www.oregongeology.org/hazvu/hazards-assets.htm>

²⁷ USGS, Cascades Volcano Observatory, https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/observatories/cvo/cascade_volcanoes.html.

²⁸ USGS, 2018 Update to the U.S. Geological Survey National Volcanic Threat Assessment, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2018/5140/sir20185140.pdf>

²⁹ University of Washington, INTEX-B 2006: Mount Bachelor Observatory, <https://atmos.washington.edu/~thornton/MBO.html>

Community Hazard Issues

What is susceptible to damage during a hazard event?

Volcanic eruptions can send ash airborne, spreading the ash for hundreds or even thousands of miles. An erupting volcano can also trigger flash floods, earthquakes, rockfalls, and mudflows. It can destroy forests and damage buildings and infrastructure. Volcanic ash can contaminate water supplies, cause electrical storms, and collapse roofs.³⁰

Businesses and individuals can make plans to respond to volcano emergencies. Once an emergency begins, public resources can often be overwhelmed, and citizens may need to provide for themselves and make informed decisions. Knowledge of volcano hazards can help citizens make a plan of action based on the relative safety of areas around home, school, and work.³¹

BUILDING AND INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGE

Buildings and other property in the path of a flash flood, debris flow, or tephra fall can be damaged. Thick layers of ash can weaken roofs and cause collapse, especially if wet. Clouds of ash often cause electrical storms that start fires or damp ash can short-circuit electrical systems and disrupt radio communication.

POLLUTION AND VISIBILITY

Tephra fallout from an eruption column can blanket areas within a few miles of the vent with a thick layer of pumice. High-altitude winds may carry finer ash tens to hundreds of miles from the volcano, posing a hazard to flying aircraft, particularly those with jet engines. In an extreme situation, airports would need to close to prevent the detrimental effect of fine ash on jet engines and for pilots to avoid total impaired visibility. Fine ash in water supplies will cause brief muddiness and chemical contamination.

DEATH AND INJURY

Inhalation of volcanic ash can cause respiratory discomfort, damage or result in death for sensitive individuals miles away from the cone of a volcano. Likewise, emitted volcanic gases such as fluorine and sulfur dioxide can kill vegetation for livestock or cause a burning discomfort in the lungs. Hazards to human life from debris flows are burial or impact by boulders and other debris.

ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Volcanic eruptions can disrupt the normal flow of commerce and daily human activity without causing severe physical harm or damage. Ash a few millimeters thick can halt traffic, possibly up to one week, and cause rapid wear of machinery, clog air filters, block drains and water intakes, and can kill or damage agriculture.

³⁰ Dzurisin, Dan, Peter H. Stauffer, and James W. Hendley II, *Living with Volcanic Risk in the Cascades*, USGS Fact Sheet 165-97, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/1997/fs165-97/fs165-97.pdf>

³¹ Scott, W.E. et al, *Volcano Hazards in the Three Sisters Region, Oregon*, USGS Open-File Report 99-437, (2001), <https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ofr99437>

Transportation of goods between Malheur County and nearby communities and trade centers could be deterred or halted. Subsequent airport closures can disrupt airline schedules for travelers. Fine ash can cause short circuits in electrical transformers, which in turn cause electrical blackouts. Volcanic activity can also force nearby recreation areas to close for safety precautions prior to an eruption. The interconnectedness of the region's economy would be disturbed after a volcanic eruption due to damages to buildings and infrastructure, pollution and visibility, disruptions to transportation, and health impacts.

CITY SPECIFIC DAMAGE

Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale

Were a volcanic event to occur in the Cascades region of Oregon, Mt. St. Helens in Washington, or elsewhere, Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale could be at risk for ash fall, depending on the severity of the event and the direction of the wind. Like the rest of the County, these Cities has an approximately 1 in 5,000 chance annually of experiencing ash fall from a volcanic event.

Existing Hazard Mitigation Activities and Resources

USGS and DOGAMI

A major existing strategy to address volcanic hazards is to publicize and distribute volcanic hazard maps and information through DOGAMI and USGS.

The volcanoes most likely to constitute a hazard to Oregon communities have been the subject of USGS research. Open-file reports (OFR) address the geologic history of these volcanoes and lesser-known volcanoes in their immediate vicinity. These reports also cover associated hazards, the geographic extent of impacts, and possible mitigation strategies. They are available for the active volcanoes near Malheur County: Mount Saint Helens, Three Sisters, Newberry Volcano, and Crater Lake. While there is not an OFR for Mt. Bachelor, there are other resource materials that provide considerable information. Malheur County is only at risk for tephra (ash) fall from these sites, should these volcanoes become active enough to raise concerns.

Of note, after the 1980 eruption of Mount St. Helens, Congress provided increased funding that enabled the USGS to establish a volcano observatory for the Cascade Range. Located in Vancouver, Washington, the David A. Johnston Cascades Volcano Observatory (CVO) was named for a USGS scientist killed at a forward observation post by the May 18, 1980, eruption (<https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/1997/fs165-97/fs165-97.pdf>).

USGS, <https://volcanoes.usgs.gov/index.html>

DOGAMI, <https://www.oregongeology.org/volcano/volcanoes.htm>

State Natural Hazard Risk Assessment

The risk assessment in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* provides an overview of volcanic hazards in Oregon and identifies the most significant volcanic eruptions in Oregon's recorded history. It has overall state and regional information, and includes volcano related mitigation actions for the entire state. https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASState.pdf

Future Changing Conditions/ Climate Change

In the Malheur County NHMP, there are several locations that describe future changing conditions or climate change as it relates to the natural hazards that impact Malheur County. In the order of appearance in the NHMP: the Risk Assessment, the Hazards Annexes, and Appendix F contain this information. Within Appendix F there are two documents, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

Volcanic Event Mitigation Action Items

The volcanic events mitigation actions have been identified by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee which includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP and the action item worksheets in Appendix A for a more detailed description of the mitigation actions.

There are no volcanic events specific mitigation actions. The mitigation actions would have a medium priority because the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) resulted in volcanic events having a medium risk level.

There are seven multi-hazard mitigation actions for the NHMP and several of those include volcanic related mitigation actions, in conjunction with the other hazards. The multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority.

At the August 9, 2018 NHMP Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the HVA would be used as the way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The risk level rankings are in Table 2-5 in Section 2 Risk Assessment.

Risk Score: 175

Risk Level: High-Medium

WILDFIRE HAZARD ANNEX

Causes and Characteristics of Wildfire

A wildfire is a strong and often uncontrollable burning of forest, brush, or rangeland (includes grassland). Fire has always been a part of high desert Western ecosystems and can have both beneficial and devastating effects. Eastern Oregon has a lengthy history (see Table WF-2 Significant Historic Wildfires) of wildfire in both wildlands and in wildland-urban interface (WUI) areas. WUI areas are where the human developed areas meet the undeveloped areas; it is a transition area. See Table WF-2 Significant Historic Wildfires for details.

In contrast to other parts of this region, Malheur County is notable for a relative lack of forested land and the predominance of high desert rangelands. The only forested area is in the northwestern corner of the County near the unincorporated community of Ironside, in addition to the scattered small patches in the southern portion of the County. Both the forests and rangelands are highly susceptible to wildfire. Many of the County's cities and unincorporated communities, in addition to rangelands and agricultural lands, are vulnerable to its effects. Wildfires are an annual occurrence in the County and have varied in size from under 10 acres to over 100,000 acres.

Wildfires threaten the limited but valued forest, agricultural land and rangelands, and individual home sites. State and federal wildland firefighters protect state and federal lands. While they fight to protect structures, they do not fight fires once they become structural and equipment fires. Notably, once a fire has started, homes and development in wildland and WUI settings complicate firefighting activities and stretch available human and equipment resources.

The loss of property and life, however, can be minimized through cooperation, preparedness, and mitigation activities. State and federal wildland firefighters can provide wildfire suppression service on non-state and non-federal areas through formal agreements. There are also Rural Fire Protection Associations (RFPA) that provide fire protection in Malheur County, and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale have fire departments. There are many agreements between local, State, and Federal organizations to assist one another throughout Malheur County.

There are nearly two million acres in Malheur County that make up the WUI, which is susceptible to wildfire.¹ Less forested areas and grassland (which is typically included as part of rangeland) are also susceptible. As the population in this region grows, development in the WUI increases, posing a larger threat to life and property.

The hilly or mountainous topography of much of the County, and the lack of accessibility exacerbates wildfire hazards. Wildfire can spread rapidly and burn larger areas in a shorter period of time, and it is difficult to get fire-fighting equipment to these areas. Wildfire has been known to move at speeds of 30 mph or higher on grasslands in Malheur County.

¹ *Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP)*, 2009,
<https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>

To reduce the impact of wildfire, Malheur County adopted the *Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan (2009 Malheur County CWPP)* in 2009. The CWPP provides detailed information on the vulnerability and history of wildfire in the County, and provides a series of mitigation actions the County can implement to reduce the impact of wildfire. It is further described in the Risk Assessment section of this Wildfire Hazard Annex. Of note, one of the wildfire mitigation actions of this NHMP is “Coordinate the wildfire mitigation action items in the NHMP with those in the Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP).” See Table 2-5 for mitigation actions.

The impact on communities from wildfire can be huge. Statewide in 2018, according to the Northwest Interagency Coordination Center, the cost of fighting wildfires in Oregon was \$514.6 million, which was a substantial increase from the \$447 million it cost in 2017.² In 2012, the Long Draw Fire in Malheur County affected hundreds of thousands of acres and cost more than a million dollars to suppress.³ The History of Wildfires in Malheur County section in this Wildfire Annex includes a description of documented wildfires; it is likely that not all the wildfires that have occurred are included on this list.

See Figures WE-4 through WE-9 for countywide maps illustrating wildfire hazards.

Wildfire can be divided into four categories: interface fires, wildland fires, firestorms, and prescribed fires.⁴ These descriptions are provided for a brief but comprehensive understanding of wildfire.

Interface Fires

An interface fire occurs where wildland and developed areas come together with both vegetation and structural development combining to provide fuel. The WUI can be divided into categories.

- The **classic wildland-urban interface** exists where well-defined urban and suburban development presses up against open expanses of wildland areas.
- The **mixed wildland-urban interface** is more typical of the problems in areas of exurban or rural development: isolated homes, subdivisions, resorts and small communities situated in predominantly in wildland settings.
- The **occluded wildland-urban interface** where islands of wildland vegetation exist within a largely urbanized area.⁵

² Salem Statesmen-Journal, *Oregon Wildfire Costs Hit Record High of \$514 million in 2018*, October 10, 2018, <https://www.statesmanjournal.com/story/news/2018/10/10/oregon-wildfire-costs-hit-record-high-2018/1581132002/>.

³ InciWeb: Incident Information System, *Long Draw Fire Information*, http://inciweb.nwcg.gov/photos/ORVAD/2012-07-11-08:03-long-draw/related_files/ftp-20120716-100631.pdf, accessed March 26, 2013.

⁴ Federal Emergency Management Agency, *Multi-hazard, Identification and Risk Assessment Report*, 1997, Washington, D.C., <https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/7251>.

⁵ Ibid.

Wildland Fires

A wildland fire's main fuel source is natural vegetation. Often referred to as forest or rangeland fires, these fires occur in national forests and parks, private timberland, and on public and private rangeland. A wildland fire can become an interface fire if it encroaches on developed areas.

Firestorms

Firestorms are events of such extreme intensity that effective suppression is virtually impossible. Firestorms often occur during dry, windy weather and generally burn until conditions change or the available fuel is consumed. In 1987, widespread dry lightning in late August ignited fires throughout northern California and southwest Oregon. Two of these were over 10,000 acres, and according to the Oregon Department of Forestry, this series of events fits the definition of a firestorm. Resources were brought in from other states and Canada to fight them.⁶ Five of the fires listed in Table WF-1 are firestorms: the Jackson Fire, the Long Draw Fire; the Owyhee River Fire; the Soda Fire, and the Owyhee Canyon Fire.⁷

Prescribed Fires

Prescribed fires are intentionally set or are select natural fires that are allowed to burn for beneficial purposes. Before humans suppressed forest fires, small, low intensity fires cleaned the underbrush and fallen plant material from the forest floor while allowing the larger plants and trees to live through the blaze. These fires were only a few inches to two feet tall and burned slowly. Forest managers now realize that a hundred years of prevention has contributed to the unnatural buildup of plant material that can flare up into tall, fast moving wildfires. These can be impossible to control and can leave a homeowner little time to react.

Conditions Contributing to Wildfires

Ignition of a wildfire may occur naturally from lightning or from human causes such as debris burns, arson, careless smoking, recreational activities, equipment, or an industrial accident. According to BLM staff, in Malheur County, approximately 30% of fires are caused by humans, and the trend is increasing in recent years. Many of the equipment caused fires occur as a result of transportation or creation.⁸ See Figure WF-4 for a map of fire locations and an indication of the fire's origins as either human or lightning caused.

Once started, four main conditions affect the fire's behavior: fuel, topography, weather and development. Of note, a fire's flame length is commonly used as a visual indication of fire intensity, and is a primary factor to consider for firefighter safety and for gauging potential impacts to resources and assets. A higher flame length may indicate a higher fire intensity, and a lower flame length may indicate a lower fire intensity. A more detailed discussion of flame length and fire intensities is better suited to the CWPP than the NHMP. Fire conditions, which affect the fire's behavior, vary widely with topography, fuels, and weather – especially winds.

⁶ Wolf, Jim, ODF, personal communication, May 8, 2001.

⁷ Al Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 4, 2019.

⁸ Ibid.

Fuel

Fuel is the material that feeds a fire. Fuel is classified by volume and type. Forested lands provide a larger fuel source to wildfires than other vegetated lands due to the presence of large amounts of timber and other dense vegetation in these areas. Malheur County is notable for a relative lack of forested land. In Malheur County, the BLM lands are approximately 3% timber and 97% rangeland. Of the 3% timber, approximately 2% is juniper and 1% is classic forested timber. Grassland are included in the rangeland areas⁹ Grasslands, which naturally cover most of the region, are highly susceptible to wildfire. According to BLM staff, there is an increasing amount of invasive grasses in the grasslands; these invasive grasses are more susceptible to burn. The variability of the fire likelihood is great, as the factors of soil moisture, soil temperature, and amount of and nature of grass there varies. Vegetation such as agricultural lands and rangelands also provides fuel for wildfires.¹⁰

Topography

Topography influences the movement of air and directs a fire's course. Slope and hillsides are key factors in fire behavior. Hillsides with steep topographic characteristics are often also desirable areas for residential development. In this region, much of the topography is hilly or mountainous which also can induce wildfire hazards. These areas can cause a wildfire to spread rapidly and burn larger areas in a shorter period of time, especially, if the fire starts at the bottom of a slope and migrates uphill as it burns. Wildfires tend to burn more slowly on flatter lying areas but this does not mean these areas are exempt from a rapidly moving or spreading fire. Hazards that can affect these areas after the fire has been extinguished include landslides (debris flows), floods, and erosion.

Weather

Weather is the most variable factor affecting wildfire behavior. High-risk areas in Oregon share a hot, dry season in late summer and early fall with high temperatures and low humidity. Figure WF-1 Malheur County Average Annual Precipitation, shows the mean annual precipitation and the geographic distribution in Malheur County.

The natural ignition of wildfires is largely a function of weather and fuel; human caused fires add another dimension to the probability. Lightning strikes in areas of forest or rangeland combined with any type of vegetative fuel source will always remain as a source for wildfire. Thousands of lightning strikes occur each year throughout much of the region. Fortunately, not every lightning strike causes a wildfire, though they are a major contributor. Figure WF-4 Local Fire History shows the fire locations from 2008-2017 and the cause as either human or lightning.

Development


The increase in residential development in interface areas has resulted in greater wildfire risk. Fire has historically been a natural wildland element and can sweep through vegetation that is adjacent to a combustible home. New residents in remote locations are often surprised to learn that in moving away from urban areas, they have left behind readily available fire services providing

⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰ *Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan*, 2009,
<https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>

structural protection. Rural locations may be more difficult to access and or simply take more time for fire protection services to get there. There is general observation, and BLM staff concur, that these wildland and WUI fires are increasing in severity and size.¹¹ Looking at the future climate projections described in Appendix F, it is likely these situations are already and will continue to be exacerbated by changes in the climate. In Figure WF-1, Malheur County Average Annual Precipitation, it is clear that mean annual precipitation is low and this contributes to wildfire impacts and other natural hazards impacts in Malheur County.

Figure WF-1 Malheur County Average Annual Precipitation



Source: PRISM Group and Oregon Climate Service, Oregon State University "Oregon Average Annual Precipitation (1971-2000)" <http://www.ocs.orst.edu/prism/index.phtml>.

History of Wildfire in Malheur County

The ecosystems of most forest and wildlands depend upon fire to maintain functions. These benefits can include, depending upon location and other circumstances, reduced fuel load, disposal of slash and thinned tree stands, increased forage plant production, and improved wildlife habitats, hydrological processes and aesthetic environments. The effects of fire on ecosystem resources can

¹¹ Al Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 4, 2019.

include damages, benefits, or some combination of both. Despite these potential benefits, fire has historically been suppressed for years because of its effects on rangelands, grasslands, recreation areas, agricultural operations, and the significant threat to property and human life.

Recall that Malheur County is largely comprised of grasslands, rangelands, agricultural lands, and primarily small communities, with a few larger cities. Recognizing the economic, human, and environmental impacts, the state and federal agencies have typically sought to alleviate fire-related problems through a controlled burning program.¹² BLM staff stated that controlled burning happens in limited fashion, while other techniques such as making fuel breaks, invasive weed management, and mechanical treatments are more commonly used.¹³

The effects of a wildfire on the built environment, particularly in the face of a major wildfire event, can be devastating to people, homes, businesses and communities. For example, in Malheur County, where the majority of BLM land is leased for ranching operations, large wildfires can have significant economic impacts on ranchers' stock and range allotments, as burned land is unfit for grazing use for several years after a fire.

The Steering Committee recognized that wildfires can cause poor air quality and that people and animals can suffer detrimental impacts as a result. They determined, after extended discussion, that air quality should be further examined as a natural hazard for Malheur County and that it would be added in the next update of the NHMP. BLM staff stated that while some smoke comes from Malheur County situations, much of the smoke comes from elsewhere; it typically comes from the north (Washington and Canada), the west, and northern California.¹⁴

Table WF-I Significant Historic Wildfires

Date	Location	Description
1994	Malheur County	The Ironside/ Little Baldy Fire burned 10,385 acres; it was caused by lightning.
1996	Malheur County	The fire started in the Vale District due to lightning strike. It burned 26,000 acres.
1998	Malheur County	The Ontario fire burned in Malheur County; the # acres is not listed.
2000	Malheur County	The Jackson Fire was started by humans and burned 108,000 acres. It threatened the Snake River Correctional Institution. There was \$800,000 in damages, several buildings destroyed. The Farm Service Agency made a disaster declaration (EO 00-07). It was a firestorm.
2001	Malheur County	Jackie's Butte Fire started by dry lightning and burned rangeland in south central Malheur County. 67,000 acres burned.
2002	Malheur County	The Mahogany Mountain Fire burned 41,000 acres. The fire suppression cost \$1 million.
2002	Malheur County	The Malheur Complex/ Flagtail Fire was caused by lightning and burned 21,641 acres. Grant County and Malheur Forest were impacted. There were two disaster declarations (EO 02-09 and FEMA 2448 FMAGP).
2006	Malheur County	The Happy Valley Fire started north of Burns Junction and burned 66,518 acres. It was started by lightning.
2011	Malheur County	The Clarks Creek Fire burned 13,260 acres. It was public land and was 16 miles west of Jordan Valley.
2012	Malheur County	The Iron Fire/ Bonita Complex burned 9,868 acres northwest of Ontario.

¹² Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan, 2009, <https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>.

¹³ Al Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 4, 2019.

¹⁴ Ibid.

Date	Location	Description
2012	Malheur County	The Long Draw Fire burned 558,198 acres. It closed Us Highway 95 for nearly two days. It was a firestorm.
2012	Harney and Malheur County	The Hollaway Fire burned 461,107 acres in Oregon and Nevada. It started on August 5 by lightning. It burned approximately 245,000 acres in Oregon (170,000 in Malheur County) and 215,000 acres in NV.
2013	Malheur County	The Cedar Mountain Fire burned 23,934 acres. It was mostly public land and was located 35 miles north of Burns Junction.
2013	Malheur County	The Crowley Creek Fire burned 12,935 acres; it was mostly BLM land and 2,568 acres of private land. It occurred 36 miles north of Burns Junction.
2013	Malheur County	The Curry Canyon Fire burned 2,800 acres and occurred northwest of Juntura.
2013	Malheur County	The Grassy Mountain Fire burned 15,721 acres and was located southeast of Burns Junction.
2013	Malheur County	The Owyhee River Fire burned 46,501 acres with 45,439 acres of that on public land. It was 4 miles southwest of Adrian. It was a firestorm.
2013	Malheur County	The Stockade fire burned 6,614 acres; of that 2,561 was BLM; 937 was state land; and 3,116 acres of private land. It occurred 36 miles north of Burns Junction.
2014	Malheur and Harney County	The Saddle Draw Fire torched 280,141 acres of BLM and private ground south of Juntura
2014	Malheur County	The Brogan Hill Fire burned 10,909 acres of BLM and private ground northwest of Vale
2015	Malheur County	The Jaca Reservoir Fire burned 14,909 acres
2015	Malheur County	The Leslie Gulch Fire burned 8,688 acres of BLM and private ground in a high use recreation and environmentally sensitive area
2015	Owyhee County, Idaho and Malheur County	The Soda Fire ravaged 53,179 BLM and private acres in Malheur County. It was a firestorm.
2015	Malheur County	The Bendire Complex (2 fires) burned 49,612 acres northwest of Juntura
2016	Malheur County	The Owyhee Canyon Fire scorched 22,323 BLM, private and State acres and burned into Lake Owyhee State Park, causing evacuations in the entire canyon. It was a firestorm.
2016	Malheur County	The Sheep Rock Fire burned 12,761 acres of BLM north of Juntura.
2016	Malheur County	The Simmons Gulch Fire burned 11,352 acres of BLM and private ground southwest of Harper

Sources: University of Oregon, Malheur County NHMP, May 2014; DLCD, Oregon NHMP, 2015; FEMA, Disaster Declarations for Oregon, retrieved 2017; InciWeb, retrieved 10/10/17, 5203, <https://inciweb.nwcg.gov/incident/5584/>; NICC Incident Management Report, August 11, 2005, <http://cidi.org/wildfire/0508/ixl10.html>, accessed August 8, 2007, Al Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 5, 2019

Figure WF-4 Local Fire History is a map that shows the fire locations from 2008-2017 and the cause as either human or lightning.

The map also provides statistics (see data sources listed on the map) for fires from 2008-2017:

Total acres burned: 1,321,631

Total number of fires: 295

Average acres burned per year: 132,163

Average number of fires per year: 30


Percent lightning caused: 77%

Percent human caused: 23%

The Long Draw Fire in and the Holloway Fire both occurred in 2012, and are described here as examples of how fires impact Malheur County. In 2013 there were several sizable fires including the Owyhee River Fire, Cedar Mountain Fire, and the Stockade Fire.

Long Draw Fire (July 2012): This lightning caused wildfire ignited on July 8, 2012 and burned 582,313 acres primarily within Malheur County; it also affected Nevada and an area south of Burns Junction in Harney County.¹⁵ The fire spread more than 200,000 acres in one day; it became the biggest fire in Oregon history since 1865. Five crews, five helicopters, 29 engines, seven dozers, thirteen water tenders and 505 personnel were deployed to fight this fire. The fire destroyed range buildings, scorched much-needed grass and destroyed cattle on the perimeter of the fire. It hopped U.S. 95, took out a power line and moved east into the Owyhee Canyon.¹⁶

Figure WF-2 Long Draw Fire Progression Map




Source: 2014 Malheur County NHMP (InciWeb: Incident Information System, <http://www.inciweb.org/incident/3004/>) (no longer accessible).

15 Capital Press, *Bigger Wildfires Ahead, Researchers Warn*, https://www.capitalpress.com/state/oregon/bigger-wildfires-ahead-researchers-warn/article_8abe005a-cbf7-5528-b153-84b3dbae01a9.html, accessed February 2013.

16 InciWeb: Incident Information System, *Long Draw Fire Information*, http://inciweb.nwcg.gov/photos/ORVAD/2012-07-11-08:03-long-draw/related_files/ftp-20120716-100631.pdf, accessed March 26, 2013.

Holloway Fire (August 2012): The Holloway Fire, this lightning caused fire ignited on August 5, 2012 and originated 25 miles east of Denio, Nevada and burned approximately 170,000 acres within Malheur County (75,000 acres in Harney County ; 461,047 acres total). Thirteen crews, four helicopters, 69 engines, 27 dozers, 16 water tenders and 826 personnel were deployed.

Figure WF-3 Holloway Fire Information Map



Source: 2014 Malheur County NHMP (InciWeb: Incident Information System, <http://www.inciweb.org/incident/3113/>) (no longer accessible).

Risk Assessment

How are Hazards Identified?

This NHMP builds upon previously collected data and information, including the 2014 *Malheur County NHMP* and the 2009 *Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan* (CWPP). The CWPP was created with the intention of addressing wildfires within the WUI and affecting the communities of Adrian, Jordan Valley, Nyssa, Ontario, Vale, Arock, Brogan, Danner, Juntura, McDermitt, Rome and other communities.¹⁷ The purpose of the CWPP was for communities to take advantage of opportunities offered under the Healthy Forests Restoration Act (HFRA) of 2003.¹⁸ The CWPP “is the result of analysis, professional cooperation and collaboration, assessments of wildfire risks and other factors considered with the intent to reduce the potential wildfires that threaten people, structures, infrastructure, and values in Malheur County”¹⁹

Table WF-2 Communities at Risk to Wildfire shows areas within Malheur County that are considered to have high or moderate risk to wildfire; the wildland urban interface community name is shown followed by its score (out of a possible 23) in parentheses.

Table WF-2 Communities at Risk to Wildfire

High	Moderate
Ontario Heights (19)	Crowley (16)
Riverside (19)	Rockville (16)
Vale (19)	Burns Junction (16)
Owyhee Reservoir (18)	McDermitt (16)
Harper (17)	Rome (16)
Juntura (17)	Nyssa (15)
Adrian (17)	Basque Station (15)
Jordan Valley (17)	Annex (15)
	Ironside (14)

Source: Malheur County CWPP, 2009, <https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>

The contributing factors for the hazard ratings included:

- Likelihood of fire occurring,
- Topographic hazard,
- Total fuel hazard,
- Overall fire protection capability,
- Weather factor, and
- Values at risk.

¹⁷ *Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan* (CWPP), 2009.
<https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>

¹⁸ Ibid

¹⁹ Ibid

In 2002, the Vale BLM office completed the Communities at Risk (CAR) wildland fire risk assessments for these WUI areas in Malheur County: Arock, Adrian, Jordan Valley, McDermitt, Rome, Ontario Heights, Ontario Slope, and Vale. These CAR reports contain documentation on each community's risk for wildfire and list mitigation actions as determined by BLM, its contractors, and the local communities. These reports provide valuable information to the communities.

According to *Oregon's Communities at Risk Assessment*, "A Community at Risk includes the geographic area within and surrounding the populated areas - adjacent landscapes that contain vegetation creating a risk to the community, generally a sixth field watershed, and municipal watersheds. It is based upon a "fire shed" concept, including the area surrounding the community where economic, social, cultural, and visual values important to the community exist, and where strategic fuel reduction planning needs to occur to protect the community from large catastrophic wildfires. The statewide process identified areas within two km of populated jurisdictions, as well as the adjacent sixth field watershed(s), not exceeding 8 km. NOTE: This is a significant change from the 2001 Community at Risk (CAR) map for Oregon, which primarily identified populated areas."²⁰

Malheur County GIS has created six maps with wildfire information for this NHMP. Each map identifies the source of the information used and are included at the end of this Hazard Annex.

- Figure WF-4 Malheur County Local Fire History
- Figure WF-5 Malheur County Wildfire Annual Burn Probability Map
- Figure WF-6 Malheur County Overall Wildfire Risk by Watershed
- Figure WF-7 Malheur County Overall Wildfire Risk
- Figure WF-8 Malheur County Burn Hazard to Potential Structures
- Figure WF-9 Malheur County Wildfire Burn Hazard to Assets

Hazard Risk Analysis

The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee completed a Hazard Vulnerability Assessment/Analysis (HVA) during this NHMP update. This was described in Section 2 Risk Assessment. The method used for the HVA was developed from a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) tool that has been refined by the Oregon Office of Emergency Management (OEM). It addresses and weights (shown as percent within parentheses) probability (29%), vulnerability (21%), maximum threat (42%) and the history (8%) of each natural hazard and attributes a final hazard analysis score. The methodology produces scores that range from 24 to 240.

For local governments, conducting the HVA is a useful step in planning for hazard mitigation. The method provides the jurisdiction with a relative ranking from which to prioritize mitigation actions, but does not predict the occurrence of a particular hazard.

In 2013 wildfire hazards had a risk score of 165 and a rank of 4 out of 11 natural hazards. In 2018 wildfire hazards had a risk score of 175 and a rank of 5 out of 11 natural hazards.

For more information on all the risk scores and ranks of the natural hazards, see Volume I Basic Plan, Section 2 Risk Assessment of this NHMP.

²⁰ ODF, *Oregon's Communities at Risk Assessment*, September 12, 2006, <http://library.state.or.us/repository/2007/200710150832491/index.pdf>.

Probability Assessment

In Oregon, especially in Malheur County, wildfires are inevitable. Although usually thought of as being a summer occurrence, wildland fires can occur during any month of the year. The vast majority of wildfires burn during June to October time period, though the wildfire season has expanded over recent years. Dry spells during the winter months, especially when combined with winds and dead fuels, may result in fires that burn with intensity and a rate of spread that surprises many people. Wildfire risk to human welfare and economic and ecological values is more serious today than in the past because of the buildup of flashy fuels, changes in vegetation composition over time, construction of houses in proximity to forests and rangelands, increased outdoor recreation, and a lack of public appreciation of wildfire.²¹

As has been described, the natural ignition of forest fires is largely a function of weather and fuel; human-caused fires add another dimension to the probability. Dry and diseased forests can be mapped and some statement can be made about the probability of lightning strikes. Each forest is different and consequently has different probability and recurrence estimates.

The intensity and behavior, again as has been described, of wildfire depends on a number of factors including fuel, topography, weather, and density of development. There are a number of often-discussed strategies to reduce the negative impacts of these phenomena. They include land use regulations, management techniques, site specific standards, and building codes. In 1997 the Oregon Forestland-Urban Interface Fire Protection Act (aka Senate Bill or SB 360) passed. SB 360 requires property owners to comply with its provisions; these provisions are implemented by property owners and monitored by ODF. All of these have a bearing on a community's ability to prevent, withstand, and recover from a wildfire event. Figure WF-5 Malheur County Wildfire Annual Burn Probability Map shows the annual likelihood of occurrence of a large wildfire greater than 250 acres, considering weather, topography, fire history, and fuels (vegetation).

Vulnerability Assessment

Each year a significant number of people build homes within or on the edge of the WUI, thereby increasing the exposure of people and property to wildfire hazards. Many Oregon communities (incorporated and unincorporated) are within or abut areas subject to serious wildfire hazards, complicating firefighting efforts and significantly increasing the cost of fire suppression. The maps included in Figures WF-5 to WF-9 provide visual illustration of wildfire vulnerabilities. Figure WF-10 Malheur County Average Flame Lengths is a visual indication of fire intensity and is a primary factor to consider for firefighter safety and for gauging potential impacts to resources and assets.

The 2009 *Malheur County CWPP* used an assessment of factors to determine communities' vulnerability to the wildfire hazard. The assessment used factors that contribute to large wildfire events that seriously impact communities. Malheur County used three guidance documents to assess the communities-at-risk and the WUI areas:

1. *Field Guidance: Identifying and Prioritizing Communities at Risk*. National Association of State Foresters. June 27, 2003. (Available at: <http://www.stateforesters.org/>)

²¹ Malheur County CWPP, 2009, <https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>.

2. *Concept for Identifying and Assessment of Communities at Risk in Oregon*. Draft prepared by Jim Wolf, Fire Behavior Analyst, Oregon Department of Forestry. July 19, 2004.
3. *Wildland Urban Interface Communities-at-Risk Program*, Final Mitigation Recommendations. Reports provided for BLM by Dynamac Corporation, 2002.

The assessment used a scoring matrix with six factors: likelihood of fire occurring, topographic hazard, total fuel hazard, overall fire protection capability, weather factor, and values at risk²².

Below is a list of issues considered in the 2009 Malheur County CWPP process, the 2014 Malheur County NHMP update, and again in the 2019 Malheur County NHMP:

- Road access: Some County roads and remote bridges are unsuitable for fire vehicle access. No full inventory of these roads exists. With an inventory, the County could prioritize these roads for upgrades.
- Road access: Many privately owned driveways and bridges are unsuitable for fire vehicle and equipment access, which raises residents' fire risk considerably. BLM has done some community outreach and conducted free home inspections on this issue, but some residents remain unaware of their risk.
- Not all County and City offices have fire safety information available for residents seeking to build or move into the area. Offices such as the County Planning Department could have information to hand out to residents.
- Fuel reduction and alternation on BLM and private lands is an ongoing mitigation task. After fires, BLM will reseed with native grasses, non-native but not invasive plants, and less flammable plants. They also spray cheat grass, one of the most prevalent invasive grasses.²³
- While most of Malheur County is covered with fire protection, there are still several parts of unincorporated Malheur County that lack fire service protection.
- Access to water is needed.

These concerns remain and are retained as part of this Malheur County NHMP update.

Community Hazard Issues

What is susceptible to damage during a hazard event?

Threat to Life and Property

The interface between urban and suburban areas and these resource lands are producing increased exposure to life and property from wildfire. In many cases, existing fire protection services cannot

²² *ibid.*

²³ Al Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 4, 2019.

adequately protect new development. Wildfires that also involve structures and utilities present complex and dangerous situations to firefighters.

Personal Choices

There is increasing development in the WUI, as more people and property are choosing to live in the WUI; thus the vulnerabilities increase. Two maps help illustrate vulnerabilities in Malheur County both within and outside of the WUI: Figure WF-8 Wildfire Burn Hazard to Potential Structures and WF-9 Wildfire Burn Hazard to Assets. Both maps involve consequences to structures if a wildfire occurs, with a range from low to very high impacts.

Private Lands

Private development in Malheur County may be located within urban and rural areas. In some areas, fire equipment cannot negotiate steep grades, poor road surfaces, narrow roads, flammable or inadequately designed bridges, or traffic attempting to evacuate the area. Limited water availability during the fire season, and severe fuel loading problems add to the list of concerns. In some areas, current protection resources are stretched thin, thus both property in the WUI, outside the WUI, and in communities are at greater risk from fire. While local, state, and federal programs about wildfire risk has increased knowledge of wildfire risk, many property owners are not aware of the wildfire threats that they face, and some property owners in some areas have done little to manage or offset fire hazards or risks on their own property.

Drought

Recent concerns about the effects of climate change, particularly drought, are contributing to concerns about wildfire vulnerability. Unusually dry winters and hot summers increase the likelihood of a wildfire event, and place importance on mitigating the impacts of wildfire before an event takes place. See the Drought Annex for more information on drought in Malheur County.

CITY SPECIFIC DAMAGE

Ontario

The City of Ontario experiences the effects of wildfire frequently, but events threatening the City on a major scale are rare. Agricultural fields and irrigation canals surrounding the City act as natural fire breaks, and City officials said they feel that WUI areas near Ontario (Oregon Slope and Ontario Heights, both just out of city limits) are adequately protected.

Nyssa

The City of Nyssa and its surrounding area is considered a WUI area by BLM (see the *2009 Malheur County CWPP*), but fires occurring within city limits or threatening the City itself are very infrequent to nonexistent.

There was a fire near the City in 2012; a fire occurred in the hills to the east but could not enter the City due to the Snake River. Prior to that fire, the last event was several decades ago (the exact date is unavailable; local officials' best estimate was 1968 or 1969), when a fire near Lytle Boulevard west of city limits came within approximately 1.5 miles of the City.

There are parcels of private and BLM land outside of the City which have historically burned frequently, but these events generally do not significantly negatively impact the city itself. BLM fires can negatively impact the activities of local ranchers and farmers, which can thus have an economic impact on the City. City officials note that Nyssa is bordered by natural fire breaks on all sides – the Snake River to the east and south, and agricultural lands to the south, west, and north.

Vale

The City of Vale and its surrounding area is considered a WUI area by BLM (see the *2009 Malheur County CWPP*), but fires occurring within city limits or threatening the City itself are infrequent.

Vale does have a high probability because fires on rangeland hills outside of city limits occur frequently. These events do not generally threaten the City itself, but they can be a risk for people living within 3-5 miles outside of city limits, many of whom work or do business in Vale.

Vale has a volunteer fire department, which can be stretched thin because Vale also assists several surrounding rural unincorporated communities through mutual aid agreements, including Harper, Brogan, and Ironside.

Existing Hazard Mitigation Activities and Resources

Ordinances

People proposing to construct new buildings in the WUI in Malheur County, and the respective Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale are given instructions from the appropriate fire district to ensure fire access for their structure. The instructions are not a binding ordinance, but are based on recommended state standards. Contact the respective jurisdiction with authority.

Malheur County, <https://www.malheurco.org/>

City of Ontario, <http://www.ontariooregon.org/>

City of Nyssa, <https://www.nyssacity.org/>

City of Vale, <https://www.cityofvale.com/>

Studies/Reports

BLM's 2002 Community-at-Risk (CAR) reports for key WUI areas in Malheur County provide the best currently available information on wildfire vulnerability at the local level, in addition to the 2009 *Malheur County CWPP* and this NHMP.

State Natural Hazard Risk Assessment

The risk assessment in the *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan* provides an overview of wildfires risk in Oregon and identifies the most significant wildfires in Oregon's recorded history. It has overall state and regional information, and includes wildfire mitigation actions for the entire state. https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP_5_RASate.pdf

Planning for Natural Hazards: Oregon Technical Resource Guide

This guide describes basic mitigation strategies and resources related to wildfires and other natural hazards, including examples from communities in Oregon.

<https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/1909>

Emergency Operations Plan

Malheur County's *Emergency Operations Plan* (EOP) was prepared in 2017; that was an update to the 2009 EOP. The EOP describes how the County will organize and respond to emergencies and disasters; it includes the Emergency Support Function section called ESF 4 – Firefighting. In the Basic Plan portion of the EOP it includes the hazards flooding, severe weather, drought, hazardous material, earthquakes, nuclear attack, and volcanoes. The EOP also mentions the NHMP, though that reference is outdated and needs to be made more current.

<https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/MALHEUR%20EOP.pdf>

Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is responsible for “managing public lands for a variety of uses such as energy development, livestock grazing, recreation, and timber harvesting while ensuring natural, cultural, and historic resources are maintained for present and future use.” According to their website, the BLM manages 1/10 of the nation’s surface area and 30% of the nation’s mineral and soils (<https://www.blm.gov/about/our-mission>).

In Oregon, DLBM is responsible for fire protection for all federal agencies. They also provide fire protection on Department of State Lands (DSL) land and on Oregon State Parks’ lands. BLM has a memorandum of agreement with Oregon to provide support to the Rangeland Fire Protection Associations (RFPAs).²⁴

There is a new program through the BLM, called the Rural Fire Readiness Program. It’s a separate cooperative agreement that a RFPA can sign with BLM; it removes them from the statewide memorandum of agreement with Oregon. The cooperative agreement provides more money to the RFPAs for training and equipment.²⁵

Rangeland Fire Protection Associations (RFPAs)

Rangeland Fire Protection Associations (RFPAs) provide wildfire protection of private land within Malheur County. RFPAs (formed under ORS 477.315) protect over 3.2 million acres of private land in eastern Oregon with support from ODF. RFPAs operate as independent associations of landowners that provide their own protection with the support of the ODF (chiefly technical support for grants, grant writing, procurement of equipment and fire-fighting training)²⁶.

²⁴ Al Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 4, 2019.

²⁵ Ibid.

²⁶ Foster, Gordon. Oregon Department of Forestry, *Status of Rangeland Fire Protection Associations*, 2011, <http://library.state.or.us/repository/2011/201112200820542/index.pdf>, accessed March 2013 and January 2019.

A statewide agreement between the Bureau of Land Management and Oregon exists. The ODF provides a small source of funding for the RFPAs, however, the majority of funds come from federal grants (primarily Volunteer Fire Assistance and Rural Fire Assistance). Additional fees are collected from voluntary membership dues. As noted above, BLM also supports the RFPAs.

The RFA has a responsibility to protect private lands of members and non-members alike pursuant to the agreement formed with ODF when the RFA is created. These all-volunteer crews of ranchers have training and legal authority to respond to fires on private and state lands where there had been no existing fire protection, and can become authorized to respond on federal lands as well.

The following RFPAs are active within Malheur County²⁷:

- Ironside RFA (established 1964)
- Juntura RFA (established 2007)
- Jordan Valley RFA (established 2008)
- Vale RFA (established 2008)
- Blue Mountain RFA (established 2013).

RFPAs are an increasingly popular model of community fire-based management. The RFA model harnesses the benefits: members can respond quickly; members possess local knowledge; and members have a strong desire and culture around helping neighbors and protecting livelihoods.²⁸

Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF)

ODF does not have a fire suppression presence in Malheur County, except through the connection with the RFPAs.²⁹ According to the website, under the About the Fire Program page, "As Oregon's largest fire department, ODF's Fire Protection program protects 16 million acres of forest, a \$60 billion asset. These lands consist of privately owned forests as well as some public lands, including state-owned forests and, by contract, US Bureau of Land Management forests in western Oregon. ODF is also part of an extensive fire protection network that includes landowner resources, contract crews and aircraft, inmate crews, and agreements with public agencies across Oregon, the US and British Columbia.

ODF's firefighting policy is straightforward: Put out fires quickly at the smallest possible size. Most of the lands protected by the agency are working forests that produce revenue and support jobs. It is crucial to prevent fire damage to the timber resource that is an essential element of Oregon's economy. This aggressive approach to firefighting also safeguards ecosystem values such as fish and wildlife habitats" (<https://www.oregon.gov/odf/fire/pages/default.aspx>).

²⁷ Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan, 2009.

²⁸ Davis, Emily Jane "EJ," *Fire Adapted Communities on the Range: Why Rangeland Fire Protection Districts Matter*, June 21, 2018, <https://fireadaptednetwork.org/fire-adapted-communities-on-the-range-why-rangeland-fire-protection-associations-matter/>.

²⁹All Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 4, 2019.

U.S. Forest Service (USFS)

The USFS does not have land in Malheur County.³⁰ The USFS has a fuel-loading program to assess fuels and reduce hazardous buildup on U.S. forestlands. The USFS is a cooperating agency and, it has an interest in preventing fires in the WUI, as fires often burn up the hills and into the higher elevation U.S. forestlands.

The USFS and other federal, tribal, state, and local government agencies work together to respond to tens of thousands of wildfires annually. Each year, an average of more than 73,000 wildfires burn about 7 million acres of federal, tribal, state, and private land and more than 2,600 structures³¹.

The USFS recognizes the wildland fire management environment has profoundly changed. Longer fire seasons; bigger fires and more acres burned on average each year; more extreme fire behavior; and wildfire suppression operations in the WUI have become the norm. To address the challenges, the USFS and its federal, tribal, state, and local partners have developed and are implementing a *National Cohesive Wildland Fire Management Strategy* that has three key components: Resilient Landscapes, Fire Adapted Communities, and Safe and Effective Wildfire Response.³²

<https://www.fs.fed.us/managing-land/fire>

Firewise Communities

Malheur County has limited participation in the Firewise program. None of the communities in Malheur County are actually identified as a Firewise community.³³

The program was developed by the National Fire Protection Association; it is a national program that emphasizes community involvement and provide important information for residents to the risk of wildland fire igniting homes and other residential structures. The website includes educational videos, codes, articles, checklists, newsletters and more. The resource rich website is full of free materials. The program offers training throughout the nation on utilizing their program. Malheur County provides educational materials to homeowners and alerts residents of wildfire risks on their Facebook page and with other methods.

<https://www.nfpa.org/Public-Education/By-topic/Wildfire/Firewise-USA>

2009 Malheur County CWPP Identified Actions³⁴

The primary focus of the *2009 Malheur County CWPP* is within the WUI. Human life, critical infrastructure, and the environment are the main assets at risk to wildfire. This is because of the buildup of hazardous fuels around communities and structures, poor emergency vehicle and

³⁰ Ibid.

³¹ USFS, Wildland Fire, <https://www.fs.fed.us/managing-land/fire>

³² Ibid.

³³ Al Crouch, BLM, personal communication, March 4, 2019.

³⁴ *Malheur County CWPP*, 2009, <https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>.

equipment ingress and egress, a large area to cover with the fire authorities, and inadequately trained and/or equipped fire suppression authorities.

Throughout the County, there are scattered small communities and ranches with houses and out-buildings that are under protected with structural fire protection because they are outside municipal fire districts. There are a few that are not protected. Economic values at risk include businesses, farmland, ranchland, grazing land, hunting and other recreational land, historic and cultural sites, and critical infrastructure.

<https://www.oregon.gov/ODF/Documents/Fire/CWPP/MalheurCounty.pdf>

Future Changing Conditions/ Climate Change

In the Malheur County NHMP, there are several locations that describe future changing conditions or climate change as it relates to the natural hazards that impact Malheur County. In the order of appearance in the NHMP: the Risk Assessment, the Hazards Annexes, and Appendix F contain this information. Within Appendix F there are two documents, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

Wildfire Mitigation Action Items


The wildfire mitigation actions have been identified by the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee which includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. See Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions Table 2019 NHMP and the action item worksheets in Appendix A for a more detailed description of the mitigation actions in this NHMP. These mitigation actions are separate from the mitigation actions in the *Malheur County CWPP*. Together, the mitigation actions from these two plans form a strong approach to risk reduction of wildfire in Malheur County.

In the NHMP, there are three wildfire specific mitigation actions. The mitigation actions have a high-medium priority because the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) resulted in wildfires having a high-medium risk level.

There are seven multi-hazard mitigation actions for the NHMP and several of those include wildfire related mitigation actions, in conjunction with the other hazards. The multi-hazard mitigation actions are a high priority.


At the August 9, 2018 NHMP Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the HVA would be used as the way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. The risk level rankings are in Table 2-5 in Section 2 Risk Assessment.

Figure WF-4 Malheur County Local Fire History




Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 21, 2018

Figure WF-5 Malheur County Wildfire Annual Burn Probability Map




Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 21, 2018

Figure WF-6 Malheur County Overall Wildfire Risk by Watershed




Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 21, 2018

Figure WF-7 Malheur County Overall Wildfire Risk




Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 21, 2018

Figure WF-8 Malheur County Wildfire Burn Hazard to Potential Structures



Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 21, 2018

Figure WF-9 Malheur County Wildfire Burn Hazard to Assets



Source: Gina Lewis, Malheur County, December 21, 2018

Volume IV: Mitigation Resources

Insert photo

DRAFT

This page left intentionally blank.

APPENDIX A: ACTION ITEM FORMS

In Volume I Section 3 Mitigation Strategy, Table 3-2 Malheur County Mitigation Actions – Status of 2014 NHMP and Table 3-3 Malheur County Mitigation Actions 2019 NHMP were included. The following pages list each mitigation action from Table 3-3 by mitigation action number, and includes details such as the affected jurisdictions and the rationale for the mitigation action. Each action also includes the timeline and status for the jurisdiction affected by the action. There are 25 total mitigation actions for this multi-jurisdictional NHMP.

At the August 9, 2018 Steering Committee meeting, it was agreed that the risk level rankings from the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA) would be used as a way to prioritize the multi-hazard and hazard-specific mitigation actions. All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high priority. The hazard-specific actions are high, high-medium, and low. The risk level rankings are found in Section 2 Risk Assessment in Table 2-5 and the rankings are further described in the Risk Assessment section.

Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale are included in this Appendix A and are not in a separate addenda like they were in previous Malheur County NHMPs.

A list of the specific existing plans for Malheur County and the Cities is included in Section 4 Implementation in Table 4-x and in the Community Profile in Table C-35 (in 2014 NHMP) Existing Plans.

Table A-I Multi-Hazard Mitigation Action #I

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
MH #1 – Conduct Business Continuity Plan Development information sharing through presentations, workshops, and other methods for small businesses, local nonprofits, governments, and human services organizations.		Goals 1, 2, 3	☒ Malheur ☒ Ontario	☒ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Comprehensive Plans, Development Codes, Emergency Operations Plan, Economic Development Plans, and Transportation Systems Plans for the County and the Cities are examples of plans that relate to the resilience and recovery, and link the community together.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
• The local economy is dependent on small business; most business owners do not have disaster plans in place. Similarly, many local nonprofits and human service providers do not have plans in place and are crucial support systems for vulnerable community populations. • According to Daniel Alesch from the Public Entity Risk Institute, business continuity plans assist businesses in planning for future recovery efforts. In addition, research has shown that most small businesses are unable to recover after a disaster. Business continuity plans allow businesses and their employees to be better prepared for a disaster. Having plans in place may reduce the impact on the business, allowing employees to continue to work or get back to work faster. • Business continuity plans and Continuity of Operations Plans establish policy and guidance to ensure the execution of the organization’s most essential functions in any event that requires the relocation of selected personnel and functions to an alternate facility. Source: Oregon Natural Hazards Workgroup (ONHW). Cannon Beach Case Study Report. July 2006. Community Service Center, University of Oregon. Eugene, OR. • Research has shown that staff turnover is likely to occur after a disaster, and veteran staff is critical after a disaster. Developing a business continuity/ continuity of operations plan will help prevent turnover so that existing personnel do not have to take on extra responsibilities during an already stressful time. In addition, continuity planning can help lessen turnover by ensuring competitive salaries and benefits and by reducing the amount of stress that staff will have to endure. Source: Oregon Natural Hazards Workgroup (ONHW). Cannon Beach Case Study Report. July 2006. Community Service Center, University of Oregon. Eugene, OR. • All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
• Use Institute for Business and Home Safety toolkit materials • Work with Chamber of Commerce for small businesses, Malheur Community Services committee for human services nonprofits. • Recommend that public sector employees take the FEMA Independent Study Program: Continuity of Operations Course (online). The course provides a fundamental understanding of continuity of operations plans, terms, objectives, and benefits to public sector departments and agencies. It also provides information on how an event might affect employees, the department/agency and an employee's family.				

• Distribute the FEMA continuity of operations self-assessment tool to cities throughout the region. • Review existing COOP plans and begin to establish county benchmarks for increasing recovery potential. • Seek assistance from the OEM COOP toolkit available on the Oregon Emergency Management website found here: http://www.oregon.gov/omd/oem/pages/plans_train/coop.aspx		
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager	
Internal Partners:		External Partners:
Chambers of Commerce, Emergency Management Preparedness Team, Incorporated cities		Institute for Business and Home Safety
Potential Funding Sources:	Estimated cost:	Timeline:
		Ongoing
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committees; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019	
Action Item Status:	Ongoing	

Table A-2 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Action #2

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
MH #2 – Work with Southeast Oregon Regional Food Bank to develop a plan/system for stocking and distributing emergency food boxes at all county food pantry locations for disaster situations.		Goals 2 and 3	☒ Malheur ☒ Ontario	☒ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, mutual aid agreements,				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- The local low income population depends on food banks for food security; many do not keep 72-hour kits or extra food supplies on hand for emergencies. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify a comprehensive list of actions that reduce the impacts of natural hazards on the community [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Developing a plan with the Southeast Oregon Regional Food Bank for stocking and distributing emergency food boxes to food pantry locations will provide a continued service to families in need and may help the larger community in a potential disaster situation. - Nyssa: Formalize relationships and identify needed food stocks. Utilize existing food pantry at Main/ 5th streets. - Vale: Existing pantry currently coordinates with the regional food bank. - Each City in the County has access to a food pantry [Adrian shares with Nyssa]. - All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
Work with SE Oregon Regional Food Bank and partner agencies to determine what kind of system would work best for their respective pantries and programs, as each has different storage and usage capabilities.				
Coordinating Organization:	Southeast Oregon Regional Food Bank			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Emergency Management Team		Four Rivers Hunger Awareness and Prevention Coalition		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Ongoing			

Table A-3 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Action #3

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
MH #3 – Develop an education program for Malheur County to raise awareness of natural hazards and potential mitigation activities.		Goals 2 and 3	☒ Malheur ☒ Ontario	☒ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
County and City outreach and education, Emergency Operations Plans, Comprehensive Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans,				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Local residents are often unaware of how to reduce their hazard risks, and could benefit from the availability of educational materials and workshops, especially residents in vulnerable areas (WUIs, floodplains, etc.). Rather than take a piecemeal, ad-hoc approach, the county could benefit from an organized effort to present mitigation and preparedness activities that businesses and the public can implement to reduce the impact of those hazards - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify comprehensive actions that will reduce the impact of a natural hazard [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Developing education programs for Malheur County will help raise awareness of natural hazards and potential mitigation actions residents can implement to reduce the impact of those hazards. - All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Work with local public safety committee - Research ways to create and disseminate a message that will cause people to act to reduce individual risk to natural hazards. Target education and outreach actions to reach marginalized or especially vulnerable populations. Potential dissemination strategies: county website, mailings, public workshops, newspaper articles. - Utilize already available mitigation materials from IBHS, BLM, NFIP and other organizations: - Encourage implementation of non-structural earthquake retrofits in homes, businesses, and medical and care facilities. (IBHS Homeowners Guide to Non-structural Retrofit materials) - Encourage fire-resistant building and landscaping for property owners. (Firewise materials) - Encourage water conservation and drought resistance strategies for property owners and farmers. (materials from USDA Farm Service Agency and other offices) - Encourage flood protection measures for homes and businesses (NFIP materials) - Identify local government department offices as locations for educational material distribution. - Potential sites: Malheur Co Planning Department, Vale City Hall, Nyssa City Hall, Jordan Valley City Hall, Ontario City Hall, Adrian City Recorder's Office				
Coordinating Organization:		Malheur County Emergency Services Manager and Malheur County Health Department Emergency Coordinator		
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		

County, Incorporated cities, local public safety committee (law enforcement)		Snake River Fire Chiefs Association	
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:
			Ongoing
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019		
Action Item Status:	Ongoing		

Table A-4 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Action #4

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
MH #4 – Update the mission of the Malheur County Emergency Management Team (EMT) to include the maintenance and review of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.		Goal 3	☒ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☐ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, Comprehensive Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Community Wildfire Protection Plans				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- The Malheur County Emergency Management Preparedness Team (EMT), which also serves as the NHMP Steering Committee, will oversee mitigation plan maintenance once the plan is adopted. The EMT will provide continuity to the process and an avenue for other stakeholders to provide input on the plan. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires Mitigation Plans to include provisions for describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle [201.6(c)(4)(i)]. Updating the mission of the Malheur County Emergency Management Team will ensure that procedures are in place for maintaining and reviewing the plan and action items. - All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- See Volume I, Section 4, Plan Implementation and Maintenance, for details on how plan maintenance will be managed. - Gain official County Court recognition (via resolution or other means) of the EMPT as the body that will maintain and update the NHMP.				
Coordinating Organization:	Emergency Management Team			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
County Court, Incorporated cities		FEMA, Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Short Term	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Short Term			

Table A-5 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Action #5

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
MH #5 – Identify historic structures that represent a significant cultural resource for the community. Use information from the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office and the National Register of Historic Places.		Goals 1, 4, 5	☒ Malheur ☒ Ontario	☒ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Comprehensive Plans, Development Codes, and Economic Development Plans for the Counties and the Cities.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- The County has several historic structures that are culturally significant and potentially vulnerable to hazards, especially unreinforced masonry buildings. A list may be found in Section 2, Community Sensitivity and Resilience. These could be prioritized for retrofits and renovations. - Because several of the cities in Malheur County are located along the historic Oregon Trail, tourism is a component of Malheur County's economy. Identifying mitigating actions to help preserve these historic and cultural resources from damaging hazard events will preserve the cultural heritage of the county and maintain heritage tourism as a component in the County's economy. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify actions and projects that reduce the effects of hazards on the community, particularly to buildings and infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Inventorying important historic and cultural resources and identifying their vulnerability to natural hazards will help to develop mitigation actions that reduce their overall vulnerability to natural hazards. - All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Identify significant cultural and historic resources, whether on the national register or not, that are worthy of additional protection - Determine vulnerabilities of these resources to natural hazards - Identify appropriate mitigation measures to help preserve historic and cultural resources.				
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Planning Department			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
		Oregon State Historic Preservation Office		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Long Term			

Table A-6 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Action #6

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
MH #6 – Incorporate the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan into the Comprehensive Plan (in particular Goal 7).		Goals 1, 4, 5	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Comprehensive Plans and Development Codes for the County and the Cities.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- The vision, goals, and policies of the comprehensive plan are routinely implemented through other local planning instruments such as zoning ordinances, subdivision regulations, and capital improvement programs. Integrating hazard mitigation into the local comprehensive plan thereby establishes resilience as an overarching value of a community and provides the opportunity to continuously manage development in a way that does not lead to increased hazard vulnerability. Source: FEMA - The Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan's current actions have no regulatory or statutory requirements for compliance. Requiring the incorporation would give the plan 'teeth.' - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires that mitigation plans provide a comprehensive range of actions and projects to mitigate against natural hazards [201.6(c)(3)(ii)], such as actions that protect natural resources. Encouraging the implementation of existing action items with the Comprehensive Plan will help to ensure that the actions are implemented. - All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
Interested county and city Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans will be adopted as an amendment to their Comprehensive Plan Goal 7 elements.				
Coordinating Organization:	County and City Planning Departments			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
		Department of Land Conservation and Development, Oregon Office of Emergency Management, Federal Emergency Management Agency		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	2013 County NHMP Steering Committees, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Long Term			

Table A-7 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Action #7

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
MH #7 – Continue to work on the Malheur County Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP)		Goals 1, 2, 3, 4	☒ Malheur ☒ Ontario	☒ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, Economic Development Plans, Comprehensive Plans, and Development Codes for the County and the Cities.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify actions and projects that reduce the effects of hazards on the community, particularly to buildings and infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Preparing and keeping the COOP updated will strengthen the resilience of Malheur County and the Cities. - All the multi-hazard (MH) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Install arsenic removal equipment on municipal wells #7 and 9. - Coordinate with FEMA to identify funding sources for the equipment.				
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
All Malheur County departments		Emergency Management Team		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Short Term	
Form Submitted by:	Established by the 2018-19 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table A-8 Drought Hazard Mitigation Action #I

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
DR #1 – Cooperate and help the Malheur County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) in its countywide water assessment project.		Goals 1, 3, 5	☒ Malheur ☒ Ontario	☒ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, Economic Development Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Comprehensive Plans, and Development Codes for the County and the Cities.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- The Malheur County SWCD is currently working to secure funding for a countywide irrigation water system assessment; this project will help county irrigation districts increase efficiency, reduce vulnerability to drought, and prioritize improvements/repairs. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to develop comprehensive actions to reduce the impacts of natural hazards on their community [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Supporting the Malheur County SWCD in their countywide water assessment project will help to increase efficiency for county irrigation districts and reduce vulnerability to drought. - All the drought-hazard (DR) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Work with Malheur County Soil and Water Conservation District. - Supply drought hazard information as needed. - Seek potential FEMA funding sources for water assessment project.				
Coordinating Organization:	Emergency Management Team			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Irrigation Districts, Malheur County SWCD, Malheur Watershed Council, Owyhee Watershed Council		FEMA, USDA-Farm Services Division		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Ongoing			

Table A-9 Drought Hazard Mitigation Action #2

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
DR #2 – Support the Malheur, Owyhee and Vale Irrigation Districts' ongoing efforts to convert dirt irrigation canals into pipes.		Goals 1, 3, 5	☒ Malheur ☒ Ontario	☒ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, Economic Development Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Water Quality Plans, and NRCS Natural Resources Long Range Strategy in Malheur County, OR.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Both the Malheur and Owyhee Watershed Councils, which together oversee the two major watersheds in the County, are working on projects to convert aging, inefficient dirt irrigation canals into pipes. - Dirt canals typically have a 20-30% inefficiency rate of delivery due to evaporation, seepage, and damages from gophers and debris. Converting these canals into closed pipes removes the inefficiency and thus increases the amount of water available to farmers in the event of a water shortage or drought. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to develop comprehensive actions to reduce the impacts of natural hazards on their community [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Project will help to increase efficiency for county irrigation districts and reduce vulnerability to drought. This project may also have water quality benefits for the region by reducing agricultural runoff. - All the drought-hazard (DR) actions are high risk-level and hence high priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Work with irrigation districts to identify and prioritize key canals for piping. - Work with Malheur Watershed Council and Owyhee Watershed Council to determine organizational priorities for piping.				
Coordinating Organization:		Emergency Management Team		
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Irrigation Districts, Soil and Water Conservation District, Malheur Watershed Council, Owyhee Watershed Council		FEMA		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Ongoing			

Table A-10 Earthquake Hazard Mitigation Action #1

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
EQ # 1 – Review Critical Infrastructure List prepared for the 2018-19 NHMP. Evaluate the list and determine the priority buildings for seismic retrofits or replacements. Include the Critical Infrastructure List in the strategic plans for the County and the Cities.		Goals 1 and 4	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, Comprehensive Plans, Strategic Plans, and Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Oregon Senate Bill 2 (2005) directed DOGAMI to develop a statewide seismic needs assessment that includes a FEMA 154 Rapid Visual Screening survey of specific critical facilities, including schools. - The Steering Committee identified critical infrastructure for Malheur County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale. - Retrofitting of vital infrastructure provides important improvements that reduce hazard exposure and the cost and time associated with recovery (Source: American Planning Advisory Service Report Number 483/484). - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify actions and projects that reduce the effects of hazards on the community, particularly to buildings and infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Implementing structural and non-structural retrofitting programs will reduce the seismic vulnerability of public buildings, historically important structures, and critical facilities and infrastructure, and assist a community in reducing its overall earthquake risk - All the earthquake-hazard (EQ) actions are low risk-level and hence low priority.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Inventory existing facilities to determine future demands for maintenance, repair, rehabilitation or replacement; and to determine adequacy of existing facilities to meet future needs. - Identify historic structures that represent a significant cultural resource for the community, focusing especially on un-reinforced masonry buildings. - Evaluate and prioritize structures for retrofits or replacement. - Coordinate with OEM, Business Oregon and FEMA to determine funding for conducting seismic retrofit of buildings				
Coordinating Organization:		Emergency Management Team		
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
County/ City Building and Public Works Departments, Cities,		DOGAMI, OEM, Business Oregon, SHPO		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	Established by the 2018-19 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table A-1 | Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #1

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #1 – Update County and City floodplain ordinances		Goals 1, 4, 5	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
County and Cities floodplain ordinances, and Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
• A stronger floodplain ordinance would help the County and Cities manage floodplain areas to reduce flood risks. • The current County floodplain ordinance is outdated and insufficient for flood protection for new construction. The same goes for Vale, Nyssa, Adrian, and Jordan Valley. The City of Ontario has completed a revised floodplain ordinance as of summer 2007. • The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires that communities identify actions and projects that reduce the impact of a natural hazard on the community, particularly to new and existing buildings and infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Updating County and city floodplain ordinances will provide more accurate floodplain information that will reduce the impact of flooding on new buildings. • Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
• Ontario's floodplain ordinance regulations and standards could serve as a model for the other Cities and the County. The County and/or Ontario could provide technical assistance to the smaller cities. • Use other county floodplain ordinances to serve as a model ordinance for Malheur County. • The County will need to determine the extent of the update; whether funding and community support allows for updated text only or updated text and maps. • Reference "Oregon Model Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance."				
Coordinating Organization:		Malheur Co. Planning Department and Cities Planning Depts.		
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Ontario, Nyssa, Vale, Adrian, Jordan Valley		DLCD, FEMA		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Short Term	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Short Term			

Table A-12 Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #2

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #2 – Explore the potential for Malheur County to participate in the Community Rating System (CRS) of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)		Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
County and Cities floodplain ordinances, Comprehensive Plans, and Development Codes.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- County and City homeowner participation in NFIP is currently spotty. There are no designated repetitive-loss properties in the County, but most floodplain areas have had some NFIP claims within the past 20 years. - The National Flood Insurance Program's (NFIP) Community Rating System (CRS) is a voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum NFIP requirements. As a result, insurance premiums under the NFIP are discounted to reflect the reduced flood risk resulting from the community actions meeting the three goals of the CRS: (1) reduce flood losses; (2) facilitate accurate insurance rating; and (3) promote the awareness of flood insurance. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify mitigation actions that address existing buildings and infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Inclusion into the Community Rating System program can help communities to enhance mitigation efforts and decrease the vulnerability to floods. - Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Determine CRS eligibility requirements - Work with city and county to determine the best means of outreach to floodplain residents (mailing? Public meeting? Other methods?) - Coordinate with the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) and FEMA to join the Community Rating System. - Educate businesses and homeowners currently under the NFIP program about the CRS program and any mitigation actions they can implement to reduce their insurance premiums.				
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Planning Department			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Incorporated Cities, Chambers of Commerce		DLCD, FEMA		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Long Term			

Table A-13 Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #3

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #3 – Implement stormwater improvement measures as identified in the 2003 City of Ontario Stormwater Master Plan		Goals 1 and 3	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☐ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
City of Ontario Stormwater Master Plan, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, and the NRCS Natural Resources Long Range Strategy in Malheur County, OR.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Ontario's Stormwater Master Plan (SMP), adopted in 2003, identifies infrastructure improvements that would mitigate flood risks for the City in addition to improving the overall stormwater system. Recommendations include: - Establish an annual pipeline replacement program and eventually replace all pipelines with at least 12-inch diameter pipelines. (better outflow, reduced risk of surcharges) - Review and update interagency agreements between the City and irrigation districts to outline each entity's responsibilities in regards to water quality, stormwater runoff, and maintenance of jointly used facilities. (improve efficiency) - Kmart Drainage Basin – pipe upsizings and a cleanout. (Reduce surcharges during storm events) - Heinz Frozen Foods Basin – correct an adverse pipeline grade. (Reduce surcharge during storm events) - Park Boulevard Drainage Basin – combine this basin with the Double Trunk Line and Downtown Ontario Drainage Basin; upsize, connect, redirect, and limit inflow of several lines; add desiltation basins. (All of these measures would be to reduce surcharges during storm events) - SW 4th Ave Drainage Basin – construct a desiltation basin for agricultural runoff - Construct retention basins for several drainage areas. (reduced flood risk, water filtration) - Preserve land identified for future water quality treatment needs. - Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Coordinate efforts with the Ontario Public Works Department to implement storm water improvement measures. - Work with FEMA to identify funding sources.				
Coordinating Organization:		City of Ontario Public Works		
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
City of Ontario, Ontario Planning Department		FEMA, DLCD		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	

Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019
Action Item Status:	Long Term

Table A-14 Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #4

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #4 – Update the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for the County and Cities		Goals 2 and 3	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Malheur County, Adrian, Jordan Valley, Ontario and Vale Flood Insurance Studies, County and Cities Development Codes, and Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Given the frequent occurrence of floods in the County and this hazard's high priority ranking, digital maps would greatly help the County plan flood mitigation actions. When and if County FIRM maps become available in digital form, local GIS and planning staff will be able to determine how much infrastructure is located in floodplain areas and determine how best to reduce those areas' flood risks on a localized level. - The current floodplain maps for the County and Cities are only available in hard copy paper format and were last updated in 1986. The County and Cities have experienced enough development that these maps may not have accurate Base Flood Elevations and other measurements, thus exacerbating the flood risk. - In 2008, FEMA was scheduled to begin upgrading flood hazard data in Eastern Oregon. However, funds are not expected to continue. Communities that are able to demonstrate significant need, and/or are able to provide accurate topological data, road maps, base elevation measurements, and a description of populations at-risk will be more competitive in acquiring a portion of the remaining funds. - LiDAR has been shot in the watershed/ irrigation district north of Ontario (circa 2012). - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires that communities identify actions and projects that reduce the impact of a natural hazard on the community, particularly to new and existing buildings and infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Obtaining GPS floodplain maps will enable communities in Malheur County to improve assessments of flood risks and implement appropriate flood mitigation activities to reduce the vulnerability of floods in the county. - Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Coordinate with FEMA to acquire digital GIS floodplain maps for the County and Cities. Overlay digital FIRM maps against current property maps. Count and document the number of structures lying within the floodplain. - Work with Malheur County GIS staff to determine what local topological and base elevation data may already be available to aid in the digitization process. - Count the types and numbers of existing buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the floodplain.				
Coordinating Organization:		Malheur County Planning Department		
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Incorporated cities, Malheur County GIS staff		FEMA, DLCD, DOGAMI, Silver Jackets		

Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:
			Long Term
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019		
Action Item Status:	Long Term		

Table A-15 Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #5

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #5 – Develop a maintenance plan and restore the Bully Creek Levee System. Include vegetation and debris removal as part of the maintenance efforts. Prioritize actions that are identified in the inspection report when it is available from USACE (inspection done in June 2018).		Goal 1 and 4	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☒ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☐ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
National Levee Safety Program Act of 2007, Periodic Inspection Report for the Vale Levee System, and Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- The Vale levee systems P, Q, R and sewage lagoons are operated and maintained by the City of Vale, They received an overall system ratings of “minimally acceptable” following the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers periodic inspection report finalized on April 28, 2010 and again in 2011. The rating in 2018 was also “minimally acceptable.” - The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will continue to rate the Vale levee systems Q and R as “minimally acceptable,” provided the City of Vale submits a maintenance plan to the Corps detailing how they will restore systems Q and R to an acceptable condition. The Corps will continue to rate systems Q and R as “minimally acceptable” provided satisfactory progress is made according to the City Council’s plan. - The levee systems’ “minimally acceptable” rating means they remain eligible to apply for federal rehabilitation assistance if it is damaged in a flood or storm event. Corps of Engineers project ratings do not determine final eligibility under the National Flood Insurance Program, a FEMA-managed program. Certification documentation is the responsibility of the levee sponsor. - System P is located in the City of Vale, Malheur County, Ore. The project was completed in 1961, and consists of approximately 8,244 feet of left bank levee embankment along Bully Creek and the Malheur River. The downtown area, numerous homes, the Vale Elementary and Middle School, the Vale Rodeo Grounds, and several farms are protected by the levee system. - System Q is located near the City of Vale. The project was completed in 1961 and consists of approximately 2,088 feet of right bank levee embankment along the Malheur River. Several residential buildings and a small piece of farm ground are protected by the levee system. - System R is located adjacent to the City of Vale. The project was completed in 1961, and consists of approximately 3,270 feet of right bank levee embankment along the Malheur River. Several residential and industrial buildings are protected by the levee system - The City of Vale sewage lagoons are situated on the left bank of the Malheur River in Malheur County, Ore. The Vale Sewage Lagoon Flood Reduction System was excavated as part of a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Vale Unit channel and levee construction project in 1960 and 1961. The Vale Sewage Lagoon Flood Reduction Project is considered a single system which protects the sewage lagoons from flooding on Willow Creek and the Malheur River. - Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				

Work with Malheur County Emergency Services Manager, USACE, and Silver Jackets to develop and implement a maintenance plan to the ACOE and a repair plan detailing how the city will restore the levee to an acceptable condition.		
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager and Vale Department of Public Works	
Internal Partners:	External Partners:	
City of Vale, Malheur County	FEMA, ACOE, Silver Jackets	
Potential Funding Sources:	Estimated cost:	Timeline:
		Long Term
Form Submitted by:	2013 NHMP Steering Committee, revised and confirmed in 2019	
Action Item Status:	Long Term	

Table A-16 Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #6

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #6 – Explore funding opportunities with Business Oregon for the maintenance, repairs, and other work related to the Bully Creek Levee System.		Goals 1, 3, 4	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☐ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy 2014-2019, and NRCS Natural Resources Long Range Strategy in Malheur County, OR.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Bully Creek Levee system has suffered many years with lack of maintenance and repairs. As noted in FL-#5, the USACE reviews the condition of the levee and generally finds it minimally acceptable. - The 2018-19 NHMP Steering Committee raised concerns about the condition of the levee and expressed a desire to repair it, maintain it, and keep it in better condition. - Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Have Malheur County Emergency Services Manager and the Vale Department of Public Works staff meet with Business Oregon, Regional Solutions, USACE, Silver Jackets, OEM, and DLCD staff. - Discuss potential projects and funding sources.				
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager and Vale Department of Public Works			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
City of Vale		Business Oregon, Regional Solutions office, FEMA, USACE, Silver Jackets, OEM, DLCD		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Short Term	
Form Submitted by:	Established by the 2018-19 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table A-17 Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #7

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #7 – Evaluate status of the water treatment plan (1900 SE 5 th Ave) and the wastewater treatment plan (2405 Malheur Drive) in Ontario in regards to preparing for and mitigating flood issues. Portions of these sites are in the floodplain.		Goal 1,3, 4	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale NO	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
City of Ontario Stormwater Master Plan, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Emergency Operations Plans, and Development Codes.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Both the water treatment plant and the wastewater treatment plan are partially located in the floodplain. - These are critical facilities. The resilience of these structures needs to be addressed. See also the Critical Infrastructure List established by the NHMP Steering Committee. The list identifies the natural hazards that could impact the critical infrastructure of the County and the Cities. - Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
Have staff from the Malheur County Emergency Services Manager and the City of Ontario Public Works and Planning Departments meet to discuss this. Use existing floodplain maps and potential floodplain maps (discuss with FEMA staff and DLCD RiskMAP Coordinator).				
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager and City of Ontario			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
City of Ontario		FEMA, USACE, Silver Jackets, OEM, DLCD		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	Established by the 2018-19 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table A-18 Flood Hazard Mitigation Action #8

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
FL #8 – Ice jam and weather event flooding occur along the rivers due to flow levels. When water is released from storage facilities, it may cause or contribute to flood events. Malheur County cannot manage these releases of water. Notification, communication, and a memorandum of understanding between regulating agencies is needed.		Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Emergency Operations Plans, mutual aid agreements, and Strategic Plans				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Ice jams have been a problem in the past and potential steps have been discussed but never solidified into a formalized agreement between the applicable jurisdictions. - Resources can be better shared, communication can be improved, and responsibilities clarified. More effective and better relationships, decision-making, and response times can be achieved. - Flood is a high-medium risk level and hence a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
Have Malheur County, Owyhee Irrigation District, City of Ontario, City of Nyssa, and City of Vale staff meet to discuss the concerns and identify the next steps.				
Coordinating Organization:	Malheur County Emergency Services Manager, Owyhee Irrigation District			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale		USACE, Silver Jackets, Bureau of Reclamation, Oregon Department of State Lands		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	Established by the 2018-19 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table A-19 Severe Weather/Winter Storms/Wind Storms Hazard Mitigation Action #1

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
SW #1 – Convert primary electrical overhead lines to mountaintop communication services with underground lines.		Goal 1	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☐ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Emergency Operations Plans, Development Codes, and Strategic Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Overhead electrical lines are subject to high winds and winter storm damage. The risk is higher on the lines going to a mountaintop or peak. Most of the services at the top are communication sites. The communication sites are used by ODOT, State Police, county sheriff, emergency services, telephone utilities and cell phone companies. During a disaster the sites are especially vital for communication. During winter storm access to the line by the utility is difficult and this difficulty delays the time for restoration of power to the services. The utility company has experienced costs each year to repair and maintain the lines. Converting the lines to underground would remove the risk of damage from wind and winter storm. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to develop comprehensive actions to reduce the impacts of natural hazards, with an emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.[201.6(c)(3)(ii)] Converting primary electrical overhead lines to mountaintop communication services with underground lines will reduce the impact of severe weather on power lines, and will continue power service to rural customers as well as ODOT, State Police, county sheriff, emergency services, telephone utilities, and cell phone companies. - Winter storms are a high risk level while wind storms and severe weather are is a high risk level.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- The utility companies would be responsible to identify all the mountaintops and apply for grants to convert the lines to underground service. - Meet with Malheur County Emergency Services Manager to discuss concerns and next steps.				
Coordinating Organization:	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association and Harney Electric Cooperative			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Malheur County		Companies which are served by the utility and the utility company such as Harney County Electric Cooperative		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Ongoing			

Table A-20 Severe Weather/Winter Storms/Wind Storms Hazard Mitigation Action #2

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
SW #2 – Shorten spans and anchor poles on utility lines in high wind or heavy icing areas.		Goal 1	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☐ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Emergency Operations Plans, Development Codes, and Strategic Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- High windstorms or winter icing storms can cause damage to long spans between power poles and create power outages during storms. If poles are inserted between spans this reduces the risk of outages. Also by anchoring certain poles this can reduce the amount of line, which would go down in a storm. Both items reduce the cost of repair and replacement. - Winter storms have a significant impact on the Electric Cooperatives, causing power outages when ice forms on the power lines. This is especially a problem with older power lines constructed in the 1950s that have a larger line span between poles. Placing intermediary poles between these spans cuts the span in half and reduces the likelihood of a power line breaking. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to develop comprehensive actions to reduce the impacts of natural hazards, with an emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.[201.6(c)(3)(ii)] Shortening the spans between long lines and anchoring poles will reduce the likelihood of lines breaking during wind and winter icing storms. - Winter storms are a high risk level while wind storms and severe weather are is a high risk level.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
The utility companies would be responsible to identify high wind and icing areas from previous outages and apply for grants to strengthen the areas by pole inserts and anchoring.				
Coordinating Organization:	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association, including the Harney Electric Cooperative			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Malheur County		Century Link, Harney Electric Cooperative		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Ongoing			

Table A-21 Severe Weather/Winter Storms/Wind Storms Hazard Mitigation Action #3

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
SW #3 – Obtain land along I-84 corridor to serve as emergency truck parking during winter storm events.		Goals 1, 3, 4	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Transportation Systems Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Emergency Operations Plans, and ODOT requirements.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
• Winter storms often shut down Interstate-84 north of Ontario causing backups of commercial truck traffic. Trucks often park along the interstate or on county/ city roads. • Providing a location for trucks to safely park off of the interstate will increase safety for other users of the road system and allow for snow removal equipment to safely clear roads. • Identifying a location in or near the City of Ontario is preferred in order to take advantage of existing economic development opportunities. • Winter storms are a high risk level while wind storms and severe weather are is a high risk level.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
• Work with the city, county and ODOT to locate a suitable area to develop a parking area. • Once a location is identified work with land owner/s to acquire the property.				
Coordinating Organization:	City of Ontario Economic Development			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Chamber of commerce, local businesses, police, public works, Malheur County		ODOT		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	2013 NHMP Steering Committee, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Long Term			

Table A-22 Severe Weather/Winter Storms/Wind Storms Hazard Mitigation Action #4

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
SW #4 – Severe weather events occur with debris or snow removal beyond the resources available to the County, the Cities, and the Special Districts affected. A list of available local resources, contacts, and a memorandum of understanding between contributing agencies and organizations is needed.		Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Emergency Operations Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Strategic Plans, and budgets.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
• Winter storms often shut down Interstate-84 north of Ontario and other locations. • Providing resources for the prompt and thorough response to winter, wind, and severe weather events is important for the communities. Economic impacts can be dramatic. • The Owyhee Irrigation District has resources they can contribute. • Winter storms are a high risk level while wind storms and severe weather are is a high risk level.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
• Work with the city, county and others who are responsible for responding to natural hazards. • Prepare a list of resources and contacts. Identify responsibilities and collaborative areas. • Write a memorandum of agreement and have it signed by the involved parties.				
Coordinating Organization:		Malheur County Emergency Manager and Owyhee Irrigation District		
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Malheur County, Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale, Ontario Rural Road Assessment District #3,		ODOT		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Long Term	
Form Submitted by:	Established by the 2018-19 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table A-23 Wildfire Hazard Mitigation Action #1

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
WF #1 – Coordinate wildfire mitigation action items through the Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan.		Goal s 2 and 3	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☒ Nyssa	☒ Ontario	☒ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, Development Codes, and Emergency Operations Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Malheur County has an adopted CWPP which contains a number of action items that, when implemented, will mitigation against wildfires. The CWPP is meant to serve as the wildfire chapter for the Malheur County NHMP. Implementing actions identified in the CWPP can assist in reducing the impact of wildfire on Malheur County. - Incorporating mitigation actions from the Malheur Community Wildfire Protection Plan will ensure that both the NHMP and the CWPP contain compatible actions, and that the NHMP provides a comprehensive range of actions as required by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. - Goal 7 of Oregon’s Land Use Planning Goals requires that local governments “adopt or amend, as necessary, based on the evaluation of risk, plan policies and implementing measures...[that avoid] development in hazard areas where the risk to people and property cannot be mitigated.” Including mitigation measure in subdivision and partition ordinances can reduce the impact of wildfires on new development and help to prevent future wildfire losses. - Wildfire is a high-medium risk level and hence is a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Coordinate with responsible agencies listed in the Malheur County CWPP to implement action items. - Seek funding to help pay for wildfire mitigation projects within the County.				
Coordinating Organization:	Emergency Management Team			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Malheur County Emergency Services, County Court		Oregon Department of Forestry, FEMA, BLM		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2008 NHMP Steering Committee; revised and confirmed in 2013, revised and confirmed in 2019			
Action Item Status:	Ongoing			

Table A-24 Wildfire Hazard Mitigation Action #2

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
WF #2 – Construct barriers on pole power transformers to prevent birds from building nests on them, thereby reducing the chance of wildfires from transformer shorts.		Goals 2 and 3	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☐ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan, Strategic Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, and Emergency Operations Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- Birds making nests on transformers attached to power poles can cause power shorts which often lead to wildfires. Installing barriers on power transformers to prevent birds from nesting on them will reduce the electrocution risk to birds, decrease the amount of power outages, and reduce the chance of sparking a wildfire. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify comprehensive actions and projects that will reduce the effects of hazards on the community, particularly to critical infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Constructing barriers on power transformers will assist in reducing the potential for power outages, while also protecting wildlife and reducing the likelihood of wildfires. - Wildfire is a high-medium risk level and hence is a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
Coordinate with the nature organizations such as the Audubon Society or the Nature Conservancy to develop appropriate barriers that will prevent wildlife from nesting on power transformers. .				
Coordinating Organization:	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association, including the Harney Electric Cooperative			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Malheur County		Harney County, Audubon Society, Century Link, Idaho Power,		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2013 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table A-25 Wildfire Hazard Mitigation Action #2

Proposed Action Item:		Alignment with Plan Goals:	High Priority Action Item?	
WF #3 – Manage weeds and vegetation growth at base of poles in fire prone regions.		Goals 2 and 3	☐ Malheur ☐ Ontario	☐ Nyssa ☐ Vale
Affected Jurisdictions:				
☒ Malheur County	☐ Nyssa	☐ Ontario	☐ Vale	
Alignment with Existing Plans/Policies:				
Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan, Strategic Plans, Natural Hazards Mitigation Plans, and Emergency Operations Plans.				
Rationale for Proposed Action Item:				
- For example soil sterilant herbicides are total kill products that last in the soil for long periods, particularly in arid environments. They are sometimes called bare-ground herbicides, as they are used to remove all vegetation from the area of application, and they persist in their active form for long periods. They are designed to be used in areas where wildfire is a risk. - The application of sterilant around the base of poles may prevent wildfires from damaging poles in high-risk areas. - Another example is to use a spray-on product that could be applied on the pole to retard wildfire. - The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to identify comprehensive actions and projects that will reduce the effects of hazards on the community, particularly to critical infrastructure [201.6(c)(3)(ii)]. Constructing barriers on power transformers will assist in reducing the potential for power outages, while also protecting wildlife and reducing the likelihood of wildfires. - Wildfire is a high-medium risk level and hence is a high-medium priority for this mitigation action.				
Ideas for Implementation:				
- Apply sterilant around power poles in high fire risk areas. - Apply fire retardant spray around outside of power poles in high fire risk areas.				
Coordinating Organization:	Oregon Rural Electric Cooperative Association, including the Harney Electric Cooperative			
Internal Partners:		External Partners:		
Malheur County		Harney County, BLM, USFW, Idaho Power		
Potential Funding Sources:		Estimated cost:	Timeline:	
			Ongoing	
Form Submitted by:	2013 NHMP Steering Committee			
Action Item Status:	New			

Table of Contents

Tables

Page B-1

Purpose

This Appendix describes the changes made to the *2014 Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP)* during the 2018-19 plan update process.

Project Background

Malheur County partnered with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to update the *2014 Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP)*.

As has been described, briefly in the Executive Summary and in more detail in the Introduction, the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires communities to update their mitigation plans every five years to remain eligible for Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program funding, Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) program funding, and Hazard Grant Mitigation Program (HMGP) funding.

DLCD staff met with members of the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee, led by Lt. Rich Harriman, for this update to the *2014 Malheur County NHMP*. The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee includes the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale. A roster of the Steering Committee is included in the Acknowledgements section of this NHMP and in this Appendix. The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee also serves as the Emergency Management Team (EMT) and the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC); there are meetings each month for this triple duty high energy group.

The cities of Adrian and Jordan Valley opted to not participate in the update.

2018-19 Plan Update Changes

The entire 2014 Malheur County NHMP has been revised and updated. While the basic format of the existing NHMP was retained, substantial changes have been. In Table B-1, the sections of the 2014 Malheur County NHMP are compared and contrasted. A more complete description of each of the sections is provided in the text after Table B-1.

Table B-1 Changes to Plan Organization

2014 Malheur County NHMP	2019 Malheur County NHMP
Cover, FEMA Approval Letters, Jurisdictional Resolutions,	Cover, FEMA Approval Letters, Jurisdictional Resolutions,
Acknowledgements, Table of Contents	Acknowledgements, Table of Contents
Executive Summary	Executive Summary
Volume I: Basic Plan	Volume I: Basic Plan
Section 1: Introduction	Section 1: Introduction
Section 2: Risk Assessment	Section 2: Risk Assessment
Section 3: Mitigation Strategy	Section 3: Mitigation Strategy

Section 4: Plan Implementation and Maintenance	Section 4: Plan Implementation and Maintenance
Volume II: Hazard Annexes	Volume II: Hazard Annexes with Introduction
Drought	Drought
Earthquake	Earthquake
Flood	Flood
Landslide	Landslide
Severe Weather	Severe Weather
Volcanic Event	Volcanic Event
Wildfire	Wildfire
Volume III: Jurisdictional Addenda	Information from this section of the NHMP has been integrated into the main body of the NHMP. For example, each hazard section in Volume II includes Malheur County and the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario, and Vale.
City of Nyssa	
City of Ontario	
City of Vale	
Volume IV: Mitigation Resources	Volume III: Mitigation Resources
Appendix A: Action Item Forms	Appendix A: Action Item Forms
Appendix B: Planning and Public Process	Appendix B: Planning and Public Process
Appendix C: Community Profile	Appendix C: Community Profile
Appendix D: Economic Analysis of Natural Hazards Mitigation Projects	Appendix D: Economic Analysis of Natural Hazards Mitigation Projects
Appendix E: Grant Programs and Resources	Appendix E: Grant Programs and Resources
Appendix F: Regional Household Preparedness Survey	Appendix F: Future Climate Projections Reports
	Appendix G: Malheur County NHMP Success Stories
	Appendix H: Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios

Source: Tricia Sears, DLCD

The entire *2014 Malheur County NHMP* was reviewed, revised, and updated. The following descriptions of each section provide details on the changes. Besides updating the NHMP with an extensive amount of new and more current information, one goal for DLCD staff and the Malheur

County NHMP Steering Committee was to make the NHMP shorter, more user friendly, and less repetitive.

Cover and Front Pages

The cover and the front pages orient the reader of the NHMP to what the NHMP contains.

- A new NHMP cover was created. The photos for the cover were taken by Malheur County and DLCD staff.
- The FEMA Approval Pending Adoption (APA) and final approval letter as well as the County and Cities resolutions of adoption are included (when available).
- The Acknowledgements have been updated to include the 2018-19 Steering Committee members.
- The Table of Contents has been updated.

Volume I: Basic Plan

Volume I includes the cover, approval letters, jurisdictional resolutions, the Table of Contents, and the Executive Summary. It provides the overall plan framework for the *2019 Malheur County NHMP*. It also contains Section 1: Introduction; Section 2: Risk Assessment; Section 3: Mitigation Strategy; and Section 4: Plan Implementation and Maintenance.

Executive Summary

The *2019 Malheur County NHMP* includes an Executive Summary that provides information about the purpose of natural hazards mitigation planning and describes how the plan will be implemented.

Section 1: Introduction

Section 1 introduces the concept of natural hazards mitigation planning and answers the question, “Why develop a mitigation plan?” Additionally, Section 1 summarizes the 2019 plan update process, and provides an overview of how the plan is organized.

The main change to this section and the entire NHMP, is that information from the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale has been integrated into the Malheur County information; in other words, rather than having separate addenda for the Cities, the Cities are included in the main body of the NHMP. Where applicable, the Cities are specifically called out for their unique situations.

Section 2: Risk Assessment

Section 2, Risk Assessment, consists of three phases: hazard identification, vulnerability assessment, and risk analysis. Hazard identification involves the identification of hazard geographic extent, its intensity, and probability of occurrence. The second phase combines the information from the hazard identification with an inventory of the existing (or planned) property and population exposed to a hazard, then attempts to predict how different types of property and population groups will be affected by the hazard. The third phase involves estimating the damage, injuries, and costs likely to be incurred in a geographic area over a period of time.

Changes to Section 2 include:

- Format changes to the document.

- The inclusion of the information from the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale in addition to the Malheur County information in one Risk Assessment section instead of separate sections for the Cities.
- Hazard identification, characteristics, history, probability, vulnerability, and hazard specific mitigation activities were updated. More detailed information is within the specific hazard annexes of Volume II, the Appendix C Community Profile, and other Appendices.
- NFIP information was updated.
- The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee performed a new Hazard Vulnerability Analysis/Assessment (HVA), resulting in new scores for the identified hazards of drought, earthquake, flood, landslide, severe weather, volcanic event, and wildfire. The HVA uses scores for the categories of history, maximum threat, probability, and vulnerability scores to obtain a risk score for each hazard. From these, the Steering Committee determined the risk level of each hazard. The risk level information was used to prioritize the mitigation actions.

Section 3: Mitigation Strategy

This section provides the basis and justification for the mission, goals, and mitigation actions identified in the NHMP. Changes to Section 3 include the following:

- The NHMP Steering Committee opted to prioritize mitigation actions as described in the section above, using the HVA risk levels. All the multi-hazard mitigation actions were identified as high priority while hazard specific mitigation actions are high, high-medium, medium, and low.
- The mission statement and the goals were reviewed and re-confirmed by the 2019 Steering Committee without any changes.
- The mitigation actions from the *2014 Malheur County NHMP* were reviewed. Actions were deleted, retained as is, or retained in a modified fashion. New mitigation actions were established. The process resulted in the creation of two mitigation actions tables.
 - The Mitigation Actions Table – Status of *2014 NHMP* is included in Table 3-2 and provides an update on the status of each mitigation action from the *2014 NHMP*.
 - Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions 2019 NHMP includes the mitigation actions that the Steering Committee supports for the current 2019 NHMP.

Section 4: Plan Implementation and Maintenance

The Malheur County NHMP convener is the Emergency Manager; this person will facilitate a Steering Committee for maintaining, updating, and implementing the NHMP. The coordinating body is composed of members of the NHMP Steering Committee, the Emergency Management Preparedness Team (EMT), and the Local Emergency Preparedness Committee (LEPC). The coordinating body will meet twice per year to complete the following tasks identified in Section 4 Plan Implementation and Maintenance.

Volume II: Hazard Annexes

An Introduction was added to the Hazard Annexes section. All hazard specific annexes were reformatted and updated to include new history, data, maps, vulnerability information, and resources as available. Cross references to other information in the NHMP has been updated. Information about climate change has been integrated into the hazard specific annexes and added

as Appendix F: Future Climate Projections Reports. Information from the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale has been integrated into the Malheur County information. Where this is applicable, the Cities are specifically called out for their unique situations.

Volume III: Mitigation Resources

Rather than having separate addenda for the Cities, the Cities are included with Malheur County information in the main body of the NHMP. Where applicable, the Cities are specifically called out for their unique situations. All of the appendices have revised and updated.

Appendix A: Action Item Forms

The mitigation action item forms were updated to correspond to the 2019 NHMP actions that are identified in Table 3-3 Mitigation Actions 2019 NHMP.

Appendix B: Planning and Public Process

This appendix describes and documents the planning and public process for this NHMP update.

Appendix C: Community Profile

The community profile has been updated and includes information for Malheur County, and the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale.

Appendix D: Economic Analysis of Natural Hazards Mitigation Projects

Updates have been made to this appendix about the economic analysis of natural hazards mitigation projects.

Appendix E: Grant Programs and Resources

Information in this appendix has been updated. Website links were also revised and updated as applicable.

Appendix F: Future Climate Projections Reports

The previous Appendix F was called Regional Household Preparedness Survey. It was deleted and replaced with the climate change information obtained from the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI). The two documents in this appendix are the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports* and the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County*.

Appendix G: Malheur County NHMP Success Stories

This appendix describes examples of the communities identifying a problem and finding a solution.

Appendix H: Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios

DOGAMI produced the *Malheur County HAZUS Global Reports for Crustal and Probabilistic Scenarios*; it has details about two simulated scenarios and the results: Malheur Arbitrary Crustal M6.9 and 2500 Year Probably Scenario M6.5 Driving.

2019 NHMP PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

2019 NHMP Update

Malheur County is dedicated to directly involving the public in the review and update of the natural hazard mitigation plan. Although members of the NHMP Steering Committee represent the public to some extent, the residents of Malheur County, Nyssa, Ontario and Vale are also given the opportunity to provide feedback about the NHMP. As described in in Section 4 Plan Implementation and Maintenance, the NHMP will undergo review twice per year. Malheur County made the NHMP available via their website for public comment from March x through March x, 2019; they also did a press release on March x, 2019. The Cities of Nyssa, Ontario and Vale were included within the press release that was provided by Malheur County Emergency Management.

Public Involvement Summary

Members of the Steering Committee provided edits and updates to the NHMP during this period as reflected in the final document. Opportunities for the public to comment were provided.

Project Steering Committee:

Department of Land Conservation & Development Staff:

Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner

Malheur County

Representatives from the following organizations served as Steering Committee members for the Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan update process.

Convener, Rich Harriman	Lieutenant, Malheur County Emergency Management
Jamie Willet	Malheur County, Planning
Dave Fenstemacher	Malheur County Health Department, Emergency Coordinator
Gina Lewis	Malheur County, GIS Specialist (maps)
Craig Geddes	Malheur County, Environmental Health
Adele Dockter	Adrian City Mayor
Bob Webb	City of Adrian, Fire Chief
Marie Kershner	City of Jordan Valley, Mayor
Al Crouch	Bureau of Land Management, Fire Mitigation/Education Specialist
Jason Simmons	Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Assistant Fire Management Officer (Fuels)
Todd Gregory	BLM, Assistant Fire Management Officer (Ops) (former)

Don Rotell

BLM, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist

City of Nyssa

Jim Maret

City of Nyssa, City Manager

Ray Rau

City of Nyssa, Chief of Police

Eric Menchaca

City of Nyssa, Fire Chief

Duane Petty

City of Nyssa, Public Works Lead

City of Ontario

Adam Brown,

City of Ontario, City Manager

Cal Kunz

City of Ontario, Police Chief

Kari Ott

City of Ontario, Finance

Terry Leighton

City of Ontario, Fire Chief

City of Vale

Katy Lamb

City of Vale, City Manager

Mike McLaughlin

City of Vale, Mayor

Chad Cooper

City of Vale, Public Works

Jess Tolman

City of Vale, Fire Chief

Other Participants

Brule Lehman

Owyhee Irrigation District, Vegetation &
Environmental Coordinator/ SPCC

Jay Chamberlain

Owyhee Irrigation District, Manager

Pam Uyeki

St. Alphonsus Hospital, Trauma/Emergency
Preparedness Coordinator

Katherine Sherman

St. Alphonsus Hospital, RN

Heidi Ragsdale

Eastern Oregon Center for Independent Living,
Independent Living Specialist

David Armstrong

Tri-County Love, Inc., Executive Director (former)

Kyle McCauly

Cascade Natural Gas, Engineer Associate

Brittany White

Malheur Council on Aging & Community Services
(MCOACS), Transportation Project Manager

Tom Davis

ODOT, D-14 Operations Coordinator

Dylan Martin

Idaho Power

Kaitlyn Kyro (formerly
Stratton)

Idaho Emergency Management Office, Payette
County

Robert Batcheller

Amateur Radio Emergency Services, Engineer

Bob Dickinson

Malheur County Ambulance Service District (ASD),
Director

Jane Padgett	Oregon Department of Human Services, Business Integrity/ Community Development
Mark Redmond	Malheur County Education Service District, Superintendent
Loni Thomas (formerly Debban)	Malheur Council on Aging & Community (MCOACS)
Lindsay Atagai	Malheur County Health Department, Director (former)
Emily Mateer	Valley Family Health Care, Staff & Compliance Officer
Mindy Volmer	Valley Family Health Care, Facilities Manager
Martin Heisig	American Red Cross
Belinda Heisig	American Red Cross
Brandon Tarvin	Lifeways, HM14, OFD
Molly Smith	Southwest District Health, Idaho, Health Educator
Maria Ramos	Southwest District Health, Volunteer and student in Emergency Management, Idaho St. University
Linda Pratzner	Southwest District Health, Idaho, Administrative Assistant
TJ Wilson	Southwest District Health, Idaho, PHD3 Planner

Project Managers:

Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner, DLCD

Rich Harriman, Lieutenant, Malheur County Emergency Management

The following pages include copies of meeting agendas and sign-in sheets from NHMP Steering Committee meetings, website screenshots, flyers, and other information that demonstrates the outreach that has been done during this NHMP update process.

Summary of Outreach

Table B-2 Malheur County NHMP Outreach Efforts

Date	Description of Event/Activity
April 17, 2017	Rob Hunsucker (former Malheur County Emergency Services Manager) convened the NHMP Committee to discuss the NHMP update.
June 21, 2017	Approval by Malheur County Court to collaborate on the NHMP plan update with DLCD.
August 17, 2017	Rob Hunsucker reviews the DLCD/Malheur County IGA/SOW, and forwards it to the County Attorney for review.
August 19, 2017	Rob Hunsucker convenes NHMP committee to discuss IGA/SOW & project going forward.
September 19, 2017	Malheur County Court authorizes Rob's signature on the DLCD/Malheur County IGA/SOW, and provides it to DLCD.
October 26, 2017	Rob submits Malheur County portion of Critical Infrastructure information to DLCD Natural Hazards Planner, Tricia Sears.
November 2017	Working with new Emergency Services Manager, Rich Harriman to update him on the update to the NHMP. Malheur County and the Cities of Nyssa, Vale, and Ontario provide critical infrastructure to DLCD by November 7, 2017. Malheur County and the Cities of Nyssa, Vale, and Ontario all had the websites updated by November 28, 2017 to include information about the NHMP update process.
January - February 2018	Rich reached out to the Steering Committee members to set up the first Steering Committee meeting about the NHMP.
February 20, 2018	Rich posted on the Malheur County Emergency Management Facebook page about the April 12, 2018 Steering Committee meeting for the NHMP.
March 18, 2018	Emergency Management Team (EMT) (also known as the Local Emergency Planning Committee or LEPC) meeting, talked about the NHMP.
April 12, 2018	EMT meeting and Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee meeting (9 am to 12:30 pm). This was the first meeting of the Steering Committee meeting with Tricia in person. The focus of the meeting was the Hazard Vulnerability Analysis. The group also talked about the critical infrastructure list and other matters. Tricia facilitated the meeting.
April 19, 2018	David Armstrong with Tri-County Love Inc. shared information about the NHMP with the local pastors' group meeting he attended.

May 3, 2018	Finalized the Malheur County NHMP Flyer (created by DLCD). Rich printed 250 of them to distribute.
May 10, 2018	Emergency Management Team (EMT) meeting, talked about the NHMP and upcoming outreach events.
May 25, 2018	Rich did a presentation to Oregon Child Development Center (OCDC). He talked about what he does as an Emergency Manager, plans they have, and about the in process NHMP update.
June 14, 2018	EMT meeting and Malheur County Steering Committee NHMP meeting (9 am to 12:30 pm). The focus of the meeting was Mitigation Actions – determine the status of existing ones, and discussion to add, modify or delete mitigation actions. Tricia facilitated the meeting.
July 4, 2018	Red, White, & Breakfast event at the Ontario Fire Department. Information about the NHMP was available.
July 12, 2018	Emergency Management Team (EMT) meeting. Mission statement and NHMP update discussed.
July 31 to August 4, 2018	Malheur County Fair in Ontario, OR. Rich and other SC members will be there each day of the fair with a table of information and materials.
August 9, 2018	Emergency Management (EMT) meeting and Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee NHMP meeting (9 am to 12:30 pm). This was the second NHMP with Tricia in person. Discuss mitigation actions, outreach efforts, critical infrastructure list, and floodplain mapping. Tricia facilitated the meeting and Dave Lentzner, RiskMAP Coordinator, presented about floodplain maps in Malheur County and the RiskMAP program. Afternoon site visits to review the Vale levee, the back-up water site in the park in Nyssa, and the Nyssa water treatment plant.
Throughout the NHMP update until the organization closed in November 2018	David Armstrong posted the Malheur County NHMP flyer in the Tri-County Love Inc. thrift store. He noted they reach about 2000 people monthly with the majority in the low demographic. David Armstrong stated that his organization works with 30 partner churches in Malheur and Payette County. They often act as a bridge between the faith community and other services in the community.
August 14, 2018	Rich Harriman has a table at the Snake River Correctional Institute's Destination 2016 Festival.
September 13, 2018	Emergency Management Team (EMT) (also known as the Local Emergency Planning Committee or LEPC) meeting, talked about the NHMP and worked on LEPC bylaws.

October 11, 2018	Emergency Management Team (EMT) meeting. Worked on bylaws.
November 8, 2018	Emergency Management Team (EMT) and NHMP Steering Committee meeting. Worked on bylaws. Tricia called in to discuss project schedule (including draft NHMPs available for review), mitigation actions, and outreach for the NHMP.
December 2018	Target to have the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale have their water bill include a description of the NHMP update process. Tricia provided the water bill information on 11/9/18.
December 13, 2018	Emergency Management Team (EMT) meeting.
Every month/week	Each week Rich sends out an email to the EMT with a disaster of the week and an emergency preparedness tip.
January 10, 2019	Emergency Management Team (EMT) and NHMP Steering Committee meeting. Tricia called in and discussed the NHMP timeline, draft NHMP sections, cost share, and outreach.
January 15, 2019	The City of Nyssa sent information about the NHMP in their water/sewer bills.
February 1, 2019	The City of Ontario sent information about the NHMP in their water bills.
February 14, 2019	Emergency Management Team (EMT) and NHMP Steering Committee meeting. Tricia called in to discuss the draft NHMP, timeline, comments from the Steering Committee members.
March 14, 2019	Emergency Management Team (EMT) meeting. Rich and Jane noted that Tricia would be sending out the draft NHMP for review and that a press release would be sent out related to it.
March x, 2019	Malheur County's press release about the draft NHMP.
April 11, 2019	Emergency Management Team (EMT) and NHMP Steering Committee meeting. Tricia called in to discuss the NHMP timeline, draft NHMP sections, comments on the NHMP, and outreach about the NHMP.

Steering Committee Meeting Agendas and Sign-in Sheets

Malheur County NHMP Update Steering Committee Meeting

Thursday, April 12, 2018
9:00 AM – 12:30 PM

St. Alphonsus Medical Center
1050 SW 4th Avenue, Payette River Room
Ontario, OR 97914

AGENDA

- | | | |
|------|--|-----------------|
| I. | Welcome & Introductions (5 min) | Rich Harriman |
| II. | NHMP Update Project (25 min) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• What is the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP)? (NHMP Info Sheet)• NHMP Grant, including Cost Share (Cost Share Form)• NHMP Process and Schedule (Project Schedule) (FEMA Approval 5/2/14)• Public Outreach for the NHMP | Tricia Sears |
| III. | Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) (15 min) (Handout) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• She will call at 10 am (541-881-7323) | Meghan Dalton |
| IV. | Steering Committee (25 min) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Composition of the Committee (SC Roster)• Roles and Participation• Elect/Decide a Chairperson• Ground Rules (e.g. Vote or Consensus)• Mission and Goals (On back of Agenda) | Rich and Tricia |
| V. | Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA) (80 min) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Work Session (Significant Historic Hazard Events Tables, HVA Worksheet)• County vs City HVAs | Tricia |
| VI. | Critical Infrastructure, Critical Facilities, and Lifelines (20 min) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review Draft List | Rich and Tricia |
| VII. | Next Steps (10 min) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Next Meeting• Meeting Notes and Follow up | Tricia |

Materials

From Malheur County: Printed copies of 2014 NHMP

From DLCD: Meeting Agenda; NHMP Info Sheet; Cost Share Form; Project Schedule; SC Roster; Significant Historic Hazard Events Tables; HVA Worksheet; and Critical Infrastructure List

From the Malheur County NHMP May 2014

Approval Process

Malheur County adopted the plan on **April 16, 2014**.

The City of Nyssa adopted the plan on **May 13, 2014**.

The City of Ontario adopted the plan on **April 21, 2014**.

The City of Vale adopted the plan on **April 9, 2014**.

FEMA Region X approved the Malheur County Multi-jurisdictional NHMP on **May 2, 2014**. With approval of this plan, the entities listed above are now eligible to apply for the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act's hazard mitigation project grants through **May 1, 2019**.

Mission

To create a disaster-resilient Malheur County by building partnerships, reducing risk, preventing loss, and protecting life, property, and the environment from future natural hazard events.

Goals

The plan goals describe the overall direction that the participating jurisdiction's agencies, organizations, and citizens can take toward mitigating risk from natural hazards.

Goal 1: Protect Infrastructure, Safeguard Economy

Implement projects and activities to lessen the impacts of natural hazards on infrastructure and property, protect the local economy, and reduce economic hardship in *post-disaster situations*.

Goal 2: Increase Education, Outreach and Awareness

Implement education programs to increase awareness of hazards and risk reduction practices for citizens, government, and business.

Goal 3: Strengthen Organizational and Community Capacity

Develop, strengthen, and sustain community partnerships among public and private sector stakeholders to build upon local resources for mitigation efforts.

Goal 4: Reduce the Threat to Life Safety

Minimize the threat to life in disaster events through mitigation activities that improve community notification and preparation.

Goal 5: Protect Natural and Cultural Resources





Strengthen land use planning and natural resource management to protect natural systems and allow them to serve mitigation functions. Develop measures to protect cultural resources from natural hazard risks.

Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan
Steering Committee Meeting

St. Alphonsus Medical Center, Payette River Room, 1050 SW 4th Avenue
Ontario, OR 97914

Thursday, April 12, 2018 from 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email
	Rich Harriman, Lt.	Emergency Manager	Malheur County	541-473-5120	rharriman@malheurco.org
	Alvin Scott	County Planner	Malheur County	541-473-5179	ascott@malheurco.org
	Adele Dockter	Adrian City Mayor	Malheur County	541-372-2455	cityofadrian@hotmail.com
	Bob Webb	Adrian Fire Chief	Malheur County	541 372-3246	georgemshop@yahoo.com
	Marie Kershner	Jordan Valley City Mayor	Malheur County	541-586-2240	no email available
	Al Crouch	Fire Mitigation/Education Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6361	acrouch@blm.gov
	Jason Simmons	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Fuels)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6336	jsimmons@blm.gov
	Todd Gregory	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Ops)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6264	tgregory@blm.gov
	Don Rotell	Supervisory Natural Resource Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6229	drotell@blm.gov
	Jim Maret	City Manager	City of Nyssa		jmaret@nyssacity.org
	Ray Rau	Chief of Police	City of Nyssa	541-372-3825	rrau@nyssacity.org
	Eric Menchaca	Nyssa Fire Chief	City of Nyssa		emenchaca@nyssacity.org
	Duane Petty	Public Works Lead	City of Nyssa		dpetty@nyssacity.org
	Adam Brown	City Manager	City of Ontario	541 709 9001	adam.brown@ontariooregon.org
	Terry Leighton	Ontario Fire Chief	City of Ontario	(208) 941-9158	Terry.Leighton@ontariooregon.org
	Kari Ott	Finance Director	City of Ontario	(541) 881-3217	kott@opgcpa.com
	Katy Lamb	City Manager	City of Vale	541-473-3133	klamb@cityofvale.com
	Jess Tolman	Vale Fire Chief	City of Vale	541 212-6868	jessstolman203@hotmail.com
	Tricia Sears	Natural Hazards Planner	Oregon Department of Land Conservation & Development	503-934-0031 (office)	tricia.sears@state.or.us
	Katy Lamb	Vale City Manager	City of Vale	(541) 473-3133	klamb@cityofvale.com
	Cal Kunz	Ontario Police Chief	City of Ontario		Cal.kunz@ontariooregon.org
	Dave Fenstermacher	Emergency Coordinator	Malheur County		Dave.Fenstermacher@malheurco.org

Thursday, April 12, 2018 from 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list)

[illegible]

Malheur County NHMP Update Steering Committee Meeting

Thursday, June 14, 2018
9:00 AM – 12:30 PM

DHS Building
186 East Lane, Snake River Conference Room
Ontario, OR 97914

AGENDA

- I. Welcome & Introductions (5 min) Rich Harriman
- II. NHMP Update Discussion (45 min) Tricia Sears
- Cost Share Form (Cost Share Form and Cost Share Instructions)
 - Updated Steering Committee Roster (Handout)
 - Updated Project Schedule (Handout)
 - Public Outreach for the NHMP; determine outreach events
 - Malheur County NHMP flyer (Handout)
 - Mission and Goals (On back of Agenda); confirm or modify
 - Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) Report Status (June 2018)
- III. Hazard Vulnerability Analysis Summary (HVA) (20 min) Tricia
- Review and Discuss (HVA Summary)
- VI. Critical Infrastructure, Critical Facilities, and Lifelines (20 min) Rich and Tricia
- Review Draft List
- V. Mitigation Actions (90 min) Tricia
- Review 2014 list of actions, update with status, and identify (modify, delete, add) actions for the 2018-2019 NHMP (Mitigation Actions Tables)
 - Definitions of Mitigation Actions Timelines (on back of agenda)
 - Mitigation Actions Tables: Malheur County, City of Ontario, City of Nyssa, City of Vale, NEW
- VI. Next Steps (10 min) Tricia
- Next Meeting
 - Meeting Notes and Follow up

Materials

From Malheur County: The Malheur County 2014 NHMP is available here: <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

From DLCD: Meeting Agenda; Cost Share Form; Cost Share Instructions; SC Roster; Project Schedule; Malheur County NHMP Flyer; HVA Summary; and Critical Infrastructure List; Mitigation Actions Tables (Status and New)

From the Malheur County NHMP May 2014

Approval Process

Malheur County adopted the plan on April 16, 2014.

The City of Nyssa adopted the plan on May 13, 2014.

The City of Ontario adopted the plan on April 21, 2014.

The City of Vale adopted the plan on April 9, 2014.

FEMA Region X approved the Malheur County Multi-jurisdictional NHMP on May 2, 2014. With approval of this plan, the entities listed above are now eligible to apply for the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act's hazard mitigation project grants through May 1, 2019.

Mission

To create a disaster-resilient Malheur County by building partnerships, reducing risk, preventing loss, and protecting life, property, and the environment from future natural hazard events.

Goals

The plan goals describe the overall direction that the participating jurisdiction's agencies, organizations, and citizens can take toward mitigating risk from natural hazards.

Goal 1: Protect Infrastructure, Safeguard Economy

Implement projects and activities to lessen the impacts of natural hazards on infrastructure and property, protect the local economy, and reduce economic hardship in post-disaster situations.

Goal 2: Increase Education, Outreach and Awareness

Implement education programs to increase awareness of hazards and risk reduction practices for citizens, government, and business.

Goal 3: Strengthen Organizational and Community Capacity

Develop, strengthen, and sustain community partnerships among public and private sector stakeholders to build upon local resources for mitigation efforts.

Goal 4: Reduce the Threat to Life Safety

Minimize the threat to life in disaster events through mitigation activities that improve community notification and preparation.

Goal 5: Protect Natural and Cultural Resources

Strengthen land use planning and natural resource management to protect natural systems and allow them to serve mitigation functions. Develop measures to protect cultural resources from natural hazard risks.

Mitigation Actions Timelines

"Action items include short, long-term and ongoing activities. Each action item includes an estimate of the timeline for implementation. *Ongoing actions items* are activities that are currently in process and will continue to be implemented during the next planning period. *Short-term action items* are activities that may be implemented with existing resources and authorities in one to two years. *Long-term action items* may require new or additional resources and/or authorities, and may take from one to five years to implement."







MALHEUR COUNTY NHMP UPDATE

6/14/18

STEERING COMMITTEE MTG

DHS BLDG

186 EAST LAKE, SNAKE RIVER CONF RM, ONTARIO, OR 97914

SIGNATURE	NAME	ORGANIZATION
1. 	Kaitlyn Stratton	Payette County, ID EM office
2. 	Heidi Ringold	ECOL
3. 	Ryan Martin	Idaho Power
4. 	DAVE FENSTERMACHER	MCHID
5. 	TOM DAVIS	ODOT
6. 	Jay Chamberlain	OID oidh20@Pmtc.com
7. 	Rich Harrison	MCES
8. 	Robert H. Batchelder	Amateur Radio Emergency ^{Service}
9. 	Tracy Sears	DAD
10. 	Jane Padgett	DHS
11. 	Bob Dickinson	bdickinson@malheurco.org Wheeler County, ASD
12. 	Pam Meyer	Saint Alphonsus Medical Center, Ontario

SIGNATURE	NAME	ORGANIZATION
13+12. Terry Leighton	TERRY LEIGHTON	ONTARIO FIRE
14+13. Mark Redman	Mark Redman	Malheur ESD
15+14. Adam Brown	Adam Brown	City of Ontario
16+15. David Armstrong	David Armstrong	Love INC
17+16. Loni Debban	Loni Debban	MCOPACS
18+17. Cal Kunz	CAL KUNZ	ONTARIO P.D.
19. Jason Gately	JASON GATELY	DLCD

Malheur County NHMP Update Steering Committee Meeting

Thursday, August 9, 2018
9:00 AM – 12:30 PM

DHS Building
186 East Lane, Snake River Conference Room
Ontario, OR 97914

AGENDA

- I. Welcome & Introductions (5 min) Rich Harriman
 - Be sure to sign the sign in sheet.
- II. NHMP Update Discussion (45 min) Tricia Sears
 - Cost Share Form (Cost Share Form and Cost Share Instructions)
 - Updated SC Roster, Project Schedule, Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA) (Handouts)
 - Public Outreach for the NHMP: updates on past and upcoming events
 - Updated Critical Infrastructure List (Handout)
 - Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) Future Climate Projections (Handout)
- III. Report on the Bully Dam and Levees (Handout, if available) Chad Cooper
- IV. Malheur County Floodplain Mapping (60 min) Dave Lentzner
 - Flood Risk in Malheur County and current Flood Insurance Rate Maps
 - In the Malheur County HVA, floods are ranked 4th
 - Base Level Engineering data
 - 2015 FEMA Discovery Meeting and on-going Risk MAP Process
 - State and Federal levee issues and policies, and potential funding sources
- V. Mitigation Actions (45 min) Tricia
 - Review Status of 2014 NHMP Mitigation Actions Table (Handout)
 - Review 2018 NHMP Mitigation Actions Table & Discuss Prioritization (Handout)
 - Tables include: Malheur County, City of Ontario, City of Nyssa, and the City of Vale
- VI. Risk MAP (15 min) Dave
 - What is it and how does it relate to Malheur County?
- VII. Next Steps (10 min) Tricia
 - Next Meeting
 - Meeting Notes and Follow up

Materials

From Malheur County: The Malheur County 2014 NHMP is available here: <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/EmergencyManagement/Malheur%20County%20NHMP%20-%202014.pdf>

From DLCD: Meeting Agenda; Cost Share Form; Cost Share Instructions; SC Roster; Project Schedule; HVA Summary; OCCRI Report; Critical Infrastructure List; Mitigation Actions Tables (2014 Status and 2018)

From the Malheur County NHMP May 2014

Approval Process

Malheur County adopted the plan on April 16, 2014.

The City of Nyssa adopted the plan on May 13, 2014.

The City of Ontario adopted the plan on April 21, 2014.

The City of Vale adopted the plan on April 9, 2014.

FEMA Region X approved the Malheur County Multi-jurisdictional NHMP on May 2, 2014. With approval of this plan, the entities listed above are now eligible to apply for the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act's hazard mitigation project grants through May 1, 2019.

Mission

To create a disaster-resilient Malheur County by building partnerships, reducing risk, preventing loss, and protecting life, property, and the environment from future natural hazard events.

Goals

The plan goals describe the overall direction that the participating jurisdiction's agencies, organizations, and citizens can take toward mitigating risk from natural hazards.

Goal 1: Protect Infrastructure, Safeguard Economy

Implement projects and activities to lessen the impacts of natural hazards on infrastructure and property, protect the local economy, and reduce economic hardship in post-disaster situations.

Goal 2: Increase Education, Outreach and Awareness

Implement education programs to increase awareness of hazards and risk reduction practices for citizens, government, and business.

Goal 3: Strengthen Organizational and Community Capacity

Develop, strengthen, and sustain community partnerships among public and private sector stakeholders to build upon local resources for mitigation efforts.

Goal 4: Reduce the Threat to Life Safety

Minimize the threat to life in disaster events through mitigation activities that improve community notification and preparation.

Goal 5: Protect Natural and Cultural Resources

Strengthen land use planning and natural resource management to protect natural systems and allow them to serve mitigation functions. Develop measures to protect cultural resources from natural hazard risks.

Mitigation Actions Timelines



"Action items include short, long-term and ongoing activities. Each action item includes an estimate of the timeline for implementation. *Ongoing actions items* are activities that are currently in process and will continue to be implemented during the next planning period. *Short-term action items* are activities that may be implemented with existing resources and authorities in one to two years. *Long-term action items* may require new or additional resources and/or authorities, and may take from one to five years to implement."

Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan
Steering Committee Meeting

DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane
Ontario, OR 97914

Thursday, August 9, 2018 from 9:00 am to 12:30 pm

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email
	Rich Harriman, Lt.	Emergency Manager	Malheur County	541-473-5120	rharriman@malheurco.org
	Adele Dockter	Adrian City Mayor	Malheur County	541-372-2455	cityofadrian@hotmail.com
	Bob Webb	Adrian Fire Chief	Malheur County	541 372-3246	georgemshop@yahoo.com
	Marie Kershner	Jordan Valley City Mayor	Malheur County	541-586-2240	no email available
	Dave Fenstermacher	Emergency Coordinator	Malheur County	541-889-7279 ext 117	Dave.fenstermacher@malheurco.org
	Craig Geddes	Environmental Health	Malheur County	541-473-5186	craiggeddes@malheurco.org
	Jamie Willet	Assistant Planner	Malheur County	541-473-5185	jwillet@malheurco.org
	Al Crouch	Fire Mitigation/Education Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6361	acrouch@blm.gov
	Jason Simmons	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Fuels)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6336	jsimmons@blm.gov
	Todd Gregory	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Ops)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6264	tgregory@blm.gov
	Don Rotell	Supervisory Natural Resource Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6229	drotell@blm.gov
	Jim Maret	City Manager	City of Nyssa	541-212-2863	jmaret@nyssacity.org
	Ray Rau	Chief of Police	City of Nyssa	541-372-3825	rrau@nyssacity.org
	Eric Menchaca	Nyssa Fire Chief	City of Nyssa	208-739-3639	emenchaca@nyssacity.org
	Duane Petty	Public Works Lead	City of Nyssa		dpetty@nyssacity.org
	Adam Brown	City Manager	City of Ontario	541-709-4001	adam.brown@ontariooregon.org
	Terry Leighton	Ontario Fire Chief	City of Ontario	208-941-9158	Terry.Leighton@ontariooregon.org
	Kari Ott	Finance Director	City of Ontario	541-881-3217	kott@opgcpa.com
	Cal Kunz	Ontario Police Chief	City of Ontario		Cal.kunz@ontariooregon.org
	Katy Lamb	City Manager	City of Vale	541-473-3133	klamb@cityofvale.com
	Jess Tolman	Vale Fire Chief	City of Vale	541 212-6868	jessstolman203@hotmail.com
	Chad Cooper	Public Works	City of Vale	541-212-6126	ccooper@cityofvale.com
	Brule Lehman	Vegetation & Environmental Coordinator/ SPCC	Owyhee Irrigation District	541-889-4481	brule@owyheerirrigation.org

Lindsay Atagi






MCHD Director

Malheur County Health 541-889-7279

Lindsay.Atagi@malheurco.org

Thursday, August 9, 2018 from 9:00 am to 12:30 pm

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email
	Pam Uyeki	Trauma/Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7115	Pamela.uyeki@saintalphonsus.org
	Jay Chamberlain	Manager	Owyhee Irrigation District	541-889-4433 ext. 102 (office)	jay@owyheerirrigation.org
	Katherine Sherman	RN	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7102	Katherine.sherman@saintalphonsus.org
	Heidi Ragsdale	Independent Living Specialist	Eastern Oregon Center for Independent Living	541-889-3119	heidiragsdale@eoc.org
	David Armstrong	Executive Director	Tri-County Love Inc.	541-889-7860	director@tri.countyloveinc.org
	Kyle McCauly	Engineer Associate	Cascade Natural Gas	541-473-5186	Kyle.mccauly@cnrg.com
	Brittany White	Transportation Project Manager	MCOACS	541-881-0000	Brittany.white@mcoacs.org
	Tom Davis	D-14 Operations Coordinator	ODOT	541-889-9115 823-4011	Thomas.J.davis@odot.state.or.us
	Dylan Martin		Idaho Power	208-642-6552	dmartin@idahopower.com
	Kaitlyn Stratton		Payette County, ID emergency Management Office		kstratton@payettecounty.org
	Robert Batcheller	Amateur Radio Emergency Services	Amateur Radio Emergency Services	541-212-6850	rhbatcheller@hotmail.com
	Bob Dickinson		Malheur County ASD	541-881-8367	bdickinson@malheurco.org
	Jane Padgett	Business Integrity/ Community Development	Oregon Department of Human Services	541-889-8657 ext. 563 (office) 805-9693	Jane.padgett@state.or.us
	Mark Redmond		Malheur County Education Service District	541-473-4824	Mark.redmond@malesd.k12.or.us
	Lori Debban		MCOACS		
	Tricia Sears	Natural Hazards Planner	Oregon Department of Land Conservation & Development	503-934-0031 (office)	tricia.sears@state.or.us
	Dave Lentzner	Risk MAP Coordinator	Oregon Department of Land Conservation & Development	503-934-0010 (office)	David.lentzner@state.or.us
	Emily Mateer	Safety & Compliance Officer	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	emateer@vfhc.org
	Mindy Volmer	Facilities Manager	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	mvolmer@vfhc.org
	Mandy Heisig	Red Cross		208-739-1696	
	BELINDA HEISIG	Red Cross		208-230-0542	
	Brooke Lehman	OID - HM14 - OPD	Env. Leond.	541-889-6433	broke@owyheerirrigation.org
	Brandon Thew	LIFEWAYS - HM14 - OPD	LIFEWAYS	208-850-9833	bthew@lifeways.org
	Molly Smith	Health Educator	Southwest District Health - Idaho	208-455-5372	molly.smith@phd3.idaho.gov
	Marna Ransom	ISU Em. Student	Southwest District Health - ID	208-800-9424	ramomara2@isu.edu
	Linda Protzner	Human Asst	- Volunteer	208-455-5377	linda.protzner@phd3.idaho.gov
	TJ Wilson	PHAB PLANNER	Southwest District Health	208-455-5326	terry.wilson@phd3.idaho.gov

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting

aka Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC)

Thursday, November 8, 2018 9:00 am

DHS Building, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR – Snake River Conference Room

Agenda

9:00 am Welcome & Introductions

Lt. Rich Harriman, MCSO Emergency Services Manager

**9:05 am LEPC Bylaws – Review &
Distribute Membership Applications**

Lt. Rich Harriman, MCSO Emergency Services Manager

*Michael Heffner, Asst Chief Deputy - Emergency Response Services,
Office of State Fire Marshal*

**9:30 am NHMP Mitigation Actions Table &
Project Schedule Revisions**

Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner

OR Dept Land Conservation & Development

10:00 am Round Table

10:30 am Adjourn

Next Meeting: Thursday, December 13, 2018, 9:00am @ DHS Bldg

Upcoming Events:

November 5-9, 2018 CIT Training @ National Guard Armory in Ontario

May 13-15, 2019 Oregon LEPC Conference in Pendleton

Malheur County Emergency Management Plans:




[Malheur County Emergency Operation Plan \(EOP\)](#)

[Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan](#)

[Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan](#)





Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914
Thursday, November 8, 2018 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email
	Adam Brown	City Manager	City of Ontario	541-709-4001	adam.brown@ontariooregon.org
	Adele Dockter	Adrian City Mayor	Malheur County	541-372-2455	cityofadrian@hotmail.com
	Al Crouch	Fire Mitigation/Education Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6361	acrouch@blm.gov
	Bob Dickinson	Director	Malheur County ASD	541-881-8367	bdickinson@malheurco.org
	Bob Webb	Adrian Fire Chief	Malheur County	541 372-3246	georgemshop@yahoo.com
	Brandon Tarvin	Facilities Tech	Lifeways	208-350-9833	btarvin@lifeways.org
	Brittany White	Transportation Project Manager	MCOACS	541-881-0000	Brittany.white@mcoacs.org
	Brule Lehman	Vegetation & Environmental Coordinator/ SPCC	Owyhee Irrigation District	541-889-4481	brule@owyheelirrigation.org
	Cal Kunz	Ontario Police Chief	City of Ontario	541-889-5312	Cal.kunz@ontariooregon.org
	Chad Cooper	Public Works	City of Vale	541-212-6126	ccooper@cityofvale.com
	Christine Crysler	Compliance Officer	Lifeways	541-823-9091	cmosier@lifeways.org
	Craig Geddes	Environmental Health	Malheur County	541-473-5186	craiggeddes@malheurco.org
	Dave Fenstermacher	Emergency Coordinator	Malheur County	541-889-7279 ext 117	Dave.fenstermacher@malheurco.org
	Dave Lentzner	Risk MAP Coordinator	Oregon Department of Land Conservation & Development	503-934-0010 (office)	David.lentzner@state.or.us
	David Armstrong	Executive Director	Tri-County Love Inc.	541-889-7860	director@tri.countyloveinc.org
	David Tovar	Crisis Supervisor	Lifeways	541-889-9167	dtovar@nnu.edu
	Don Rotell	Supervisory Natural Resource Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6229	drotell@blm.gov
	Duane Petty	Public Works Lead	City of Nyssa		dpetty@nyssacity.org
	Dylan Martin		Idaho Power	208-642-6552	dmartin@idahopower.com
	Emily Mateer	Safety Compliance Officer	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	emateer@vfhc.org
	Eric Menchaca	Nyssa Fire Chief	City of Nyssa	208-739-3639	emenchaca@nyssacity.org
	Heidi Ragsdale	Independent Living Specialist	Eastern Oregon Center for Independent Living	541-889-3119	heidiragsdale@eoc.org
	Jamie Willet	Assistant Planner	Malheur County	541-473-5185	jwillet@malheurco.org
	Jane Padgett	Business Integrity/ Community Development	Oregon Department of Human Services	541-889-8657 ext. 563 (office)	Jane.padgett@state.or.us

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914
Thursday, November 8, 2018 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email
	Jason Simmons	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Fuels)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6336	jimmmons@blm.gov
	Jay Chamberlain	Manager	Owyhee Irrigation District	541-889-4433 ext. 102 (office)	jay@owyheerirrigation.org
	Jess Tolman	Vale Fire Chief	City of Vale	541 212-6868	jesstolman203@hotmail.com
	Jim Maret	City Manager	City of Nyssa	541-212-2863	jmaret@nyssacity.org
	Kaitlyn Stratton	Emergency Manager	Payette County, ID Emergency Management Office	208-642-6006 x 1182	kstratton@payettecounty.org
	Kari Ott	Finance Director	City of Ontario	541-881-3217	kott@opgcpa.com
	Katherine Sherman	RN	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7102	Katherine.sherman@saintalphonsus.org
	Katy Lamb	City Manager	City of Vale	541-473-3133	klamb@cityofvale.com
	Kyle McCauly	Engineer Associate	Cascade Natural Gas	541-473-5186	Kyle.mccauly@cnrg.com
	Lacey Salinas	Quality / Regulatory Manager	Lifeways	541-823-9053	lsalinas@lifeways.org
	Loni Thomas	Executive Director	Malheur Council on Aging & Community Services	541-889-7651	loni.thomas@mcoacs.org
	Marie Kershner	Jordan Valley City Mayor	Malheur County	541-586-2240	no email available
	Mark Peterson	Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	Snake River Correctional Institution	541-881-4527	Mark.e.peterson@doc.state.or.us
	Mark Redmond	Superintendent	Malheur County Education Service District	541-473-4824	Mark.redmond@malesd.k12.or.us
	Michael Heffner	Office of State Fire Marshal	Oregon State Police	503-930-2934	Michael.heffner@state.or.us
	Mindy Volmer	Facilities Manager	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	mvolmer@vfhc.org
	Mysty Boyd	Quality Service Implementation Specialist	Lifeways	541-823-9053	mboyd@lifeways.org
	Pam Uyeki	Trauma/Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7115	Pamela.uyeki@saintalphonsus.org
	Ray Rau	Chief of Police	City of Nyssa	541-372-3825	rrau@nyssacity.org
	Rene Kesler	Investigator / compliance	Lifeways	541-823-9090	rkesler@lifeways.org
	Rich Harriman, Lt.	Emergency Manager	Malheur County	541-473-5120	rharriman@malheurco.org
	Ridg Medford	CIT Coordinator	Ontario Police Dept	541-212-3440	Ridg.medford@ontariooregon.org
	Robert Batcheller		Amateur Radio Emergency Services	541-212-6850	rhbatcheller@hotmail.com
	Terry Leighton	Ontario Fire Chief	City of Ontario	208-941-9158	Terry.Leighton@ontariooregon.org
	Todd Gregory	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Ops)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6264	tgregory@blm.gov

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914
Thursday, November 8, 2018 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

[illegible]

add me to
things +
corresponding

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting

aka Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC)

Thursday, January 10, 2019 9:00 am

DHS Building, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR – Snake River Conference Room

Agenda

9:00 am Welcome & Introductions

Lt. Rich Harriman, MCSO Emergency Services Manager

9:05 am Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan Updates

Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner

OR Dept of Land Conservation & Development

9:20 am Roundtable

10:00 am Adjourn

Next Meeting: Thursday, February 14, 2019, 9:00am @ DHS Bldg

Agenda: Stop the Bleed Training w/Katherine Sherman, RN / Pam Uyeki, RN

Upcoming Events:

March 18-22, OR Emergency Preparedness Conference @ River House in Bend

May 13-15, OR LEPC Conference in Pendleton

June 16-20, ID READYKAMP for 7-9 grade

Malheur County Emergency Management Plans:

[Malheur County Emergency Operation Plan \(EOP\)](#)





[Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan](#)

[Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan](#)

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914

Thursday, January 10, 2019 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email	Membership Form
	Adam Brown	City Manager	City of Ontario	541-709-4001	adam.brown@ontariooregon.org	X
	Adele Dockter	Adrian City Mayor	Malheur County	541-372-2455	cityofadrian@hotmail.com	
	Al Crouch	Fire Mitigation/Education Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6361	acrouch@blm.gov	X
	Bob Dickinson	Director	Malheur County ASD	541-881-8367	bdickinson@malheurco.org	
	Bob Webb	Adrian Fire Chief	Malheur County	541 372-3246	georgemshop@yahoo.com	
	Brandon Tarvin	Facilities Tech	Lifeways	208-350-9833	btarvin@lifeways.org	
	Brittany White	Transportation Project Manager	MCOACS	541-881-0000	Brittany.white@mcoacs.org	X
	Brule Lehman	Vegetation & Environmental Coordinator/ SPCC	Owyhee Irrigation District	208-230-0549	brule@owyheeirrigation.org	X
 <i>he's gone</i>	Cal Kunz	Ontario Police Chief	City of Ontario	541-889-5312	Cal.kunz@ontariooregon.org	
	Chad Cooper	Public Works	City of Vale	541-212-6126	ccooper@cityofvale.com	
	Christine Crysler	Compliance Officer	Lifeways	541-823-9091	cmosier@lifeways.org	
	Craig Geddes	Environmental Health	Malheur County	541-473-5186	craiggeddes@malheurco.org	
	Dave Fenstermacher	Emergency Coordinator	Malheur County	541-889-7279 ext 117	Dave.fenstermacher@malheurco.org	X
	Dave Lentzner	Risk MAP Coordinator	Oregon Department of Land Conservation & Development	503-934-0010 (office)	David.lentzner@state.or.us	
	David Tovar	Crisis Supervisor	Lifeways	541-889-9167	dtovar@nnu.edu	
	Don Rotell	Supervisory Natural Resource Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6229	drotell@blm.gov	
	Duane Petty	Public Works Lead	City of Nyssa		dpetty@nyssacity.org	
	Dylan Martin		Idaho Power	208-642-6552	dmartin@idahopower.com	
	Emily Mateer	Safety Compliance Officer	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	emateer@vfhc.org	X
	Eric Menchaca	Nyssa Fire Chief	City of Nyssa	208-739-3639	emenchaca@nyssacity.org	
	Heidi Ragsdale	Independent Living Specialist	Eastern Oregon Center for Independent Living	541-889-3119	heidiragsdale@eoc.org	X
	Jamie Willet	Assistant Planner	Malheur County	541-473-5185	jwillet@malheurco.org	
	Jane Padgett	Business Integrity/ Community Development	Oregon Department of Human Services	541-889-8657 ext. 563 (office)	jane.padgett@state.or.us	X
	Jason Simmons	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Fuels)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6336	jsimmons@blm.gov	

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914

Thursday, January 10, 2019 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email	Membership Form
	Jay Breidenbach	Warning Coordinator Meteorologist	NOAA / National Weather Service	208-339-9861	jay.breidenbach@noaa.gov	X
	Jay Chamberlain	Manager	Owyhee Irrigation District	541-889-4433 ext. 102 (office)	jay@owyheerirrigation.org	
	Jess Tolman	Vale Fire Chief	City of Vale	541 212-6868	jessolman203@hotmail.com	
<i>Jim Maret</i>	Jim Maret	City Manager	City of Nyssa	541-212-2863	jmaret@nyssacity.org	X
	Kaitlyn Kyro	Emergency Manager	Payette County, ID Emergency Management Office	208-642-6006 x 1182	kkyro@payettecounty.org	X
	Kari Ott	Finance Director	City of Ontario	541-881-3217	kott@opgcpa.com	
	Katherine Sherman	RN	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7102	katherine.sherman@saintalphonsus.org	X
	Katy Lamb	City Manager	City of Vale	541-473-3133	klamb@cityofvale.com	
<i>Kyle McCauley</i>	Kyle McCauley	Engineer Associate	Cascade Natural Gas	541-473-5186	kyle.mccauley@cngc.com	X
<i>Lacey Salinas</i>	Lacey Salinas	Quality / Regulatory Manager	Lifeways	541-823-9053	lsalinas@lifeways.org	X
	Loni Thomas	Executive Director	Malheur Council on Aging & Community Services	541-889-7651	loni.thomas@mcoacs.org	
	Marie Kershner	Jordan Valley City Mayor	Malheur County	541-586-2240	no email available	
	Mark Peterson	Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	Snake River Correctional Institution	541-881-4527	Mark.e.peterson@doc.state.or.us	
	Mark Redmond	Superintendent	Malheur County Education Service District	541-473-4824	Mark.redmond@malesd.k12.or.us	
	Michael Heffner	Office of State Fire Marshal	Oregon State Police	503-930-2934	Michael.heffner@state.or.us	
	Mike Cantin	Meteorologist	NOAA / National Weather Service		Mike.cantin@noaa.gov <i>bad email</i>	
<i>Mindy Volmer</i>	Mindy Volmer	Facilities Manager	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	mvolmer@mfhc.org	<i>[Signature]</i>
<i>Molly Smith</i>	Molly Smith	Training and Exercise Coordinator	SW Idaho Health District	208-455-5372	Molly.Smith@phd3.idaho.gov	
<i>Mysty Boyd</i>	Mysty Boyd	Quality Service Implementation Specialist	Lifeways	541-823-9053	mboyd@lifeways.org	
<i>Pam Uyeki</i>	Pam Uyeki	Trauma/Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7115	Pamela.uyeki@saintalphonsus.org	X
	Peter Hall	Assistant to the City Manager	City of Ontario	541-881-3248	Peter.hall@ontariooregon.org	
	Ray Rau	Chief of Police	City of Nyssa	541-372-3825	rrau@nyssacity.org	
	Rene Kesler	Investigator / compliance	Lifeways	541-823-9090	rkesler@lifeways.org	
<i>Rich Harriman</i>	Rich Harriman, Lt.	Emergency Manager	Malheur County	541-473-5120	rharriman@malheurco.org	X

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914
Thursday, January 10, 2019 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

[illegible]

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting

aka Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC)

Thursday, February 14, 2019 9:00 am

DHS Building, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR – Snake River Conference Room

Agenda

9:00 am Welcome & Introductions

Lt. Rich Harriman, MCSO Emergency Services Manager

9:05 am Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan Updates

Tricia Sears, Natural Hazards Planner

OR Dept of Land Conservation & Development

9:15 am LEPC Update

Lt. Rich Harriman, MCSO Emergency Services Manager

9:20 am Roundtable _ keep it short!

9:30 am Stop the Bleed Training

Katherine Sherman, RN / Pam Uyeki, RN

10:30 am Adjourn

Next Meeting: Thursday, March 14, 2019, 9:00am @ DHS Bldg

Upcoming Events:

March 2 – Ontario Volunteer Firefighter's Hawaiian Dance & Dinner, 6-8pm @ FRCC

March 18-22, OR Emergency Preparedness Conference @ River House in Bend

May 4 – Wildfire Community Preparedness Day

May 13-15, OR LEPC Conference in Pendleton

June 16-20, ID READYKAMP for 7-9 grade

Malheur County Emergency Management Plans:



[Malheur County Emergency Operation Plan \(EOP\)](#)

[Malheur County Community Wildfire Protection Plan](#)

[Malheur County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan](#)


Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914
Thursday, February 14, 2019 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email	Membership Form
	Adam Brown	City Manager	City of Ontario	541-709-4001	adam.brown@ontarioregon.org	X
	Adele Dockter	Adrian City Mayor	Malheur County	541-372-2455	cityofadrian@hotmail.com	
	Al Crouch	Fire Mitigation/Education Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6361	acrouch@blm.gov	X
	Bob Dickinson	Director	Malheur County ASD	541-881-8367	bdickinson@malheurco.org	
	Bob Webb	Adrian Fire Chief	Malheur County	541 372-3246	georgemshop@yahoo.com	
	Brandon Tarvin	Facilities Tech	Lifeways	208-350-9833	btarvin@lifeways.org	
	Brittany White	Transportation Project Manager	MCOACS	541-881-0000	Brittany.white@mcoacs.org	X
	Brule Lehman	Vegetation & Environmental Coordinator/ SPCC	Owyhee Irrigation District	208-230-0549	brule@owyheerirrigation.org	X
	Chad Cooper	Public Works	City of Vale	541-212-6126	cocooper@cityofvale.com	
	Christine Crysler	Compliance Officer	Lifeways	541-823-9091	cmosier@lifeways.org	
	Craig Geddes	Environmental Health	Malheur County	541-473-5186	craiggeddes@malheurco.org	
	Dave Fenstermacher	Emergency Coordinator	Malheur County	541-889-7279 ext 117	Dave.fenstermacher@malheurco.org	X
	Dave Lentzner	Risk MAP Coordinator	Oregon Department of Land Conservation & Development	503-934-0010 (office)	David.lentzner@state.or.us	
	David Tovar	Crisis Supervisor	Lifeways	541-889-9167	dtovar@nnu.edu	
	Don Rotell	Supervisory Natural Resource Spec.	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6229	drotell@blm.gov	
	Duane Petty	Public Works Lead	City of Nyssa		dpetty@nyssacity.org	
	Dylan Martin		Idaho Power	208-642-6552	dmartin@idahopower.com	
	Emily Mateer	Safety Compliance Officer	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	emateer@vfhc.org	X
	Eric Menchaca	Nyssa Fire Chief	City of Nyssa	208-739-3639	emenchaca@nyssacity.org	
	Heidi Ragsdale	Independent Living Specialist	Eastern Oregon Center for Independent Living	541-889-3119	heidiragsdale@eoc.org	X
	Jamie Willet	Assistant Planner	Malheur County	541-473-5185	jwillet@malheurco.org	
	Jane Padgett	Business Integrity/ Community Development	Oregon Department of Human Services	541-889-8657 ext. 563 (office)	jane.padgett@state.or.us	X
	Jason Simmons	Asst. Fire Mgmt. Ofcr. (Fuels)	Bureau of Land Management	541-473-6336	jsimmons@blm.gov	
	Jay Breidenbach	Warning Coordinator Meteorologist	NOAA / National Weather Service	208-339-9861	Jay.breidenbach@noaa.gov	X

Malheur County Emergency Management Team Meeting
DHS Building, Snake River Conference Room, 186 East Lane, Ontario OR 97914
Thursday, February 14, 2019 @ 9:00 am

PLEASE SIGN IN (Sign your name or add to the list, edit your contact information if needed)

Full Signature	Name	Title	Representing	Phone	Email	Membership Form
	Jay Chamberlain	Manager	Owyhee Irrigation District	541-889-4433 ext. 102 (office)	jay@owyheerirrigation.org	
	Jeff Cappe	Liaison	SW District Health	208-509-9501	Jeff.cappe@phd3.idaho.gov	
	Jess Tolman	Vale Fire Chief	City of Vale	541 212-6868	jessmolman203@hotmail.com	
	Jim Maret	City Manager	City of Nyssa	541-212-2863	jmaret@nyssacity.org	X
	Kaitlyn Kyro	Emergency Manager	Payette County, ID Emergency Management Office	208-642-6006 x 1182	kkvro@payettecounty.org	X
	Kari Ott	Finance Director	City of Ontario	541-881-3217	kott@opscpa.com	
	Katherine Sherman	RN	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7102	Katherine.sherman@saintalphonsus.org	X
	Katy Lamb	City Manager	City of Vale	541-473-3133	klamb@cityofvale.com	
	Kyle McCauley	Engineer Associate	Cascade Natural Gas	541-473-5186	Kyle.mccauley@cngc.com	X
	Lacey Salinas	Quality / Regulatory Manager	Lifeways	541-823-9053	lsalinas@lifeways.org	X
	Loni Thomas	Executive Director	Malheur Council on Aging & Community Services	541-889-7651	loni.thomas@mcoacs.org	
	Marie Kershner	Jordan Valley City Mayor	Malheur County	541-586-2240	no email available	
	Mark Peterson	Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	Snake River Correctional Institution	541-881-4527	Mark.e.peterson@doc.state.or.us	
	Mark Redmond	Superintendent	Malheur County Education Service District	541-473-4824	Mark.redmond@malesd.k12.or.us	
	Michael Heffner	Office of State Fire Marshal	Oregon State Police	503-930-2934	Michael.heffner@state.or.us	
	Mike Cantin	Meteorologist	NOAA / National Weather Service			
	Mindy Volmer	Facilities Manager	Valley Family Health Care	208-642-9376	mvolmer@mfhc.org	
	Molly Smith	Public Health Preparedness Health Educator	SW Idaho Health District	208-455-5372 / 208-615-1154	Molly.Smith@phd3.idaho.gov	
	Mysty Boyd	Quality Service Implementation Specialist	Lifeways	541-823-9053	mbovd@lifeways.org	
	Pam Uyeki	Trauma/Emergency Preparedness Coordinator	St. Alphonsus Medical Center	541-881-7115	Pamela.uyeki@saintalphonsus.org	X
	Peter Hall	Assistant to the City Manager	City of Ontario	541-881-3248	Peter.hall@ontariooregon.org	
	Ray Rau	Chief of Police	City of Nyssa	541-372-3825	rrau@nyssacity.org	
	Rene Kesler	Investigator / compliance	Lifeways	541-823-9090	rkesler@lifeways.org	
	Rich Harriman, Lt.	Emergency Manager	Malheur County	541-473-5120	rharriman@malheurco.org	X

Thursday, February 14, 2019 @ 9:00 am

[illegible]

Insert April 11, 2019 Agenda and meeting sign in sheet

Malheur County NHMP Flyer

Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan Update

May 2018



***Drought, Floods, Winter Storms, Wildfires...
What Concerns You?***

Communities are stronger when they recognize the risks from natural hazards and make efforts to prepare for them and to reduce potential damage.

Malheur County's existing ***Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*** (NHMP) was updated in May 2014. NHMPs must be updated every five years.

Now, Malheur County is collaborating with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to update the NHMP again. The updated NHMP will continue the County's eligibility for disaster related funding.

"To create a disaster-resilient Malheur County by building partnerships, reducing risk, preventing loss, and protecting life, property, and the environment from future natural hazard events."

Malheur County 2014 Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan

A Steering Committee, chaired by the Emergency Manager, is working with DLCD staff to update the NHMP. The NHMP is targeted for completion by August 2019.



Oregon Department of
Land Conservation
and Development

For more information and to provide comments:

Lt. Rich Harriman, Emergency Manager | Malheur County |
#541-473-5120

Website: <https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>

Email: rharriman@malheurco.org

Emergency preparedness and hazard mitigation planning involve preparing the individual and the community for hazards that may impact them.

Why engage in natural hazard mitigation planning?

- **To avoid disasters** by reducing or eliminating long-term risk to people, property, and the environment from natural hazards.
- **To maintain eligibility** for disaster related funding.
- **To increase safety and resiliency** by integrating hazard mitigation into the plans, programs, and policies.

Malheur County's Natural Hazards

Winter Storms
Wind Storms
Earthquakes
Droughts
Floods
Volcanic Events
Wildfire
Landslides
Extreme Temperature
Dust Storm
Severe Weather – includes flash flood
rain events



Participation

This is a multi-jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) involving Malheur County, the City of Ontario, the City of Nyssa, the City of Vale, the BLM and others. Representatives from these jurisdictions and other organizations are actively participating in this NHMP update process.



Malheur County flyer 5-2-18

All photos by Malheur County

Website and Facebook Screen Shots, Water Bill Inserts, and Events

Red, White & Breakfast Event on July 4, 2018

A vertical poster for the 'Red, White & Breakfast' event. The top features a stylized American flag with stars and stripes. Below this, the text 'FOUR RIVERS COMMUNITY FOUNDATION ENDOWMENT' is centered. The event title 'Red, White & Breakfast' is written in a red script font. In the center is an illustration of a stack of pancakes topped with blueberries, raspberries, and blackberries, served on a white plate. Below the illustration, the date and time 'Wednesday, July 4th, 2018 8AM - 11AM' are prominently displayed in large, bold, black and red fonts. Further down, it says 'Includes: Pancakes, sausage, eggs, hashbrowns & beverage!'. A red script line reads 'Veterans & Children Under 5 Eat Free!'. At the bottom left, ticket information is provided: 'Tickets are \$8 Per Person' and the location 'Ontario Fire Department, Corner of SW 4th Avenue & SW 4th St.'. In the bottom center is the 'FOUR RIVERS COMMUNITY FOUNDATION' logo, which includes a stylized mountain graphic. At the bottom right, under the heading 'Tickets Available:', a list of locations is provided: 'At the Door', 'Online at www.4rhc.org', 'Ontario Chamber', 'Argus Observer', and 'City Hall'.

Ontario Water Bill Insert Mailed February 1, 2019

Communities are stronger when they recognize the risks from natural hazards and make efforts to prepare for them and to reduce potential impacts.

Malheur County is collaborating with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to update their Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP). Having an approved NHMP allows the County and the cities to obtain funds from FEMA to prepare for and recover from natural disasters. This effort involves Malheur County, the City of Ontario, the City of Nyssa, the City of Vale, and others. The NHMP is targeted for completion by July 2019.

For more information and to provide comments on the NHMP, please contact:

Lt. Rich Harriman, Emergency Manager, Malheur County
Phone: #541-473-5120 Email: rharriman@malheurco.org

Website: <https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>

Nyssa Water and Sewer Bill Insert Mailed on January 15, 2019

CITY OF NYSSA
301 MAIN STREET
NYSSA, OR 97913 (541) 372-2264
ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

FIRST CLASS
PRSRT
US POSTAGE
PAID - 10Z
NYSSA OR 97913
PERMIT NO 1

SERVICE	CHARGES	PAST-DUE	BALANCE
17 E 2nd St 1-2300-03		01/15/2019	413.75
previous read 0	current read 0	usage	
Service dates 11/30/2018 12/31/2018			
	Water	32.50	
	Sewer	48.00	
	SLite	2.50	
	Fire	1.75	
	PNLT2	15.00	
	Police	5.00	
	OnOff		
	OnOff		

01/15/2019 1-2300-03 413.75

customer
PO Box 223
Midvale ID 83645

Past Due Amount 309.00
Malheur Co. is updating Natural Hazards
Mitigation Plan-Rich Harriman 5414735120 @
rharriman@malheurco.org or
www.malheurco.org/ Total Amount Due 413.75

Malheur County Destination 2026 Festival on August 14, 2018

From: Richard Harriman
To: [Sears, Tricia](#)
Subject: RE: Another booth for awareness
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 7:28:06 AM
Attachments: [Rich Harriman Lt .vcf](#)

It was called "Destination 2026" at SRCI. Here is the e-mail that I got from one of the Lieutenants.

Lieutenant Harriman,

Captain Peterson gave me your contact information so I could contact your for SRCI. SRCI is have a Destination 2026 Festival on August 14, 2018 from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm. This festival is a step in SRCI supporting Department four year goals. The Protect Group that I am part of is promoting activities that will ensure staff are prepared and trained for emergencies at work and home.

We were hoping that Malheur County Emergency Preparedness would be available to join us and have information regarding our staff being prepared for emergencies in the home. It's my hope we are not contacting you too late for you to participate.

I'd like to discuss this with you further.

Please contact me on my state cell phone or call Master Control at 541-881-5018 and ask for me. I have Thursday Friday off and work 6:00 am to 2:30 pm. Looking forward to hearing from you.

Matt Turner
Correctional Lieutenant
Complex 1 Second Shift
Snake River Correctional Institution
(541)216-3805 - Cell
541-881-4607 - Office




Malheur County Fair July 31 to August 4, 2018



**Malheur County
Emergency Management
website
8/15/17**

<http://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>


Lists the Emergency Operations Plan, Wildfire Protection Plan, and the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan with clickable links to each document.



**Malheur County
Emergency Management
website
10/30/17**

<http://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>


The website lists the Emergency Operations Plan, Wildfire Protection Plan, and the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) with clickable links to each document. The NHMP has a statement "NHMP update in process" noted on the website.



City of Nyssa website on 11/21/17
<http://www.nyssacity.org/>



City of Vale, Oregon website on 11/21/17
<https://www.cityofvale.com/>



City of Vale, Oregon website on 11/21/17
<https://www.cityofvale.com/news-and-information/malheur-county-natural-hazard-mitigation-plan/>

[Home](#)
[About](#)
[Government](#)
[Committees](#)
[Parks & Recreation](#)
[RLF Fund](#)
[Downtown Beautification Grant](#)
[Links](#)
[Forms](#)
[Contact](#)



City of Vale, Oregon

Malheur County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan

Posted on November 3, 2017 by Web Admin

The City of Vale, along with other cities, is participating in the update of the Malheur County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. For more information, please see the Malheur County Emergency Management website at <http://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>

Flyer

News and Information

← October 24th, 2017 City Council Minutes

Search

Latest News

Malheur County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan

October 24th, 2017 City Council Minutes

Job Opening: City Manager

Job Opening: Utility Worker

October 10, 2017 City Council Minutes

Calendar

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
29	30	31	1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25

City of Vale, Oregon website on 11/21/17
<https://www.cityofvale.com/category/news-and-information/>

[Home](#) [About](#) [Government](#) [Committees](#) [Parks & Recreation](#) [RLF Fund](#) [Downtown Beautification Grant](#) [Links](#) [Forms](#) [Contact](#)



City of Vale, Oregon

News and Information

Malheur County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan

Posted on November 3, 2017

The City of Vale, along with other cities, is participating in the update of the Malheur County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. For more information, please see the Malheur County Emergency Management website at <http://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>

[Flyer](#)

Job Opening: Utility Worker

Posted on October 17, 2017

The City of Vale, is seeking applicants through October 20, 2017at 5:00pm for the position of Utility

Search

Q

Latest News

Malheur County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan

October 24th, 2017 City Council Minutes

Job Opening: City Manager

Job Opening: Utility Worker

October 10, 2017 City Council Minutes


Calendar

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
29	30	31	1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25

City of Ontario Website 11/28/17
<http://www.ontariooregon.org/>




City of Ontario Website 11/28/17
<http://www.ontariooregon.org/NaturalHazardMitigationPlan.cfm>



Malheur County Emergency Management Facebook Page 2/20/18

The screenshot displays the Facebook profile of Malheur County Emergency Management. The page header shows the browser address bar with the URL <https://www.facebook.com/malheurco.org/>. The page name is "Malheur County Emergency Management" with a search bar and navigation links (Tricia, Home, Find Friends). The profile picture is the Malheur County Sheriff's Office logo. The cover photo shows a landscape with a fire. The page has 109 likes and 46 comments. The "Posts" section shows two recent posts: one about a meeting on April 12 and another from December 27, 2017, about real-time warnings. The "About" section on the right provides contact information: 151 B St W, Vale, Oregon 97918, phone (541) 473-5126, and website www.malheurco.org. It also lists "Pages liked by this Page" including Union County Emergency Management and language options.

Malheur County Emergency Management Facebook Page 2/20/18



Malheur County Emergency Management Facebook 11/29/18

The screenshot shows the Facebook profile of Malheur County Emergency Management. The page features a circular profile picture with the Malheur County Sheriff's Office logo. The name "Malheur County Emergency Management" is displayed, along with the email address "@malheurco.org". A navigation menu on the left includes links for Home, About, Photos, Reviews, Videos, Posts, Community, Events, and Info and Ads, with a "Create a Page" button at the bottom. The main content area shows a large landscape photo of a winding road through a desert canyon. Below this, there is a "Photos" section with another landscape photo. To the right of the main photo, there are buttons for "Like", "Follow", "Share", and "Sign Up", along with a "Send Message" button. A rating of 5.0 out of 5 is shown, based on the opinion of 3 people. The "Community" section includes an invitation to invite friends to like the page, with 961 likes and 970 followers. The "About" section provides the address 151 B St W, Vale, Oregon 97918, the phone number (541) 473-5126, and the website www.malheurco.org. A map shows the location in Vale, Oregon. At the bottom of the page, there is a banner for "FIRE PREVEN" and "FEMA".

Malheur County Emergency Management

Malheur County Sheriff's Office

Malheur County Emergency Management

@malheurco.org

Home

About

Photos

Reviews

Videos

Posts

Community

Events

Info and Ads

Create a Page

Like Follow Share ...

Sign Up

Send Message

5.0 5 out of 5 - Based on the opinion of 3 people

Community

Invite your friends to like this Page

961 people like this

970 people follow this

About

151 B St W
Vale, Oregon 97918
Get Directions
(541) 473-5126
Send Message
www.malheurco.org

Chat (3)

100%

Malheur County Emergency Management Facebook 11/29/18

Malheur County Emergency Management

Like Follow Share

Sign Up Send Message

151 B St W
Vale, Oregon 97918
Get Directions
(541) 473-5126
Send Message
www.malheurco.org
Government Organization · Police Station · Courthouse
Suggest Edits

Posts

Malheur County Emergency Management
Yesterday at 9:42 AM · 🌐

Malheur County, the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale, as well as other organizations are collaborating with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to update the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP). Having an approved NHMP allows the County and the cities to obtain funds from FEMA to prepare for and recover from natural disasters. DLCD is providing the funding from a FEMA grant for this NHMP update. See the attached NHMP flyer for additional information or contact Rich Harriman, Malheur County Emergency Manager, at richard.harriman@malheurco.org or 541-473-5120 (office). Watch for updates COMING SOON!

You and 3 others · 1 Share

Like Comment Share

Write a comment...

Malheur County Emergency Management updated their cover photo.
November 19 at 8:47 AM · 🌐

Related Pages

Malheur County Sheriff...
Government Building · Like

Vale City Pool
Sports & Recreation · Like

Malheur Federal Credit...
Credit Union · Like

See More

Pages Liked by This Page


Union County Emerge... · Like

English (US) · Español · Português (Brasil) · Français (France) · Deutsch · +

Chat (4) 100%

Malheur County Emergency Management Website 11/29/18

<https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>



The screenshot shows the Malheur County Emergency Management website. At the top is the Malheur County Seal. Below it is a navigation menu with links: Home, Departments, Online Payments, Regional Links, Contact Us, Searches, Employment, Employee Services, Public Notices, Public Safety, Special Programs, Frequently Asked Questions, Disclaimer, and Malheur County Surplus Auctions. The main content area is titled "Emergency Management". It contains a table with contact information for the Emergency Management Division, including staff names, phone numbers, fax, email, postal address, and websites. To the right of the table is a "Quick Access" section with links to "New & Often Used Links" and "Site Map". Below that is a "Search" section with a search bar. Further down is a "Translate Traducir" section with a language selection dropdown. At the bottom right is a "Malheur County Calendar" section showing the calendar for November 2018.

Emergency Management

At A Glance:	The Emergency Management Division is responsible for emergency management throughout the county.
Staff:	Rich Harriman, Lieutenant
Phone:	(541) 473-5120
Fax:	
Email:	Richard.Harriman@malheurco.org
Postal Address:	151 "B" St. West Vale, OR 97918
Websites & Links:	See below...
Location:	The Emergency Management office is located next to the county courthouse in the Sheriff's office.

Quick Access

- New & Often Used Links
- Site Map

Search

Translate Traducir

Select Language

Malheur County Calendar

November 2018

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
28	29	30	31	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	1

Malheur County Emergency Management Facebook 11/29/18

Malheur County Emergency Management

Like Follow Share ...

Sign Up Send Message

151 B St W
Vale, Oregon 97918
Get Directions
(541) 473-5126
Send Message
www.malheurco.org
Government Organization · Police Station · Courthouse
Suggest Edits

Malheur County Sheriff...
Government Building Like

Vale City Pool
Sports & Recreation Like

Malheur Federal Credit...
Credit Union Like
See More

Pages Liked by This Page

Union County Emerge... Like

English (US) Español Português (Brasil) Français (France) Deutsch +

Chat (4)

100%

Malheur County Emergency Management
Yesterday at 9:42 AM · 🌐

Malheur County, the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale, as well as other organizations are collaborating with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to update the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP). Having an approved NHMP allows the County and the cities to obtain funds from FEMA to prepare for and recover from natural disasters. DLCD is providing the funding from a FEMA grant for this NHMP update. See the attached NHMP flyer for additional information or contact Rich Harriman, Malheur County Emergency Manager, at richard.harriman@malheurco.org or 541-473-5120 (office). Watch for updates COMING SOON!

You and 3 others 1 Share

Like Comment Share

Write a comment...

Malheur County Emergency Management updated their cover photo.
November 19 at 8:47 AM · 🌐

Posts




Cascade Natural Gas Corporation



Published by Byron Pfordte [?] · 22 hrs · ⚙️

Preparation is key to weathering any natural disaster. [Malheur County Emergency Management](#) is currently updating the county's Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan. For more information and to learn how you can provide comments, please visit <https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/> or download their flyer at <https://www.malheurco.org/.../Dep.../Sheriff/NHMPFlyer050218.pdf>



1

People Reached

1

Engagement

Boost Post



Like



Comment



Share



Important Information for Malheur County Residents



**Natural Hazards
Mitigation Plan Update**



Cascade Natural Gas Corporation ***

Published by Byron Pfordte [?]

Like This Page · 22 hrs · ⚙

Preparation is key to weathering any natural disaster. Malheur County Emergency Management is currently updating the county's Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan. For more information and to learn how you can provide comments, please visit <https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/> or download their flyer at <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/Sheriff/NHMPFlyer050218.pdf>

Tag Photo Add Location Edit

1

People Reached

1

Engagement

Boost Post



Like



Comment



Share



Write a comment...



Malheur County Emergency Management Website 1/10/19

<https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>

Search ...

Select Language

Translate



Malheur County Oregon

Emergency Management

At A Glance:	The Emergency Management Division is responsible for emergency management throughout the county.
Staff:	Rich Harriman, Lieutenant
Phone:	(541) 473-5120
Fax:	
Email	Richard.Harriman@malheurco.org
Postal Address:	151 "B" St. West Vale, OR 97918
Websites & Links:	See below.
	The Emergency Management office is located next to the county courthouse in the Sheriff's office.

- Home
- County Departments <
- Online Payments <
- Public Notices <
- Searches <
- Calendar
- Special Programs <
- Contact Us
- Employment <
- Employee Services <
- FAQs <
- Regional Links <
- Disclaimer
- Surplus Auctions
- Site Map

Malheur County Emergency Management Website 1/10/19

<https://www.malheurco.org/emergency-management/>

In the event of an emergency, or during high water flows, information on flood warnings can be found at the National Weather Service website, at <http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/boi/>. During times of high water flows, when evacuation is imminent, the Emergency Alert System will also be activated, and information will be provided on KSRV radio and Boise television stations.



[Malheur County
Emergency Operation Plan \(EOP\)](#)

[Malheur
County Community Wildfire Protection Plan](#)

Malheur County, the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale, as well as other organizations are collaborating with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to update the Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP). Having an approved NHMP allows the County and the cities to obtain funds from FEMA to prepare for and recover from natural disasters. DLCD is providing the funding from a FEMA grant for this NHMP update. See the attached NHMP flyer for additional information or contact Rich Harriman, Malheur County Emergency Manager, at richard.harriman@malheurco.org or 541-473-5120 (office).

Watch for updates on this site.
COMING SOON

NHMP Flyer

**Malheur County Emergency Management Press Release re:
Draft NHMP for Review**

Insert press release when available

APPENDIX C: COMMUNITY PROFILE

Community resilience can be defined as the community's ability to manage risk and adapt to natural hazard impacts. It is the ability to use available resources to respond to, withstand, and recover from adverse situations.¹ To help define and understand Malheur County's and the Cities' resilience to natural hazards, the following capacities are examined in this Community Profile:

- Natural Environment,
- Socio-Demographic,
- Regional Economic,
- Built (or Infrastructure),
- Community Connectivity, and
- Political.

The Community Profile provides a snapshot in time when the NHMP was updated. It assists in establishing mitigation actions and in preparation of a more resilient community. The identification of mitigation actions that reduce Malheur County's and the Cities' sensitivity and exposure, and increases its resiliency, assists in reducing overall risk of disaster. See Figure C-1.

Figure C-1 Understanding Risk



Source: 2014 Malheur County NHMP, Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience, 2006.

¹ Wikipedia, Community Resilience, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Community_resilience

Natural Environment Capacity

Natural environment capacity is the geography, climate, and land cover of the area such as, urban, water and forested lands that maintain clean water, air, and a stable climate.² Natural resources such as wetlands and forested hill slopes play significant roles in protecting communities and the environment from natural hazards, such as flooding and landslides. However, natural systems are often impacted or depleted by human activities adversely affecting community resilience.

Geography

Malheur County is located in the southeast corner of Eastern Oregon, covering 9,926 square miles. It is the second largest county in Oregon. The region is diverse and comprised of high desert, mountain ranges, plateaus, river valleys, grasslands, and partly forested mountains, with elevations ranging from 2,000 to 8,000 feet above sea level.

Malheur County is located within two eco-regions: the Northern Basin and Range and the Snake River Plain. The Northern Basin and Range eco-region includes lava plains, rolling hills, alluvial fans, and valleys and scattered mountains. The Snake River Plain is lower and less rugged than the surrounding areas.³ Slopes in Malheur County are generally flat, though there are few areas susceptible to landslide. Flash floods are common in arid and semi-arid regions where there is steep topography, little vegetation, and short but intense rainfall. Notable geographic areas include Owyhee Plateau, Trout Creek Mountains, and Sheepshead Mountains.

The Owyhee Plateau was created by volcanic activity and is centered at the intersection between Oregon, Idaho, and Nevada borders. The collision of the North American Plain with the Yellowstone mantle plume is considered to be responsible for the creation of the Owyhee Plateau.⁴

The Trout Creek Mountains are located in southern Malheur County and extend into Nevada. The highest peak is Orevada View Benchmark (8,506 feet). The area has very little human development and is primarily managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Grazing allotments are provided throughout the mountains.

The Sheepshead Mountains are located in the west central part of Malheur County east of the Alford Desert. The area has very little human development and is primarily managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Grazing allotments are provided throughout the mountains.

Current and Projected Climate

Many places in Malheur County receive relatively low levels of precipitation, approximately 10 inches per year (see Table C-1), except for a few more mountainous areas. This is in sharp contrast to the 37 to 50 inches normally seen in other parts of the Pacific Northwest. There is large annual temperature variation from high temperatures of 80 to 90 degrees F from June to September to average highs of low teens in the winter months (see Table C-2). In most winters, there are frequent

² Mayunga, J. 2007, *Understanding and Applying the Concept of Community Disaster Resilience: A Capital-Based Approach*. Summer Academy for Social Vulnerability and Resilience Building.

³ Loy, W. G., ed. 2001, *Atlas of Oregon*, 2nd Edition. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon Press

⁴ 2014 *Malheur County NHMP*, Owyhee Plateau-Snake River Plain-Yellowstone Plateau, <http://www.clas.ufl.edu/users/pciesiel/gly3150/snake.html>, accessed March 2013 (link broken).

and severe winter storms characterized by temperature, wind velocity, ground saturation, and snow pack. Winter storms can slow or halt traffic, damage power lines, and kill livestock. Summer precipitation is very low, increasing the risk of wildfire and requiring irrigation for crops.

See also Volume II Hazard Annexes on hazard-specific information. The Severe Weather Annex also includes climate information. See also Appendix G for the *Future Climate Projections* report produced by the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI).


Precipitation, Rainfall, and Snowfall

The average annual precipitation is comparable at different NOAA stations throughout Malheur County. Precipitation includes snowfall unless otherwise specified. Table C-1 shows the monthly average and the annual average precipitation for five locations in Malheur County. Figures that illustrate that include Figure C-2 which shows the average daily chance of precipitation throughout the year in Ontario and Figure C-3 which shows the average monthly rainfall in Ontario throughout the year.

Precipitation

A wet day is one with at least 0.04 inches of liquid or liquid-equivalent precipitation. The chance of wet days in Ontario varies throughout the year. The wetter season lasts 7.4 months, from October 23 to June 6, with a greater than 14% chance of a given day being a wet day. The chance of a wet day peaks at 24% on December 1. Among wet days, there is a distinction between those that experience rain alone, snow alone, or a mixture of the two. Based on this categorization, the most common form of precipitation throughout the year is rain alone, with a peak probability of 19% on November 10. The drier season lasts 4.5 months, from June 6 to October 23. The smallest chance of a wet day is 3% on July 28.⁵

Figure C-2 Daily Chance of Precipitation



The percentage of days in which various types of precipitation are observed, excluding trace quantities: rain alone, snow alone, and mixed (both rain and snow fell in the same day).

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

⁵Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Table C-1 Average Precipitation (Inches) for Five Locations in Malheur County

Month	Ontario	Nyssa	Vale	Owyhee Dam	Danner
January	1.18	1.22	1.05	.92	1.15
February	.8	.92	.82	.76	.79
March	1.01	.99	.99	.89	1.06
April	.8	.89	.84	.96	1.12
May	1.28	1.16	1.17	1.16	1.41
June	.69	.86	.86	.92	.96
July	.30	.25	.38	.43	.32
August	.18	.27	.27	.38	.30
September	.41	.46	.46	.45	.51
October	.63	.69	.65	.64	.67
November	1.10	1.16	1.07	.92	1.14
December	1.68	1.5	1.46	1.25	1.47
Annual	10.06	10.37	10.02	9.68	10.9


Source: NOAA Centers for Environmental Information, Data Tools: 1981-2010 Normals, <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datatools/normals>, accessed March 8, 2019.

Rainfall

To show variation within the months and not just the monthly totals, Figure C-3 shows the rainfall accumulated over a sliding 31-day period centered around each day of the year. Ontario experiences some seasonal variation in monthly rainfall. The rainy period of the year lasts for 8.5 months, from October 4 to June 21, with a sliding 31-day rainfall of at least 0.5 inches. The most rain falls during the 31 days centered around December 6, with an average total accumulation of 1.0 inches. The rainless period of the year lasts for 3.5 months, from June 21 to October 4. The least rain falls around August 1, with an average total accumulation of 0.2 inches.⁶

⁶Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Figure C-3 Average Monthly Rainfall




The average rainfall (solid line) accumulated over the course of a sliding 31-day period centered on the day in question, with 25th to 75th and 10th to 90th percentile bands. The thin dotted line is the corresponding average liquid-equivalent snowfall.

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Snowfall

Snowfall is shown in Figure C-4 in liquid-equivalent terms. The actual depth of new snowfall is typically between 5 and 10 times the liquid-equivalent amount, assuming the ground is frozen. Colder, drier snow tends to be on the higher end of that range and warmer, wetter snow on the lower end. As with rainfall, the snowfall accumulated over a sliding 31-day period centered around each day of the year. Ontario experiences some seasonal variation in monthly liquid-equivalent snowfall. The snowy period of the year lasts for 3.2 months, from November 13 to February 20, with a sliding 31-day liquid-equivalent snowfall of at least 0.1 inches. The most snow falls during the 31 days centered around December 27, with an average total liquid-equivalent accumulation of 0.5 inches. The snowless period of the year lasts for 8.8 months, from February 20 to November 13. The least snow falls around July 11, with an average total liquid-equivalent accumulation of 0.0 inches.⁷

Figure C-4 Average Liquid-Equivalent Monthly Snowfall



The average liquid-equivalent snowfall (solid line) accumulated over the course of a sliding 31-day period centered on the day in question, with 25th to 75th and 10th to 90th percentile bands. The thin dotted line is the corresponding average rainfall.

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

⁷ Mote, Philip W., et. al., *Variability and trends in Mountain Snowpack in Western North America*, <http://cse.washington.edu/db/pdf/moteetalvarandtrends436/pdf>, accessed February 2013, link broken as of March 5, 2019.

Total precipitation in the Pacific Northwest region may remain similar to historic levels but climate projections indicate the likelihood of increased winter precipitation and decreased summer precipitation. Increasing temperatures affects hydrology in the region. Spring snowpack has substantially decreased throughout the Western part of the United States, particularly in areas with milder winter temperatures, such as the Cascade Mountains. In other areas of the West, such as east of the Cascades Mountains, snowfall is affected less by the increasing temperature because it temperatures are already cold and more by precipitation patterns.⁸

Temperature


There is a large temperature range in Malheur County. At the Malheur Branch Experimental Station near Ontario, extreme temperatures vary from a low of -24 degrees to a high of 106 degrees. The table below shows the average annual temperature (elevation approximately 2,260 feet).

Temperatures in the Pacific Northwest region increased in the 20th Century by about 1.5 degrees Fahrenheit. Climate projection models indicate that temperatures could increasingly rise by an average of 0.2 degrees to 1.0 degrees Fahrenheit per decade. Average temperature change is projected to be 3.2 degrees Fahrenheit by 2040 and 5.3 degrees Fahrenheit by 2080. Temperature increases will occur throughout all seasons, with the greatest different in summer months.⁹

The hot season lasts for 3.0 months, from June 11 to September 13, with an average daily high temperature above 81°F. The hottest day of the year is July 26, with an average high of 93°F and low of 62°F. The cold season lasts for 2.9 months, from November 20 to February 16, with an average daily high temperature below 46°F. The coldest day of the year is January 1, with an average low of 22°F and high of 34°F.¹⁰

Figure C-5 Average High and Low Temperature in Ontario, Oregon

Average High and Low Temperature



The daily average high (red line) and low (blue line) temperature, with 25th to 75th and 10th to 90th percentile bands. The thin dotted lines are the corresponding average perceived temperatures.

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.


⁸ Ibid.

⁹ Climate Impacts Group, *Climate Change*, <http://cses.washington.edu/cig/pnwc/cc.shtml#anchor6>, accessed February 2013, re-confirmed March 5, 2019.

¹⁰ Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Figure-6 shows a compact characterization of the hourly average temperatures for the quarter of the year centered on November. The horizontal axis is the day, the vertical axis is the hour of the day, and the color is the average temperature for that hour and day.

Figure C-6 Average Hourly Temperature in Ontario, Oregon



Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Table C-2 Average Temperature (Degrees F) for Five Locations in Malheur County

Month	Ontario	Nyssa	Vale	Owyhee Dam	Danner
January	27.5	28.3	28.4	29.7	27.4
February	33.3	34.1	34.6	34.5	31.5
March	43.4	43.9	44.3	43.1	38.9
April	50.4	51.1	50.6	49.6	44.3
May	59.4	59.3	59.8	57.8	52.3
June	67.9	67.2	67.7	65.7	59.7
July	76.1	75.1	75.9	73.3	67.7
August	73.3	73.1	73.5	71.9	66.1
September	63.0	62.8	63.0	62.7	57.0
October	50.3	50.7	50.1	51.3	45.8
November	37.1	37.8	37.4	38.2	34.8
December	27.8	28.6	28.3	29.3	27.0
Annual	50.9	51.1	51.2	50.7	46.1

Source: NOAA Centers for Environmental Information, Data Tools: 1981-2010 Normals, <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datatools/normals>, accessed March 8, 2019

Table C-3 Min/Max Temperature (Degrees F) for Five Locations in Malheur County


Month	Ontario	Nyssa	Vale	Owyhee Dam	Danner
January	19.6/35.4	20.8/35.8	21.5/35.3	20.4/39.1	17.3/37.4
February	23.2/43.4	23.9/44.3	25.3/43.8	23.2/45.8	19.8/43.1
March	30.4/56.4	31.2/56.6	32.8/55.8	29.6/56.6	26.5/51.3
April	35.9/64.8	36.9/65.3	37.4/63.9	34.6/64.6	29.8/58.7
May	1.28/74.5	45.0/73.7	45.7/73.8	41.6/73.9	1.41/67.0
June	52.2/83.6	52.1/82.4	52.8/82.5	48.1/83.3	43.9/75.5
July	58.4/93.7	58.5/91.8	58.9/93.0	53.4/93.3	48.9/86.6
August	44.8/91.9	55.7/90.5	56.0/90.9	51.8/92.1	46.6/85.5
September	44.8/81.2	45.7/79.8	46.0/80.0	44.1/81.3	38.2/75.8
October	34.3/66.2	35.4/65.9	36.1/64.0	35.1/67.5	28.9/62.6
November	1.10/48.0	27.4/48.2	28.2/46.7	26.3/50.1	23.0/46.7
December	1.68/36.0	20.8/36.4	20.8/35.7	19.5/39.2	17.0/37.1
Annual	37.1/64.7	37.8/64.3	38.5/63.9	35.7/65.7	31.5/60.7

Source: NOAA Centers for Environmental Information, Data Tools: 1981-2010 Normals, <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datatools/normals>, accessed March 8, 2019

Sun

The length of the day in Ontario varies significantly during the year. In 2019, the shortest day is December 21, with 8 hours, 53 minutes of daylight; the longest day is June 21, with 15 hours, 29 minutes of daylight.¹¹

Figure C-7 Hours of Daylight and Twilight in Ontario, Oregon



The number of hours during which the Sun is visible (black line). From bottom (most yellow) to top (most gray), the color bands indicate: full daylight, twilight (civil, nautical, and astronomical), and full night.

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.


¹¹ Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

The earliest sunrise is at 6:04 AM on June 15, and the latest sunrise is 2 hours, 21 minutes later at 8:25 AM on November 2. The earliest sunset is at 5:09 PM on December 10, and the latest sunset is 4 hours, 25 minutes later at 9:34 PM on June 26.¹²

Daylight saving time (DST) is observed in Ontario during 2019, starting in the spring on March 10, lasting 7.8 months, and ending in the fall on November 3.¹³

Figure C-8 Sunrise and Sunset with Twilight and Daylight Savings Time in November

Sunrise & Sunset with Twilight and Daylight Saving Time in November



The solar day over the course of November. From bottom to top, the black lines are the previous solar midnight, sunrise, solar noon, sunset, and the next solar midnight. The day, twilights (civil, nautical, and astronomical), and night are indicated by the color bands from yellow to gray. The transitions to and from daylight saving time are indicated by the 'DST' labels.

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Humidity

The humidity comfort level is based on the dew point, as it determines whether perspiration will evaporate from the skin, thereby cooling the body. Lower dew points feel drier and higher dew points feel more humid. Unlike temperature, which typically varies significantly between night and day, dew point tends to change more slowly, so while the temperature may drop at night, a muggy day is typically followed by a muggy night.¹⁴

The chance that a given day will be muggy in Ontario is essentially constant during November, remaining around 0% throughout. For reference, on August 3, the muggiest day of the year, there are muggy conditions 0% of the time, while on September 23, the least muggy day of the year, there are muggy conditions 0% of the time.¹⁵


¹² Ibid.

¹³ Ibid.

¹⁴ Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

¹⁵ Ibid.

Figure C-9 Humidity Comfort Levels in November




The percentage of time spent at various humidity comfort levels, categorized by dew point.

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Wind

This section discusses the wide-area hourly average wind vector (speed and direction) at 10 meters above the ground. The wind experienced at any given location is highly dependent on local topography and other factors, and instantaneous wind speed and direction vary more widely than hourly averages. The average hourly wind speed in Ontario is essentially constant during November, remaining within 0.2 miles per hour of 5.9 miles per hour throughout. For reference, on April 11, the windiest day of the year, the daily average wind speed is 7.3 miles per hour, while on January 10, the calmest day of the year, the daily average wind speed is 5.6 miles per hour.¹⁶

Figure C-10 Average Wind Speed in November




The average of mean hourly wind speeds (dark gray line), with 25th to 75th and 10th to 90th percentile bands.

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

¹⁶ Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

The wind direction in Ontario during November is predominantly out of the north from November 1 to November 3 and the east from November 3 to November 30.¹⁷

Figure C-11 Wind Direction in November



The percentage of hours in which the mean wind direction is from each of the four cardinal wind directions, excluding hours in which the mean wind speed is less than 1.0 mph. The lightly tinted areas at the boundaries are the percentage of hours spent in the implied intermediate directions (northeast, southeast, southwest, and northwest).

Source: Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Hazard Severity

As described in the introduction to the Hazard Annexes (HA), the state climate change information in the 2015 *Oregon NHMP* indicates that hazards projected to be impacted by climate change in Malheur County include drought and wildfire. Climate models project warmer drier summers and a decline in mean summer precipitation for Oregon. Winter storms and wind storms also affect Malheur County. There is an increasing amount of research on how climate change influences these hazards and others in the Pacific Northwest.

As part of the PDM 16 grants, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) contracted with the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) to provide an analysis of climate change influences on natural hazards. The details of this information are provided in Appendix F Future Climate Projections Reports: *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

Table HA-2 provides an overview of expected climate change impacts for Malheur County. The table shows the direction of change (increasing, decreasing, unchanging) and indicates the level of confidence in direction of change (high, medium, low).

According to the OCCRI reports:

- There is high confidence that heat waves will increase and that cold waves will decrease.
- There is medium confidence that heavy rains, river flooding, wildfire, droughts, prevalence of invasive species, and loss of wetland ecosystems will increase.

¹⁷ Weather Spark, *Average Weather in Ontario, Oregon*, <https://weatherspark.com/y/2166/Average-Weather-in-Ontario-Oregon-United-States-Year-Round#Sections-Precipitation>, accessed March 5, 2019.

Of note, the climate metrics used by OCCRI do not exactly match the natural hazards identified by Malheur County.

Land Use

The majority of Malheur County has a low population density. Over half of the population resides in the five incorporated cities. Four of those incorporated cities are located in the northeastern corner of the County and all five are within 30 miles from the Idaho border. The majority of land within Malheur County is rangeland (94%). The Bureau of Land Management owns 72.8% of the land in Malheur County as shown in Table C-4.¹⁸ Other land uses are irrigated agriculture and mining activity. The Western Treasure Valley, located in the northeastern corner of the County, is a center for intensive and diverse farming on irrigated fields.¹⁹

Drought is a significant risk in the region due to limited annual rainfall and threatens the economic reliance on agriculture activity. Therefore, the County relies on the functionality of irrigation systems. Wildfires pose a threat for the many rangeland areas as they are part of the high desert Western ecosystem and pass quickly through grasslands. As described in Volume I Section 2 Risk Assessment, for the eleven identified natural hazards impacting Malheur County and the Cities, drought had the highest risk score in the Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA), with winter storms second, wind storms third, floods fourth, and wildfire was fifth. See Table 2-5 for details.

Table C-4 Land Ownership in Malheur County

BLM Socioeconomic Profile		
Malheur County, OR		
Land Ownership		
Land Ownership, Acres	Malheur County, OR	United States
Total Area	6,354,985	2,301,106,907
Private Lands	1,408,432	1,383,075,581
Federal Lands	4,642,777	649,455,740
BLM	4,626,851	242,951,818
Forest Service	3,803	192,507,338
Other Federal	12,123	213,996,584
Tribal Lands	18,219	66,666,114
State, City, County, Other	285,556	201,909,462
Percent of Total		
Private Lands	22.2%	60.1%
Federal Lands	73.1%	28.2%
BLM	72.8%	10.6%
Forest Service	0.1%	8.4%
Other Federal	0.2%	9.3%
Tribal Lands	0.3%	2.9%
State, City, County, Other	4.5%	8.8%

Source: BLM Socioeconomic Profile for Malheur County, accessed March 11, 2019, <https://headwaterseconomics.org/tools/blm-profiles/>

Synthesis

The physical geography, weather, climate, land use, and land cover of an area represent various interrelated systems that affect overall risk and exposure to natural hazards. The projected climate

¹⁸ The Oregon Climate Service, George Taylor, State Climatologist, *1971-2000 Climate of Malheur County*, http://www.ocs.orst.edu/county_climate/Malheur_files/Malheur.html#table1, link broken, March 6, 2019.

¹⁹ About Malheur County, <http://www.malheurco.org/about>, accessed March 2013., link broken, March 6, 2019.

change models for Eastern Oregon indicate the potential for increased impacts of hazards. These factors combined with population growth and development intensification can lead to increasing risk levels of hazards which may have impacts including the loss of life, property, and environment.

Socio Demographic Capacity

Socio demographic capacity is a significant indicator of community resilience. The characteristics of the community population such as language, race and ethnicity, age, income, educational attainment, and health are significant factors that can influence the community's ability to cope, adapt to, and recover from natural disasters. Vulnerabilities can be reduced with outreach and community planning.


Figure C-12 Malheur County at a Glance

Malheur County

County, or equivalent in: Oregon

At a Glance

Covering 9,885 square miles, Malheur County is the 2nd largest county in Oregon by area. Malheur County is bordered by Harney County, Grant County, Baker County, and Washington County in Oregon




30,421
POPULATION


\$37,112
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


25.2%
POVERTY RATE


45.9%
EMPLOYMENT RATE


13.2%
BACHELOR'S DEGREE OR HIGHER

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County At a Glance, <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>, accessed March 6, 2019

Population

In Malheur County the population in unincorporated areas (12,843) is less than the number of people (18,168) that reside in the five cities in Table C-5.²⁰ Table C-5 shows that population growth between 2000 and 2019 occurred in the Cities of Adrian and Nyssa while population decline occurred in the Cities of Ontario, Jordan Valley, and Vale. The population of unincorporated areas decreased between 2000 and 2019.

The State of Oregon Employment Department states that from 2010-2015 the population of Malheur County grew 2.2%; all of its population growth resulted from natural increase, as net migration was negative.²¹ Malheur County's total population is forecast to grow by a little more than 400 persons (1.3 percent) from 2016 to 2066, which translates into a total countywide population of

²⁰ Portland State University, Population Research Center, *Oregon Population Forecast Program Regional Forecast Meeting October 24, 2018*, <https://www.pdx.edu/prc/current-documents-and-presentations>, accessed March 6, 2019.

²¹ Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>

31,994 in 2066. Population growth is forecast to be modest, becoming increasingly so as time progresses through the forecast period.²² Table C-4 shows the historic and forecasted populations of Malheur County in the incorporated and unincorporated areas according to Portland State University's Population Research Center. The population sizes are different for the two sources.

Table C-4 Historic and Forecast Population Growth for Malheur County Communities


Historical and Forecast Populations for Malheur County and its Sub-Areas									
	Historical			Forecast					
	2000	2010	AAGR (2000-2010)	2019	2044	2069	AAGR (2010-2019)	AAGR (2019-2044)	AAGR (2044-2069)
Malheur County	31,615	31,313	-0.1%	31,011	30,356	29,392	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%
Adrian	147	177	1.9%	179	184	186	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan Valley	239	181	-2.7%	160	145	129	-1.3%	-0.4%	-0.5%
Nyssa	3,380	3,455	0.2%	3,463	3,523	3,555	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Ontario	12,267	12,296	0.0%	12,207	12,256	12,149	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Vale	2,206	2,141	-0.3%	2,159	2,199	2,208	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Outside UGBs	13,376	13,063	-0.2%	12,843	12,049	11,165	-0.2%	-0.3%	-0.3%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Censuses; Forecast by Population Research Center (PRC).

Note: For simplicity each UGB is referred to by its primary city's name.

Source: Portland State University, Population Research Center, *Oregon Population Forecast Program Regional Forecast Meeting March 11, 2019*, https://www.pdx.edu/prc/sites/www.pdx.edu/prc/files/R2_Malheur_032019.pdf, accessed March 6, 2019.

Figure C-13 Malheur County Population Trends 2000-2017 by One-Year Intervals




Source: Population Research Center Annual Estimates 2000-2017. Calculated by Population Research Center (PRC).

Source: Portland State University, Population Research Center, *Oregon Population Forecast Program Regional Forecast Meeting October 24, 2018*, <https://www.pdx.edu/prc/current-documents-and-presentations>, accessed March 6, 2019.

²² Portland State University, Population Research Center, Coordinated Population Forecast for Malheur County, its Urban Growth Boundaries (UGB), and Outside UGBs 2016-2066, https://www.pdx.edu/prc/sites/www.pdx.edu/prc/files/Malheur_Draft_Report_201603.pdf

Figure C-14 shows the trend of population growth in Malheur County between 2019 and 2069. The graph shows that in more recent years, the population is increasing, albeit in small yearly amounts.

Figure C-14 Malheur County Population Trends 2019-2069 by Five-Year Intervals



Source: Portland State University, Population Research Center, *Oregon Population Forecast Program Regional Forecast Meeting March 11, 2019*, https://www.pdx.edu/prc/sites/www.pdx.edu/prc/files/R2_Malheur_032019.pdf, accessed March 13, 2019.

Urban and rural growth patterns can impact how agencies, cities and counties prepare for natural disasters and emergencies, because changes in development can increase risk associated with hazards. According to *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, Oregon’s rural communities are growing but at a slower pace than in urban areas. Rural counties added 14,500 new residents between 2010 and 2015, a growth rate of 2.2%. Urban counties expanded by 5.3 % over the same period. In the *Employment Landscape*, there are 23 rural counties out of 36 counties in Oregon; urban counties are defined as part of a “metropolitan statistical area.”²³

Essentially, new residents account for all of the population growth in rural Oregon between 2010 and 2015. In total from 2010 to 2015, Oregon’s rural counties had a combined natural increase of 10 residents. Natural increase is births minus deaths and net migration is in-migrants minus out-migrants. Births across rural Oregon were nearly evenly matched by deaths. In urban counties, natural increase accounted for 38% of population gains between 2010 and 2015. Overall, once the

²³ Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>


natural population change and net migration have been taken into account, three Oregon counties lost population between 2010 and 2015; all of them rural: Harney, Grant, and Coos Counties.²⁴

Population size itself is not an indicator of vulnerability. More important is the location, composition, and capacity of the population within the community. Research by social scientists demonstrates that human capital indices such as language, race, age, income, education and health can affect the resilience of a community; these may impact community resilience to natural hazards.

Language

When disseminating hazard planning and mitigation resources to the general public, consideration should be given to populations who do not speak English as their primary language. Materials should be translated to other languages and culturally appropriate outreach techniques should be used.²⁵ The three primary languages spoken in Malheur County are English (75.2%), Spanish (23.2%), and Asian and Pacific Islander (1%).

Figure C-15 Malheur County Languages



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County People and Population, <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>, accessed March 6, 2019

Race

The impact in terms of loss and the ability to recover may also vary among minority population groups following a disaster. Studies have shown that racial and ethnic minorities can be more vulnerable to natural disaster events. Historic patterns of inequality along racial or ethnic divides have often resulted in minority communities that are more likely to have inferior building stock, degraded infrastructure, or less access to public services. Table C-6 shows that the Hispanic or Latino population of Malheur County has increased from 2000 to 2010. But they remain a minority population within the total population. Figure C-16 provides an illustration of race categories in Malheur County.

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Region 4 Southwest Oregon Regional Profile, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

Table C-6 Malheur County Race Categories 2000-2010


Malheur County—Hispanic or Latino and Race (2000 and 2010)

Hispanic or Latino and Race	2000		2010		Absolute Change	Relative Change
<i>Total population</i>	31,615	100.0%	31,313	100.0%	-302	-1.0%
Hispanic or Latino	8,099	25.6%	9,867	31.5%	1,768	21.8%
Not Hispanic or Latino	23,516	74.4%	21,446	68.5%	-2,070	-8.8%
White alone	21,752	68.8%	19,906	63.6%	-1,846	-8.5%
Black or African American alone	369	1.2%	331	1.1%	-38	-10.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	273	0.9%	235	0.8%	-38	-13.9%
Asian alone	608	1.9%	511	1.6%	-97	-16.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	18	0.1%	12	0.0%	-6	-33.3%
Some Other Race alone	37	0.1%	21	0.1%	-16	-43.2%
Two or More Races	459	1.5%	430	1.4%	-29	-6.3%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Censuses.

Source: Portland State University, Population Research Center, *Oregon Population Forecast Program Regional Forecast Meeting October 24, 2018*, <https://www.pdx.edu/prc/current-documents-and-presentations>, accessed March 6, 2019.

Figure C-16 Malheur County Race Categories 2017



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County Race and Ethnicity, <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>, accessed March 6, 2019

The majority of the population in Malheur County is racially white (87.1%). However, as noted above in the languages section, it is important for the County and Cities to identify specific ways to support all portions of the community through culturally appropriate and effective outreach.

The cities within Malheur County differ from county demographics with regard to race and Hispanic origin. Notably, the Cities of Nyssa, Ontario, and Vale have higher percentages of population that are Hispanic than the other cities of Adrian and Jordan Valley.²⁶

Age

Of the factors influencing socio demographic capacity, the most significant indicator in Malheur County may be age of the population.

In Table C-7 there are several age dependency ratios identified for the County and the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale.

The dependency ratio is an age-population ratio of those typically not in the labor force (the *dependent* part ages 0 to 14 and 65+) and those typically in the labor force (the *productive* part ages 15 to 64). It is used to measure the pressure on the productive population. In published statistics, the dependent part usually includes those under the age of 15 and over the age of 64. The productive part makes up the population in between, ages 15 – 64. It is normally expressed as a percentage. The (total) dependency ratio can be decomposed into the child dependency ratio and the aged dependency ratio. ²⁷

Figure C-17 Age Dependency Formulas

$$\text{(Total) Dependency ratio} = \frac{(\text{number of people aged 0 to 14}) + (\text{number of people aged 65 and over})}{\text{number of people aged 15 to 64}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Child dependency ratio} = \frac{\text{number of people aged 0 to 14}}{\text{number of people aged 15 to 64}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Aged dependency ratio} = \frac{\text{number of people aged 65 and over}}{\text{number of people aged 15 to 64}} \times 100^{[3]}$$

Source: Wikipedia, *Age Dependency Ratio*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dependency_ratio

As the ratio increases there may be an increased burden on the productive part of the population to maintain the upbringing and pensions of the economically dependent. This results in direct impacts on financial expenditures on things like social security, as well as many indirect consequences. For establishing natural hazard mitigation actions, increasing community resilience, furthering emergency preparedness, and doing recovery planning, it is important to notice the number of people and their location in these categories.

Table C-7 Population Age Ratios 2017

Jurisdiction	Malheur County	Ontario	Nyssa	Vale
Median Age	36.1	32.5	26.7	32.2
Sex Ratio (males per 100 females)	118.7	86.1	97.0	108.0
Age Dependency Ratio	70.6	82.2	96.9	78.6
Old Age Dependency Ratio	27.3	31.3	20.1	23.3
Child Dependency Ratio	43.4	51.0	76.8	55.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder, Table S0101, Age and Sex, 2013-2017, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml


²⁷ Wikipedia, *Age Dependency Ratio*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dependency_ratio

The age profile of an area has an impact on what actions are prioritized for mitigation and how response to hazard incidents is carried out. A larger youth population in an area will increase the importance of outreach to schools and parents on effective ways to teach children about fire safety, earthquake response, evacuation plans, and other hazard related information. Typically, children and older populations are more vulnerable to the heat and cold, have few transportation options, and require assistance to access medical facilities.²⁸ Older populations may require assistance in evacuation due to limited mobility or health issues. They may require special medical equipment or medications, and can lack the social and economic resources needed for post-disaster recovery.²⁹

Other important considerations for vulnerable populations are the number of people over the age of 64 living alone and single parent households with children under 18.

Figure C-18 and Figure C-19 are two illustrations of population in Malheur County; C-18 shows the median age and the distribution of ages in three categories based on 2017 statistics and C-19 shows the population distribution in multiple 5-year increments in the years 2019, 2030, and 2044.

Figure C-18 Population by Three Age Range Categories




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County People and Population, <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>, accessed March 6, 2019

²⁸ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Region 4 Southwest Oregon Regional Profile, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

²⁹ Wood, Nathan. *Variations in City Exposure and Sensitivity to Tsunami Hazards in Oregon*. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA, 2007, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2007/5283/>

Figure C-19 Population by Distribution of Age in 5 Year Increments



Source: Forecast by Population Research Center (PRC)

Source: Portland State University, Population Research Center, *Oregon Population Forecast Program Regional Forecast Meeting March 11, 2019*, https://www.pdx.edu/prc/sites/www.pdx.edu/prc/files/R2_Malheur_032019.pdf, accessed March 13, 2019.

Income

Household income and poverty status are indicators of socio demographic capacity and the stability of the local economy. Household income can be used to compare economic areas as a whole, but does not reflect how the income is divided among the area residents.³⁰ Table C-8 shows both the median and mean income for Malheur County and the Cities. The median income is the middle number or value between the low and the high values, and the mean is the average of all the values.

Table C-8 Median and Mean Amount of Household Income in Malheur County

Jurisdiction	Median Amount	Mean Amount
Malheur County	\$46,571	\$58,310
Ontario	\$36,178	\$51,355
Nyssa	\$41,423	\$47,482
Vale	\$37,448	\$49,533

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder, Table DP03, Selected Economic Characteristics, 2013-2017, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml

³⁰ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Region 4 Southwest Oregon Regional Profile, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

Rural counties tend to have a lower per capita personal income (PCPI) than urban counties. The per capita income is the total personal income in an area divided by the population. Wages and salaries are typically the largest source of personal income. Area with large youth populations or large retirement populations have lower per capita income because a larger share of their population isn't working and earning income.³¹


Table C-9 C-20 Per Capita Personal Income in Metro and Non-Metro Areas in Oregon and the U.S. 2015

Per Capita Personal Income in Metro and Nonmetro Areas in Oregon and the U.S., 2015			
	Total	Metro	Nonmetro
Per capita personal income			
United States	\$48,112	\$49,827	\$37,866
Oregon	\$43,783	\$45,040	\$37,332
Per capita net earnings			
United States	\$30,729	\$32,260	\$21,584
Oregon	\$26,467	\$27,911	\$19,058
Per capita transfer receipts			
United States	\$8,334	\$8,118	\$9,624
Oregon	\$8,861	\$8,406	\$11,196
Per capita dividends, interest, and rent			
United States	\$9,049	\$9,449	\$6,658
Oregon	\$8,455	\$8,723	\$7,078

Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis

Source: Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>

Figure C-21 Median Household Income in Malheur County in 2017




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County Income and Poverty, accessed March 6, 2019 <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>

³¹ Source: Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>

Figure C-22 provide a visual showing 36.8% of people under 18 are in poverty in Malheur County while there are 20.3% in the United States. Table C-10 provides another view of poverty level statistics and the percent of total is lower than the one show in C-22; however, it is still higher than the rest of the U.S. The information includes the number of individuals and families living in poverty as reported by the Census' American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates. The 2017 estimate is based on data collected between 2013 and 2017.

Figure C-22 Individuals Under 18 below Poverty Level in Malheur County



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County Income and Poverty, accessed March 6, 2019 <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>

Table C-10 Poverty Statistics in Malheur County

BLM Socioeconomic Profile		
Malheur County, OR		
Poverty (Identifying Environment Justice Populations)		
Poverty, 2017*	Malheur County, OR	United States
People	26,832	313,048,563
Families	6,976	78,298,703
People Below Poverty	6,761	45,650,345
Families below poverty	1,315	8,253,388
Percent of Total		
People Below Poverty	25.2%	14.6%
Families below poverty	18.9%	10.5%
High Reliability: Data with coefficients of variation (CVs) < 12% are in black to indicate that the sampling error is relatively small. Medium Reliability: Data with CVs between 12 & 40% are in orange to indicate that the values should be interpreted with caution. Low Reliability: Data with CVs > 40% are displayed in red to indicate that the estimate is considered very unreliable.		

Source: BLM Socioeconomic Profile for Malheur County, accessed March 11, 2019, <https://headwaterseconomics.org/tools/blm-profiles/>

Income is a resiliency indicator, as higher incomes are often associated with increased self-reliance, and ability to prepare oneself if an emergency does occur. The higher the poverty rate, the increased assistance the community will likely need in the event of a disaster, in the form of sheltering, medical assistance and transportation. Notably, higher income populations often have

less mobility following significant hazard events because their assets may be rooted in the local community. Conversely, lower income members of the population may find it easier to relocate.

Education

Educational attainment of community residents is identified as an influencing factor in socio-demographic capacity. Educational attainment often reflects higher income and therefore higher self-reliance. Widespread educational attainment is also beneficial for the regional economy and employment sectors as there are potential employees for professional, service and manual labor workforces. An oversaturation of either highly educated residents or low educational attainment can have negative effects on the resiliency of the community.


Table C-11 Educational Attainment in Malheur County and Cities 2017

	Malheur County	Ontario	Nyssa	Vale
Total Population >25 Years	19,716	6,425	1661	1323
Less than 9 th Grade	1,156	619	293	97
9 th -12 th Grade, No Diploma	2,163	745	166	132
High School Graduate, GED, or Equivalent	6,043	1,881	506	372
Some College, No Degree	5,667	1,769	414	379
Associate's Degree	1,686	526	147	120
Bachelor's Degree	1,796	556	85	183
Graduate or Professional Degree	805	329	50	40
Percent High School Graduate or Higher	81.1%	78.8%	72.4%	82.7%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder, Table 1501, Educational Attainment, 2013-2017, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml

As shown in Table C-11 and Figure C-23, according to the U.S. Census, 81.1% of the Malheur County population over 25 years of age has graduated from high school or received a high school equivalency.

Figure C-23 Educational Attainment in Malheur County in 2017



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County


Education, <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>, accessed March 6, 2019

Health

Individual and community health play an integral role in community resiliency, as indicators such as health insurance, people with disabilities, dependencies, homelessness and crime rate paint an overall picture of a community's well-being. These factors translate to a community's ability to prepare, respond and cope with the impacts of a disaster.

In Figure C-24, that the percentage of population in Malheur County without health insurance in 2017 is 12.4%. For planning purposes, this should be taken into consideration with the high dependency ratio in Malheur County shown in Table C-7, and the lower median income shown in Table C-8. Malheur County may need to provide services to the dependent population if their families do not have insurance, or cannot afford to care for them following a natural disaster.

Figure C-24 Health Insurance Coverage in 2017




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County

Health, <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>, accessed March 6, 2019

Figure C-25 describes disability status of the population. As of 2017, 16.6% of the County's population identifies with one or more disabilities; this rate is above the national rate. Table C-12 illustrates the utilization of mental health and substance abuse services in Malheur County.

Figure C-25 Types of Disabilities in Malheur County



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County

Health, <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>, accessed March 6, 2019

In 2017, Oregon Housing and Community Services (OHCS) conducted a homeless count to identify the number of homeless, their age and their family type. The OHCS study found 151 individuals in Malheur County identify as homeless; 46 are under 18 years old, 30 are between 18-24 years old, and 75 are over 24 years old.³² The homeless have little resources to rely on, especially during an emergency. It will likely be the responsibility of the County and local non-profit entities to provide services such as shelter, food and medical assistance. Therefore, it is critical to foster collaborative relationships with agencies that will provide additional relief such as the American Red Cross and homeless shelters. As well, it will be important to identify how to communicate with these populations, since traditional means of communication may not be appropriate or available.

³² Oregon Housing and Community Services, *2017 Point in Time Homeless Count*, https://public.tableau.com/profile/oregon.housing.and.community.services#!/vizhome/InformationDashboardPITCount_1/Point-in-TimeCount

Table C-12 Behavior Health Profile**Malheur County Behavioral Health Profile, 2015**

Population Statistics	County	Oregon
Population	31,470	3,962,710
Growth Rate	-1.3%	4.1%
Poverty Rate (all ages)	28.4%	16.7%
Poverty Rate (ages 5 to 17)	36.7%	20.1%
Unemployment Rate	6.5%	5.7%
Percent on Medicaid	44.2%	31.8%
Identified Mental Health (MH) or Substance Use (SU) Conditions, Medicaid Population		
Children under 12 with MH Condition	23.0 %	27.7 %
Youth (12 to 17) with MH Condition	27.5%	33.9%
Youth (12 to 17) Identified SU Condition	6.6 %	7.5 %
Young Adults (18 to 25) with Mild to Moderate MH Condition	20.0%	26.7%
Young Adults (18 to 25) with Serious MH Condition	7.1%	8.3%
Young Adults (18 to 25) with SU Condition	20.2 %	20.2 %
Adults (26 and older) with Mild to Moderate MH Condition	20.7%	27.6%
Adults (26 and older) with Serious MH Condition	13.1%	14.0%
Adults (26 and older) with SU Condition	5.8%	7.6%
Count of Persons Admitted to Oregon State Hospital		
Civil Commitments	1	470
Aid & Assist	4	674
Guilty Except for Insanity	2	299
Per Capita Public Funding		
OHP Funding	\$151.62	\$140.91
Other Medicaid Funding	\$90.00	\$53.97
State and Local Investments	\$105.15	\$55.00
Total Per Capita Public Behavioral Health Funding	\$346.77	\$249.88
CCO Plan(s)		
Eastern Oregon Coordinated Care Org.		

Source: Oregon Health Authority, Malheur County Behavioral Health Profile, 2015, <https://www.oregon.gov/oha/HSD/AMH/BH%20Mapping%20Profiles/Malheur%20County%20BH%20Profile.pdf>

Synthesis

It is essential Malheur County consider both immediate and long-term socio-demographic implications of hazard resilience. Immediate concerns regard the growing elderly population and language barriers associated with a culturally diverse community. The current status of other socio-demographic capacity indicators such as low graduation rate and poverty level higher and median household income lower than the rest of Oregon can have long-term impacts on the economy and stability of the community ultimately affecting future resilience.

Regional Economic Capacity

Regional economic capacity refers to the financial resources present and revenue generated in the community to achieve a higher quality of life. Income equality, housing affordability, economic

diversification, employment and industry are measures of economic capacity. Economic resilience to natural disasters is far more complex than restoring employment or income in the local community. Building a resilient economy requires an understanding of how the components of employment sectors, workforce, resources, and infrastructure are interconnected in the existing economic picture. Once inherent strengths or systematic vulnerabilities become apparent, the public and private sectors can take action to increase the resilience of the local economy.

Regional Affordability

The evaluation of regional affordability supplements the identification of socio-demographic capacity indicators, i.e. median income, and is an analysis tool to understanding the economic status of a community. If the community reflects high-income inequality or housing cost burden, the potential for home owners and renters to implement mitigation can be reduced.

Income Equality

Income equality is a measure of the distribution of economic resources, as measured by income, across a population. It is a statistic defining the degree to which all persons have a similar income.

The Gini Index is a summary measure of income inequality. The Gini coefficient incorporates the detailed shares data into a single statistic, which summarizes the dispersion of income across the entire income distribution. The Gini coefficient ranges from 0, indicating perfect equality (where everyone receives an equal share), to 1, perfect inequality (where only one recipient or group of recipients receives all the income). The Gini is based on the difference between the Lorenz curve (the observed cumulative income distribution) and the notion of a perfectly equal income distribution.³³

Based on social science research, a region's cohesive response to a hazard event may be affected by the distribution of wealth in communities that have less income equality.³⁴

According to one report, Malheur County ranks 10th out of the top 25 counties in Oregon on the Gini Index.³⁵ An Oregon State University and The Oregon Community Foundation report from 2015 states that compared to all other states, Oregon has average levels of income inequality. Nationally, Oregon ranks 22nd among the 50 states and Washington D.C., where ranking 1st means having the lowest inequality and ranking 51st means having the highest inequality. Oregon's level of inequality is slightly below the national average. It also describes that Malheur County's income inequality is moderate and the change in inequality is worsening.³⁶

³³ U.S. Census Bureau, *Income Inequality, The Gini Index*, <https://www.census.gov/topics/income-poverty/income-inequality/about/metrics/gini-index.html>

³⁴ Susan Cutter, Christopher G. Burton, and Christopher T. Emrich. 2010, *Disaster Resilience Indicators for Benchmarking Baseline Conditions*, Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management 7, no.1: 1-22, http://resiliencesystem.com/sites/default/files/Cutter_jhsem.2010.7.1.1732.pdf

³⁵ Town Charts, *Top 25 Oregon Counties Ranked by the Gini Index*, <http://www.towncharts.com/Oregon/Top-25-Counties-in-Oregon-ranked-by-The-Gini-Index.html>.

³⁶ Oregon State University and The Oregon Community Foundation, *TOP: Tracking Oregon's Progress: A Focus on Income Inequality*, https://www.oregoncf.org/Templates/media/files/reports/top_indicators_2015.pdf.

Table C-13 Regional Income Equality

Jurisdiction	Income Inequality Coefficient
Malheur County	
Ontario	
Nyssa	
Vale	

Source: From 2014 Malheur NHMP, U.S. Census Bureau, 2007-2011 American Community Survey, Table B19083 "Gini Index of Income Inequality", still searching for more current info to fill into this table

Housing Affordability

Housing affordability is a measure of economic security gauged by the percentage of a metropolitan area's households paying less than 35% of their income on housing.³⁷ Households spending more than 35% are considered housing cost burdened.

Table C-14 shows the percentage of households in Malheur County, Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale that are paying more than 35% of their income on housing. Among homeowners without a mortgage, Ontario has the greatest rate of households with housing cost burdens. Among homeowners with a mortgage, Ontario has the highest rates of housing cost burden. Among renters, Vale residents have the greatest rates of households with housing cost burdens.

In general, the population that spends more of their income on housing has proportionally fewer resources and less flexibility for alternative investments and expenditures in times of crisis.³⁸ The high percentage of homeowners and renters paying more than 35% of their income on housing poses challenges for a community recovering from a disaster as housing costs may exceed the ability of local residents to repair or move to a new location. These populations may live paycheck to paycheck and are extremely dependent on their employer. In the event their employer is also detrimentally impacted it will further the hardship experienced by these individuals and families.

³⁷ MacArthur Foundation, Research Network on Building Resilient Regions, <https://www.macfound.org/networks/research-network-on-building-resilient-regions/>

³⁸ Ibid.

Table C-14 Households Spending > 35% of Income on Housing

Jurisdiction	Owners		Renters
	With Mortgage	Without Mortgage	
Malheur County	27.9%	8.6%	44.2%
Ontario	21.8%	12%	44.7%
Nyssa	17.6%	8.9%	44.9%
Vale	16.6%	11.7%	46.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder, Table DP04, Selected Housing Characteristics, 2013-2017, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml

Economic Overview and Diversity

Economic diversity is a general indicator of an area's fitness for weathering difficult financial times, but it is not a guarantor of economic vitality or resilience.³⁹

Anticipated job growth in rural areas of Oregon, according to employment projections covering the 2014 to 2024 period, is muted compared with anticipated growth in metro areas. Between 2014 and 2024 statewide growth is anticipated to be about 14 percent. In the eight-county Eastern Oregon region, growth is pegged at 6 percent – less than half the statewide rate.⁴⁰

One of the slowest growing areas of the state is Southeast Oregon (Harney and Malheur Counties); projections show job growth of 4 percent by 2024, with 600 openings anticipated due to growth and another 3,800 job openings anticipated due to replacement needs. Government, education and health services, and retail trade are the top sources of projected replacement openings – each industry will need to replace about 700 workers by 2024. In addition, high-wage and high-demand occupations with the most total openings projected between 2014 and 2024 include occupations in corrections and protective service, health care, transportation, and agriculture.⁴¹

No matter what the size of the local economy, a certain level of demand for workers exists. Approaching opportunity through the lens of high-wage and high-demand jobs or the level of replacement openings in an area illustrates how varied job opportunities are in rural Oregon.⁴²

More than 40 percent of rural Oregon employment is concentrated in natural resources, leisure, and hospitality (tourism), and government. Together those three sectors make up around 27 percent of the employment in urban Oregon. Manufacturing employment in Oregon has decreased 8 percent between 1990 and 2016, and it has shifted with more happening in the Portland metro area and less in the rural counties. In addition, rural Oregon's historic reliance on resource extraction has shifted as timber harvest levels have declined.⁴³

³⁹ Business Oregon, *Distressed Areas in Oregon*, <https://www.oregon4biz.com/Publications/Distressed-List/>

⁴⁰ Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>

⁴¹ Ibid.


⁴² Ibid.

⁴³ Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>

The Distressed Counties List is used to highlight Oregon communities that may need additional support. The distressed designation may provide a community with an advantage if it applies for funds from state and federal sources. Business Oregon gives priority when funding technical assistance, programs and projects to geographic areas determined to be economically distressed as prescribed by Oregon law. On the Malheur County is listed as a distressed area.⁴⁴


Figures C-26 and C-27 show the number of employer establishments and the number of firms in Malheur County.

Figure C-26 Total Number of Employer Establishments in Malheur County



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County Business and Economy, accessed March 6, 2019 <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>

Figure C-27 Total Number of Firms in Malheur County



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Malheur County Business and Economy, accessed March 6, 2019 <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/geoprofile?q=Malheur%20County,%20Oregon&g=0500000US41045&ps=>

⁴⁴ Business Oregon, *Distressed Areas in Oregon*, <https://www.oregon4biz.com/Publications/Distressed-List/>

Employment and Wages

According to the Oregon Employment Department, unemployment has reduced since the high in 2009. Table C-15 shows Malheur County's unemployment rate from 2006 to 2017. Table C-17 shows several socioeconomic factors for Malheur County as of 2017, including the unemployment rate which was 4.7%.

Table C-15 Malheur County Unemployment Rate 2006-2017

Year	% Rate
2006	6.3
2007	5.6
2008	7.5
2009	10.9
2010	9.7
2011	9.4
2012	9.4
2013	8.5
2014	8.0
2015	6.5
2016	5.6
2017	4.7

Source: Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0> and BLM Socioeconomic Profile for Malheur County, <https://headwaterseconomics.org/tools/blm-profiles/>, accessed March 11, 2019.

Table C-9 showed the per capita personal income (PCPI) for metro and non-metro (urban and rural) areas in Oregon and compared to the U.S. Table C-16 shows the components of PCPI for Malheur County in 2015.

Table C-16 PCPI and Components of PCPI in Malheur County in 2015

Per Capita Personal Income	Per Capita Net Earnings	Per Capita Personal Current Transfer Receipts	Per Capita Dividends, Interest, and Rent
\$30,255	\$14,511	\$10,423	\$5,321

Source: Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>

Table C-17 Socioeconomic Indicators for Malheur County

BLM Socioeconomic Profile		
Malheur County, OR		
Other Socioeconomic Indicators		
	Malheur County, OR	United States
Unemployment		
Avg. Annual Unemployment Rate, 2017	4.7%	4.4%
Median Age		
Median Age, 2010*	36.0	36.9
Median Age, 2017*	36.1	37.8
Housing		
Total Housing Units, 2017*	11,806	135,393,564
Occupied	86.9%	87.8%
Vacant	13.1%	12.2%
Seasonal, recreational, occasional	3.1%	4.0%
Commuting		
Workers 16 years and over, 2017*	10,612	148,432,042
Worked in county of residence	81.2%	72.4%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	18	25
Education		
Total Population 25 yrs or older	19,716	216,271,644
Bachelor's degree or higher	13.2%	30.9%
High Reliability: Data with coefficients of variation (CVs) < 12% are in black to indicate that the sampling error is relatively small. Medium Reliability: Data with CVs between 12 & 40% are in orange to indicate that the values should be interpreted with caution. Low Reliability: Data with CVs > 40% are displayed in red to indicate that the estimate is considered very unreliable.		

Source: BLM Socioeconomic Profile for Malheur County, <https://headwaterseconomics.org/tools/blm-profiles/>, accessed March 11, 2019.

Industry

Major Regional Industry

Key industries are those that represent major employers and are significant revenue generators. Different industries face distinct vulnerabilities to natural hazards. Identifying key industries in the region enables communities to target mitigation activities towards those industries' specific sensitivities. The impact that a natural hazard event has on one industry can reverberate throughout the regional economy.⁴⁵ These cascading impacts should also be considered.

This is of specific concern when the businesses belong to the basic sector industry. Basic sector industries are those that are dependent on sales outside of the local community; they bring money into a local community via employment. The farm and ranch, information, and wholesale trade industries are all examples of basic industries. Non-basic sector industries are those that are dependent on local sales for their business, such as retail trade, construction, and health services.⁴⁶

Employment by Industry

Economic resilience to natural disasters is particularly important for the major employment industries in the region. If these industries are negatively impacted by a natural hazard, such that

⁴⁵ Ibid.

⁴⁶ Ibid.

employment is affected, the impact will be felt throughout the regional economy.⁴⁷ Thus, understanding and addressing the sensitivities of these industries is a strategic way to increase the resiliency of the entire regional economy.⁴⁸

Table C-18 identifies employment by industry. The top industry sectors in Malheur County with the most employees, are Services Related (7,163), Non-Services Related (2,599), and Government (3,244).

Particularly in rural areas, some of the highest wage jobs are in the manufacturing and natural resource dependent industries (e.g. forestry, oil and gas drilling and support services, and mining) that are often associated public lands. Usually, these high wage industries employ fewer people than other sectors. Some services-related industries also offer high wages (e.g., information, financial activities, and professional and business services). Furthermore, even if the average wages for a given sector are relatively low, that sector may still be an important driver of the local economy if it supports a significant share of the total jobs in the area. Finally, wages provide a good counter-part to the per capita income figure. In some areas per capita income can be high (sometimes driven by a high proportion of non-labor income) while wages are low. A good indicator of an overall strong local economy is when both per capita income and wages are relatively high.⁴⁹

Table C-18 Wages by Industry in Malheur County

BLM Socioeconomic Profile				
Malheur County, OR				
Wages by Industry				
Employment and Wages in 2017, Aggregated Region	Wage & Salary Employment	% of Total Wage & Salary Employment	Avg. Annual Wages (2018 \$s)	United States Avg. Annual Wages (2018 \$s)
Total	13,006		\$36,352	\$56,719
Private	9,761	75.0%	\$32,598	\$56,666
Non-Services Related	2,599	20.0%	\$35,059	\$65,496
Natural Resources and Mining	1,284	9.9%	\$31,262	\$58,223
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	na	na	na	\$35,292
Mining (incl. fossil fuels)	na	na	na	\$104,581
Construction	259	2.0%	\$37,370	\$62,193
Manufacturing (Incl. forest products)	1,056	8.1%	\$39,109	\$68,444
Services Related	7,163	55.1%	\$31,700	\$54,814
Trade, Transportation, and Utilities	2,995	23.0%	\$32,736	\$47,259
Information	198	1.5%	\$63,931	\$108,259
Financial Activities	285	2.2%	\$43,034	\$95,153
Professional and Business Services	433	3.3%	\$29,843	\$74,266
Education and Health Services	1,626	12.5%	\$38,286	\$50,382
Leisure and Hospitality	1,204	9.3%	\$15,734	\$23,744
Other Services	419	3.2%	\$23,263	\$38,215
Unclassified	2	0.0%	\$116,971	\$57,228
Government	3,244	24.9%	\$47,658	\$57,022
Federal Government	206	1.6%	\$63,444	\$82,362
State Government	1,267	9.7%	\$61,725	\$60,213
Local Government	1,771	13.6%	\$35,758	\$50,913


Source: BLM Socioeconomic Profile for Malheur County, accessed March 11, 2019, <https://headwaterseconomics.org/tools/blm-profiles/>

⁴⁷ Ibid.

⁴⁸ Ibid.

⁴⁹ BLM Socioeconomic Profile for Malheur County, accessed March 11, 2019, <https://headwaterseconomics.org/tools/blm-profiles/>

Figure C-28 Wages and Employment by Industry in Malheur County



Source: BLM Socioeconomic Profile for Malheur County, accessed March 11, 2019, <https://headwaterseconomics.org/tools/blm-profiles/>

Future Employment in Industry

Sectors that are anticipated to be major employers in the future also warrant special attention in the hazard mitigation planning process. Between 2010 and 2020, the largest employment growth is anticipated within Professional and Business Services (21%), Education and Health Services (17%) and Leisure and Hospitality (13%).⁵⁰ The basic industries, Natural Resources, Mining and Construction, are expected to increase by ten-percent. Considering that some projected industries (shown in the tables above) are among those that generate high revenue and/or income (e.g. Education and Health Services), the above mentioned issues should be incorporated into future hazard mitigation planning.

Table C-19 County Workforce by Age Group in Malheur County

Age	Number
All Ages 14-99	12,756
55-64	2,447
65-99	884
Share 55+	26%

Source: Oregon Employment Department, *The Employment Landscape of Rural Oregon*, May 2017, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/documents/10182/13336/The+Employment+Landscape+of+Rural+Oregon?version=1.0>

⁵⁰ Oregon Employment Department, "Employment Projections by Industry and Occupations: 2010-2020 Oregon and Regional Summary", <http://qualityinfo.org/pubs/projections/projections.pdf>, accessed March 2013, link broken March 2019.

Recap of 2018

The following is excerpted from the Oregon Employment Department's article *A Glance Back at Eastern Oregon in 2018*, dated March 14, 2019.

Total nonfarm employment growth slowed to 0.5 percent in Eastern Oregon in 2018 from 1.0 percent the year prior. Nonfarm employment reached 67,875 jobs as the private sector increased and the public sector decreased. A surface level comparison of annual average employment shows the private sector expanded by 2.1 percent, a gain of 1,038 jobs and double the 2017 growth rate. Meanwhile, the public sector dropped by 3.7 percent or 680 jobs. Beneath the surface, much of this shift was due to the reclassification of home care workers from state government to private-sector education and health services.

Malheur County shed 43 nonfarm jobs over the year for a loss of 0.4 percent. Private employment slipped by 18 jobs for a loss of 0.2 percent. Malheur took a big hit in wholesale trade. Combined, wholesale and retail trade dropped 120 jobs (-4.7%). Professional and business services dropped 30 jobs (-6.8%). The goods-producing industry picked up some slack with a gain of 40 jobs (+2.7%). Local government education added 80 jobs for a gain of 6.0 percent.⁵¹

Synthesis

The current and anticipated financial conditions of a community are strong determinants of community resilience, as a strong and diverse economic base increases the ability of individuals, families and the community to absorb disaster impacts for a quick recovery. Considering the high regional unemployment, high housing cost burden, and an economy heavily dependent on a single or few key industries, Malheur County may experience a more difficult time in recovering after a disaster than one with a more diverse economic base.⁵² It is important to consider what might happen to the County economy if the largest revenue generators and employers are impacted by a disaster. It is imperative that Malheur County recognizes that economic diversification is a long-term issue; more immediate strategies to reduce vulnerability should focus on risk management for the dominant industries.⁵³

Built Capacity

Built capacity refers to the built environment and infrastructure that supports the community. The various forms, quantity, and quality of built capital mentioned above contribute significantly to community resilience. Physical infrastructures, including utility and transportation lifelines, are critical during a disaster and are essential for proper functioning and response. The lack or poor condition of infrastructure can negatively affect a community's ability to cope, respond and recover from a natural disaster. Following a disaster, communities may experience isolation from

⁵¹State of Oregon Employment Department, *A Glance Back at Eastern Oregon in 2018*, <https://www.qualityinfo.org/-/a-glance-back-at-eastern-oregon-in-2018?inheritRedirect=true&redirect=%2Feastern-oregon>

⁵² DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Region 4 Southwest Oregon Regional Profile, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

⁵³ Ibid.

surrounding cities and counties due to infrastructure failure. These conditions force communities to rely on local and immediately available resources.

Housing Building Stock

In addition to location, the characteristics of the housing stock affect the level of risk posed by natural hazards. The table below identifies the types of housing most common throughout the county. Of particular interest are mobile homes and other non-permanent housing structures, which account for about 17% of the housing in Malheur County. Vale's percent of mobile home housing is considerably higher than Nyssa and Ontario's. Mobile structures are particularly vulnerable to certain natural hazards, such as windstorms, and special attention should be given to securing the structures, because they are more prone to wind damage than wood-frame construction.⁵⁴

Table C-20 Malheur County Housing Profile

Jurisdiction	Total Housing Units	Single-Family		Multiple-Family		Mobile Homes or Other	
		Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
Malheur County	11,806	7,741	65%	2,036	17.2%	2,018	17.1%
Ontario	4,775	2,731	57.2%	1,512	31.7	532	11.1%
Nyssa	1,133	827	73%	180	15.9%	126	11.1%
Vale	858	489	57%	184	21.4%	174	20.3%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder, Table DP04, Selected Housing Characteristics, 2013-2017, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml, accessed March 15, 2019.

Age of housing is another characteristic that influences a structure's vulnerability to hazards. Generally, the older the home is, the greater the risk of damage. Structures built after the late 1960's in the Northwest utilized earthquake resistant designs and construction. Communities began implementing flood elevation ordinances in the 1970's, with the local FEMA flood insurance study completing in the mid-1980s, and in 1990 Oregon again upgraded seismic standards to include earthquake loading in the building design.⁵⁵

Knowing the age of the structure is helpful in targeting outreach regarding retrofitting and insurance for owners of older structures.⁵⁶ Based on U.S. Census data, 43.2% of Malheur County housing was built prior to 1980 and the implementation of flood elevation requirements. There is a need to identify if these homes are located in a floodplain, and target outreach to the property owners to encourage appropriate flood mitigation. The data shows 33.5% of the housing units in the County

⁵⁴ Ibid.

⁵⁵ Wang Yumei and Bill Burns, *Case History on the Oregon GO Bond Task Force: Promoting Earthquake Safety in Public Schools and Emergency Facilities*, National Earthquake Conference, January 2006.

⁵⁶ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Region 4 Southwest Oregon Regional Profile, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf

were built after 1980 and 23.4% after 1990 when more stringent building codes were put in place. Prior to 1990 the housing stock may have questionable seismic stability. In addition to single-family households, it is also important to consider the structural integrity of multi-unit residences, as these structures will have an amplified impact on the population. Table C-20 showed the numbers for single-family, multi-family, and mobile home housing stock.

Table C-21 Malheur County Housing Year Built

Date Constructed	Malheur County	Ontario	Nyssa	Vale
Total Housing Units	11,806	4775	1133	858
Pre 1980	5106	3227	874	601
1980 to 1989	1200	540	57	80
1990 and Later	2760	1008	202	177

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder, Table DP04, Selected Housing Characteristics, 2013-2017, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml, accessed March 15, 2019.

Commercial Building Stock

Critical Infrastructure, Critical Facilities, and Lifelines

Critical infrastructure, critical facilities, and lifelines are those systems, structures, and facilities that are essential to government response and recovery activities (e.g., hospitals, police, fire and rescue stations, utilities, communications lines, sewer and water lines, dams, levees, school districts, and higher education institutions). The interruption of service or destruction of any of these would have a debilitating effect on the community.

Malheur County and the surrounding counties primarily receive oil and gas from fields in the Rocky Mountains. Most of the natural gas consumed originates in Alberta, Canada. Avista Utilities owns the main natural gas transmission pipeline. Idaho Power and Harney Electric Cooperative operate the network of electricity transmission lines running through the Southeast Oregon region. Primarily, Pacific Power, through 161-230 kV electrical transmission lines supplies electricity in the County. The vast majority of energy generating plants in Oregon are hydroelectric. The electric, oil, and gas lifelines that run through Malheur County are both municipally and privately owned. These lines may be vulnerable to severe, but infrequent natural hazards, such as earthquakes.⁵⁷

Critical infrastructure, critical facilities, and lifelines in Malheur County are identified in Volume I Section 2 Risk Assessment. This information provides the basis for informed decisions that can be used to reduce the vulnerability of the County and Cities to natural hazards.

⁵⁷ Loy, W. G., ed. 2001. *Atlas of Oregon*, 2nd Edition. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon Press.

Dependent Facilities

In addition to the critical infrastructure, critical facilities, and lifelines mentioned above, there are other facilities that are vital to the continued delivery of health services and may significantly impact the public's ability to recover from emergencies. Assisted living centers, nursing homes, residential mental health facilities, and psychiatric hospitals are important to identify within the community because of the dependent nature of the residents. Also these facilities can serve as secondary medical facilities as they are equipped with nurses, medical supplies and beds.

Malheur County has four assisted living centers; one in Vale, one in Nyssa, and two in Ontario. There are four residential care facilities and three adult foster homes in in Ontario. There are two adult foster homes in Vale and one in Nyssa. There is one nursing home in Vale. There are no psychiatric hospitals.⁵⁸

Correctional Facilities

Correctional facilities are incorporated into physical infrastructure as they play an important role in everyday society by maintaining a safe separation from the public. There are two correctional facilities located in Malheur County.

The Malheur County Correctional Facility, located in Vale and adjacent to the courthouse, is part of the sheriff's office management. It is a modern facility completed in 1996 and has 104 beds.⁵⁹

The Snake River Correctional Institution (SRCI) is a multi-security facility which opened in August 1991. Although sited for 3,000 beds, only 576 medium security and 72 minimum security beds were constructed in Phase I. In 1994, the Oregon Legislative Assembly approved construction of the remaining 2,352 beds at a cost of \$175 million; it was the largest state general funded public works project in state history. Many SRCI inmates worked in construction activities.

SRCI is the largest prison in Oregon with 2,336 medium-security beds, 154 minimum-security beds and 510 special housing beds. It also has Administrative Segregation, Disciplinary Segregation, Intensive Management, and Infirmary areas. SRCI has several unique features such as decentralized inmate services that enable the department to separate various offenders into discrete housing units. It also has a comprehensive corridor system connecting housing, program, and work areas so that inmates can move from one area to another under all types of weather conditions.⁶⁰

Physical Infrastructure

Physical infrastructure such as dams, levees, roads, bridges, railways and airports support Malheur County communities and economies. This physical infrastructure plays a fundamental role in both pre and post-disaster situations. Much of the physical infrastructure is also part what communities consider their critical infrastructure, critical facilities, and lifelines.

⁵⁸ Jaime Mordhorst, Oregon DHS Transition Coordinator, personal communication, March 12, 2019.

⁵⁹ Malheur County, *Jail*, <https://www.malheurco.org/jail/> accessed March 12, 2019 and Rich Harriman, Malheur County, Emergency Manager, personal communication, March 13, 2019.

⁶⁰ DOC Operations Division: *Prison Locations*, Snake River Correctional Institution, <https://www.oregon.gov/doc/about/Pages/prison-locations.aspx>, accessed March 12, 2019.

Dams

Dam failures can occur rapidly and with little warning. Fortunately most failures result in minor damage and pose little or no risk to life safety.⁶¹ However, the potential for severe damage still exists and should be considered in mitigation planning efforts. See the Flood Hazard Annex for more information about dams and levees located in Malheur County.

Railways

Railroads are major providers of regional and national cargo trade flows. The Union Pacific Railroad runs along the Oregon and Idaho border in the northeastern corner of Malheur County. Branching from Union Pacific rail tracks, the Wyoming and Colorado, Eastern Oregon Division, Railroad runs into the northeastern corner of Malheur County through Vale.⁶²

Rails are sensitive to icing from winter storms that can occur. For industries in the region that utilize rail transport, these disruptions in service can result in economic losses. The potential for rail accidents caused by natural hazards can also have serious implications for the local communities if hazardous materials are involved.

In September 2018, a rezone and urban growth boundary amendment (UGB) was discussed by the Malheur County Planning Department. The applicant was the City of Nyssa. They sought a Comprehensive Plan amendment to expand the City's UGB to include 290.35 acres of lands then zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) and 67.7 acres then zoned Heavy Industrial by Malheur County. The City of Nyssa submitted the proposal to accommodate a rail-dependent, truck-to-railroad reload facility, referred to as the Treasure Valley Reload Center (TVRC).⁶³ The proposal was approved.⁶⁴

Airports

Malheur County has five public airports, nine private airports, and one private heliport.⁶⁵ The heliport is owned by Holy Rosary Medical Center. Of the public airports, two are municipal airports, respectively owned and operated by the City of Vale and City of Ontario. There is no commercial service airport in the County. Access to these facilities could become closed in the event of natural hazards. Another important consideration in identifying area air resources is the type and condition of runway surfaces at these various facilities, as they will impact the ability to utilize the airport.

⁶¹ Federal Emergency Management Agency, *Dam Failure Information*, . <https://www.fema.gov/dam-failure-information>, accessed March 12, 2019.

⁶² Oregon Department of Transportation, *State of Oregon, Oregon Railroads*, <https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/ROW/Documents/railroads.pdf>, accessed March 12, 2019.

⁶³ Malheur County, *Report and Recommendation for City of Nyssa Urban Growth Boundary Amendment and Rezone Planning Application 2018-08-013*, <https://www.malheurco.org/wp-content/uploads/Departments/Planning/Documents/2018-08-013.pdf>, accessed March 12, 2019.

⁶⁴ Jim Maret, City of Nyssa, City Manager, personal communication, March 12, 2019.

⁶⁵ FAA Airport Master Record. 2011, http://www.faa.gov/airports/airport_safety/airportdata_5010/menu/index.cfm, accessed March 2013. FAA website not available but information was confirmed at Tollfree Airlines, *Malheur County Public and Private Airports*, <http://www.tollfreeairline.com/oregon/malheur.htm>, accessed March 12, 2019.

Power Plants

The majority of electrical power in Oregon is generated through hydropower. Owyhee Dam is in Malheur County. It provides irrigation water supply to a large area. See the Flood Hazard Annex. No hydroelectric generation facilities or other types of power plants are located in Malheur County.⁶⁶

Roads and Bridges

The region's major expressway is Interstate 84. It bisects the most northeastern corner of Malheur County and is a main passage for automobiles and trucks traveling from states to the east of Oregon. I-84 runs from Utah and Idaho to Portland, Oregon. Other major highways that service this region include:

- Highway 20 across the county and connects Ontario to Vale, to Burns in Harney County, and Idaho
- Highway 26 connects Vale to Portland, a distance of 378 miles
- Highway 20 and 26 merge and connect to Interstate 84 in Ontario
- Highway 95 runs from the southern border to the northeastern corner of the county and connects Nevada to Malheur County to Idaho
- Highway 78 intersects with Hwy 95 in the southern half of the county and runs northwest to Burns in Harney County

Daily, transportation infrastructure capacity in the Southeast Oregon region is stressed because of needed maintenance and lack of infrastructure in some areas. For example, some roads are too narrow for fire equipment vehicles. Natural hazards can further disrupt automobile traffic and create gridlock; this is of extra concern in periods of evacuation and when there are few alternative routes, especially in remote parts of the County.⁶⁷

The existing condition of bridges in the region is also a factor that affects risk from natural hazards and potential impacts to the communities. Bridge failure can have immediate and long-term implications in the response and recovery of a community. Incapacitated bridges can disrupt traffic, limit the ability of services to be provided, and exacerbate economic losses. This is due to the inability to transport products and services in and out of the area.⁶⁸

Each year the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) evaluates and assesses the bridges in Oregon. This information is shared in published yearly Bridge Condition Report and Tunnel Data. Much of ODOT's work has focused on seismic upgrades.

ODOT measures bridge conditions based on Key Performance Measure (KPM) 16 – Percent of Bridges Not Distressed (%ND). The KPM 16 includes two categories of bridges: 1) the percent of bridges not structurally deficient (SD) as defined by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and 2) the percent of bridges without other deficiencies (OD) as defined by ODOT. SD and OD

⁶⁶ Loy, W. G., ed. 2001. *Atlas of Oregon*, 2nd Edition. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon Press and Oregon Department of Energy, *Energy in Oregon, Hydropower*, <https://www.oregon.gov/energy/energy-oregon/Pages/Hydropower.aspx>, accessed March 12, 2019.

⁶⁷ DLCD, *2015 Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan*, Region 4 Southwest Oregon Regional Profile, https://www.oregon.gov/LCD/NH/Documents/Approved_2015ORNHMP.pdf




⁶⁸ Ibid.

components capture different characteristics of bridge conditions as shown. A condition of distressed indicates that the bridge is rated as SD or has at least one OD. ODOT considers both SD and OD aspects in determining bridge needs and selecting projects for the statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).⁶⁹ Figure C-29 includes several graphics that demonstrate the status of bridges and bridge deck areas in three categories: not distressed, other deficiencies, and structural deficiencies by regions in Oregon. Malheur County is in Region 5.

⁶⁹ Oregon Department of Transportation, 2018 Bridge Condition Report and Tunnel Data, <https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Bridge/Documents/Bridge-Condition-Report-2018.pdf>

Figure C-29 ODOT 2018 Bridge Conditions by Region

2018 BRIDGE CONDITION REPORT
& TUNNEL DATA



Source: Oregon Department of Transportation, 2018 Bridge Condition Report and Tunnel Data,
<https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Bridge/Documents/Bridge-Condition-Report-2018.pdf>

Synthesis

Given the unique dependent, rural nature of Malheur County, maintaining the quality of built capacity throughout the area is critical. Some of the most significant considerations for the County are contingency planning for medical resources and lifeline systems due to the imminent need for these resources. As mentioned above, functionality of hospitals and dependent care facilities are a significant priority in providing for Malheur County residents. One factor is the availability of medical

beds in local hospitals and dependent care facilities. In the event of a disaster, medical beds may be at a premium. Some of these facilities may run at almost full capacity on a daily basis. It may be useful for hospitals to consider surge planning and develop memorandums with surrounding counties for medical transport, treatment, and supplies. Additional consideration for memorandums of agreements with other counties could include those to support use of utility lifelines and transportation lifelines such as, airports, railways, roads and bridges.

While these elements are traditionally recognized as part of response and recovery from a natural disaster, it is essential to continue building relationships and establishing contractual agreements with entities that may be critical in supporting community resilience.

Community Connectivity Capacity

Community connectivity capacity places strong emphasis on social structure, trust, norms, and cultural resources within a community. In terms of community resilience, these elements of social and cultural capital will be drawn upon to stabilize the recovery of the community. Social and cultural capitals are present in all communities; however, it may be different from one city to the next as these capitals reflect the specific needs and composition of the community residents.

Social Systems and Service Providers

Social systems include community organizations and programs that provide social and community-based services, such as employment, health, senior and disabled services, professional associations and veterans' affairs for the public. In planning for natural hazard mitigation, it is important to know what social systems exist within the community because of their existing connections to the public.

Often, mitigation actions identified in the NHMP involve communicating with the public or specific subgroups within the population (e.g. elderly, children, low income, etc.). The County can use existing social systems as resources for implementing such communication-related activities because these service providers already work directly with the public on a number of issues. The presence of these services are more predominantly located in urbanized areas of Malheur County.

The following is a brief explanation of how the communication process works and how the community's existing social service providers could be used to provide natural hazard related messages to their clients. This information has been retained from the *2014 Malheur County NHMP*; there is no reference citation for it.

There are five essential elements for communicating effectively to a target audience:


- The source of the message must be credible,
- The message must be appropriately designed,
- The channel for communicating the message must be carefully selected,
- The audience must be clearly defined, and
- The recommended action must be clearly stated and a feedback channel established for questions, comments and suggestions.

An example of an existing social system whose communication system can be linked to natural hazard mitigation is the Ontario Chamber of Commerce. The Chamber (the source) provides local businesses (the audience) with information on business contingency planning (the message) through speakers at meetings (the channel). To target small businesses, Malheur County can provide the

Chamber with information on developing business continuity plans and strategies for recovering from a natural hazard. This example is graphically presented in Figure C-30.

Malheur County and the Cities recognized the importance of collaborating with the Chamber and on business continuity planning. Multi-hazard mitigation action #1 is “Conduct Business Continuity Plan Development and information sharing through presentations, workshops, and other methods for small businesses, local nonprofits, governments, and human services organizations.” The action is a high priority. In addition, another high priority mitigation action is multi-hazard action #3 which is “Develop an education program for Malheur County to raise awareness of natural hazards and potential mitigation activities.” See Table 3-3 for a list of all the mitigation actions.

Figure C-30 Communication Process



Source: Adapted from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Radon Division’s outreach program

The *2014 Malheur County NHMP* had a table called Malheur County Social Service Providers. That provided a list of existing social systems within Malheur County; it included each organization or program’s service area, types of services offered, populations served, and how the organization or program could be involved in natural hazard mitigation. That table has been deleted due to it being outdated. The three involvement methods identified in the 2014 Malheur County NHMP are retained and include the following:

- Education and outreach – The organization could partner with the community to educate the public or provide outreach assistance on natural hazard preparedness and mitigation.
- Information dissemination – The organization could partner with the community to provide hazard related information to target audiences.
- Plan/project implementation – The organization may have plans and/or policies that may be used to implement mitigation activities or the organization could serve as the coordinating or partner organization to implement mitigation actions.

The involvement methods can be used to implement the mitigation actions which are listed in Table 3-3 and in Appendix A.

Civic Engagement

Civic engagement and involvement in local, state and national politics are important indicators of community connectivity. Those who are more invested in their community may have a higher tendency to vote in political elections. Other indicators such as volunteerism, participation in formal

community networks and community charitable contributions are examples of other civic engagement that may increase community connectivity.

Cultural Resources

Historic Places

Historic and cultural resources such as historic structures and landmarks can help to define a community and may also be sources for tourism revenue. Protecting these resources from the impact of disasters is important because they have a role in defining and supporting the community.

According to the National Register Bulletin, “a contributing resource is a building, site, structure, or object adds to the historic associations, historic architectural qualities, or archeological values for which a property is significant because it was present during the period of significance, related to the documented significance of the property, and possesses historical integrity or is capable of yielding important information about the period; or it independently meets the National Register criteria.”⁷⁰ If a structure does not meet these criteria, it is considered to be non-contributing.

The table below identifies the number of eligible/significant (ES), eligible/contributing (EC), non-eligible/out of period (NP), and non-eligible/non-contributing (NC) historic sites in Malheur County. The table also shows how many sites are located and in incorporated cities. Overall, there are a total of 46 historically registered places in Malheur County.

Table C-22 Malheur County Historic Places

Eligible Sites	Total Sites (46)	Located in Incorporated Cities
ES-Significant	18	13
EC-Contributing	17	0
NP-Non-Eligible/Out of Period and NC-Non-Eligible and Non-Contributing	11	0

Source: Oregon Historic Sites Database, <http://heritagedata.prd.state.or.us/historic/>

Libraries and Museums

Libraries and museums develop cultural capacity and community connectivity as they are places of knowledge and recognition, they are common spaces for the community to gather, and can serve critical functions in maintaining the sense of community during a disaster. They are recognized as safe places and reflect normalcy in times of distress. The Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale have public libraries. There are two museums in Malheur County: the Old Stone House in Vale and the Al Thompson and Sons Feed and Seed Company which is the home of the Oregon Trail Agriculture Museum and is located in Nyssa.⁷¹

⁷⁰ U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Cultural Resources, *National Register Bulletin 16A: How to Complete the National Register Registration Form*, <https://www.nps.gov/nr/publications/bulletins/nrb16a/>

⁷¹ Museums in Malheur County, Oregon, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Museums_in_Malheur_County,_Oregon

Cultural Events

Other institutions that can strengthen community connectivity are the presence of festivals and organizations that engage diverse cultural interests. Examples of events include the 4th of July Rodeo in Vale; Nyssa's annual Christmas parade and community dinner; the annual Great Owyhee Ride Against Hunger which starts in Ontario, and the Malheur County Fair. These events bring revenue into the community, and they enhance the sense of place. Cultural connectivity is important to community resilience, as people may be more inclined to remain in the community because they feel part of the community and culture.

Community Stability

Residential Geographic Stability and Homeownership

Community stability is a measure of rootedness in place. It is hypothesized that resilience to a disaster stems in part from familiarity with place, not only for navigating the community during a crisis, but also accessing services and other supports for economic or social challenges.⁷²

Often homeownership is associated with greater resilience as it is a measure of place attachment and commitment. Homeownership is an indicator that residents will return to a community post-disaster, as these people are economically and socially invested in the community. Similar to communities with higher median household income, homeownership can reflect an increased resource capacity to prepare, respond, and cope with a crisis situation.

Table C-23 identifies housing tenure which is demonstrated by identifying the number of occupied households and within that, the number of owner occupied and renter occupied households.

Table C-23 Homeownership in Malheur County

Jurisdiction	Occupied Households	Owner Occupied	Percent Owner Occupied	Renter Occupied	Percent Renter Occupied
Malheur County	10,262	5,953	58	4,309	42
Ontario	4,324	2,083	48.2	2,241	51.8
Nyssa	1,016	581	57.2	435	42.8
Vale	732	426	58.2	306	41.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder, Table DP04, Selected Housing Statistics, 2013-2017, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml

Synthesis

Malheur County has distinct social and cultural resources that work in favor to increase community connectivity and resilience. Sustaining social and cultural resources, such as social services and cultural events, may be essential to preserving community cohesion and a sense of place. The

⁷² Cutter, Susan, Christopher Burton, Christopher Emrich, *Disaster Resilience Indicators for Benchmarking Baseline Conditions*, Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management.

presence of larger communities such as Ontario, and the County's proximity to other communities in Idaho, makes available many resources and services for the public. However, it is important to consider that these amenities may not be equally distributed to the rural portions of the county and may produce implications for recovery in the event of a disaster.

Political Capacity

Political capacity is recognized as the government and planning structures established within the community. In terms of hazard resilience, it is essential for political capital to encompass diverse government and non-government entities in collaboration; as disaster losses stem from a predictable result of interactions between the physical environment, social and demographic characteristics and the built environment.⁷³ Resilient political capital seeks to involve various stakeholders in hazard planning and works towards integrating the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan with other community plans, so that all planning approaches are consistent.

Government Structure

In Section 4 Plan Implementation and Maintenance, under "Implementing through Existing Program" there is a description noting that Malheur County and the participating Cities have plans, programs, policies, procedures and agencies that may be used to implement mitigation actions. This "Government Structure" section and the subsequent section "Existing Plans & Policies" provide more detail on that information.

The County Court is the elected body that governs Malheur County. It is comprised of the County Judge and two commissioners. The Judge is the Chair of the County Court. The County Court is responsible for quasi-judicial and legislative members and does not preside over trials or have jurisdiction in the legal community.⁷⁴ The County Counsel provides legal advice to the County Court, county employees, elected officials, and county boards.

All the departments within the Malheur County governance structure have some degree of responsibility in building overall community resilience. Each plays a role in ensuring that County functions and normal operations resume after an incident, and the needs of the population are met.

Malheur County government includes the following; see the website for additional departments. :⁷⁵

- **Administrative Office:** County budget, personnel, accounts payable, and carpool.
- **Ambulance Service District:** Emergency services and ambulance.
- **Assessor:** Property valuations, record searches, cartography, sales information, veteran's information, senior citizen's deferrals, etc.
- **Economic Development:** Supports business and industrial development, performs demographic and grant research, and is responsible for economic and community development in the county.
- **Emergency Management:** Includes emergency management and 911 dispatch.

⁷³ Mileti, D. 1999. Disaster by Design: a Reassessment of Natural Hazards in the United States. Washington D.C.: Joseph Henry Press.

⁷⁴ Malheur County, County Court, <https://www.malheurco.org/county-court/>, accessed March 13, 2019.

⁷⁵ Malheur County, County Departments, <https://www.malheurco.org/county-departments/>, accessed March 13, 2019.

- **Environmental Health:** Issues permits for septic systems and manages solid waste licensing and consultation programs.
- **Extension Service:** Educational programs in agriculture, lawn and garden, youth development, foods, nutrition and family living.
- **Health Department:** Offers preventative and community health services for county residents, such as immunizations, family planning, HIV testing and counseling, emergency preparedness, WIC, breast and cervical cancer programming, and maternal child health nurse home visiting programs.
- **GIS:** Supports County Government by creating, managing, and analyzing spatial county data. Makes maps.
- **Planning** Evaluates land use applications and submits staff report to planning commission, and responsible for zoning permits and facilitating comprehensive planning process.
- **Road Department:** Responsible for County road and bridge maintenance and construction, as well as shop and weed control.
- **Sheriff's Office:** Responsible for Sheriff's administration, civil, concealed handgun licenses, corrections and jail, dispatch, emergency services, patrol, and investigation.
- **State Water Master:** The State of Oregon Water Resources Department has a representative located in the first floor of the County's courthouse.
- **Surveyor:** Maintains a record of all surveys performed in the County by the County Surveyor or licensed land surveyor and makes them available to the public. Protects, maintains, and reestablishes public land survey corners.

The Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale also have governance structures that have responsibility for community resilience. See Table C-24 for more information about the Cities.

Table C-24 Participating City Government Structure

	Ontario	Nyssa	Vale
Government Form	Mayor/Council	Mayor/Council	Mayor/Council
City Manager/Administrator	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mayor	Yes	Yes	Yes
City Council	Yes	Yes	Yes
Building	Yes	No	No
Planning	Yes	Planning Commission	Planning Commission
Public Works	Yes	Yes	Yes and Public Works Committee
Parks and Recreation	Yes	Yes	Parks Committee
Police	Yes	Yes	No
Fire	Yes	Yes	Yes
Information Technology	No	No	No
Economic Development	Yes	Yes	No
Finance	Yes	Yes (person) and Budget Committee	Budget Committee

Source: City of Ontario, <http://www.ontariooregon.org/CityServices.cfm>; City of Nyssa, <https://www.nyssacity.org/>; City of Vale, <https://www.cityofvale.com/>, accessed March 13, 2019.

Existing Plan & Policies

In Section 4 Plan Implementation and Maintenance, under “Implementing through Existing Program” there is a description noting that Malheur County and the participating Cities have plans, programs, policies, procedures and agencies that may be used to implement mitigation actions. This section and the previous section “Government Structure” provide more detail on that information.

Communities often have existing plans and policies that guide and influence land use, land development, and population growth. Existing plans and policies can include comprehensive plans, zoning ordinances, and technical reports or studies. Plans and policies already in existence have support from local residents, businesses and policy makers. Many land-use, comprehensive, and strategic plans get updated regularly, and can adapt easily to changing conditions and needs.⁷⁶

⁷⁶ Burby, Raymond J., ed. 1998. *Cooperating with Nature: Confronting Natural Hazards with Land-Use Planning for Sustainable Communities*.

The Malheur County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan includes mitigation action items that, when implemented, will reduce the County's and Cities' vulnerability to natural hazards. These mitigation actions are consistent with the goals and objectives of the County's existing plans and policies.

Linking existing plans and policies to the Malheur County NHMP helps identify what resources already exist that can be used to implement the mitigation actions in the NHMP. Implementing the natural hazards mitigation plan's action items through existing plans and policies increases their likelihood of being supported and getting updated, and maximizes the County's resources as well as the Cities. In addition to the plans listed in Table C-25, the County and Cities also have zoning ordinances (including floodplain development regulations) and building regulations.

Table C-25 Existing Plans

Jurisdiction	Document	Year
Malheur County	Community Wildfire Protection Plan	2009
Malheur County	Comprehensive	1982
Malheur County	Emergency Operations Plan	2017
Malheur County	Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan	2014
Malheur County	Transportation Systems Plan	1998
City of Ontario	Comprehensive Plan	1992
City of Ontario	Public Safety Master Plan	2002
City of Ontario	Stormwater Master Plan	2003
City of Ontario	Transportation Systems Plan	2006
City of Nyssa	Comprehensive Plan	Pending Jim Maret
City of Nyssa	Development Code	2006
City of Nyssa	Main Street Revitalization Plan	1987
City of Nyssa	Transportation System Plan	1998
City of Nyssa	Snow Removal Plan	2018
City of Nyssa	Flood Control Ordinance	1962
City of Vale	Comprehensive Plan	2003
City of Vale	Development Code	2003
City of Vale	Downtown Plan	2001
City of Vale	Transportation System Plan	1998
City of Vale	Snow Removal Plan	2003
City of Vale	Water Management and Conservation Plan	2015

Jurisdiction	Document	Year
Natural Resource Conservation Service	Natural Resources Long Range Strategy in Malheur County, Oregon	2010
Greater Eastern Oregon Development Corporation	Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy	2014
City of Adrian	Comprehensive Plan	1978
City of Adrian	Transportation Systems Plan	2001
City of Jordan Valley	Comprehensive Plan	1981
City of Jordan Valley	Zoning Ordinance	1981
City of Jordan Valley	Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance	1980

Source: 2014 Malheur County NHMP; City of Ontario, <http://www.ontariooregon.org/CityServices.cfm>; City of Nyssa, <https://www.nyssacity.org/>; City of Vale, <https://www.cityofvale.com/>, accessed March 13, 2019.

Existing Mitigation Activities

Existing mitigation activities include current programs and activities that are being implemented by the community in an effort to reduce the community's overall risk to natural hazards. Documenting these efforts can assist the community in better understanding its risk and can assist in recognizing successes. The list below consists of countywide efforts and city-specific mitigation activities. According to the *2014 Malheur County NHMP*, OEM has not documented any state- or federally-funded mitigation projects in Malheur County (neither pre-disaster nor recovery mitigation).

Malheur County Planning Department

There are flood hazard building regulations which regulate development on floodplain areas within the County. See the Flood Hazard Annex for details about flood-related provisions in Malheur County and the Cities.

Malheur County Emergency Services

The Emergency Management Team (EMT) was created to foster cooperation and communication between the County, Cities, and local organizations involved in disaster response, recovery, preparedness, and mitigation. The EMT meets monthly. It encourage teamwork and strategizing about response and recovery tactics in various situations. As described in Volume I Introduction, The Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee also serves as the Emergency Management Team (EMT) and the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC).

Bureau of Land Management (BLM Vale Branch)

BLM is also described in the Wildfire Hazard Annex. Because 71% of Malheur County is managed by the BLM, its mitigation projects have the potential to positively impact both the natural and human environment. This is a summary of some of the BLM's mitigation projects Malheur County.

- **Fuels Reduction:** Treatments have occurred in various parts of the County for cheatgrass and juniper.

- Native grass restoration: Several projects in the county are underway to re-seed native grasses, which lengthens the natural fire cycle.
- Fire Breaks: BLM has created fire breaks along the Wildland-Urban Interface in Rome and Arock.
- Community Assessment Reports (2002): Comprehensively assessed fire risk and outlined mitigation activities for each community in the County.

Malheur, Owyhee, and Vale Irrigation Districts

According to the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee, there is an ongoing conversion of earthen irrigation canals to sealed pipeline, reducing evaporation and contamination, and mitigating drought. The Malheur and Owyhee Watershed Councils will also be engaged in this discussion. Drought (DR) #2 mitigation action relates to this effort. The irrigation districts and watershed councils are also engaged in DR #1 regarding the Malheur County Soil and Water Conservation District's water assessment project. See Table 3-3 and Appendix A Action Item Forms for details.

Four Rivers Healthy Community (4RHC)

4RHC empowers community partners focused on strengthening health, education, livability and leadership in the Western Treasure Valley. 4RHC was established in 2003 and the task was to build a local Healthy Community Coalition and hold a stakeholder summit to create a shared vision of community health. This would set the stage for asset mapping, priority setting and action planning.⁷⁷

Synthesis

As described above, many governmental entities are responsible for work relevant to hazards planning. There is more information to be located as not all plans, policies, and programs are available on the jurisdictional websites. Also, not all of them have been discussed at the NHMP Steering Committee meetings. It is challenging to ascertain whether these existing plans, policies, and programs work collaboratively in practice towards improving mitigation of hazards.

Based on the NHMP/EMT/LEPC meetings, there is a great desire for collaboration and effective implementation. Additional integration is desired and can certainly be obtained as continued dialogue and collaboration occurs. Further analysis is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of political capital in terms of community resilience.

⁷⁷ Four Rivers Healthy Community, About Us, <https://www.4rhc.org/about>

APPENDIX D: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECTS

This appendix was originally developed by the Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience (OPDR) at the University of Oregon's Community Service Center (now the Institute for Policy Research and Engagement or IPRE) and included in the 2014 Malheur County NHMP. It has been reviewed and accepted by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as a means of documenting how the prioritization of mitigation actions includes a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and associated costs.

The appendix outlines three approaches for conducting economic analyses of natural hazard mitigation projects:

- the benefit/cost analysis,
- the cost-effectiveness analysis, and
- the STAPLE/E Approach.

The appendix describes the importance of implementing mitigation activities, different approaches to economic analysis of mitigation strategies, and methods to calculate costs and benefits associated with mitigation strategies.

Information in this section is derived in part from: The Interagency Hazards Mitigation Team, *State Hazard Mitigation Plan*, (Oregon's Office of Emergency Management, 2000), and FEMA Publication 331, *Report on Costs and Benefits of Natural Hazard Mitigation*. This section is not intended to provide a comprehensive description of benefit/cost analysis, nor is it intended to evaluate local projects. It is intended to (1) raise benefit/cost analysis as an important issue, and (2) provide some background on how economic analysis can be used to evaluate mitigation projects.

Why Evaluate Mitigation Strategies?

Mitigation activities reduce the cost of disasters by minimizing property damage, injuries, and the potential for loss of life, and by reducing emergency response costs. Evaluating possible natural hazard mitigation activities provides decision-makers with an understanding of the potential benefits and costs of an activity, as well as a basis upon which to compare alternative projects.

Evaluating mitigation projects is a complex and difficult undertaking, which is influenced by many variables such as these three:

- Natural disasters affect all segments of the communities they strike, including individuals, businesses, and public services such as fire, police, utilities, and schools.
- While some of the direct and indirect costs of disaster damages are measurable, some of the costs are non-financial and difficult to quantify in dollars.
- Many of the impacts of such events produce "ripple-effects" throughout the community, greatly increasing the disaster's social and economic consequences.

While not easily accomplished, there is value in assessing the positive and negative impacts from mitigation activities, and obtaining an instructive benefit/cost comparison.

What are some Economic Analysis Approaches for Evaluating Mitigation Strategies?

The approaches used to identify the costs and benefits associated with natural hazard mitigation strategies, measures, or projects fall into three general categories: benefit/cost analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis and the STAPLE/E approach.

Benefit/Cost Analysis

Benefit/cost analysis is a key mechanism used by OEM, FEMA, and other state and federal agencies in evaluating hazard mitigation projects, and is required by the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, Public Law 93-288, as amended.

Benefit/cost analysis is used in natural hazards mitigation to show if the benefits to life and property protected through the mitigation action exceed the cost of the mitigation action. A benefit/cost analysis for a mitigation action can assist communities in determining whether a project is worth undertaking now to avoid disaster-related damages later.

Benefit/cost analysis is based on calculating the frequency and severity of a hazard, avoiding future damages, and risk. In benefit/cost analysis, all costs and benefits are evaluated in terms of dollars, and a net benefit/cost ratio is computed to determine whether a project should be implemented. A project must have a benefit/cost ratio greater than 1 (the net benefits will exceed the net costs) to be eligible for FEMA funding.

Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Cost-effectiveness analysis evaluates how best to spend a given amount of money to achieve a specific goal. This type of analysis, however, does not necessarily measure costs and benefits in terms of dollars. Determining the economic feasibility of mitigating natural hazards can also be organized according to the perspective of those with an economic interest in the outcome. Hence, economic analysis approaches are covered for both public and private sectors as follows.

Investing in Public Sector Mitigation Activities

Evaluating mitigation strategies in the public sector is complicated because it involves estimating all of the economic benefits and costs regardless of who realizes them, and potentially to a large number of people and economic entities. Some benefits cannot be evaluated monetarily, but still affect the public in profound ways. Economists have developed methods to evaluate the economic feasibility of public decisions which involve a diverse set of beneficiaries and non-market benefits.

Investing in Private Sector Mitigation Activities

Private sector mitigation projects may occur on the basis of one or two approaches: it may be mandated by a regulation or standard, or it may be economically justified on its own merits. A building or landowner, whether a private entity or a public agency, required to conform to a mandated standard may consider the following options:

1. Request cost sharing from public agencies;
2. Dispose of the building or land either by sale or demolition;
3. Change the designated use of the building or land and change the hazard mitigation compliance requirement; or
4. Evaluate the most feasible alternatives and initiate the most cost effective hazard mitigation alternative.

The sale of a building or land triggers another set of concerns. For example, real estate disclosure laws can be developed which require sellers of real property to disclose known defects and deficiencies in the property, including earthquake weaknesses and hazards to prospective purchases. Correcting deficiencies can be expensive and time consuming, but their existence can prevent the sale of the building. Conditions of a sale regarding the deficiencies and the price of the building can be negotiated between a buyer and seller.

STAPLE/E Approach

Considering detailed benefit/cost or cost-effectiveness analysis for every possible mitigation action could be time consuming and impractical. There are approaches for conducting a quick evaluation of the proposed mitigation actions which could be used to identify those that merit more detailed assessment. One of those methods is the STAPLE/E approach.

Using STAPLE/E criteria, mitigation actions can be evaluated quickly. This set of criteria requires the assessment of the mitigation actions based on the Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, and Environmental (STAPLE/E) constraints and opportunities of implementing the particular mitigation action in your community.

The second chapter in FEMA's How-To Guide "Developing the Mitigation Plan – Identifying Mitigation Actions and Implementation Strategies" as well as the "State of Oregon's Local Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: An Evaluation Process" outline some specific considerations in analyzing each aspect. The following are suggestions for how to examine each aspect of the STAPLE/E approach from the "State of Oregon's Local Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: An Evaluation Process."

Social: Community development staff, local non-profit organizations, or a local planning board can help answer these questions.

- Is the proposed action socially acceptable to the community?
- Are there equity issues involved that would mean that one segment of the community is treated unfairly?
- Will the action cause social disruption?

Technical: The city or county public works staff, and building department staff can help answer these questions.

- Will the proposed action work?
- Will it create more problems than it solves?
- Does it solve a problem or only a symptom?
- Is it the most useful action in light of other community goals?

Administrative: Elected officials or the city or county administrator, can help answer these questions.

- Can the community implement the action?
- Is there someone to coordinate and lead the effort?
- Is there sufficient funding, staff, and technical support available?
- Are there ongoing administrative requirements that need to be met?

Political: Consult the mayor, city council or city board of commissioners, city or county administrator, and local planning commissions to help answer these questions.

- Is the action politically acceptable?
- Is there public support both to implement and to maintain the project?

Legal: Include legal counsel, land use planners, risk managers, and city council or county planning commission members, among others, in this discussion.

- Is the community authorized to implement the proposed action? Is there a clear legal basis or precedent for this activity?
- Are there legal side effects? Could the activity be construed as a taking?
- Is the proposed action allowed by the comprehensive plan, or must the comprehensive plan be amended to allow the proposed action?
- Will the community be liable for action or lack of action?
- Will the activity be challenged?

Economic: Community economic development staff, civil engineers, building department staff, and the assessor's office can help answer these questions.

- What are the costs and benefits of this action?
- Do the benefits exceed the costs?
- Are initial, maintenance, and administrative costs taken into account?
- Has funding been secured for the proposed action? If not, what are the potential funding sources (public, non-profit, and private?)
- How will this action affect the fiscal capability of the community?
- What burden will this action place on the tax base or local economy?
- What are the budget and revenue effects of this activity?
- Does the action contribute to other community goals, such as capital improvements or economic development?
- What benefits will the action provide? (This can include dollar amount of damages prevented, number of homes protected, credit under the CRS, potential for funding under the HMGP or the FMA program, etc.)

Environmental: Watershed councils, environmental groups, land use planners and natural resource managers can help answer these questions.


- How will the action impact the environment?
- Will the action need environmental regulatory approvals?
- Will it meet local and state regulatory requirements?
- Are endangered or threatened species likely to be affected?

The STAPLE/E approach is helpful for doing a quick analysis of mitigation projects. Most projects that seek federal funding and others often require more detailed benefit/cost analyses.

When to use the Various Approaches

It is important to realize that various funding sources require different types of economic analyses. The following figure is to serve as a guideline for when to use the various approaches.

Figure D-I Economic Analysis Flowchart



Source: Tricia Sears, DLCD, November 2018, based on OPDR 2005.

Implementing the Approaches

Below is a framework that could be used in further analyzing the feasibility of implementing prioritized mitigation actions after determining – through the use of one of the economic analysis approaches described above – whether or not to implement the mitigation action.

1. Identify the Activities

Activities for reducing risk from natural hazards can include structural projects to enhance disaster resistance, education and outreach, and acquisition or demolition of exposed properties, among others. Different mitigation projects can assist in minimizing risk to natural hazards, but do so at varying economic costs.

2. Calculate the Costs and Benefits

Choosing economic criteria is essential to systematically calculating costs and benefits of mitigation projects and selecting the most appropriate activities. Potential economic criteria to evaluate alternatives include:

- **Determine the project cost.** This may include initial project development costs, and repair and operating costs of maintaining projects over time.

- **Estimate the benefits.** Projecting the benefits, or cash flow resulting from a project can be difficult. Expected future returns from the mitigation effort depend on the correct specification of the risk and the effectiveness of the project, which may not be well known. Expected future costs depend on the physical durability and potential economic obsolescence of the investment. This is difficult to project. These considerations will also provide guidance in selecting an appropriate salvage value. Future tax structures and rates must be projected. Financing alternatives must be researched, and they may include retained earnings, bond and stock issues, and commercial loans.
- **Consider costs and benefits to society and the environment.** These are not easily measured, but can be assessed through a variety of economic tools including existence value or contingent value theories. These theories provide quantitative data on the value people attribute to physical or social environments. Even without hard data, however, impacts of structural projects to the physical environment or to society should be considered when implementing mitigation projects.
- **Determine the correct discount rate.** Determination of the discount rate can just be the risk-free cost of capital, but it may include the decision-maker's time preference and also a risk premium. Including inflation should also be considered.

3. Analyze and Rank the Activities

Once costs and benefits have been quantified, economic analysis tools can rank the possible mitigation activities. Two methods for determining the best activities given varying costs and benefits include net present value and internal rate of return.

- **Net present value.** Net present value is the value of the expected future returns of an investment minus the value of the expected future cost expressed in today's dollars. If the net present value is greater than the projected costs, the project may be determined feasible for implementation. Selecting the discount rate, and identifying the present and future costs and benefits of the project calculates the net present value of projects.
- **Internal rate of return.** Using the internal rate of return method to evaluate mitigation projects provides the interest rate equivalent to the dollar returns expected from the project. Once the rate has been calculated, it can be compared to rates earned by investing in alternative projects. Projects may be feasible to implement when the internal rate of return is greater than the total costs of the project. Once the mitigation projects are ranked on the basis of economic criteria, decision-makers can consider other factors, such as risk, project effectiveness, and economic, environmental, and social returns in choosing the appropriate project for implementation.

Economic Returns of Natural Hazard Mitigation

The estimation of economic returns, which accrue to building or land owners as a result of natural hazard mitigation, is difficult. Owners evaluating the economic feasibility of mitigation should consider reductions in physical damages and financial losses. A partial list follows:

- Building damages avoided
- Content damages avoided

- Inventory damages avoided
- Rental income losses avoided
- Relocation and disruption expenses avoided
- Proprietor's income losses avoided

These parameters can be estimated using observed prices, costs, and engineering data. The difficult part is to correctly determine the effectiveness of the hazard mitigation project and the resulting reduction in damages and losses. Equally as difficult is assessing the probability that an event will occur. The damages and losses should only include those that will be borne by the owner. The salvage value of the investment can be important in determining economic feasibility. Salvage value becomes more important as the time horizon of the owner declines. This is important because most businesses depreciate assets over a period of time.

Additional Costs from Natural Hazards

Property owners should also assess changes in a broader set of factors that can change as a result of a large natural disaster. These are usually termed “indirect” effects, but they can have a very direct effect on the economic value of the owner's building or land. They can be positive or negative, and include changes in the following:

- Commodity and resource prices
- Availability of resource supplies
- Commodity and resource demand changes
- Building and land values
- Capital availability and interest rates
- Availability of labor
- Economic structure
- Infrastructure
- Regional exports and imports
- Local, state, and national regulations and policies
- Insurance availability and rates

Changes in the resources and industries listed above are more difficult to estimate and require models that are structured to estimate total economic impacts. Total economic impacts are the sum of direct and indirect economic impacts. Total economic impact models are usually not combined with economic feasibility models. Many models exist to estimate total economic impacts of changes in an economy. Decision-makers should understand the total economic impacts of natural disasters in order to calculate the benefits of a mitigation activity. This suggests that understanding the local economy is an important first step in being able to understand the potential impacts of a disaster, and the benefits of mitigation activities.

Additional Considerations

Conducting an economic analysis for potential mitigation activities can assist decision-makers in choosing the most appropriate strategy for their community to reduce risk and prevent loss from natural hazards. Economic analysis can also save time and resources from being spent on inappropriate or unfeasible projects. Several resources and models are listed on the following page that can assist in conducting an economic analysis for natural hazard mitigation activities.

Benefit/cost analysis is complicated, and the numbers may divert attention from other important issues. It is important to consider the qualitative factors of a project associated with mitigation that cannot be evaluated economically. There are alternative approaches to implementing mitigation projects. With this in mind, opportunity rises to develop strategies that integrate natural hazard mitigation with projects related to watersheds, environmental planning, community economic development, and small business development, among others. Incorporating natural hazard mitigation with other community projects can increase the viability of project implementation.

Resources

These resources were identified in the 2014 NHMP and may not be widely available at this time.

CUREe Kajima Project, *Methodologies for Evaluating the Socio-Economic Consequences of Large Earthquakes*, Task 7.2 Economic Impact Analysis, Prepared by University of California, Berkeley Team, Robert A. Olson, VSP Associates, Team Leader; John M. Eidinger, G&E Engineering Systems; Kenneth A. Goettel, Goettel and Associates, Inc.; and Gerald L. Horner, Hazard Mitigation Economics Inc., 1997

Federal Emergency Management Agency, *Benefit/Cost Analysis of Hazard Mitigation Projects*, Riverine Flood, Version 1.05, Hazard Mitigation Economics, Inc., 1996

Federal Emergency Management Agency, *Report on the Costs and Benefits of Natural Hazard Mitigation*. Publication 331, 1996.

Goettel & Horner Inc., *Earthquake Risk Analysis Volume III: The Economic Feasibility of Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings in the City of Portland*, Submitted to the Bureau of Buildings, City of Portland, August 30, 1995.

Goettel & Horner Inc., *Benefit/Cost Analysis of Hazard Mitigation Projects Volume V, Earthquakes*, Prepared for FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Branch, October 25, 1995.

Horner, Gerald, *Benefit/Cost Methodologies for Use in Evaluating the Cost Effectiveness of Proposed Hazard Mitigation Measures*, Robert Olsen Associates, Prepared for Oregon Military Department – Office of Emergency Management, July 1999.

Interagency Hazards Mitigation Team, *State Hazard Mitigation Plan*, (Oregon State Police – Office of Emergency Management, 2000.)

Risk Management Solutions, Inc., *Development of a Standardized Earthquake Loss Estimation Methodology*, National Institute of Building Sciences, Volume I and II, 1994.

VSP Associates, Inc., *A Benefit/Cost Model for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings*, Volumes 1 & 2, Federal Emergency management Agency, FEMA Publication Numbers 227 and 228, 1991.

VSP Associates, Inc., *Benefit/Cost Analysis of Hazard Mitigation Projects: Section 404 Hazard Mitigation Program and Section 406 Public Assistance Program, Volume 3: Seismic Hazard Mitigation Projects*, 1993.

VSP Associates, Inc., *Seismic Rehabilitation of Federal Buildings: A Benefit/Cost Model*, Volume 1, Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA Publication Number 255, 1994.

APPENDIX E: GRANT PROGRAMS AND RESOURCES

Introduction

There are numerous local, state, and federal funding sources available to support natural hazard mitigation projects and planning. The following section includes an abbreviated list of the most common funding sources and resources utilized by local jurisdictions in Oregon. Because grant programs often change, it is important to periodically review available funding sources for current guidelines and program descriptions.

Note that FEMA administers three programs that provide funding for eligible mitigation planning and projects that reduces disaster losses and protect life and property from future disaster damages. The three programs are the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program, and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Program.

<https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance>

Post-Disaster Federal Programs

Hazard Mitigation Grant Program

The Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) provides grants to states and local governments to implement long-term hazard mitigation measures after a major disaster declaration. The purpose of the HMGP is to reduce the loss of life and property due to natural disasters and to enable mitigation measures to be implemented during the immediate recovery from a disaster. The HMGP is authorized under Section 404 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act. The HMGP involves a paper application which is first offered to the counties with declared disasters within the past year, then becomes available statewide if funding is still available.

<http://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-grant-program>

Disaster Loan Assistance

There are four types of loans available from the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA): home and personal property loans; business physical disaster loans; economic injury loans; and military reservist injury loans. When physical disaster loans are made to homeowners and businesses following disaster declarations by the SBA, up to 20% of the loan amount can go towards specific measures taken to protect against recurring damage in similar future disasters.

<http://www.sba.gov/category/navigation-structure/loans-grants/small-business-loans/disaster-loans>

Pre-Disaster Federal Programs

Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program

The Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program provides funds to states, territories, Indian tribal governments, communities, and universities for hazard mitigation planning and the implementation of mitigation projects prior to a disaster event. Funding these plans and projects reduces overall risks to the population and structures, while also reducing reliance on funding from actual disaster declarations. PDM grants are to be awarded on a competitive basis and without reference to state allocations, quotas, or other formula-based allocation of funds. The PDM grant program is offered annually; applications are submitted online. Applicants need a user profile approved by the State Hazard Mitigation Officer, which should be garnered well before the application period opens.

<http://www.fema.gov/pre-disaster-mitigation-grant-program>

Flood Mitigation Assistance Program

The overall goal of the Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program is to fund cost-effective measures that reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of flood damage to buildings, manufactured homes, and other National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insurable structures. This specifically includes:

- Reducing the number of repetitively or substantially damaged structures and the associated flood insurance claims;
- Encouraging long-term, comprehensive hazard mitigation planning;
- Responding to the needs of communities participating in the NFIP to expand their mitigation activities beyond floodplain development activities; and
- Complementing other federal and state mitigation programs with similar, long-term mitigation goals.

<http://www.fema.gov/flood-mitigation-assistance-program>

Detailed program and application information for federal post-disaster and pre-disaster programs can be found in the FY15 Hazard Mitigation Assistance Unified Guidance, available at:

<https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/103279>. Note that guidance regularly changes. Verify that you have the most recent edition. Flood mitigation assistance is usually offered annually; applications are submitted online. Applicants need a user profile approved by the State Hazard Mitigation Officer, which should be garnered well before the application period opens.

For Oregon Military Department, Office of Emergency Management (OEM) grant guidance on Federal Hazard Mitigation Assistance,

visit: http://www.oregon.gov/OMD/OEM/pages/all_grants.aspx -

[Hazard_Mitigation_Grantshttps://www.oregon.gov/OEM/emresources/Grants/Pages/HMA.aspx](https://www.oregon.gov/OEM/emresources/Grants/Pages/HMA.aspx)

Contact: Angie Lane, angie.lane@state.or.us

State Programs

State Preparedness and Incident Response Equipment (SPIRE)

Oregon House Bill 2687 became effective in August 2017. It established a grant program to distribute emergency preparedness equipment to local governments and other recipients to be used to decrease risk of life and property resulting from an emergency. Items purchased must qualify as capital assets, meaning individual items must cost at least \$5,000. A total of \$5,000,000 is available to procure emergency preparedness equipment to help Oregon communities prepare, respond, and recover from emergencies. The upcoming deadline for this grant program is February 28, 2019. The contact for the SPIRE program is Jim Jungling, jim.jungling@state.or.us.

<https://www.oregon.gov/oem/Documents/Notice%20of%20Proposed%20Rulemaking%20Hearing%2011-26-18%20-%20Chapter%20104%20Division%2045.pdf>

Seismic Rehabilitation Grant Program

The Seismic Rehabilitation Grant Program (SRGP) provides state funds to strengthen public schools and emergency services buildings so they will be less damaged during an earthquake. Reducing property damage, injuries, and casualties caused by earthquakes is the goal of the SRGP. <http://www.orinfrastructure.org/Infrastructure-Programs/Seismic-Rehab/>

Community Development Block Grant Program

The Community Development Block Grant Program promotes viable communities by providing: 1) decent housing; 2) quality living environments; and 3) economic opportunities, especially for low and moderate income persons. Eligible activities most relevant to natural hazards mitigation include: acquisition of property for public purposes; construction/reconstruction of public infrastructure; community planning activities. Under special circumstances, CDBG funds also can be used to meet urgent community development needs arising in the last 18 months which pose immediate threats to health and welfare. http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/communitydevelopment/programs

Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board

While OWEB's primary responsibilities are implementing projects addressing coastal salmon restoration and improving water quality statewide, these projects can sometimes also benefit efforts to reduce flood and landslide hazards. In addition, OWEB conducts watershed workshops for landowners, watershed councils, educators, and others, and conducts a biennial conference highlighting watershed efforts statewide. Funding for OWEB programs comes from the general fund, state lottery, timber tax revenues, license plate revenues, angling license fees, and other sources. OWEB awards approximately \$20 million in funding annually. More information at: <http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/Pages/index.aspx>

Federal Mitigation Programs, Activities & Initiatives

Basic & Applied Research/Development

National Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program (NEHRP), National Science Foundation.

Through broad based participation, the NEHRP attempts to mitigate the effects of earthquakes. Member agencies in NEHRP are the US Geological Survey (USGS), the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST). The agencies focus on research and development in areas such as the science of earthquakes, earthquake performance of buildings and other structures, societal impacts, and emergency response and recovery.

<http://www.nehrp.gov/>

Decision, Risk, and Management Science Program, National Science Foundation.

Supports scientific research directed at increasing the understanding and effectiveness of decision making by individuals, groups, organizations, and society. Disciplinary and interdisciplinary research, doctoral dissertation research, and workshops are funded in the areas of judgment and decision making; decision analysis and decision aids; risk analysis, perception, and communication; societal and public policy decision making; management science and organizational design. The program also supports small grants for exploratory research of a time-critical or high-risk, potentially transformative nature. http://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm_summ.jsp?pims_id=5423

Hazard ID and Mapping

National Flood Insurance Program: Flood Mapping; FEMA

Flood insurance rate maps and flood plain management maps for all NFIP communities. <http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping>

Cooperating Technical Partners

The purpose of the CTP Program is to provide, through a Cooperative Agreement, funds to ensure that partners can perform program management and technical mapping-related activities.

<https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/21123>

National Map: Orthoimagery, DOI – USGS

Develops topographic quadrangles for use in mapping of flood and other hazards. <https://nationalmap.gov/ortho.html>

Mapping Standards Support, DOI-USGS

Expertise in mapping and digital data standards to support the National Flood Insurance Program. <http://ncgmp.usgs.gov/standards.html>

Soil Survey, USDA-NRCS

Maintains soil surveys of counties or other areas to assist with farming, conservation, mitigation or related purposes. http://soils.usda.gov/survey/printed_surveys/

Oregon Coastal Atlas

The Oregon Coastal Atlas is a multi-group project that is a resource for the various audiences that make up the management constituency of the Oregon Coastal Zone. The project is a depot for traditional and digital information interactive mapping, online geospatial analysis tools, and direct download of various planning and natural resource data sets.

<http://www.coastalatlas.net/>

Oregon Geospatial Data Clearinghouse

Hosted by the Oregon Geospatial Enterprise Office (GEO), this is an electronic library of Oregon geographic information including Geographic Information System (GIS) data, orthophotography, Digital Elevation Models, and more.

<http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/CIO/GEO/Pages/sdlibrary.aspx>

Oregon Explorer

The Oregon-Explorer – maintained by the Institute for Natural Resources at Oregon State – provides several portals developed to provide background information about many topics relevant to Oregon natural hazards. Tools include the Hazards Reporter, an interactive map viewer created to provide current detailed information for hazards such as flood, tsunami, earthquake, volcano, and landslides for a variety of users including planners.

<http://oregonexplorer.info/hazards/OregonsNaturalHazards>

Oregon HazVu: Statewide Geohazards Viewer

HazVu provides a way to view many different geohazards in Oregon. You can enter the address for your home, school, business, or public buildings in your area to see what hazards might affect you. You can print the map you create. Geohazards include 100-year flooding; Cascadia Subduction Zone earthquake shaking and tsunami; coastal erosion; volcano; landslide; active faults; earthquake soft soil; and more. Assets include state-owned/leased facilities and public buildings such as schools, police and fire stations, and hospitals, as well as links to seismic assessment reports for these public buildings.

<http://www.oregongeology.org/sub/hazvu/index.htm>

Oregon Risk MAP

FEMA's Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (Risk MAP) program represents a flood hazard mapping and risk analysis process with planning and mitigation considerations woven throughout. Risk MAP involves: (1) discovering local needs, (2) mapping with better base data, and (3) working with community representatives in assessing risk and vulnerability. Risk MAP concerns the community, making maps and information available in a way that that makes sense, is understandable, and is usable.

<http://www.oregonriskmap.com/>

RAPTOR - Real Time Assessment and Planning Tool for Oregon

RAPTOR is used within Oregon's emergency management community to view and interact with critical geospatial base maps, aerial imagery, preparedness, hazards, weather and event related data via the internet.

<http://www.oregon.gov/oem/emops/Pages/RAPTOR.aspx>

Project Support

Coastal Zone Management Program, NOAA.

Provides grants for planning and implementation of non-structural coastal flood and hurricane hazard mitigation projects and coastal wetlands restoration. <https://coast.noaa.gov/czm/>

Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Communities Program, US Department of Housing and Urban Development

Provides grants to entitled cities and urban counties to develop viable communities (e.g., decent housing, a suitable living environment, expanded economic opportunities), principally for low- and moderate- income persons. http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/community_development/programs/entitlement

National Fire Plan (DOI – USDA)

The NFP provides technical, financial, and resource guidance and support for wildland fire management across the United States. This plan addresses five key points: firefighting, rehabilitation, hazardous fuels reduction, community assistance, and accountability. <http://www.forestsandrangelands.gov/>

Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program, FEMA

FEMA AFGM grants are awarded to fire departments to enhance their ability to protect the public and fire service personnel from fire and related hazards. Three types of grants are available: Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG), Fire Prevention and Safety (FP&S), and Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER). <http://www.fema.gov/welcome-assistance-firefighters-grant-program>

Emergency Watershed Protection Program, USDA-NRCS

Provides technical and financial assistance for relief from imminent hazards in small watersheds, and to reduce vulnerability of life and property in small watershed areas damaged by severe natural hazard events. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/programs/landscape/ewpp>

Rural Development Assistance – Utilities, USDA

Direct and guaranteed rural economic loans and business enterprise grants to address utility issues and development needs.

<https://www.rd.usda.gov/about-rd/agencies/rural-utilities-service>

Rural Development Assistance – Housing, USDA.

The RDA program provides grants, loans, and technical assistance in addressing rehabilitation, health and safety needs in primarily low-income rural areas. Declaration of major disaster necessary. <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services>

Public Assistance Grant Program, FEMA.

The objective of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Public Assistance (PA) Grant Program is to provide assistance to State, Tribal and local governments, and certain types of Private Nonprofit organizations so that communities can quickly respond to and recover from major disasters or emergencies declared by the President. <http://www.fema.gov/public-assistance-local-state-tribal-and-non-profit>

National Flood Insurance Program, FEMA

The NFIP makes available flood insurance to residents of communities that adopt and enforce minimum floodplain management requirements. <http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program>

HOME Investments Partnerships Program, HUD

The HOME IPP provides grants to states, local government and consortia for permanent and transitional housing (including support for property acquisition and rehabilitation) for low-income persons. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/affordablehousing/programs/home/

Disaster Recovery Initiative, HUD

The DRI provides grants to fund gaps in available recovery assistance after disasters (including mitigation). http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/communitydevelopment/programs/dri

Emergency Management Performance Grants, FEMA

EMPG grants help state and local governments to sustain and enhance their all-hazards emergency management programs. <https://www.fema.gov/emergency-management-performance-grant-program>

Partners for Fish and Wildlife, DOI – FWS

The PFW program provides financial and technical assistance to private landowners interested in pursuing restoration projects affecting wetlands and riparian habitats. <http://www.fws.gov/partners/>

North American Wetland Conservation Fund, DOI-FWS

NAWC fund provides cost-share grants to stimulate public/private partnerships for the protection, restoration, and management of wetland habitats. <http://www.fws.gov/birdhabitat/Grants/index.shtm> and <https://www.grants-gov.net/cfda.php?CFDANumber=15.623>

Federal Land Transfer / Federal Land to Parks Program, DOI-NPS

Identifies, assesses, and transfers available federal real property for acquisition for State and local parks and recreation, such as open space. <http://www.nps.gov/ncrc/programs/flp/index.htm>

Wetlands Reserve program, USDA-NCRS

The WR program provides financial and technical assistance to protect and restore wetlands through easements and restoration agreements. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/programs/easements/wetlands>

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, US Forest Service.

Reauthorized for FY2012, it was originally enacted in 2000 to provide five years of transitional assistance to rural counties affected by the decline in revenue from timber harvests on federal lands. Funds have been used for improvements to public schools, roads, and stewardship projects. Money is also available for maintaining infrastructure, improving the health of watersheds and ecosystems, protecting communities, and strengthening local economies. <http://www.fs.usda.gov/pts/>

The Oregon Climate Change Adaptation Framework

This 2010 report provides a framework for the continued development of strategies and plans to address future climate conditions in the state. It is the result of a collaborative effort between Oregon's state agencies, and with support from the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute.

http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/docs/ClimateChange/Framework_Final.pdf

Oregon Climate Assessment Report

The Oregon State Legislature established the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) within the Department of Higher Education in 2007. OCCRI is a network of over 150 researchers at Oregon State University (OSU), the University of Oregon, Portland State University, Southern Oregon University, and affiliated federal and state labs. OCCRI is administered by OSU. The Third Oregon Climate Assessment Report was released in January 2017.

<http://www.occri.net/publications-and-reports/third-oregon-climate-assessment-report-2017/>

Oregon Health Authority (OHA)

Environmental public health works to identify, assess and report on threats to human health from exposure to environmental and occupational hazards, and advise Oregon communities on potential

risks where they live, work and play in order to remain healthy and safe. OHA's Climate and Health Program is working with partners to study, prevent, and plan for the health effects of climate change.

The Climate and Health Resilience Plan offers a selection of strategies and policy priorities for state, local, and tribal public health practitioners and partners.

<http://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/CLIMATECHANGE/Pages/resilience-plan.aspx>

Oregon's Public Health Hazard Vulnerability Assessment summarizes public health consequences of Oregon's likely hazards based on the input from local health jurisdictions, tribal health agencies, and emergency management partners.

<http://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/Preparedness/Partners/Documents/OHA%208584%20PH%20Hazard%20Vulnerability.pdf>

Special Edition of Silver Jackets newsletter

This edition, prepared to provide a reference of federal agency programs, resources and training opportunities that interagency Silver Jackets teams can leverage to achieve their flood risk management goals, is also useful to local government seeking funds to advance their flood mitigation efforts.

<http://silverjackets.nfrmp.us/Resources/Silver-Jackets-Newsletter/The-Buzz-August-2014>

USGS Natural Hazards

The USGS Natural Hazards Mission Area includes six science programs: Coastal & Marine Geology, Earthquake Hazards, Geomagnetism, Global Seismographic Network, Landslide Hazards, and Volcano Hazards. Through these programs, the USGS provides alerts and warnings of geologic hazards and interactive maps and data.

http://www.usgs.gov/natural_hazards/

State Interagency Hazard Mitigation Team (IHMT) website

Find IHMT meeting dates and locations, agendas, minutes and meeting materials. The State IHMT is comprised of about 18 state agencies involved with natural hazards. The State IHMT meets quarterly to understand losses arising from natural hazards, coordinate recommended strategies to mitigate loss of life, property, and natural resources, and maintain the Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan.

<http://www.oregon.gov/oem/Councils-and-Committees/Pages/IHMT.aspx>

Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP)

The Oregon NHMP identifies and prioritizes potential actions throughout Oregon that would reduce our vulnerability to natural hazards. In addition, the plan satisfies the requirements of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to ensure that Oregon is eligible to receive hazard mitigation and disaster assistance funds from the federal government. The current version of the

plan was approved on September 24, 2015 as an Enhanced State Plan and is effective through September 23, 2020.

<https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/NH/Pages/Mitigation-Planning.aspx>

Subscribe to the Oregon NHMP list serve and receive an email when the site is updated (approximately quarterly).

<http://listsmart.osl.state.or.us/mailman/listinfo/2015-or-nhmp>

APPENDIX F:

FUTURE CLIMATE PROJECTIONS REPORTS

Introduction

As part of the PDM 16 grants, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) contracted with the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) to provide an analysis of climate change influences on natural hazards. The collaboration resulted in multiple products which provide important information regarding the influence and impacts of climate change on existing natural hazards events such as heavy rains, river flooding, droughts, heat waves, cold waves, wildfire, and air quality.

The products include:

- *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County;*
- *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports;*
- *Climate Change One-Pager;* and
- *Future Climate Change Projections to Support County Natural hazard Mitigation Planning in Oregon* (webinar).

Two of the products are included within this Appendix, the *Future Climate Projections: Malheur County* and the *Climate Change Influence on Natural Hazards in Eight Oregon Counties: Overview of County Reports*.

In addition to this Appendix, see also Volume I Section 2 Risk Assessment and Volume II Hazard Annexes for more climate change information.

APPENDIX G: SUCCESS STORIES

Introduction

There are many times when a community ascertains a problem or an issue and then works to troubleshoot or problem solve. That takes recognition and commitment.

One illustration of this commitment to increase resilience is that mitigation actions identified in the NHMPs can become integrated into the regular activities that a community does. For example, these activities may be something like a yearly trimming of roadside vegetation to reduce fuel load for wildfires or a public outreach campaign each winter to alert and remind people of winter hazards. In the mitigation actions tables, communities often mark these activities or actions as “on-going.” These on-going activities have become well accepted activities the community continues to prioritize each year. This is a very good accomplishment to have mitigation integrated as a priority.

Mitigation actions can also be achieved through specific projects.

Below, there are examples from the Malheur County NHMP Steering Committee of success stories.

City of Nyssa

The City of Nyssa has a bridge that crosses the Snake River and connects to Idaho. The City’s water source is connected via a pipe on the bridge. One of the biggest concerns to the community was that if this bridge went down, the water source would go with it. If the water source were to go down, say in an earthquake or other disaster situation, the City’s water source would be unavailable. To have a back-up supply of water was key for the community.

In addition, in the *2014 Malheur County NHMP*, the multi-hazard action (MH) #7 was “Install arsenic removal equipment on municipal wells #7 and #9 to provide backup drinking water supply out of the floodplain.”

The Public Works lead, Duane Petty, talked with Jim Maret, the City Manager, about making the well water in South Park available in case of an emergency. This would necessitate getting approvals from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to use the well water for human consumption; the City would need to demonstrate that the water was potable. The City did the necessary tests and received approval to use the well water in time of an emergency. The water system now has a tie in valve to connect the well to the water system so “now if something happens we are ready” says Jim Maret. In addition, Maret says “I think this is a great step in emergency preparedness and Mr. Petty needs to be commended on his thought and efforts, for our City.”¹

The City of Nyssa built a new water treatment facility to serve the people of Nyssa; it opened in 2018.

¹ Jim Maret, City Manager of Nyssa, personal communication, May 21, 2018.

Figure G-1 View of South Park in Nyssa, OR



Source: Tricia Sears, DLCD, personal communication, August 8, 2018

Figure G-2 View of the Water Treatment Facility



Source: Tricia Sears, DLCD, personal communication, August 8, 2018

Figure G-3 View from the Nyssa Water Treatment Plant to the Bridge that Crosses the Snake River into Idaho




Source: Tricia Sears, DLCD, personal communication, August 8, 2018

Cascade Natural Gas

Cascade Natural Gas staff noticed that during severe snowstorms the snow would pile up around their natural gas meters. They put an announcement in the local newspapers and in the January bills for Cascade Natural Gas customers to alert people to this situation and identified the need for people to take action to not put snow by the natural gas meters. The announcement was published in the Argus Observer in Ontario and the Baker City Herald. They also sent the information to the City Managers of Ontario, Nyssa, Vale, and Baker City so that the managers could distribute the information. A noticeable increase in accessibility to gas meters during snowstorms has occurred.

Figure G-4 Cascade Natural Gas Announcement



Source: Kyle McCauley, Cascade Natural Gas, personal communication, April 2018



FEMA

June 19, 2019

Mr. Joseph Murray
State Hazard Mitigation Planner
Oregon Military Department
Office of Emergency Management
P.O. Box 14370
Salem, Oregon 97309

Dear Mr. Murray:

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region 10 completed a pre-adoption review of the draft *Malheur County Hazard Mitigation Plan*. The attached Mitigation Plan Review Tool documents the Region's review and compliance with all required elements of 44 CFR Part 201.6, as well as identifies the jurisdictions participating in the planning process. This letter serves as Region 10's commitment to approve the plan upon receiving documentation of its adoption by participating jurisdictions.

Formal adoption documentation must be submitted to FEMA Region 10 by at least one jurisdiction within one calendar year of the date of this letter, or the entire plan must be updated and resubmitted for review. Once FEMA approves the plan, the jurisdictions are eligible to apply for FEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance grants.

Please contact Jake Grabowsky, Oregon FIT Hazard Mitigation Community Planner, at (202) 856-1901 or james.grabowsky@fema.dhs.gov with any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Tamra Biasco".

x

Tamra Biasco
Chief, Risk Analysis Branch
Mitigation Division

JG

FEMA REGION 10 LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in [44 CFR §201.6](#) and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to participating jurisdictions.

1. The Multi-Jurisdiction Summary Sheet is used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements in the Plan.
2. The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA's evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
3. The Plan Assessment identifies the plan's strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference the [Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide](#) when completing this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Jurisdiction: Malheur County with the Cities of Ontario, Nyssa, and Vale	Title of Plan: Malheur County Multi-Jurisdiction Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan	Date of Plan: April 2019
Local Point of Contact: Rich Harriman, Lt.	Address: Malheur County Sheriff's Office 151 "B" Street Vale, Oregon 97918	
Title: Emergency Services Manager		
Agency: Malheur County Sheriff's Office		
Phone Number: 541-473-5120 (desk) 541-709-7726 (cell)		E-Mail: rharriman@malheurco.org

State Reviewer: Joseph Murray	Title: Planner	Date: May 16, 2019
---	--------------------------	------------------------------

FEMA Reviewer: Jake Grabowsky	Title: Hazard Mitigation Planner	Date: 06/19/2019
Date Received in FEMA Region 10	05/16/2019	
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption	06/19/2019	
Plan Approved		

SECTION 1: MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (used only for multi-jurisdictional plans)

INSTRUCTIONS: The Multi-Jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet is completed by listing each participating jurisdiction and which required Elements for each jurisdiction were 'Met' or 'Not Met,' and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it is used to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (Add additional pages if necessary)										
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city, district, etc.)	POC	Required Revisions / Comments	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
					A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
1	Malheur County	county	Tricia Sears, DLCD, 503-934-0031 and tricia.sears@state.or.us ; Rich Harriman, Malheur County		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	n/a
2	City of Ontario	city	Adam Brown, City Manager 541-881-3223 and adam.brown@ontariooregon.org		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	n/a
3	City of Nyssa	city	Jim Maret, City Manager 541-212-2863 (cell) and jmaret@nyssacity.org		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	n/a
4	City of Vale	city	Katy Lamb, City Manager 541-473-3133 and klamb@cityofvale.com & Mike McLaughlin, Mayor 503-319-1097 and valemayor@fmtc.com		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	n/a
7										
8										
9										

SECTION 2: REGULATION CHECKLIST

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist is completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been 'Met' or 'Not Met.' The 'Required Revisions' summary at the bottom of each Element is completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions are explained for each plan sub-element that is 'Not Met.' Sub-elements are referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS				
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Executive Summary, see 1-6 Introduction, see I to iii Appendix B, see pages B-1 to B-12	X		
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Introduction, see 1-3, 1-4, 1-6 Appendix B, see B-13 to B-69	X		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Introduction, see 1-3, 1-4 Appendix B, see B-13 to B-69	X		
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	Executive Summary, see ii-iii Mitigation Strategy, see page 3-3 Implementation and Maintenance, see 4-3 to 4-4 and Table 4-1 Appendix C, see page C-46 to C-50 and Table C-24	X		
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	Executive Summary, see vi Implementation and Maintenance, see 4-1, 4-2, 4-5 to 4-8 Appendix B, see B-5	X		

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))		Executive Summary, see ii, iii, vi Implementation and Maintenance, see 4-5 to 4-8 Appendix B, see B-5	X	
ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))		Risk Assessment includes a summary of each hazard, see 2-1 to 2-35 Hazard Annexes contain a more detailed analysis of each natural hazard, see each annex.	X	
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))		Risk Assessment includes a summary of each hazard, see 2-1 to 2-35 Hazard Annexes contain a more detailed analysis of each natural hazard, see each annex.	X	

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))		Risk Assessment includes a summary of each hazard, see 2-1 to 2-35 Hazard Annexes contain a more detailed analysis of each natural hazard, see each annex.	X	
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))		Risk Assessment, see 2-28 Flood Annex, see FL-11 to FL-12 and Table FL-2	X	
ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))		Implementation and Maintenance, see 4-3 to 4-4 and Table 4-1 Appendix C, see C-46 to C-50 and Table C-24	X	
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))		Risk Assessment, see 2-28 Flood Annex, see FL-11 to FL-12 and Table FL-2	X	
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))		Executive Summary, see v Mitigation Strategy, see 3-1 to 3-2	X	
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))		Mitigation Strategy, see 3-9 to 3-26 status of prior mitigation actions, Table 3-2 and 3-27 to 3-36 Table 3-2 mitigation actions for 2019	X	
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))		Mitigation Strategy, see 3-3 to 3-8 Appendix D, see D1-to D8	X	

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))		Mitigation Strategy, see 3-3 to 3-8	X	
<u>ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
<u>ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION</u> (applicable to plan updates only)				
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))		Risk Assessment, see each brief description of the natural hazard. Hazard Annexes, see each hazard for a detailed description of the hazard including changes in development. The Risk Assessment, Hazard Annexes, and Appendix F all have climate change information.	X	
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))		Mitigation Strategy, see 3-9 to 3-26 status of prior mitigation actions,	X	
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))		Mitigation Strategy, see Table 3-2 and 3-27 to 3-36 Table 3 3-2 mitigation actions for 2019 Appendix A, see all pages for the details on the mitigation actions Appendix B, see B-2 to B-6 for a summary of changes to the NHMP Appendix G includes success stories	X	

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION				
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Not yet			
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Not yet			
ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)				

SECTION 3: PLAN ASSESSMENT

A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

Element A: Planning Process

Plan Strengths

- Regional and state officials from Idaho were included.

Opportunities for Improvement

- Several of the hazards that affect Malheur County cross county lines. For the next update, please consider inviting the surrounding counties to comment and be involved in the planning process.

Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

Plan Strengths

- Climate change is effectively included throughout the plan for each hazard.
- Included the incorporation of specific comments made at a public meeting.

Opportunities for Improvement

- Using maps more often throughout the Risk Assessment would help provide context for location.
- The extent and location of some hazards could have been better, particularly the hazards lumped together under severe weather.
-

Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (*Plan Updates Only*)

Plan Strengths

- Success stories were a great addition to the plan!
- Table 3-2 detailing the status of the 2014 plan mitigation actions was very well done.



**AGENDA REPORT
NEW BUSINESS
July 3, 2019**

To: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Terry Leighton, Fire Chief

THROUGH: Adam J. Brown, City Manager

SUBJECT: **2019-2021 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR REGIONAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM SERVICES**

DATE: July 1, 2019

PROPOSED MOTION:

I MOVE THE CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND FIRE CHIEF TO SIGN THE BIENNIAL 2019-2021 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ONTARIO AND THE OFFICE OF STATE FIRE MARSHAL.

SUMMARY:

The City of Ontario and the Office of State Fire Marshal have been working together by intergovernmental agreement for the Regional Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team Services. The current contract will end on June 30, 2019. There have been some wording changes and updates made to the pay scale. Mr. Larry Sullivan has reviewed the changes and feels the contract is still applicable and good for all parties. It is requested that the Council authorize the Mayor and Fire Chief to sign this 2019-2021 agreement to continue the positive working relationship we have with the State Fire Marshal.

BACKGROUND:

The City of Ontario and Oregon State Fire Marshal entered this intergovernmental agreement for the Regional Hazardous Materials Response Team in 1994 and have been working under this type of an agreement since 1994. The Council approved the 2017-2019 contract in November of 2017.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The Intergovernmental agreement for Regional Hazardous Materials Response Team Services allows the state and the City of Ontario to work together to provide protection and coverage for all of southeastern Oregon in the event of any type of spill or accidental release of an unknown or hazardous material. The state has made some wording changes to the contract that don't change the way business is being handled currently. Larry Sullivan has reviewed the

contract and finds no issues in the changes. This agreement allows Ontario to have good training and excellent equipment readily available to be able to investigate and mitigate any type of hazardous incident in our area. The time required for a response team to come from the other areas of the state could be very detrimental to the community we serve.

ANALYSIS:

- A. **FINANCIAL** Through this agreement the state is providing many hours of training, hundreds of thousands of dollars of equipment to use and biannual physicals for most of our members. All of these benefits are paid for by the State Fire Marshal office. Our cost is to insure the equipment and to provide a safe place to store the equipment. We also supply the fuel and upkeep of the equipment.
- B. **TIMING** The current agreement will expire on June 30, 2019 and time is needed for the signatures to be made locally and then be able to have time to be signed by the State Fire Marshal.
- C. **POLICY/LEGAL** This is a legal agreement between the City of Ontario and the Oregon State Fire Marshal.

ALTERNATIVES:

- A. Renegotiate the terms of the agreement provided by the State Fire Marshal office.
- B. If necessary changes some of the terms of the agreement.
- C. Not allow the Mayor and Fire Chief to sign the agreement. The community would lose the coverage it has in the event of any type of unknown or hazardous materials spill. Termination of this agreement would result in approximately \$635,000 worth of equipment being returned to the State of Oregon.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council allow the Mayor and Fire Chief to sign the agreement between the City of Ontario and the Oregon State Fire Marshal.

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. HM14 2019-2021 RHMERT IGA

**INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR
REGIONAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCY
RESPONSE TEAM SERVICES**

Between

**THE STATE OF OREGON, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS
DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE
ON BEHALF OF ITS
OFFICE OF STATE FIRE MARSHAL**

And

Ontario Fire & Rescue

**STATE OF OREGON
Kate Brown, Governor**

State Fire Marshal

July 1, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Agreement Type	5
Recitals	5
1.0 Agreement Term	5
2.0 Definitions	5
3.0 Statement of Work	7
3.1 Services to be Provided by Contractor	
3.2 Compliance with Regulatory Requirements	
3.3 Personnel	
3.4 Vehicles and Equipment	
3.5 RHMERT Coordination Obligations	
3.6 Right of Refusal	
3.7 Standard Operating Guidelines	
3.8 Administrative Rules	
4.0 Contractor Compensation	11
4.1 RHMERT Stand-By Costs	
4.1.1 Specialized Training Costs	
4.1.2 Medical Surveillance	
4.1.3 Vehicle(s) and Equipment Loans	
4.1.4 Contractor Stand-by Costs	
4.2 RHMERT Response Costs	
4.3 Billing System	
4.4 Interest	
4.5 State Funding Available	
4.6 Prior Approval	
4.7 Response Procedures and Limitations; Automatic Response	
4.8 State Spill Response Revolving Fund	
5.0 Where No Responsible Person Can Be Identified	17
6.0 Independent Contractor Status	17
7.0 Retirement System Status; Social Security; Workers Compensation	18
8.0 Assignments; Subcontracts	18
9.0 Successors in Interest	18
10.0 Compliance with Government Regulations	18
11.0 Force Majeure	18

12.0	Indemnification; Contribution; Scope of Liability	18
12.1	Activities Authorized Under ORS 453.374 – 453.390	
12.2	Activities Not Authorized Under ORS 453.374 – 453.390	
12.3	Third Party Claims	
12.4	Limitations	
12.5	Notifications	
13.0	Severability	20
14.0	Access to Records.....	20
14.1	Confidentiality	
15.0	Amendments	21
16.0	Payment of Contractor Obligations	21
17.0	Non-Discrimination	21
18.0	Dual Payment.....	21
19.0	Payment for Medical Care	21
20.0	Insurance Coverage	22
20.1	Workers' Compensation Insurance	
20.2	Commercial General Liability	
20.3	Automobile Liability	
20.4	"Tail" Coverage	
20.5	Notice of Cancellation or Change	
20.6	Certificate(s) of Insurance	
20.7	Additional Insured	
21.0	Governing Law; Venue; Consent to Jurisdiction.....	23
22.0	Termination.....	23
23.0	Approval Authority.....	24
24.0	Reserved	24
25.0	Written Notifications	24
26.0	Merger; Waiver.....	24
27.0	Remedies.....	24
28.0	Non-Appropriation	25
29.0	Alternative Dispute Resolution.....	25
30.0	Travel Reimbursement Costs.....	25
31.0	Counterparts.....	25
32.0	Authorized Representatives	26
	Approving Signatures	27

Agreement Exhibits

Exhibit A	Regional Team Primary Response Area Boundary Description
Exhibit B	Inventory of OSFM Provided Equipment for RHMERT
Exhibit C	Funding Available For The Purchase And Maintenance of OSFM Provided Equipment for RHMERT
Exhibit D	Specialized Training for RHMERT
Exhibit E	Medical Surveillance for RHMERT
Exhibit F	Extraordinary Response Cost Fee Schedule – Part I
Exhibit G	Extraordinary Response Cost Fee Schedule – Part II Compensation for Contractor’s Response Personnel Non-Officer Officer (Eligible for Overtime) Officer/Salaried (Not Eligible for Overtime)
Exhibit H	Funding for Program Outreach for RHMERT
Exhibit I	Funding for Sub-Committee And Special Projects Participation for RHMERT
Exhibit J	Summary: 2019-2021 Biennium Funding Available for Stand-By Costs
Exhibit K	State Spill Response Revolving Fund

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR REGIONAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM SERVICES

General Agreement Information

Agreement Type: This Agreement is between the State of Oregon, acting by and through its Department of State Police, for the benefit of its Office of State Fire Marshal (hereinafter “OSFM”), and the Ontario Fire & Rescue (hereinafter “Contractor”), each a “Party” and collectively “Parties,” for the provision of regional hazardous materials emergency response team services as described herein and authorized under ORS 453.374 to 453.390.

RECITALS

- A.** In order to protect life and property against the dangers of emergencies involving hazardous materials, the State Fire Marshal may assign and make available for use in any county, city or district, any part of a Regional Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team.
- B.** The OSFM desires to enter into this Agreement to designate Contractor as part of a Regional Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team for “**HM14**” as described in Exhibit A, and Contractor desires to be so designated and to enter into this Agreement.

STANDARD AGREEMENT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1.0 AGREEMENT TERM.

1.1 This Agreement shall be effective as of July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2021, unless terminated prior in accordance with other provisions of this Agreement.

1.2 Subject to Legislative approval, future Agreements, if any, will be awarded on a biennial basis.

2.0 DEFINITIONS.

“**Agreement**” means this Intergovernmental Agreement, all attachments and exhibits hereto, and any future amendments.

“**Automatic Response**” means the authority to respond to any incident beyond the capabilities of local responders without approval prior to team response by the OSFM Duty Officer. Incident must involve a hazardous spill, leak, explosion, or injury, or potential thereof, with immediate threat to life, environment, or property.

“**Clean-up**” means the measures taken after Emergency Response to permanently remove the hazard from the incident site.

“**Contractor approved**” means any non-RHMERT activities that are undertaken by Contractor or Contractor’s employees that are in conformity with Contractor’s established policies and procedures for daily operations.

“**Contractor’s RHMERT Members**” means the employees, agents or members of the Contractor designated by the Contractor to serve on the RHMERT for “**HM14**” as described in Exhibit A.

“**Emergency Response**” has the meaning as defined in OAR 837-120-0010(5), and includes those actions and services set out in OAR 837-120-0020(3).

“Emergency Response Costs” means the total Emergency Response expense, including team response costs, arising from a hazardous materials emergency. Such costs generally include, but are not limited to, all OSFM and Contractor expenses that result from the assessment and emergency phases of the response activity. Emergency response costs do not include clean up or disposal costs of hazardous materials, except, as may be reasonably necessary and incidental to preventing a Release or threat of Release or in stabilizing the Emergency Response incident.

“Extraordinary Response Costs” means and is equivalent to “team response costs”. See also OAR 837-120-0090(4).

“Hazardous Materials” means "hazardous substance" as that term is defined in ORS 453.307(5).

“Incident” means any actual or imminent threat of a Release, or any rupture, fire or accident that results in, or has the potential to result in, the loss or escape of a hazardous material into the environment.

“Intergovernmental Agreement” means an agreement between an agency or agencies of the State of Oregon and one or more Oregon units of local government.

“Local Government Body” means a city, county, special district or subdivision thereof.

“Oregon-OSHA” means the Oregon Occupational Safety and Health Act as administered by the Occupational Safety and Health Division of the Department of Insurance and Finance.

“ORS” means Oregon Revised Statutes.

“OSFM-Provided Equipment” means all vehicles, equipment, and supplies loaned, delivered, or otherwise provided to the RHMERT by OSFM to perform the services required under this Agreement including, but not limited to, the items listed in Exhibit B.

“PPE” means Personal Protective Equipment.

“Primary Response Area” means that geographical region where the Contractor is principally responsible for providing regional Hazardous Materials Emergency Response services pursuant to this Agreement.

“Regional Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team” (RHMERT) means the group of individuals, including the employees or agents of the Contractor, designated or tasked to respond to, control, or stabilize actual or potential emergency releases of hazardous substances in "HM14" as described in Exhibit A. A RHMERT operates within the limits discussed in Oregon-OSHA's OAR 437, Division 2, which is incorporated herein by this reference.

“Release” shall have the same meaning as that in ORS 465.200(22).

“Responsible Person” means the individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, limited liability companies, joint stock companies, public and municipal corporations, political subdivisions, the state and any agency thereof, and the federal government and any agency thereof responsible for causing the emergency to which the RHMERT responded. (See, e.g. ORS 453.382).

“RHMERT Operations” means Emergency Response operations conducted by the Contractor in "HM14".

“RHMERT Operations Rehabilitation Costs” means the cost of providing rehydration and food for RHMERT team members during RHMERT Operations.

“Stand-By Activities” means Contractor’s activities associated with specialized training, medical surveillance, and routine maintenance for vehicles and equipment.

“Stand-By Costs” means Contractor’s costs associated with specialized training costs, medical surveillance costs, and vehicles and equipment loans, as provided in Section 4.1 of this Agreement.

“State” means the State of Oregon acting by and through the Department of State Police for the benefit of its Office of State Fire Marshal.

“State Spill Response Revolving Fund” means the revolving fund established under ORS 453.390.

“Teams Advisory Group” means a group consisting of one appointed member from each RHMERT, who provide technical advice to the State Fire Marshal on equipment, vehicles, operating guidelines and similar operational issues.

“Team Response Costs” means those Contractor expenses which are directly related to RHMERT Operations, are expressly allowed under this Agreement, and are approved by the OSFM. “Team response costs” are equivalent to “extraordinary response costs”. See also OAR 837-120-0090(4).

3.0 STATEMENT OF WORK.

3.1 SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED BY CONTRACTOR.

3.1.1 During the term of this Agreement the Contractor agrees to provide RHMERT emergency response services within the boundaries of Contractor’s assigned Primary Response Area as generally depicted and described in "Exhibit A", Regional Team Primary Response Area Boundary Description, and by this reference incorporated herein. Contractor shall perform RHMERT Operations in "**HM14**" as described in Exhibit A.

3.1.2 Contractor RHMERT Operations under this Agreement shall be limited to emergency operations, reporting and documentation activities arising from a Hazardous Materials Emergency Response as authorized by this Agreement, ORS 453.374 to 453.390, and OAR Chapter 837 Division 120.

3.1.3 Contractor’s RHMERT Members shall not provide the following services as part of this Agreement, except where they may be reasonably necessary to prevent a Release or threat of Release, or as required to stabilize an Incident:

- removal,
- remediation,
- recovery,
- packaging,
- monitoring,
- transportation,
- movement of hazardous materials,
- cleanup,
- storage, or
- disposal of hazardous materials.

3.1.4 Contractor’s RHMERT Members shall not provide the following services at or near the emergency response Incident to which the Contractor is dispatched:

- maintain general security or safety perimeters at or near sites and vessels,
- locate underground utilities,
- ensure appropriate traffic control services,
- conduct hydrological investigations or analysis, or
- provide testing, removal and disposal of underground storage tanks

3.1.5 Contractor shall make no representation(s) or warranty(s) to third parties with regard to the ultimate outcome of the hazardous materials services to be provided, but shall respond to the best of its abilities, subject to the terms of this Agreement.

3.1.6 Contractor personnel shall perform only those actions and duties for which they are trained and equipped.

3.1.7 Contractor shall coordinate its response activities with all other contractors that respond to, control, or stabilize actual or potential emergency releases of hazardous substances in **HM14** under an Intergovernmental Agreement with OSFM; provided, however, that nothing in this section shall limit a Contractor's ability to coordinate with other entities in responding to an Incident.

3.2 COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. Contractor certifies that its employees, equipment, and vehicles meet or exceed applicable regulatory requirements. Contractor further agrees that all OSFM-Owned Equipment in Exhibit B shall be maintained by the Contractor to meet or exceed all applicable regulatory requirements.

3.3 PERSONNEL. Contractor shall:

3.3.1 Provide the number of trained, medically monitored, competent, and supervised RHMERT personnel as specified in Exhibits D and E of this Agreement, as is necessary to operate within the safety levels of a RHMERT as specified in the RHMERT Standard Operating Guidelines. Contractor shall limit its team activities to that within the safety and training levels specified by Oregon-OSHA for a Hazardous Materials response team.

3.3.2 Document training and experience and ensure that its team members complete the tasks in the Hazardous Material Technician Task Book within the established 24 month period. The established 24 month period consists of portions of two Task Book Cycles; continuation of the current Task Book cycle ending on December 31, 2019 and the cycle running January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2021.

3.3.3 Submit annually the Task Book reporting form to the OSFM no later than 10th of January of each year.

3.4 VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT.

3.4.1 Use of OSFM-Provided Equipment. Contractor shall:

- i. Operate a RHMERT using the OSFM-Provided Equipment specified in Exhibit "B" of this Agreement;
- ii. Limit its activities to that which can be safely accomplished within the technical limitations of the OSFM-Provided Equipment;
- iii. At all times use OSFM-Provided Equipment with reasonable and diligent care (taking into consideration the type of equipment and its intended use); and

- iv. At all times use the equipment in accordance with all OSFM Standard Operating Guidelines , available on the OSFM website.
- v. Store OSFM-Provided Equipment in an enclosed, indoor facility unless otherwise authorized by OSFM by prior written approval.

3.4.2 Routine Maintenance/Physical Damage/Repairs.

- i. Routine Maintenance: Contractor shall be responsible for all routine maintenance of OSFM-Provided Equipment. For purposes of this Agreement, routine maintenance means:
 - a. Daily, weekly, and monthly checks of vehicles and equipment.
 - b. Semiannual or mileage-related lubrication, oil and filter changes for vehicles and equipment.
 - c. Annual tune-up of vehicles and equipment as required for preventive maintenance.
 - d. Equipment checks and testing as outlined in the Oregon-OSHA standards and manufacturer's recommendations.
 - e. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) to be tested as per Oregon-OSHA standards and manufacturer's recommendations.
 - f. Communications equipment checked regularly.
- ii. Physical Damage and Repairs: Contractor and OSFM shall each bear responsibility for loss and repair of physical damage to OSFM-Provided Equipment as follows:
 - a. Excluding ordinary wear and tear, when Contractor uses OSFM-Provided Equipment for purposes not authorized under this Agreement and ORS 453.374 to 453.390, including Contractor-approved non-Emergency Response activities or assistance to local government entities at events not meeting OSFM-authorized response criteria, Contractor shall be responsible for any and all physical damage to or loss of such OSFM-Provided Equipment, regardless of fault.
 - b. When Contractor uses OSFM-Provided Equipment for purposes authorized under this Agreement and ORS 453.374 to 453.390, including performance of routine maintenance, the OSFM shall be responsible for physical damage to or loss of OSFM-Provided Equipment, except that if such damage or loss is caused by the negligence or willful misconduct of Contractor, Contractor shall be liable for the damage or loss. OSFM's responsibility for physical damage or loss of OSFM-Provided Equipment is subject to the limitations and conditions of the Oregon Risk Management Division Policy 125-7-101 (Property Self-Insurance Policy Manual), Article XI, Section 7 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 30.260 to 30.300 (Oregon Tort Claims Act), and the terms of this agreement.
 - c. Contractor shall be liable for all damage or loss caused by abuse or neglect of OSFM-Provided Equipment, including when used for purposes authorized under this Agreement and ORS 453.374 to 453.390.

- 3.4.3 The Contractor may use the OSFM-Provided Equipment provided in this Agreement in conjunction with Contractor-approved non-Emergency Response activities, however the OSFM-Provided Equipment shall at all times be immediately available for Emergency Response having highest priority. Use of OSFM-Provided Equipment for Contractor-approved non-Emergency Response activities is not a reimbursable expense by OSFM. In addition, use of OSFM-Provided

Equipment for Contractor-approved non-Emergency Response activities shall follow Contractor's established guidelines and policies for daily operations. OSFM-Provided Equipment shall not be used by anyone other than Contractor's RHMERT Members, except as approved by OSFM.

- 3.4.4 Contractor shall submit monthly a vehicle usage and maintenance log to the OSFM no later than the 10th of the following month. Contractor shall record the ending mileage for each vehicle, regardless of the activity; i.e., whether it is Emergency Response, training, maintenance, or any other activity. Logs will be submitted each month via OSFM approved form and must include all maintenance performed to the vehicle with a vendor receipt or fleet print out report for that vehicle.
- 3.4.5 Contractor shall not agree in writing or otherwise with other entities to provide the OSFM-Provided Equipment to assist those entities at events not meeting Emergency Response criteria unless OSFM also is a party to that agreement.
- 3.4.6 Liability for any negligent or willful acts of Contractor's employees undertaken outside the terms of this Agreement will be the sole responsibility of the respective employee and Contractor involved. Contractor warrants that each of Contractor's RHMERT Members shall abide by all Oregon statutes, rules and specific agency policies and procedures regarding the use of OSFM-Provided Equipment during the course and scope of the employee's employment with Contractor.
- 3.5 RHMERT COORDINATION OBLIGATIONS.** Contractor shall provide team administration for the RHMERT ("Team Administration").
 - 3.5.1 In providing Team Administration, Contractor shall provide qualified personnel ("Team Personnel") to perform the following duties:
 - i. Complete invoicing for RHMERT;
 - ii. Complete phone and mileage logs for RHMERT;
 - iii. Submit purchase requests;
 - iv. Handle incident reporting;
 - v. Oversee allocation of funds from OSFM for RHMERT;
 - vi. Monitor inventory of OSFM-Provided Equipment; and
 - vii. Approve all outreach and training requests for RHMERT.
 - viii. Manage outreach and training requests for the RHMERT; and
 - ix. Serve as the point of contact for RHMERT training opportunities.
 - 3.5.2 Contractor shall coordinate and submit to OSFM all requests for reimbursement from the RHMERT for **HM14**, including requests for reimbursement from any other contractors who serve on the RHMERT for **HM14**.
 - 3.5.3 In performing the duties described in this Section 3.5, Contractor may choose one or more of Contractor's employees to serve as Team Personnel. Contractor shall provide OSFM with the name and contact information of all Team Personnel. Team Personnel will be the RHMERT's primary point of contact for OSFM and shall attend the quarterly meetings of the Team Training and Advisory Committee and the Team Advisory Group and participate actively in those meetings.

3.6 RIGHT OF REFUSAL. The OSFM recognizes that the obligations of the Contractor in its own jurisdiction are paramount. If, on occasion, an Emergency Response under this Agreement would temporarily place an undue burden on the Contractor because Contractor resources are limited or unavailable within the Contractor Primary Response Area, and if prior or immediate notice has been provided to the OSFM Duty Officer, the Contractor may decline a request for an Emergency Response. However, if the Contractor declines a request for an Emergency Response, the Contractor shall ensure the OSFM-Provided Equipment remains available for OSFM's use for that particular Emergency Response.

3.7 STANDARD OPERATING GUIDELINES. Contractor and OSFM agree that RHMERT operations shall be conducted in accordance with the OSFM's Standard Operating Guidelines as reviewed and recommended by the Teams Advisory Group and as mutually approved by the parties to this Agreement.

3.8 ADMINISTRATIVE RULES. The parties acknowledge that the OSFM has adopted OAR Chapter 837, Division 120, and Contractor agrees to comply with those administrative rules and ORS 453.374 to 453.390. If those rules relevant to this agreement are amended, such amendments shall be incorporated into this Agreement by written amendment and may require modification of the procedures, terms and conditions of this Agreement.

4.0 CONTRACTOR COMPENSATION. There are two types of compensation under this Agreement: (1) RHMERT Stand-By Costs, and (2) RHMERT Team Response Costs. Each of these is discussed more fully in sections 4.1 and 4.2 of this Agreement. Compensation provided under this Agreement is apportioned for the entire RHMERT. The total compensation identified in Exhibit J is the not-to-exceed amount for the RHMERT. In the event that the RHMERT consists of more than one contractor, the multiple contractors that make up the RHMERT administration shall share the total compensation identified in Exhibit J. The Team Personnel shall allocate budgeted funds among the contractors in the RHMERT up to, but not in excess of, the maximum compensation identified in Exhibit J.

4.1 RHMERT STAND-BY COSTS. In accordance with budget allocations by the Team Personnel as provided in Section 4.0 of this Agreement, Contractor shall be compensated by the OSFM under this Agreement for its OSFM-approved stand-by costs as provided herein. Such Stand-By Costs include:

4.1.1 Specialized Training Costs. The OSFM will provide funding for advanced training and education to Contractor RHMERT employees as specified in Exhibit "D" if approved by the OSFM in advance. All such training and selection of training or training providers must comply with all federal, state and local rules and regulations. If training is approved, the OSFM agrees to pay the cost of tuition, per diem, personnel costs, and travel expenses (at the approved rates specified in Section 30 of this Agreement), utilizing funds in Exhibit "D" to pay for all above mentioned expenses. With prior approval by the OSFM, one hundred percent of the funding specified in Exhibit "D" may be used to reimburse personnel costs incurred by employees attending specialized training for travel and attendance days only in accordance with Section 30.1 of this Contract and protocols covered in OSFM Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team Standard Operating Guidelines. For purposes of this subsection, "personnel costs" means the dollar figure provided to OSFM by Contractor as the cost of each Contractor's employee to attend OSFM advanced training and education.

4.1.2 Medical Surveillance. The OSFM will provide funding for baseline, maintenance and exit physicals for Contractor RHMERT employees as specified in Exhibit "E" of this Agreement.

Costs for these Medical Surveillance physicals will be based on competitive bid for the protocols covered in the OSFM Hazardous Materials Emergency Response Team Standard Operating Guideline T-015. Selection of health care provider must comply with all federal, state and local rules and regulations.

4.1.3 Vehicle(s) and Equipment Loans. The OSFM agrees to loan the Contractor the OSFM-Provided Equipment specified in Exhibit "B" of this Agreement. The parties agree that items of OSFM-Provided equipment may be added to or removed from the list in Exhibit B without requiring amendment of this agreement, but only if each change is mutually agreed to in writing by all parties. Funding available for the OSFM to purchase and maintain OSFM-Provided Equipment is specified in Exhibit "C" of this Agreement. Replacement of OSFM-Provided capital equipment, expendable items, PPE, and other equipment will be provided as necessary by prior approval of OSFM, pursuant to Section 3.4 and OSFM's approved purchasing process.

a. Contractor shall be exclusively responsible for its selection of such replacement PPE suits, suit types or models to meet its own specific needs. The OSFM encourages contractor to follow the recommendation of the HazMat Equipment Committee for the selection of PPE suits, however the OSFM shall have no responsibility or liability whatsoever arising out of Contractor's choice of PPE suits, their safety, reliability, testing of the PPE suits, or their maintenance.

b. OSFM-Provided PPE suits shall be procured according to the procedure established in Standard Operating Guideline T021, all applicable provisions of ORS chapters 279A and 279B, and Contractor's own procurement ordinances, codes, rules and regulations.

4.1.4 Contractor Stand-by Costs are not chargeable to a Responsible Person, but are reimbursed to the Contractor by the OSFM as provided in this Agreement, with the exception of the vehicle and equipment loans described in paragraph 4.1.3, for which Contractor is not reimbursed.

4.2 RHMERT RESPONSE COSTS.

4.2.1 In accordance with budget allocations by the Team Administrator as provided in Section 4.0, Contractor shall be compensated by the OSFM under this Agreement for certain OSFM-approved team response costs. Team response costs are the equivalent of "extraordinary response costs". The total funding available for team response costs as specified in Exhibit "K" of this Agreement is in addition to Contractor Stand-By Costs specified in section 4.1. Compensation of such team response costs shall be limited by the funds available in the State Spill Response Revolving Fund established under ORS 453.390 for the 2019-2021 biennium. Such Team response costs may include, but are not limited to:

i. Compensation for use of Contractor-Provided Materials, Vehicle(s) and Apparatus:

a. OSFM shall compensate contractor for OSFM-approved replacement of Contractor-Provided materials and supplies expended or destroyed during a hazardous materials emergency response undertaken pursuant to this Agreement at the rates set forth in Section 1 of Exhibit "F" of this agreement.

b. Where the OSFM has approved the use of Contractor-Provided vehicles and equipment, OSFM shall compensate Contractor at the rates described in Section 1 of Exhibit "F" of this Agreement.

- c. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). If Contractor-Provided PPE, to include radios, is severely damaged or destroyed during an authorized hazardous materials emergency response undertaken pursuant to this Agreement, OSFM shall reimburse Contractor for replacement of such PPE at the rates described in Section 1 of Exhibit "F" of this Agreement, provided, however, that the OSFM will only pay reimbursement for replacement PPE that meet or exceed all applicable regulatory requirements and National Fire Protection Association guidelines.
 - 1. Contractor shall be exclusively responsible for its selection of such replacement PPE suits, suit types or models to meet its own specific needs. The OSFM shall have no involvement in, and no responsibility or liability whatsoever arising out of Contractor's choice of PPE suits, their safety, reliability, testing of the PPE suits, or their maintenance.
 - 2. Contractor shall comply with all applicable public procurement laws, including the applicable provisions of ORS chapters 279A and 279B and Contractor's own procurement ordinances, codes, rules and regulations, in the solicitation of and contracting for the acquisition of the PPE suits.
- ii. Compensation for Contractor Personnel Response Costs: Contractor RHMERT personnel response costs that are approved and authorized under this Agreement are compensable at the rates described in Exhibit "G". Hourly personnel rates for the 2019-2021 biennium shall be calculated as follows:
 - A. Base Hourly Rate/Non-officer
 - 1. Base Hourly Rate/Non-Officer/Straight Time is calculated at the base hourly rate for the highest paid, technician trained team member at this rank who is not an officer
 - 2. Base Hourly Rate/Non-Officer/Overtime shall be calculated at the hourly overtime rate, plus benefits, for the highest paid, technician trained team member who is not an officer
 - B. Base Hourly Rate/Officer (eligible for overtime)
 - 1. Base Hourly Rate/Officer/Straight Time is calculated at the base hourly rate for the highest paid, technician trained team member at this rank who is an officer.
 - 2. Base Hourly Rate/Officer/Overtime shall be calculated at the overtime rate, plus benefits for the highest paid, technician trained officer on the team.
 - C. Base Hourly Rate/Salaried Officer (not eligible for overtime) - shall be calculated at the salary rate, plus benefits, of the highest paid, technician trained officer on the team.
 - D. OSFM and Contractor understand that the base hourly rate of non-officers, officers, and salaried officers referred to in this section is subject to change pursuant to any collective bargaining agreement entered into between Contractor and Contractor's employees. It is the intent of OSFM and Contractor that if, during the term of this Agreement, the base hourly rate of Contractor's employees for non-officers, officers, or salaried officers changes due to a change in a collective bargaining agreement between Contractor and Contractor's employees, that on the date those changes become effective under a collective bargaining agreement, those changes will be incorporated in this Agreement by
Regional Hazardous Material Emergency Response Team Agreement – **HM14 Ontario**

formally amending this Agreement in writing, and shall be used for purposes of calculating compensation for Contractor's Personnel Response Costs only after the effective date of the Amendment. Notwithstanding any retroactive payment provision contained in a collective bargaining agreement, the Contractor's Personnel Response Costs shall be calculated and reimbursed at the hourly rate set forth in the version of this Agreement which was in effect at the time the Contractor commenced the hazardous materials emergency response.

- E. A Response Availability Rate of \$15.5788 shall be added to each base hourly rate to determine the total hourly personnel response rate for each category. Contractor shall be required to document total hourly personnel response rates for each category utilizing the form provided by OSFM. That documentation is entered into this Agreement as Exhibit G. Contractor RHMERT personnel response costs shall be billed to the nearest one-fourth (1/4) hour period worked.

- iii. Emergency Expenses: Contractor's other necessary and reasonable Emergency Response costs related to services rendered under this Agreement are reimbursable at the rates described in Exhibit "F" of this agreement. All such costs must be based on actual expenditures and documented by the Contractor. Original receipts must be submitted with the response billing. Emergency Response purchases of up to \$100 per Emergency Response Incident may be made at the Contractor's discretion without prior approval by the OSFM. The Team Leader or authorized Contractor representative shall attempt to contact the OSFM Duty Officer for prior approval of Contractor emergency expenses exceeding \$100. Contractor claims for reimbursement must clearly document the nature of the purchases and extent of the OSFM prior verbal approval of Contractor emergency expenditures. The OSFM reserves the right to deny any payment of unjustifiable Contractor expenditures.

- A. Exposure exams resulting from a RHMERT Operations response will be included in the Contractor's team response costs to be billed to the Responsible Person and reimbursed by the OSFM. Where no Responsible Person is identified, reimbursement to the Contractor will be provided out of the State Spill Response Revolving Fund.

- 4.2.2 In addition to the compensable team response costs set forth in 4.2.1, Contractor's emergency response may also incur certain team response costs for which Contractor shall not be compensated by OSFM, set forth in Section 2 of Exhibit F. Contractor shall not be reimbursed for Contractor's use of OSFM-Provided vehicles, equipment, and supplies, or for expenditures made by OSFM.

- 4.2.3 Team response costs may be charged to a Responsible Person.

4.3 BILLING SYSTEM.

- 4.3.1 Contractor must notify the OSFM's Emergency Response Unit within 24 hours of an Emergency Response. The OSFM will assign an Incident number to the response at that time. Contractor shall leave a voice-mail message if Contractor notification is made after business hours. OSFM will return a call to the Contractor the next business day. Contractor shall provide an estimate of Team Response Costs to the OSFM within 10 calendar days of the date on which Contractor concludes an Emergency Response under this Agreement. Contractor shall submit an Emergency Response report and invoice to the OSFM within 30 calendar days of the date on which Contractor concludes an Emergency Response under this Agreement. If a Contractor is unresponsive and has not submitted a completed Emergency Response report and invoice to

Regional Hazardous Material Emergency Response Team Agreement – **HM14 Ontario**

OSFM within 90 calendar days of the Emergency Response, the Emergency Response may be deemed uncollectable and the Contractor may be liable, at OSFM's sole discretion, for replacement costs of State-Provided damaged equipment and materials used on the Emergency Response. Contractor shall submit its claim for reimbursement on OSFM approved forms and the claim must contain such documentation as is necessary to support OSFM cost-recovery operations and financial audits. For purposes of this provision, a "calendar day"

- 4.3.2 The OSFM may bill the Responsible Person within 30 days of receipt of Contractor invoice. The OSFM may bill Responsible Person(s) for the Emergency Response Costs, including Team Response Costs. Normally Contractor team response costs are collected by the OSFM from the Responsible Person prior to making payment to the Contractor. When payment has not been received by the OSFM within 30 days after the second billing to the Responsible Person, the Contractor's OSFM approved compensable Team Response Costs will be paid to the Contractor from the State Spill Response Revolving Fund. In no case shall the OSFM payment to the Contractor occur more than 90 days after receipt of an OSFM-approved Contractor invoice by OSFM; i.e., one that meets the requirements of Section 4.3.
- 4.3.3 Billing for OSFM-Provided Equipment. OSFM shall bill the Responsible Person(s) for Contractor's use of OSFM-Provided equipment during RHMERT Operations, including responses to incidents within the Contractor's local jurisdiction, at the rates set forth in Exhibit F. The OSFM will prepare a statement for OSFM-Provided Equipment used and the OSFM will forward the statement to the identified Responsible Person any time OSFM-Provided Equipment is used for an Emergency Response.
- 4.3.4 Option for Waiver. The Contractor shall have the option of requesting a waiver of OSFM-Provided Equipment charges for response to any public agency within the jurisdictional boundaries of the Contractor. In addition, the Contractor may request a waiver of charges when there are extenuating circumstances, which would preclude a billing to the Responsible Person. Requests for waiver are subject to review and approval by the OSFM.
- 4.3.5 Priority of Reimbursements. If the OSFM successfully recovers payment from the Responsible Person, the monies shall first be used to pay the Contractor Team Response Costs, if these costs have not been paid in their entirety; then the monies will be used to reimburse the State Spill Response Revolving Fund for the amount previously paid to the Contractor and the OSFM. Any remaining funds will be used to pay Emergency Response Costs as billed. Contractor agrees to cooperate with the OSFM as is reasonable and necessary in order to bill each Responsible Person and pursue cost recovery actions.
- 4.3.6 If a disputed billing is resolved in favor of the Responsible Person then the Contractor shall not be required to reimburse the OSFM for payments previously made.
- 4.4 **INTEREST.** If the OSFM fails to make timely payments to Contractor as described in 4.3.2, interest shall be paid to Contractor by the OSFM on amounts past due at the rate of interest specified in ORS 293.462(3). Interest payments will be made only if Emergency Response Costs are invoiced in accordance with Sections 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 of this Agreement by the Contractor on OSFM-approved forms and Responsible Person information supplied by the Contractor is correct to the best of the Contractor's knowledge or belief.
- 4.5 **STATE FUNDING AVAILABLE.**

- 4.5.1 The OSFM has sufficient funds currently available and authorized for expenditure to finance the costs of the Agreement within the OSFM's 2019-2021 biennial appropriation or limitation. Contractor understands and agrees that the OSFM's payment of amounts under this Agreement attributable to work performed after the last day of the current biennium is contingent upon the OSFM receiving from the Oregon Legislative Assembly appropriations, limitations, or other expenditure authority sufficient to allow the OSFM, in the exercise of its reasonable administrative discretion, to continue to make payments under this Agreement.
- 4.5.2 State funding for Stand-By Costs available under this Agreement for the 2019-2021 biennium shall be the sum of the amounts specified in exhibits C, D, E, H and I to this Agreement and are summarized in Exhibit J of this Agreement.
- 4.5.3 The funding available as specified in Exhibits C, D, E, H and I to this Agreement does not include Contractor team response costs as specified in Section 4.2. Such team response costs are available in addition to Contractor Stand-By Costs and shall be limited by the funds available in the State's Spill Response Revolving Fund established under ORS 453.390 for the 2019-2021 biennium, by the limitations described in ORS 453.382 and 453.390 and as identified in Exhibit K, State Spill Response Revolving Fund, to this Agreement.
- 4.5.4 Additional Contractor compensation shall be paid under this Agreement only with the prior written approval of OSFM and as otherwise authorized by law.
- 4.5.5 OSFM payments under the terms of this Agreement shall be considered full compensation for work performed or services rendered and for all labor, materials, supplies, equipment, and incidentals necessary to complete the work authorized under this Agreement.
- 4.5.6 Acceptance of payment by the Contractor shall relieve the OSFM from all claims by Contractor for reimbursement of Team Response Costs and Stand-by Costs except where partial payment has been made due to limitations of the State's Spill Response Revolving Fund and subject to further payment as set forth above.
- 4.6 PRIOR APPROVAL.** Unless Contractor has automatic response authority as described in Section 4.7.1 and is operating in accordance with such authority, Contractor may not respond under this Agreement without prior written or verbal approval by OSFM as set forth in Section 4.7.2. Granting of response approval by the OSFM Duty Officer constitutes the OSFM agreement to pay Contractor its compensable Team Response Costs incurred in accordance with this agreement from the State Spill Response Revolving Fund if recovery from a Responsible Person is not obtained in a timely manner. Contractor agrees to make reasonable and good faith efforts to minimize Responsible Person and OSFM expenses.
- 4.7 RESPONSE PROCEDURES AND LIMITATIONS; AUTOMATIC RESPONSE.**
 - 4.7.1 If the Contractor has authority for automatic response under OSFM's Standard Operating Guidelines, Contractor may, upon receipt of an Emergency Response request, provide Emergency Response services as specified under the terms of this Agreement and in accordance with the OSFM's Standard Operating Guidelines, which is incorporated herein by this reference, and may be found on the OSFM's website. Contractor shall immediately thereafter notify the OSFM Duty Officer.
 - 4.7.2 If the Contractor has **not** received state authority for automatic response or if the Emergency Response request does not meet the Standard Operating Guideline criteria, the Contractor shall

refer the response request to the OSFM Duty Officer who will evaluate the situation and either authorize the Contractor response or decline the response request.

4.8 STATE SPILL RESPONSE REVOLVING FUND.

- 4.8.1 If the State Spill Response Revolving Fund becomes depleted or fiscally unsound, the OSFM shall immediately notify Contractor, who may upon receipt of such notice suspend response actions under this Agreement.
- 4.8.2 For purposes of this section, "fiscally unsound" means the balance in the State Spill Response Revolving Fund is less than \$20,000, and "immediately" means within twelve (12) hours of a Contractor receiving the emergency response request, which reduces the fund below the \$20,000 threshold.
- 4.8.3 If Contractor commences an emergency response action subsequent to notification of fiscally unsound State Spill Response Revolving Fund balance, Contractor assumes the risk of non-payment if the OSFM is unable to obtain additional funding for the State Spill Response Revolving Fund, recover the Contractor team emergency response costs from a Responsible Person, or if there is no identifiable Responsible Person. Contractor shall immediately notify the OSFM Duty Officer of all emergency response activities undertaken pursuant to this Agreement.
- 4.8.4 If, after becoming depleted or fiscally unsound, additional funds become available in the State Spill Response Revolving Fund and Contractor has billed the OSFM as set forth in Section 4.3, the OSFM shall reimburse the Contractor for unpaid Team Response Costs to the extent funds are available and authorized under this agreement.

5.0 WHERE NO RESPONSIBLE PERSON CAN BE IDENTIFIED.

As provided in Section 4 and ORS 453.382, OSFM agrees to bill the person responsible for causing the hazardous materials emergency for total emergency response costs. Where there is no identifiable Responsible Person, or if the Responsible Person or other responsible party is unable to pay, the OSFM agrees to pay Contractor its compensable team response costs from the State Spill Response Revolving Fund provided funds are available and Contractor has complied with Section 4 herein.

6.0 INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR STATUS.

- 6.1 Contractor shall perform all required Services as an independent contractor. Although Agency reserves the right (i) to determine (and modify) the delivery schedule for the Services to be performed and (ii) to evaluate the quality of the completed performance, Agency cannot and will not control the means or manner of Contractor's performance. Contractor is responsible for determining the appropriate means and manner of performing any Services called for under the Contract.
- 6.2 If Contractor is currently performing work for the State of Oregon or the Federal Government, Contractor by signature to this Agreement declares and certifies that: Contractor's performance of this Agreement creates no potential or actual conflict of interest as defined by ORS 244.020 and no state or federal rules or regulations would prohibit Contractor's performance of this Contract. Contractor is not an "officer," "employee," or "agent" of the State or Agency, as those terms are used in ORS 30.265.

6.3 Contractor shall be responsible for all Federal and State taxes applicable to compensation or payments paid to Contractor under this Agreement and, unless Contractor is subject to backup withholding, Agency will not withhold from such compensation or payments any amount(s) to cover Contractor's Federal or State tax obligations.

7.0 RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATUS, SOCIAL SECURITY, WORKERS COMPENSATION.

Contractor is not entitled under this Agreement to any Public Employees Retirement System benefits and is responsible for payment of any applicable federal or State taxes. Contractor is not entitled under this Agreement to any benefits for payments of federal Social Security, employment insurance, or workers' compensation from the State of Oregon.

8.0 ASSIGNMENTS; SUBCONTRACTS.

Contractor shall not assign, sell, transfer, subcontract or sublet rights, or delegate responsibilities under this Agreement, in whole or in part, without the prior written approval of the OSFM. Such written approval will not relieve Contractor of any obligations under this Agreement. Except where the OSFM expressly approves otherwise, Contractor shall remain liable as between the original parties to this Agreement as if no such assignment had occurred.

9.0 SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST.

The provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the parties to this Agreement and their respective successors and assigns.

10.0 COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS.

Contractor agrees to comply with federal, state and local laws, codes, regulations and ordinances applicable to the work performed under this Agreement including, but not limited to, OAR 437-002-0100(18) (Hazardous waste operations and emergency response), which adopts 29 CFR 1910.120 (amended 2/8/13, FR vol. 78, no. 27, p. 9311).

11.0 FORCE MAJEURE.

Neither Party to this Agreement shall be held responsible for delay or default caused by fire, riots, acts of God, or war, which is beyond that Party's reasonable control. OSFM or Contractor may terminate this Agreement upon written notice after determining such delay or default will reasonably prevent performance of the Agreement.

12.0 INDEMNIFICATION, CONTRIBUTION, SCOPE OF LIABILITY.

12.1 ACTIVITIES AUTHORIZED UNDER ORS 453.374 – 453.390:

12.1.1 CONTRACTOR'S RHMERT MEMBERS: AS PROVIDED IN ORS 453.384, DURING EMERGENCY RESPONSE OPERATIONS UNDER ORS 453.374 TO 453.390 PURSUANT TO THIS AGREEMENT, THE STATE OF OREGON, BY AND THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE, OFFICE OF STATE FIRE MARSHAL, SHALL PROTECT AND DEFEND CONTRACTOR'S RHMERT MEMBERS FROM LIABILITY UNDER ORS 30.260 TO 30.300 (OREGON TORT CLAIMS ACT). AS USED IN THIS SECTION, "OPERATIONS" MEAN ACTIVITIES DIRECTLY RELATED TO A PARTICULAR EMERGENCY

RESPONSE INVOLVING A HAZARDOUS MATERIAL BY A RHMERT AS PROVIDED FOR UNDER THIS AGREEMENT. "OPERATIONS" ALSO INCLUDE ADVANCED TRAINING ACTIVITIES PROVIDED TO THE CONTRACTOR'S RHMERT MEMBERS AS PROVIDED FOR UNDER THIS AGREEMENT, BUT DO NOT INCLUDE TRAVEL TO AND FROM SUCH TRAINING.

- 12.1.2 CONTRACTOR: EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED UNDER PARAGRAPHS 12.1.1, 12.2, AND 3.4.2, AND AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 12.3 REGARDING THIRD PARTY CLAIMS, THE OSFM AND CONTRACTOR SHALL EACH BE RESPONSIBLE, TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY THE OREGON TORT CLAIMS ACT (ORS 30.260 THROUGH 30.300) AND THE OREGON CONSTITUTION (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ARTICLE XI, SECTION 7), FOR ANY LEGAL LIABILITY, LOSS, DAMAGES, COSTS AND EXPENSES ARISING IN FAVOR OF ANY PERSON, ON ACCOUNT OF PERSONAL INJURIES, DEATH, OR PROPERTY LOSS OR DAMAGE OCCURRING, GROWING OUT OF, INCIDENT TO OR RESULTING DIRECTLY FROM THEIR RESPECTIVE ACTS OR OMISSIONS UNDER THIS AGREEMENT.
- 12.2 **ACTIVITIES NOT AUTHORIZED UNDER ORS 453.374 - 453.390:** WHEN CONTRACTOR USES OSFM-PROVIDED EQUIPMENT OR OTHER VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT PROVIDED TO CONTRACTOR BY OSFM OR STATE PROCEDURES OR TRAINING FOR ANY ACTION NOT AUTHORIZED UNDER ORS 453.374 TO 453.390 OR THIS AGREEMENT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INDEMNIFY, DEFEND AND HOLD HARMLESS THE STATE, ITS OFFICERS, DIVISIONS, AGENTS, EMPLOYEES, AND MEMBERS, FROM ALL CLAIMS, SUITS OR ACTIONS OF ANY NATURE ARISING OUT OF THE ACTIVITIES OR OMISSIONS OF CONTRACTOR, ITS OFFICERS, SUBCONTRACTORS, AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES, SUBJECT TO THE OREGON TORT CLAIMS ACT, ORS 30.260 TO 30.300, AND THE OREGON CONSTITUTION.
- 12.3 **THIRD PARTY CLAIMS:**
- 12.3.1 IF ANY THIRD PARTY MAKES ANY CLAIM OR BRINGS ANY ACTION, SUIT OR PROCEEDING ALLEGING A TORT AS NOW OR HEREAFTER DEFINED IN ORS 30.260 ("THIRD PARTY CLAIM") AGAINST A PARTY (THE "NOTIFIED PARTY") WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THE OTHER PARTY ("OTHER PARTY") MAY HAVE LIABILITY, THE NOTIFIED PARTY MUST PROMPTLY NOTIFY THE OTHER PARTY IN WRITING OF THE THIRD PARTY CLAIM AND DELIVER TO THE OTHER PARTY A COPY OF THE CLAIM, PROCESS, AND ALL LEGAL PLEADINGS WITH RESPECT TO THE THIRD PARTY CLAIM. EITHER PARTY IS ENTITLED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DEFENSE OF A THIRD PARTY CLAIM, AND TO DEFEND A THIRD PARTY CLAIM WITH COUNSEL OF ITS OWN CHOOSING. RECEIPT BY THE OTHER PARTY OF THE NOTICE AND COPIES REQUIRED IN THIS PARAGRAPH AND MEANINGFUL OPPORTUNITY FOR THE OTHER PARTY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE INVESTIGATION, DEFENSE AND SETTLEMENT OF THE THIRD PARTY CLAIM WITH COUNSEL OF ITS OWN CHOOSING ARE CONDITIONS PRECEDENT TO THE OTHER PARTY'S LIABILITY WITH RESPECT TO THE THIRD PARTY CLAIM.
- 12.3.2 EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN SECTIONS 12.1.1 AND 12.2, WITH RESPECT TO A THIRD PARTY CLAIM FOR WHICH THE STATE IS JOINTLY LIABLE WITH THE CONTRACTOR (OR WOULD BE IF JOINED IN THE THIRD PARTY CLAIM), THE STATE SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE AMOUNT OF EXPENSES (INCLUDING ATTORNEYS' FEES), JUDGMENTS, FINES AND AMOUNTS PAID IN SETTLEMENT ACTUALLY AND REASONABLY INCURRED AND PAID OR PAYABLE BY THE CONTRACTOR IN SUCH PROPORTION AS IS APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT THE RELATIVE FAULT OF THE STATE ON THE ONE HAND AND OF THE CONTRACTOR ON THE OTHER HAND IN CONNECTION WITH THE EVENTS WHICH RESULTED IN SUCH EXPENSES, JUDGMENTS, FINES OR SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS, AS WELL AS ANY OTHER RELEVANT EQUITABLE CONSIDERATIONS. THE RELATIVE FAULT OF THE STATE ON THE ONE HAND AND OF THE CONTRACTOR ON THE OTHER HAND SHALL BE DETERMINED BY REFERENCE TO, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE PARTIES' RELATIVE INTENT, KNOWLEDGE, ACCESS

TO INFORMATION AND OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT OR PREVENT THE CIRCUMSTANCES RESULTING IN SUCH EXPENSES, JUDGMENTS, FINES OR SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS. THE STATE'S CONTRIBUTION AMOUNT IN ANY INSTANCE IS CAPPED TO THE SAME EXTENT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN CAPPED UNDER OREGON LAW IF THE STATE HAD SOLE LIABILITY IN THE PROCEEDING.

12.3.3 EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN SECTIONS 12.1.1 AND 12.2, WITH RESPECT TO A THIRD PARTY CLAIM FOR WHICH THE CONTRACTOR IS JOINTLY LIABLE WITH THE STATE, INCLUDING CONTRACTOR'S RHMERT MEMBERS ACTING AS AGENTS OF THE STATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS AGREEMENT AND ORS 453.374 TO 453.390, (OR WOULD BE IF JOINED IN THE THIRD PARTY CLAIM), THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE AMOUNT OF EXPENSES (INCLUDING ATTORNEYS' FEES), JUDGMENTS, FINES AND AMOUNTS PAID IN SETTLEMENT ACTUALLY AND REASONABLY INCURRED AND PAID OR PAYABLE BY THE STATE IN SUCH PROPORTION AS IS APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT THE RELATIVE FAULT OF THE CONTRACTOR ON THE ONE HAND AND OF THE STATE ON THE OTHER HAND IN CONNECTION WITH THE EVENTS WHICH RESULTED IN SUCH EXPENSES, JUDGMENTS, FINES OR SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS, AS WELL AS ANY OTHER RELEVANT EQUITABLE CONSIDERATIONS. THE RELATIVE FAULT OF THE CONTRACTOR ON THE ONE HAND AND OF THE STATE ON THE OTHER HAND SHALL BE DETERMINED BY REFERENCE TO, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE PARTIES' RELATIVE INTENT, KNOWLEDGE, ACCESS TO INFORMATION AND OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT OR PREVENT THE CIRCUMSTANCES RESULTING IN SUCH EXPENSES, JUDGMENTS, FINES OR SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS. THE CONTRACTOR'S CONTRIBUTION AMOUNT IN ANY INSTANCE IS CAPPED TO THE SAME EXTENT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN CAPPED UNDER OREGON LAW IF IT HAD SOLE LIABILITY IN THE PROCEEDING.

12.4 LIMITATIONS. Except as provided in Section 3.4, this Agreement in no way limits a Contractor from responding with OSFM-Provided Equipment under local authority, mutual-aid agreements, or other contracts under local authority.

12.5 NOTIFICATIONS: Contractor shall immediately report by telephone and in writing any demand, request, or occurrence that reasonably may give rise to a claim against the State. Such reports shall be directed to:

State Fire Marshal Hazardous Materials Duty Officer
3565 Trelstad Ave
Salem, Oregon 97317
Oregon Emergency Response System (OERS) 800-452-0311

13.0 SEVERABILITY.

If any provision of this Agreement is declared by a court to be illegal or in conflict with any law, the validity of the remaining terms and provisions shall not be affected; and the rights and obligations of the parties shall be construed and enforced as if the Agreement did not contain the particular provision held to be invalid.

14.0 ACCESS TO RECORDS.

Subject to the state's Public Record Laws, each Party to this Agreement, the federal government, and their duly authorized representatives shall have access to the other Party's books, documents, investigative reports, papers and records which are directly pertinent to this Agreement for the purpose of making financial, maintenance or regulatory audit. Such records shall be maintained for at least six (6) years, or longer where required by law.

14.1 CONFIDENTIALITY.

Except as otherwise provided by law, each Party to this Agreement agrees that they shall not in any way, disclose each other's confidential information to a third party. The rights and obligations set forth in this section shall survive termination of the Agreement.

15.0 AMENDMENTS.

- 15.1** To the extent permitted by applicable statutes and administrative rules, this Agreement may be amended, modified, or supplemented only by a written amendment signed by the OSFM and Contractor that has been approved by the OSFM and the Oregon Department of Justice, if required by applicable law ("Amendment"). Any Amendment that provides for additional Services may only provide for Services directly related to the scope of Services described in the Agreement and no Amendment shall be effective until all requisite signatures and approvals are obtained.
- 15.2** Either the OSFM or Contractor may submit an Amendment request to amend this Agreement, including all Exhibits hereto, by submitting to the OSFM Agreement Administrator a written Amendment request describing the change requested. The OSFM and Contractor's Authorized Representatives will review the written Amendment request and will mutually approve it for either amendment, further refinement, or reject it. In the event an Amendment request is approved for further refinement the parties agree that it may be refined by mutual agreement and submitted as an Amendment, or rejected.
- 15.3** Either Party may make changes to its Authorized Representative, listed in this Agreement, and such changes shall constitute contract administration for purposes of this Agreement. A Party shall provide the other Party with written notice of any such change, which shall be effective without the necessity of a formal amendment to this Contract.

16.0 PAYMENT OF CONTRACTOR OBLIGATIONS.

Contractor agrees to make payment promptly, as due, to all persons furnishing services, equipment or supplies to Contractor. If Contractor fails, neglects, or refuses, to pay any such claims as they become due and for which the OSFM may be held liable, the proper officer(s) representing the OSFM, after ascertaining that the claims are just, due and payable, may, but shall not be required to, pay the claim and charge the amount of the payment against funds due Contractor under this Agreement. The payment of claims in this manner shall not relieve Contractor of any duty with respect to any unpaid claims.

17.0 NON-DISCRIMINATION.

Contractor shall comply with all applicable requirements of federal and state civil rights and rehabilitation statutes, rules and regulations. Contractors are encouraged to recruit qualified women and minorities as RHMERT personnel.

18.0 DUAL PAYMENT.

Contractor shall not be compensated for work performed under this Agreement by any state agency or person(s) responsible for causing a hazardous materials emergency Incident except as approved and authorized under this Agreement.

19.0 PAYMENT FOR MEDICAL CARE.

Contractor agrees to make, or cause to be made through an insurer or third-party, prompt payment, as due, to any person, partnership, association or corporation furnishing medical, surgical, hospital or other needed medical care to Contractor employees, except as noted in 4.1.2, Medical Surveillance. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted as creating any new or additional obligations on Contractor to pay on a claim that Contractor is not otherwise obligated to pay in accordance with applicable law and Contractor's policies and procedures.

20.0 INSURANCE COVERAGE.

If Contractor is a public body and is self-insured, Contractor shall maintain self-insurance, and/or excess liability coverage sufficient to cover the requirements set forth in Sections 20.1 to 20.7, and shall maintain it in full force and at its own expense throughout the duration of this Agreement and all warranty periods, if any. If Contractor is not a self-insured public body, or at any time during the term of this Agreement ceases to be self-insured, Contractor shall obtain private insurance coverage as specified in Sections 20.1 to 20.7 prior to performance under this Agreement and shall maintain it in full force and at its own expense throughout the duration of this Agreement and all warranty periods, if any. Contractor shall obtain any private insurance only from insurance companies or entities that are authorized to transact the business of insurance and issue coverage in Oregon and that are acceptable to OSFM.

20.1 WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. All employers, including Contractor, that employ subject workers who work under this Agreement in the State of Oregon shall comply with ORS 656.017 and provide the required Oregon workers' compensation coverage, unless such employers are exempt under ORS 656.126. Contractor shall ensure that each of its subcontractors complies with these requirements.

20.2 COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY. Contractor shall maintain in full force Commercial General Liability insurance of not less than \$2,181,600 per occurrence for any single claimant, and \$4,363,100 per occurrence for multiple claimants for Bodily Injury and Death, and not less than \$119,300 per occurrence for any single claimant, and \$596,400 per occurrence for multiple claimants for Property Damage, and shall provide that the State of Oregon, OSFM, and their divisions, officers and employees are Additional Insureds.

20.3 AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY. Contractor shall maintain in full force Automobile Liability Insurance of not less than \$2,181,600 per occurrence for any single claimant, and \$4,363,100 per occurrence for multiple claimants for Bodily Injury and Death, and not less than \$119,300 per occurrence for any single claimant, and \$596,400 per occurrence for multiple claimants for Property Damage, and shall provide that the State of Oregon, OSFM, and their divisions, officers and employees are Additional Insureds. This coverage may be written in combination with the Commercial General Liability Insurance (with separate limits for "Commercial General Liability" and "Automobile Liability").

20.4 "TAIL" COVERAGE. If any of the required liability insurance is on a "claims made" basis, "tail" coverage is required at the completion or termination of this Agreement for a duration of 24 months, or the maximum time period reasonably available in the marketplace. Contractor shall furnish certification of "tail" coverage as described or continuous "claims made" liability coverage for 24 months following Agreement completion or termination. Continuous "claims made" coverage will be acceptable in lieu of "tail" coverage, provided its retroactive date is on or before the effective date of this Agreement. If Continuous "claims made" coverage is used, Contractor shall be required to keep the coverage in effect

for a duration of not less than 24 months from the end of the Agreement. This will be a condition of the final acceptance of work or services.

20.5 NOTICE OF CANCELLATION OR CHANGE. There shall be no cancellation, material change, reduction of limits or intent not to renew the insurance coverage(s) without 30 days prior written notice from Contractor or its insurer(s) to OSFM.

20.6 CERTIFICATES OF INSURANCE. As evidence of the insurance coverage required by this Agreement, Contractor shall furnish acceptable insurance certificates to OSFM prior to commencing performance under this Agreement. The certificates must specify all of the parties who are Additional Insureds. If requested, complete copies of insurance policies, trust agreements, etc. shall be provided to the State. Contractor shall pay for all deductibles, self-insured retentions and self-insurance.

20.7 ADDITIONAL INSURED. The Commercial General Liability and Automobile Liability insurance coverages required under this Agreement shall include the State of Oregon, OSFM, and its agencies, departments, divisions, commissions, branches, officers, employees, and agents as Additional Insureds but only with respect to Contractor's activities to be performed under this Agreement. Coverage shall be primary and non-contributory with any other insurance and self-insurance.

21.0 GOVERNING LAW; VENUE; CONSENT TO JURISDICTION.

This Agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Oregon without regard to principles of conflicts of laws. Any claim, action, suit or proceeding (collectively, "Claim") between OSFM (and any other agency or department of the State of Oregon) and Contractor that arises from or relates to this Agreement shall be brought and conducted solely and exclusively within the Circuit Court of Marion County for the State of Oregon; provided, however, if a Claim must be brought in a federal forum, then it shall be brought and conducted solely and exclusively within the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. In no event shall this section be construed as a waiver by the State of Oregon of any form of defense of immunity, whether it is sovereign immunity or governmental immunity, immunity based on the Eleventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States or otherwise, from any Claim or from the jurisdiction of any court. CONTRACTOR, BY EXECUTION OF THIS AGREEMENT, HEREBY CONSENTS TO THE IN PERSONAM JURISDICTION OF SAID COURTS.

22.0 TERMINATION.

22.1 This Agreement may be terminated by mutual consent of both Parties. This Agreement may be terminated by either Party upon 180 days' notice, in writing, and delivered by certified mail or in person.

22.2 The OSFM or Contractor may terminate this Agreement at will effective upon delivery of written notice to the Contractor or OSFM, or at such later date as may be established by the OSFM or Contractor, under any of the following conditions:

22.2.1 if either party fails to receive funding, appropriations, or other expenditure authority from federal, state, local, or other sources at levels sufficient in the Party's reasonable administrative discretion to perform its obligations under this agreement. As an alternative to termination, the parties may instead agree to modify in writing the Agreement to accommodate a reduction in funding.

22.2.2 if federal, state, or local laws, rules, regulations, or guidelines are modified, changed, or interpreted in such a way that either Party's performance under this Agreement is

prohibited or performance of either Party's obligations under this agreement may no longer be paid for from the planned funding source.

22.2.3 if any license or certification required by law or regulation to be held by the Contractor to provide the services required by this Agreement is for any reason denied, revoked, or not renewed.

22.3 Any termination of the Agreement shall be without prejudice to any obligations or liabilities of either Party already accrued prior to such termination.

22.4 Default. The OSFM or Contractor, by written notice of default (including breach of contract) to the other Party, delivered by certified mail or in person, may terminate the whole or any part of this Agreement:

22.4.1 if the other Party fails to provide services called for by this Agreement within the time specified herein or any extension thereof; or,

22.4.2 if the other Party fails to perform any other provision of this Agreement, or so fails to pursue the work as to endanger performance of this Agreement in accordance with its terms, and, after receipt of written notice from the other Party, fails to correct such failures within 10 days or such longer period as the notice may authorize.

23.0 APPROVAL AUTHORITY.

Contractor's representative(s) certify by their signature herein that they have the necessary and lawful authority to enter into contracts and Agreements on behalf of the Contractor.

24.0 RESERVED.

25.0 WRITTEN NOTIFICATIONS.

Any written notifications required for the administration of this Agreement shall be sent to the following:

Office of State Fire Marshal
3565 Trelstad Ave
Salem, OR 97317

26.0 MERGER; WAIVER.

This Agreement and attached exhibits constitute the entire agreement between the parties on the subject matter hereof. There are no understandings, agreements, or representations, oral or written, not specified herein regarding this Agreement. No waiver, consent, modification, or change of terms of this Agreement shall bind all parties unless in writing and signed by both parties and all necessary state approvals have been obtained. Such waiver, consent, modification or change, if made, shall be effective only in the specific instance and for the specific purpose given. The failure of OSFM to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not constitute a waiver by OSFM of that or any other provision.

27.0 REMEDIES.

27.1 In the event that Contractor violates any term or condition under this Agreement, OSFM may, at its option, pursue any or all of the remedies available to it under this Agreement and at law or in equity, including but not limited to: (a) termination of this Agreement under Section 22, (b) reducing or

withholding payment for work that Contractor has failed to perform within any scheduled completion dates or has performed inadequately or defectively, (c) requiring Contractor to perform, at Contractor's expense, additional work necessary to satisfy its performance obligations or meet performance standards under this Agreement, (d) initiation of an action or proceeding for damages, specific performance, or declaratory or injunctive relief, or (e) exercise of its right of recovery of overpayments or setoff, or both. These remedies are cumulative to the extent the remedies are not inconsistent, and OSFM may pursue any remedy or remedies singly, collectively, successively or in any order whatsoever.

27.2 In the event OSFM violates any term or condition of this Agreement, Contractor may, at its option, pursue any or all of the remedies available to it under this Agreement and at law or equity, including but not limited to: (a) termination of this Agreement under Section 22, (b) initiation of an action or proceeding for damages, specific performance, or declaratory or injunctive relief, or (c) recovery of payments due for any work performed or any costs or other expenses incurred by Contractor pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. These remedies are cumulative to the extent the remedies are not inconsistent, and Contractor may pursue any remedy or remedies singly, collectively, successively or in any order whatsoever. .

28.0 NON-APPROPRIATION.

The State of Oregon's payment obligations, and Contractor's performance obligations, under this Agreement are conditioned upon OSFM receiving funding, appropriations, limitations, allotments, or other expenditure authority sufficient to allow OSFM, in the exercise of its reasonable administrative discretion, to meet its payment obligations under this Agreement. Contractor is not entitled to receive payment under this Agreement from any part of Oregon state government other than OSFM. Nothing in this Agreement is to be construed as permitting any violation of Article XI, section 7 of the Oregon Constitution or any other law regulating liabilities or monetary obligations of the State of Oregon.

29.0 ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.

The parties should attempt in good faith to resolve any dispute arising out of this agreement. This may be done at any management level, including at a level higher than persons directly responsible for administration of the agreement. In addition, the parties may agree to utilize a jointly selected mediator or arbitrator (for non-binding arbitration) to resolve the dispute short of litigation.

30.0 TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENT COSTS.

30.1 The OSFM will reimburse Contractor for travel expenses authorized under this Agreement at the rates specified in the General Services Administration (GSA) as of the date Contractor incurs the travel expenses.

30.2 Contractor shall travel in the most efficient and cost-effective manner resulting in the best value to OSFM. The travel must comply with all the requirements specified in this section and must be for official business under this Agreement only. Contractor shall provide OSFM with receipts for all travel expenses over \$25.00 incurred for which Contractor seeks reimbursement.

31.0 COUNTERPARTS.

This Contract may be executed in several counterparts, all of which when taken together constitute one agreement binding on all parties, notwithstanding that all parties are not signatories to the same counterpart. Each copy of the Contract so executed constitutes an original.

32.0 AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES.**32.1** OSFM's Authorized Representative is:

James Walker
 3565 Trelstad Ave
 Salem, OR 97317
 503-378-3479
james.walker@state.or.us

32.2 Contractor's Authorized Representative is:

<u>Terry Leighton</u>	(insert name)
<u>444 SW 4th Street, Ontario, Oregon 97914</u>	(insert address)
<u>541-889-7688</u>	(insert fax number)
<u>541-881-3233</u>	(insert phone) Office
<u>terry.leighton@ontariooregon.org</u>	(insert email)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have entered into this Agreement as of the effective date shown in paragraph 1.1 above.

BY EXECUTION OF THIS CONTRACT, EACH PARTY HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THEY HAVE READ THIS CONTRACT, UNDERSTAND IT, AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ITS TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

Approving Signatures:

On Behalf of the State of Oregon,

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2019

Signature _____

Printed Name James Walker

**State Fire Marshal
3565 Trelstad Ave
Salem, OR 97317**

On Behalf of Ontario Fire & Rescue

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2019

Signature _____

Printed Name Terry Leighton

Title Fire Chief

Address 444 SW 4th Street

City Ontario **Zip** 97914

On Behalf of Ontario Fire & Rescue

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2019

Signature _____

Printed Name Riley J. Hill

Title Mayor

Address 444 SW 4th Street

City Ontario **Zip** 97914

On Behalf of Ontario Fire & Rescue

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2019

Signature _____

Printed Name Tori Barnett

Title City Recorder

Address 444 SW 4th Street

City Ontario **Zip** 97914

EXHIBIT A**Regional Team Primary Response Area Boundary Description**

HM14 response area is the area within the following boundary: Starting at the southeast corner of Malheur County at the intersection of the Oregon, Idaho, and Nevada state lines, follows the Idaho-Oregon state line north to the Northern Baker county line. Proceed west on the northern Baker county line to Highway 7. Continue on Highway 7 southwest to the intersection of State Highway 26, then follow Highway 26 to John Day. From John Day, travel west on state Highway 26 to the Grant-Wheeler County line. John Day and Prairie City are included in HM14 response area. Dayville and Mt Vernon are not included in the HM14 response area and are covered by HM10. Follow the western Grant County line, south to the Harney County line. Following the Harney County line continue south to the Nevada State line. Follow the Nevada State line to the starting point. This includes all the land in Harney and Malheur Counties.

EXHIBIT B

INVENTORY OF

OSFM-Provided EQUIPMENT FOR RHMERT

As of June 2019

COMPUTER SOFTWARE			
Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
APPLE IPAD 32G WITH CELLULAR CAPABLE OPTIONS, LIFE PROOF CASE WITH HAND STRAP, HDMI ADAPTER - AV ADAPTER, VGA ADAPTER, SD CARD READER	25700-06971	DMPKFSSCF1	
DECONTAMINATION EQUIPMENT			
Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Brush, long handle			
Brush, short handle			
Heater, Shower – Decontamination	ER1880		
Hose, Garden - 5 ea			
Manifold, High Pressue Multi	Q-HM272		
Wand, Water - 4 ea			
DETECTION EQUIPMENT			
Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Detector, TIFF Combustibles	TIF8800		
Dosimeter, Dositec	25700-04378	250522	L36
Dosimeter, Dositec	25700-04379	250523	L36
Dosimeter, Dositec	25700-04380	250524	L36
Dosimeter, Dositec	25700-04381	250525	L36
Dosimeter, Dositec	25700-04382	250526	L36
Dosimeter, Dositec	25700-04383	250527	L36
Kit & Test Sets, Drager CDS/HazMat			
Kit, Sensidyne Detection	ER0723		
Meter Vehicle Mtd. Radiation Survey	25700-05544	237155	M2401-EC2A
Meter, Radiological Alarming Pocket Survey	25700-04439	201168	2401 EC2A
Meter, Radiological Alarming Pocket Survey	25700-04440	201169	2401 EC2A
Meter, Radiological Alarming Pocket Survey	25700-04441	201172	2401 EC2A
Meter, Radiological Pancake Pocket Survey	25700-04484	212682	2401-P
Meter, Radiological Pancake Pocket Survey	25700-04485	212683	2401-P
Meter, Radiological Pancake Pocket Survey	25700-04486	212684	2401-P
MINIRAE SYSTEM 3000 PID W/ 106.EV LAMP, RECHARGEABLE BATTERY, WIRELESS, ACCESSORY KIT WITH GAS AND REGULATOR	25700-06739	592-909962	
Monitor, Canberra Ultraradiac Radiation	25700-05383	6063690	MRAD113
Monitor, Canberra Ultraradiac Radiation	25700-05384	6063920	MRAD113
Monitor, Canberra Ultraradiac Radiation	25700-05385	6063921	MRAD113

Regional Hazardous Material Emergency Response Team Agreement – **HM14 Ontario**

Monitor, Canberra Ultraradiac Radiation	25700-05386	6063922	MRAD113
MULTI RAE LITE WIRELESS PORTABLE, ONE TO SIX GAS CONFINED SPACE MONITOR	25700-06726	MAB3Z055Q4	
Spectrometer, Portable I.R. (Smiths Det. HazMatID)	25700-03519	302	023-1005
MultiRAE Lite	25700-08617	M01CA07412	
MultiRAE Lite	25700-08618	M01CA07424	
MultiRAE 2 Cradle	25700-08567	T023002168	
ToxiRAE Cradle	25700-08593	T025000639	
AutoRAE 2 Controller	25700-08580	T021001703	
Echo View Host	25700-08632	F043001139	
Ultra Rae 3000	25700-07281	596-908570	
Ultra Rae 3000 Charging Cradle	25700-07282		
Calibration Cradle for PID	25700-07308	T027000697	
Calibration Cradle for PID	25700-07307	T027000695	
Drager X-Act 5000 Kit	25700-07322	ARJN-0025	
Raid 5 Kits (3) - Exp Kit 6/30/2020 Exp Swab 3/31/22			

ELECTRONICS

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Antenna, Receiver and Controller (EntryLink)	25700-05501	EL3-RXA & C	SC-EL-N
Camera, EntryLink Wireless Video	25700-05500	EL3-241	SC-EL-N
Camera, Thermal Imaging, FireFlir	25700-04957	100329	FF131
Camera, Thermal Imaging, Flir	25700-08647	72211961	K65
Charger, Radio, Travel Motorola HT1550xls			
Computer, Panasonic Toughbook Laptop	25700-05910	7GKSA48680	CF-30
Phone, Globalstar Satellite	11601169341	254-241-1050	
Phone, Globalstar Satellite Docking Station	AA007723		
Phone, Globalstar Satellite Batteries - 11/2018 1yr warranty (1)		17-A25237	
Printer, Lexmark, All-in-One, 4 function	25700-06427	11114942	X7675
Radio, Ear Inserts, Flexible Otto			
Computer – Desktop/Tower	25700-07117		
Computer Monitor 1	25700-08779		
Computer Monitor 2	25700-08780		
WiFi Hotspot			
Radio, Motorola VHF Mobile	25700-06187	483TKG0455	PM-1500
Radio, Motorola VHF Mobile	25700-06188	483TKG0458	PM-1500
Radio, Motorola VHF Mobile	25700-06329	483TKU0062	PM-1500
Radio, Portable Motorola, HT1250 VHF	509	749TDEB603	HT1250
Radio, Portable Motorola, HT1250 VHF	513	749TDEB607	HT1250
Radio, Portable Motorola, HT1250 VHF	507	749TDEB609	HT1250
Radio, Portable Motorola, HT1250 VHF	511	749TDEB611	HT1250
Radio, Portable Motorola, HT1250 VHF	510	749TDEB612	HT1250
Radio, Portable Motorola, HT1250 VHF	508	749TDEB613	HT1250

Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	515		
Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	516		
Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	517		
Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	518		
Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	519		
Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	520		
Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	521		
Radio, Throat, Mic Motorola HT1250	522		
Satellite	25700-08778		
Tripod, Antenna & Mast	25700-05502		SC-EL-N
TV, Apple	25700-08691		
UPS, APC Battery Back-Ups	25700-06224	SN3B0945X65	APC RS1500
UPS, APC Battery Back-Ups	25700-06225	SN3B0945X65	APC RS1500

LEAK CONTROL EQUIPMENT

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Air Bag System	ER2784		
Clamps, Dome Cover 3/Set	ER2732		
Clamps, Dome Cover 3/Set	ER2760		
Kit, Chlorine "A"	ER1130		
Kit, Chlorine "B"	ER1112		
Kit, Chlorine "C"	ER1127		
Kit, Initial	ER2752		
Leaker 6000 Training Prop			
RAILROAD TANK CAR GAUGE KIT	25700-06984		

LIBRARY RESOURCES

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Book, Merck Index, Twelfth Edition, 1996			
Dictionary, Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary, Thirteenth Edition, 1997			
Guide, American Railroad Emergency Action / Emergency Action Guides, 1997			
Guide, Effects of Exposure to Toxic Gases, First Aid & Medical Treatment, Third Edition, 1988			
Guide, Hazardous Materials Air Monitoring & Detection Devices, 2002			
Guide, NFPA Fire Protection Guide on Hazardous Materials, Twelfth Edition, 1997			
Guide, Occupational Exposure Values, 1999			
Guide, ODOT Emergency Response Guidebook, 2008			
Guide, OSFM's Hazmat Team's Reference - Monitor Guide Book			
Guide, Pestline, Material Safety Data Sheet for Pesticides and Related Chemicals, 2 vol, First Edition			

Guide, Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, 1997

Guide, Reference, Firefighter Hazardous Materials
Reference Book, Second Edition

Guide, Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial
Materials, 3 vol, Tenth Edition, 1999

Guide, State Clandestine Lab Book

Handbook, CHRIS Response Methods

Handbook, Compressed Gases, Fourth Edition, 1999

Handbook, Emergency Handling of Hazardous Materials
in Surface Transportation

Handbook, Farm Chemicals & CD

Handbook, Firefighter Handbook to Hazardous Materials

Handbook, Health Physics and Radiological Health,
Third Edition, 1998

Handbook, Jane's Chem Bio 2001

Handbook, Preparing for Biological Terrorism, 2002

Handbook, Preparing for Terrorism, 2002

Handbook, Terrorism Handbook for Operational
Responders, 2002

Manual, GATX Tank Car

MISC EQUIPMENT

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Binoculars	ER2660		
Chairs, Metal Folding - 6 ea			
Cones, Traffic (20 ea)			
Container, Rubbermaid Storage - 7 ea			
Cord, Extension 100' - 2 ea			
Cord, Extension 50' - 1 ea			
Generator, Honda 1000 Watt	25700-06442		EU1000IA2
Ladder, Duo Safety	ER2674		
Meter, Earth/Ground; Resistance	25700-03569	19093-0304	250260
Regulator, High Pressure 6,000 PSI			
Scope, Spotting	ER2746		
Shelter, Portable	ER2800		
Station, Mobile Wireless Weather	25700-05259	B2230004	COLUMBIA
Table			
Truck, Drum			
Truck, Hand			

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04963	REG05060108	AP-50 4.5
Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04964	REG05060108	AP-50 4.5
Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04965	REG05060108	AP-50 4.5

Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04966	REG05060108	AP-50 4.5
Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04967	REG05060110	AP-50 4.5
Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04968	REG05060110	AP-50 4.5
Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04969	REG05060110	AP-50 4.5
Air-Paks, SCBA, Scott (CBRNE Certified)	25700-04970	REG05060111	AP-50 4.5
Boots, Chemical Resistant - 12 pr			
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP146998	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04972	OP147000	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04973	OP147010	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP147012	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP147054	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP147311	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP148521	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP148536	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP148558	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP148578	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149138	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149140	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149141	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149143	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149145	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149148	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149155	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149157	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149161	SC804723-
Bottle, Scott 60 Min. SCBA (Carbon Fibre)	25700-04971	OP149169	SC804723-
Cases, Scott SCBA Storage			
Devices, Personal Floatation (PFD)			
Gloves, Firefighters <Leather> (8 pr. Asstd. Sizes)			
Hood, Firefighter Protective (8 ea.)			King Cobra
Jumpsuits, Nomex - 15 ea			
Kit, TrellChem Suit Repair & UniAdapter Fitting			
Suit, TrellChem VPS Flash Chemical (L)		7006777	VPS/VP1
Suit, TrellChem VPS Flash Chemical (L)		7006779	VPS/VP1
Suit, TrellChem VPS Flash Chemical (L)		7006782	VPS/VP1
Suit, TrellChem VPS Flash Chemical (XL)		7007056	VPS/VP1
Suit, TrellChem VPS Flash Chemical (XL)		7007057	VPS/VP1
Suit, TrellChem VPS Flash Chemical (XXL)		7007227	VPS/VP1
Suit, Kappler Level B, Decon (L/XL)			
Suit, Kappler Level B, Decon (L/XL)			
Suit, Kappler Level B, Decon (L/XL)			
Suit, Kappler Level B, Decon (2X/3X)			
Suit, Kappler Level B, Decon (2X/3X)			

Garments, Class II Lion Suits, L	7702611993	MT94
Garments, Class II Lion Suits, L	7702611883	MT94
Garments, Class II Lion Suits, L	7702612003	MT94
Garments, Class II Lion Suits, L	7702611953	MT94
Garments, Class II Lion Suits, XL	7702612423	MT94
Garments, Class II Lion Suits, XL	7702612153	MT94
Garments, Class II Lion Suits, XL	7702612343	MT94
Garments, Class II Lion Suits, XL	7702612463	MT94
Suit, Kappler Frontline 500, Level A, L/XL		Frontline 500
Thermometer, Digital Tympanic		
Vest, Incident Command - 1 set of 7		

SUPPRESSION EQUIPMENT

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Applicator, Pro Pak Foam			
Eductor, Akron Inline			
Nozzle, Bubble Cup			

TOOLS

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Bar, Pry 54"			
Brush, Wire			
Chisel Set & Punch			
Come-a-long (pulley)			
Crate, Plastic Milk -			
Crowbar - 24"			
Cutters, Bolt - 18"			
Drill, Pneumatic (Air), w/ Auto Oiler	ER2118		
Extractors, Easy-Out/Stud - 2 ea			
Hammer, Sledge 8lb			
Hammer, Straight Claw Framing, 28oz			
Hose, 3/8" x 50' Air; w/Regulator			
Index, Drill			
Jack, 12 ton Hydraulic Bottle			
Kit, Grounding/Bonding Equipment, 25ft - 2 ea	ER2619		
Kit, Grounding/Bonding Equipment, 50ft - 2 a	ER2619		
Kit, Lockout/Tagout			
Knife, Putty			
Knife, Utility			
NS Bar, Pinch - 18"			
NS Hammer, Dead Blow			
NS Maul - 2 lb			
NS Scraper			
NS screw Driver - Straight Tip, Large, 36"			
NS Wrench, 55 Gallon Drum Bung			

NS Wrench, Crescent - 12"
 NS Wrench, Pipe - 24"
 NS Wrench, Pipe - 36"
 Pliers
 Pliers, Channel-Loc
 Pump, Wilden Pneumatic Transfer (Mdl. 200 w/hose) kit
 Ratchet, 3/8" Air
 Saw, 3 1/8" Hole - 2 ea
 Saw, Hack
 Saw, Hand
 Saw, Rotary Rescue (Stihl) ER1629
 Screwdriver set
 Set, Basic 215 Piece
 Shears, Aviation Left
 Shears, Aviation Right
 Shears, Aviation Straight
 Shovel, Round Point - 2 ea
 Shovel, Scoop - Aluminum -2 ea
 Shovel, square point - 2 ea
 Tape, Measuring - 2 ea
 Tool Box - general purpose
 Wheel, Measuring
 Wrenches, Large Open End/Box End - 6 pc set

VEHICLE

Item Name	OSFM ID TAG #	Serial #	Model #
Chocks, Wheel set of 2			
Trailer, 16' Wells Cargo	E246501	1WC200G2794071814	CW1622-102
Trailer, 5th Wheel Wells Cargo	E246499	1WC200R2494071833	CVG3627
Truck, Chevrolet Suburban	E246500	1GNGK46K29R142729	K2500
Truck, International 4 Dr	E246489	1HTMKAZN19H102442	DT4400

EXHIBIT C

FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR THE OSFM TO PURCHASE AND MAINTAIN OSFM-PROVIDED EQUIPMENT FOR RHMERT 2019-2021 Biennium Funding

**Funding Available for the OSFM to
Purchase and Maintain OSFM-Provided Equipment.....\$16,000.00**

Vehicle(s) and Equipment Loans. The OSFM agrees to loan to the RHMERT the OSFM-Provided Equipment specified in Exhibit "B" of this Agreement. Replacement of OSFM-Provided Equipment, including but not limited to capital equipment, expendable items, PPE, and other equipment, will be provided as necessary by prior approval of OSFM, pursuant to Section 3.4 and OSFM's approved purchasing process.

The OSFM encourages Contractor to follow the recommendation of the HazMat Equipment Committee for the selection of PPE suits, however the OSFM shall have no responsibility or liability whatsoever arising out of Contractor's choice of PPE suits, their safety, reliability, testing of the PPE suits, or their maintenance.

OSFM-Provided PPE suits shall be procured according to the procedure established in Standard Operating Guideline T021, all applicable provisions of ORS chapters 279A and 279B, and Contractor's own procurement ordinances, codes, rules and regulations.

EXHIBIT D**SPECIALIZED TRAINING FOR RHMERT
2019-2021 Biennium Funding**

Funds for approved Technician level specialized training are available under this Agreement as follows:

Funding Available for Specialized Training for RHMERT\$36,718.40

Funding is calculated by **16** team members x \$2,294.90. It is not the intent of the OSFM for each team member to receive or be limited to \$2,294.90. Reimbursement for training cost during 2019-2021 biennium is not to exceed \$36,718.40 for the RHMERT.

The OSFM will provide funding for advanced training and education to Contractor's RHMERT Members as specified in this exhibit if approved by the OSFM in advance. All such training and selection of training or training providers must comply with all federal, state and local rules and regulations and be approved by OSFM. If training is approved, the OSFM agrees to pay the cost of tuition, per diem, personnel costs, and travel expenses at the rates specified in Section 30 of this Agreement utilizing funds in this exhibit to pay for all above mentioned expenses.

Contractor may elect to use up to 100% of funding available in this exhibit for the reimbursement of personnel costs incurred as a result of RHMERT employees attending advanced training. OSFM will track how money is spent, and see if it is feasible to continue allowing 100% of funding available in this exhibit for the reimbursement of personnel costs in future contracts.

On a case by case basis, additional specialized training funds may be available for new team members to attend Technician Weeks 1 – 4.

EXHIBIT E**MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE FOR RHMERT
2019-2021 Biennium Funding**

Funds for approved medical surveillance exams are available for Contractor's RHMERT Members under this Agreement as follows:

Up to **16** of Contractor's RHMERT Members may receive medical surveillance exam(s), up to a maximum cost of \$756.39 per person. Total Medical Surveillance examinations for all members of the RHMERT shall not exceed total funding available for medical surveillance shown below.

This amount shown above is the per-person maximum payable for medical surveillance exam(s) during the 2019-2021 biennium. It is understood that costs will vary for baseline, maintenance and exit exams, and therefore, the total funding available for medical surveillance **is not** based on the maximum per-person allowance, but rather on \$756.39 per person **average** cost. This allows **flexibility** in the per-person cost **within** the maximum funding available for medical surveillance.

Funding Available for Medical Surveillance Exams for RHMERT

Not to Exceed.....\$12,102.24

EXHIBIT F**Extraordinary Response Cost Fee Schedule – Part I
2019-2021****SECTION 1: Extraordinary Response Costs Reimbursed to Contractor**

Pursuant to Section 4 of this Agreement, OSFM shall reimburse Contractor and seek compensation from the Responsible Person(s) for OSFM-approved extraordinary response costs incurred by Contractor in response to a hazardous materials incident at the following rates:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Cost</u>
Each contractor owned Engine	\$100.00 per hour
Each contractor owned Tanker	\$70.00 per hour
Each contractor owned Dump Truck.....	\$50.00 per hour
Each contractor owned Loader/Backhoe	\$100.00 per hour
OSFM-owned Cellular.....	\$50.00 per incident per phone (SMR)
Mobile and Specialized Mobile Radio	Actual Replacement Expense
Contractor-owned Personal Protective Equipment damaged or destroyed during the response to the Hazardous Materials Incident	Actual Replacement Expense
Contractor-owned Materials and Supplies.....	Actual Replacement Expense
Exposure Medical Exam.....	Actual Expense
RHMERT Operations Rehabilitation Costs	Actual Expense
Rental of equipment or materials by Contractor.....	Actual Expense

Any Contractor-owned vehicles and apparatus used during a RHMERT Operation not listed above will be charged at a rate identified in the OSFM State Mobilization Plan under Equipment Rates, available for review on OSFM's website.

Source of replacement materials and supplies may be selected by Contractor.

EXHIBIT F (cont'd)**SECTION 2: Extraordinary Response Costs Not Reimbursed to Contractor**

OSFM shall not pay compensation to Contractor for the following non-reimbursed extraordinary response costs, but OSFM will seek compensation from the Responsible Person(s) for Contractor's use of OSFM-Provided Equipment, and other extraordinary response costs incurred in response to a Hazardous Materials Incident at the following rates:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Cost</u>
Use of OSFM-owned or provided HM Heavy-Duty Response Vehicle	\$210.00 per hour
Use of OSFM-owned or provided HM Initial Response Vehicle and Trailer	\$130.00 per hour
Repair or replacement of OSFM-owned Personal Protective Equipment damaged or destroyed during the response to the Hazardous Materials Incident	Actual Replacement Expense
OSFM-owned or provided Materials and Supplies	Actual Replacement Expense
Exposure Medical Exam	Actual Expense
Rental of equipment or materials by OSFM.....	Actual Expense
Source of replacement materials and supplies shall be selected by the OSFM.	

Other Associated Costs

A response to a hazardous materials incident may incur other extraordinary response costs which cannot be anticipated. These costs may include, but are not limited to, replacement and repair costs for damaged or expended equipment and supplies. OSFM shall seek compensation from the Responsible Person(s) for these other associated extraordinary response costs on an actual cost basis.

EXHIBIT G**Extraordinary Response Cost Fee Schedule – Part II****COMPENSATION FOR CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSE PERSONNEL
2019-2021**

OSFM shall reimburse Contractor and seek compensation from the Responsible Person(s) for Contractor personnel utilized in response to a hazardous materials Incident at the following rates:

<u>Personnel Category</u>	<u>Hourly Rate</u>
HazMat Team Member – Non Officer/Firefighter – Overtime	\$97.52
HazMat Team Member – Non Officer/Firefighter – Relief No PERS	\$77.24
HazMat Team Member – Non Officer/Engineer – Relief PERS	\$97.52
HazMat Team Member – Officer/Lieutenant – Overtime	\$101.30
HazMat Team Member – Officer/HazMat Coordinator – Overtime	\$105.35

All other support personnel at actual costs.

EXHIBIT G (cont'd)Hourly Personnel Response Rate
Calculation Worksheets**NON-OFFICER**

Firefighter

NOTE: Base Hourly Rate/Non-Officer is calculated at the overtime rate for the highest paid, technician trained team member at this rank who is not an officer.

BASE SALARY	\$ 57.66
Base hourly rate $38.44 \times 1.5 =$	
PERS	\$ 20.28
Employer's contribution paid per month $57.66 \times 0.3517 =$	
WORKERS COMP INSURANCE	\$ 1.05
Hourly rate $38.44 \times 0.02735 =$	
<u>FICA* (Medicare 1.45%, OASDI 6.2%)</u>	\$ 2.94
Hourly rate $38.44 \times 7.65 \% =$	
TRANSIT DISTRICT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
UNEMPLOYMENT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
PAYROLL TAX**	\$ 0.01
Hourly rate $1 \times 1.20 \% =$	
RESPONSE AVAILABILITY RATE	\$ 15.5788
TOTAL HOURLY RATE	\$ 97.52

* Insurance/Benefits and PERS contribution rates, if applicable, are calculated if the expense is paid by the employer

** Unemployment, Payroll, and Transit Taxes are local taxes which, if applicable, are calculated by the percentage allowed by local laws.

EXHIBIT G (cont'd)Hourly Personnel Response Rate
Calculation Worksheets**NON-OFFICER**

Firefighter – Relief No PERS

NOTE: Base Hourly Rate/Non-Officer is calculated at the overtime rate for the highest paid, technician trained team member at this rank who is not an officer.

BASE SALARY	\$ 57.66
Base hourly rate $38.44 \times 1.5 =$	
WORKERS COMP INSURANCE	\$ 1.05
Hourly rate $38.44 \times 0.02735 =$	
<u>FICA* (Medicare 1.45%, OASDI 6.2%)</u>	\$ 2.94
Hourly rate $38.44 \times 7.65 \% =$	
TRANSIT DISTRICT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
UNEMPLOYMENT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
PAYROLL TAX**	\$ 0.01
Hourly rate $1 \times 1.20 \% =$	
RESPONSE AVAILABILITY RATE	\$ 15.5788
TOTAL HOURLY RATE	\$ 77.24

*PERS contribution rate, if applicable, are calculated if the expense is paid by the employer

** Unemployment, Payroll, and Transit Taxes are local taxes which, if applicable, are calculated by the percentage allowed by local laws.

EXHIBIT G (cont'd)Hourly Personnel Response Rate
Calculation Worksheets**NON-OFFICER**

Firefighter – Relief PERS

NOTE: Base Hourly Rate/Non-Officer is calculated at the overtime rate for the highest paid, technician trained team member at this rank who is not an officer.

BASE SALARY	\$ 57.66
Base hourly rate $38.44 \times 1.5 =$	
PERS	\$ 20.28
Employer's contribution paid per month $57.66 \times 0.3517 =$	
WORKERS COMP INSURANCE	\$ 1.05
Hourly rate $38.44 \times 0.02735 =$	
<u>FICA* (Medicare 1.45%, OASDI 6.2%)</u>	\$ 2.94
Hourly rate $38.44 \times 7.65 \% =$	
TRANSIT DISTRICT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
UNEMPLOYMENT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
PAYROLL TAX**	\$ 0.01
Hourly rate $1 \times 1.20 \% =$	
RESPONSE AVAILABILITY RATE	\$ 15.5788
TOTAL HOURLY RATE	\$ 97.52

*PERS contribution rate, if applicable, are calculated if the expense is paid by the employer

** Unemployment, Payroll, and Transit Taxes are local taxes which, if applicable, are calculated by the percentage allowed by local laws.

EXHIBIT G (cont'd)
Hourly Personnel Response Rate
Calculation Worksheet

OFFICER
Lieutenant – Overtime

NOTE: Base Hourly Rate/Officer is calculated at the overtime rate for the highest paid, technician trained team member at this rank who is an officer.

BASE SALARY	\$ 60.32
Base hourly rate $40.21 \times 1.5 =$	
PERS	\$ 21.21
Employer's contribution paid per month $60.32 \times 0.3517 =$	
WORKERS COMP INSURANCE	\$ 1.10
Hourly rate $40.21 \times 0.02735 =$	
<u>FICA* (Medicare 1.45%, OASDI 6.2%)</u>	\$ 3.08
Hourly rate $40.21 \times 7.65 \% =$	
TRANSIT DISTRICT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
UNEMPLOYMENT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
PAYROLL TAX**	\$ 0.01
Hourly rate $1 \times 1.20 \% =$	
RESPONSE AVAILABILITY RATE	\$ 15.5788
TOTAL HOURLY RATE	\$ 101.30

*PERS contribution rate, if applicable, are calculated if the expense is paid by the employer

** Unemployment, Payroll, and Transit Taxes are local taxes which, if applicable, are calculated by the percentage allowed by local laws.

EXHIBIT G (cont'd)
 Hourly Personnel Response Rate
 Calculation Worksheet

OFFICER
 HazMat Coordinator – Overtime

NOTE: Base Hourly Rate/Officer is calculated at the overtime rate for the highest paid, technician trained team member at this rank who is an officer.

BASE SALARY	\$ 63.17
Base hourly rate $42.11 \times 1.5 =$	
PERS	\$ 22.22
Employer's contribution paid per month $63.17 \times 0.3517 =$	
WORKERS COMP INSURANCE	\$ 1.15
Hourly rate $42.11 \times 0.02735 =$	
<u>FICA* (Medicare 1.45%, OASDI 6.2%)</u>	\$ 3.22
Hourly rate $42.11 \times 7.65 \% =$	
TRANSIT DISTRICT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
UNEMPLOYMENT TAX**	\$ 0.00
Hourly rate $0 \times 0.00 \% =$	
PAYROLL TAX**	\$ 0.01
Hourly rate $1 \times 1.20 \% =$	
RESPONSE AVAILABILITY RATE	\$ 15.5788
TOTAL HOURLY RATE	\$ 105.35

*PERS contribution rate, if applicable, are calculated if the expense is paid by the employer

** Unemployment, Payroll, and Transit Taxes are local taxes which, if applicable, are calculated by the percentage allowed by local laws.

EXHIBIT H

**FUNDING FOR PROGRAM OUTREACH FOR RHMERT
2019-2021 Biennium Funding**

Funds for approved outreach training, allowing team personnel to interface with, educate and train other local agencies.

Funding Available for RHMERT Program Outreach.....\$6,342.00

EXHIBIT I

**FUNDING FOR SUB-COMMITTEE AND SPECIAL PROJECTS PARTICIPATION FOR
RHMERT
2019-2021 Biennium Funding**

Funds for approved sub-committee and special projects participation.

Funds can be used for personnel and backfill costs associated with team members participating on a sub-committee or special project. Associated travel and per diem costs shall also be deducted from this fund.

Funding available in this exhibit for the reimbursement of sub-committee or special projects costs is a pilot project for the 2019-2021 biennium and will be reviewed before the next contract cycle. OSFM will track how money is spent, and see if it is feasible to continue funding this exhibit for future contracts.

Funding Available for Sub-Committee and Special Projects Participation for RHMERT
.....\$5,000.00

EXHIBIT J**SUMMARY - 2019-2021 BIENNIUM FUNDING AVAILABLE
FOR STAND-BY COSTS**

Funding Available For OSFM to Purchase And Maintain OSFM-Provided Equipment for RHMERT – 2019-2021 Biennium Funding - (See Exhibit C)	\$16,000.00
Specialized Training for RHMERT –2019-2021 Biennium Funding - (See Exhibit D)	\$36,718.40
Medical Surveillance for RHMERT–2019-2021 Biennium Funding - (See Exhibit E)	\$12,102.24
Funding for Contractor Program Outreach for RHMERT–2019-2021 Biennium Funding – (See Exhibit H)	\$6,342.00
Funding for Contractor Sub-Committee and Special Project Participation for RHMERT– 2019-2021 Biennium Funding (See Exhibit I)	\$5,000.00
Total 2019-2021 Biennium Funding Available for Stand-By Costs for RHMERT¹.....	\$76,162.64

¹ This not-to-exceed amount reflects the total compensation for the RHMERT. In the event that the RHMERT consists of multiple contractors, the contractor tasked with Team Administration shall allocate budgeted funds among the contractors in the RHMERT up to, but not in excess of, the amount identified in this Exhibit J.

EXHIBIT K

State Spill Response Revolving Fund

2019 -2021 Biennium Funding.....\$300,000.00


This is the **Total** State Spill Revolving Funding limitation available for the 2019-2021 biennium RHMERT services by **all** Contracted RHMERTs. This does **not** guarantee that any Contractor will be reimbursed for any specific amount from the State Spill Revolving Fund; only that funding in this amount is available for reimbursement of emergency response team costs within any OSFM limitation or appropriation.

**CITY OF ONTARIO
GENERAL FUND - DEPARTMENT EXPENSE
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED
MAY 31, 2019**


DEPARTMENT	BUDGET	ACTUAL	% EXPENDED	COMMENTS
Parks	130,374	117,814	90.4%	
Splash Pad Operations	7,000	-	0.0%	
Recreation	182,208	160,507	88.1%	
Parks & Recreation	319,582	278,321	87.1%	
Fire	1,436,598	1,296,767	90.3%	
Code Enforcement	126,154	107,974	85.6%	
Police	3,287,632	3,025,452	92.0%	high repairs, need to transfer payroll costs of one officer
Police reserves	2,000	1,711	85.5%	
Public Safety Total	4,852,384	4,431,904	91.3%	
Administration	470,084	380,768	81.0%	
City Council	25,292	10,671	42.2%	
Business Registration	1,100	425	38.6%	
Cemetery	116,418	109,311	93.9%	
Finance	234,857	197,587	84.1%	
Technology	108,606	83,166	76.6%	
General Government Total	956,357	781,929	81.8%	
Community development	163,760	132,035	80.6%	
Community Development	163,760	132,035	80.6%	
Administrative Overhead	1,259,111	1,217,829	96.7%	PERS side account payment made February 2019
Other Total	1,259,111	1,217,829	96.7%	
Operating Transfers Out	337,458	337,458	100.0%	
GENERAL FUND TOTALS	\$ 7,888,652	\$ 7,179,476	91.0%	
YTD BUDGET BENCHMARK			91.6667%	 0.7%

**CITY OF ONTARIO
GENERAL FUND - DEPARTMENT EXPENSE
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED
MAY 31, 2019**

% Expended by Category





Budget to Actual by Category



**CITY OF ONTARIO
GENERAL FUND - DEPARTMENT REVENUE
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED
MAY 31, 2019**

DEPARTMENT	BUDGET	ACTUAL	% REALIZED	COMMENTS
GENERAL FUND BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	2,449,445	2,779,170	113.5%	(not calculated in totals)
Parks	1,900	3,440	181.1%	
Recreation	79,465	67,072	84.4%	
Parks & Recreation	81,365	70,513	86.7%	
Fire	134,372	87,599	65.2%	Quarterly payments rural fire
Code Enforcement	21,050	71,939	341.8%	Civil penalties & derelict buildings higher
Police	420,860	360,148	85.6%	
Dispensary Business License	-	6,200	#DIV/0!	
Public Safety Total	576,282	525,886	91.3%	
Interest	35,000	62,153	177.6%	Interest rates keep raising
State Revenue Sharing	124,887	97,120	77.8%	Quarterly payments
PERS Allocation Transfer	146,480	146,480	100.0%	
Administrative Services	497,148	455,719	91.7%	
Other General Revenues	12,655	6,021	47.6%	
Property Taxes	3,634,901	3,600,882	99.1%	
Alcohol Bvg License & Fee	188,355	145,023	77.0%	
Cigarette tax	14,362	11,056	77.0%	
Franchise Fees	1,648,374	1,249,542	75.8%	Quarterly payments
Business Registration	1,700	2,620	154.1%	
Cemetery	51,750	33,790	65.3%	less spaces
General Government Total	6,355,612	5,810,406	91.4%	
P&Z Hearings	4,000	14,012	350.3%	
Application Fees	300	450	150.0%	
Community development	4,300	14,462	336.3%	
GENERAL FUND REVENUE TOTALS	\$ 7,017,559	\$ 6,421,267	91.5%	
YTD CURRENT YEAR PROFIT(LOSS)				\$ (758,209)
YTD BUDGET BENCHMARK				91.7%  -0.2%

**CITY OF ONTARIO
GENERAL FUND
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED
MAY 31, 2019**



**CITY OF ONTARIO
OTHER FUNDS - DEPARTMENT EXPENSES AND REVENUE
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED
MAY 31, 2019**

DEPARTMENT/FUND	EXPENDITURES				REVENUES		
	BUDGET	ACTUAL	% EXPENDED		BUDGET	ACTUAL	% REALIZED
005 Golf Course Fund	6,000	5,999	100.0%		6,000	5,999	100.0%
010 Grant Fund	388,976	536,322	137.9%		388,976	340,982	87.7%
027 Building Fund *	153,475	131,509	85.7%		192,475	370,641	192.6%
030 Capital Projects Fund	1,541,704	1,257,381	81.6%		3,875,536	3,871,288	99.9%
031 SDC Fund	340,221	-	0.0%		340,221	373,928	109.9%
035 Debt Service Fund	58,665	58,673	100.0%		81,380	66,174	81.3%
045 Street Fund	2,244,985	1,854,849	82.6%		2,244,985	2,096,897	93.4%
050 Trust Funds	636,825	326,847	51.3%		793,480	692,398	87.3%
055 Reserve Funds	678,284	88,652	13.1%		2,360,576	2,478,299	105.0%
060 Revolving Loan Fund	546,834	35,700	6.5%		546,834	542,772	99.3%
065 Aquatic Donation Fund	25,814	25,814	100.0%		25,814	25,814	100.0%
105 Water Fund	3,176,064	2,866,176	90.2%		4,516,458	4,408,663	97.6%
110 Sewer Fund	3,127,885	2,597,641	83.0%		5,338,610	5,599,359	104.9%
115 Storm Sewer Fund	233,720	126,695	54.2%		615,981	604,366	98.1%
120 Airport Fund	172,976	163,457	94.5%		172,976	200,111	115.7%
125 Aquatic Fund	864,934	763,357	88.3%		864,934	559,617	64.7%
Comments:							
*Building Fund -Approved a resolution to transfer funds from contract to payroll							
***Approved a CDBG grant & Brownsfield Grant Resolution in June							
YTD BUDGET BENCHMARK			91.7%				

06/03/2019

Police Department Bi- annual Report


July 2019



Organizational Chart

2

06/03/2019



TEAM MEMBER UPDATES



Introducing...

Chief Steven Romero


- 30 Year career (LAPD & Hawthorne PD)



Introducing...

Officer Danielle Llamas

04/09/2019



Introducing... Reserve Officer Yesenia Carpenter

05/30/2019



Community Service

2019 April Volunteer of the Month Ontario School District



Officer Tomas Elizondo



STATISTICS



Calls for Service comparison

Jan-June	2018	4378
Jan-June	2019	4259
Increase/Decrease		- .97 %



Significant Events and Cases



- ✓ Man sentenced to 6 years for attempted murder in connection with shooting and Police standoff.
- ✓ Man and woman arrested in connection with Homicide.
- ✓ Vehicle pursuit of stolen vehicle leads to Officer involved shooting. Suspect deceased.
- ✓ Suspicious death of Man ruled overdose by State Medical Examiner's Office.

Training and Development



Officer Development Center

Community Partnerships

Grant Gala-Four Rivers School



- Oregon Community Foundation and DHS
- \$2,000 award donated to Shop with a Cop

May 7th, 2019

Lieutenant Cooper



Fish with a Cop

Lake Owyhee

June 12th, 2019 Over 50 Kids



**Officer Chris Bolyard, Sergeant Victor Grimaldo,
Lieutenant Cooper and Chief Romero**



Coffee with a Cop

Starbucks Coffee

May 13th, 2019



Lieutenant Cooper, Officer(s) Jared Cutler and Dallas Brockett

Ontario Lions Club- Buckaroo Supper fundraiser



June 7th, 2019



**Chief Romero, Lieutenant Cooper and
Sergeant Grimaldo**



Serve Week

Establishment of new fencing @ Project Dove



April 20th, 2019

Officer(s) Bolyard, Cutler, Frazier, Phelps and Johnson

Infrastructure



Building Security Improvements

- CJIS Compliant



Sprucing up



Chief Romero's Office



**Police Office Manager/IT
Supervisor Sheri Smith's
Office**





➤ Ontario PD Training Center Updates

- Idaho Power Installation
- Burke Electric



Electrical, range use lighting to building and covered patio.

Questions/Comments?





JACOBS May 23 – June 20



2019 Report

June
2019

Prepared by JACOBS for
CITY OF ONTARIO/PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Monthly Business Review

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

CONTENTS

FIELD SERVICES: STREETS AND COLLECTION/DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY.....2-6

WATER TREATMENT PLANT SUMMARY.....7-9

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SUMMARY10-11

PARKS AND CEMETERY SUMMARY12

FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUMMARY.....12

ENGINEERING DIVISION SUMMARY.....13-14

APPENDIX.....15-17



FIELD SERVICES: STREETS & COLLECTION/DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

- Concrete pads were poured and finished on the East Idaho tree project, the new trees will be planted this fall and the cast iron collars will be put in place.
- Staff focused on activities at the Splash Park, landscaping is finished. We have completed the installation of the Splash Park pergola, water features, touch up painting, and new signage installed.
- Splash Park opening on Thursday May 31, was a great success with the number of people attending exceeding all expectations.
- Splash Park was non-operational for almost 4 days while repairs were done. A new chemical feed system and other trouble shooting was done to bring the splash park up to full operations. It appears as if all the issues have been resolved and rectified.
- Weed spraying on various streets throughout the community and the police gun range.
- In house vehicle maintenance performed as mandated by our CMMS program.
- Asphalt repairs on SE 5th St.
- Second round of City-Wide street sweeping is in progress.
- Backfilled the electrical trench at the gun range.
- Flooding was manifested on the combined storm water line we share with the Malheur irrigation district; the line was run multiple times with our drain cleaning truck to clear a debris plug. Most likely the cause was root intrusion that allowed debris to partially damn the pipe and cause a backup. It was cleared, and water is running normally again.



FIELD SERVICES: STREETS & COLLECTION/DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

- ODOT painted the primary and secondary road striping that was faded and worn.
- Crack seal prep – inspecting the crack seal machine and compressor.
- Crew performed a 6" hot tap for a fire hydrant on SE 13th St. for Anderson Excavation.
- Graded the Golf Course parking lot for asphalt. It was due to be paved June 20th but was canceled due to cooler temps and the asphalt plant did not start.
- An especially high number of backflow preventer tests that are delinquent have been observed this month. These tests are required by the State of Oregon and if the testing is not completed or compliant the water service is to be shut off.
- 9 water services were shut off for failure to perform State required backflow testing. The services will be turned on when the required testing has been completed.
- Four staff members attended a 16-hour asbestos safety training.
- One of our staff members attended a public pool training course in Oregon City and passed the required test.
- Normal operations city wide with street cleaning, pot hole patching.
- Water maintenance – leaking setters, broken angle stops, and broken boxes were repaired through-out town.
- Installed a 1 1/2" water service for Hometown Toyota on SE 13th St.
- 2 new water services were installed.
- 47 water services were turned on per customer request.
- 30 water services were shut off per customer request.
- 34 water services were read-only on/off per customer request.
- 16 water services were checked for leaks per customer request.
- 7 water services were shut off for repairs per customer request.
- 5 water services were checked to verify leaks had been repaired by customer.
- 2 water services were checked for consumption issues.
- 27 water meters were changed out for non-functioning.
- 36 water services were shut off for non-payment.
- 26 water services were turned on for payment received.
- 18 water services were checked to verify still off from non-payment list.
- 80 final notice door hangers were hung for failure to test backflow devices throughout Ontario.
- 9 water services were shut off for failure to test backflow.
- 55 utility locates were completed.

FIELD SERVICES: STREETS & COLLECTION/DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:



Top: Crews finishing concrete tree wells on E. Idaho Ave.


Bottom: Employee backfilling electrical trenches at Ontario Police Department gun range.



FIELD SERVICES: STREETS & COLLECTION/DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY


ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

**Tree roots pulled from storm line
at Plaza Subdivision.**



FIELD SERVICES: STREETS & COLLECTION/DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY

**Work Orders
May 23 – June 20
Actual Hours by Work Order Type**



WATER TREATMENT PLANT SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

- The plant is operating well with no issues, inventory amounts at target levels.
- Snake river flows are finally decreasing, and river levels have begun falling.
- We have begun hauling stockpiled silt from storage piles at the water treatment plant. Silt filtered from our water treatment plant has been stored on site for 20+ years. The solids are being hauled to Clay Peak for proper disposal.
- One of our staff members increased his certification level to a grade 3 operations license, good job.
- Crews cleaned washwater recovery basins #3 & #4.

Jacobs staff setting up portable auto sampler for mercury samples at the Water Treatment Plant.



WATER TREATMENT PLANT SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:



Left: Staff cleaning chlorine generator.


Right: Employees cleaning washwater recovery basin #4.



WATER TREATMENT PLANT SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES APRIL 20 – MAY 22:

Demand vs. Availability



WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

- Odor issues at the Wastewater plant have diminished greatly, checks throughout the week have shown great improvement.
- All wastewater effluent flows are being sent to the skyline farms for irrigation use. Our permit requires we do not discharge into the river from May 1 through October 31.
- Flow was diverted to off line chlorine contact basin, the basin taken off line was drained and cleaned and staged ready to be used again.
- A new wastewater operator was hired.
- Crews cleaned both sides of the chlorine contact basin.
- Weed spraying weather dependent.




WWTP staff cleaning chlorine contact chamber.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT FLOWS

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

Wastewater Treatment Flows



PARKS AND CEMETERY SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

- There were 3 burials at Evergreen Cemetery and 0 at Sunset Cemetery.
- Lawn mowing at all City Parks and trash removal.
- Prepared Lion's Park for Global Village.
- Normal activities including regular maintenance of parks and cemeteries; weed spraying.
- 170 acres sprayed for weeds at the Golf Course.

FACILITIES SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:

- Repaired a key pad on the back door of City Hall.
- The air-conditioner stopped working at the Golf Course and was repaired.
- 2 motors in City Hall HVAC System were replaced.
- The parking lot for the old city shop was cleaned. Weeds and other debris were removed and the area staged for the new tenant to move in.

ENGINEERING SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES APRIL 20 – MAY 22:

- Issued 3 Right-of-Way permits.
- Attended 2 PDAC meetings to discuss new housing and expansion of an existing business.
- Attended Splash Park Grand Opening.
- Attended a meeting with ODOT regarding SE 2nd St.
- Attended S. Oregon St. budget review.
- S. Oregon Design – Continued working with CK3 team regarding options for bulb-outs and vegetated swales; advanced design. Began working with Charlotte and Peter regarding outreach to downtown businesses.
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) – Moving forward with Variance application. Supporting staff as we work out bugs of new permit requirements. Sorting through permit requirements that are specific to Engineering group (reports, etc.).
- CIP – Attended budget hearing at Council. Began preparation for engaging in coming FY projects.
- SRCI – Met with DOC's engineer, Chris Brugato; took him through mainly SRCI facilities as requested. Continued work on Water agreement, rate structure example, and SRCI capital projects list and associated costs. Attended internal team meetings on process.
- Splash Park – Continued coordination with Anderson Pool Works and Warrington Construction. Worked on final billing with Warrington Construction. Developed estimate of services to support operation & maintenance of system once open. Met several times internally to make sure all loose ends were tied up for opening. Completed the erection of the pergola.
- Lions Shelter – After receiving no bids, team began to reach out for informal negotiation, but were slowed down with work on Splash Park. No contractor interested yet.
- Water Master Plan – Continue coordination on data and especially the water model info recently; coordinated next workshop.
- Treasure Valley Community College Connector Path – Work closely with Adam and Dan on easement needs to reach agreement with TVCC and to fulfill grant requirements. Created park boundary map for grant needs. Reviewed and updated cost estimate.
- WTP Slough – Staff on hold as State reviews wetland information from Jacobs.
- WTP Solids – Jay Hartley Trucking invoiced for portion of work; nearly complete with \$50k removal.
- SE 2nd Street – Worked quickly with ODOT and Anderson Perry to modify scope to better fit budget. This was required by Mike Barry for inclusion in omnibus package to ODOT. Decision was made to reduce project to 12th Ave (not 14th) from 5th.
- TSP – Met at Kittleson office to go through scope in detail with ODOT on phone. Finalized scope over the next week for ODOT to carry forward. ODOT was able to hold City's contribution to \$21k.
- SE 5th Ave ODOT Project – met with Dan Cummings and Mike Barry of ODOT regarding project timing and funding.

ENGINEERING SUMMARY

ACTIVITIES MAY 23 – JUNE 20:



Splash Park Grand Opening May 30, 2019



APPENDIX

FIELD SERVICES

Collection and Distribution staff is responsible for Sewer Collection and Water Distribution throughout the City. Water distribution duties include maintenance and repair of approximately 97 miles of water lines and 3,625 services that include service installations, mainline installation, meter reading, maintenance of more than 600 fire hydrants, and valve exercising of more than 1,700 water valves.

Sewer collection duties include maintenance and repair of approximately 78 miles of sanitary sewer lines within the city. Responsibilities include constructing new pipelines, cleaning all gravity sanitary sewer lines, repairing or replacing sanitary sewer lines as needed, providing line locations for all water and sewer lines, and maintaining eight lift stations plus a barscreen and one lift station from SRCl. The city has approximately 56 miles of storm drain collection lines and 1,450 catch basins throughout city limits. Duties include storm drain maintenance and repair, cleaning of approximately 11 miles of storm drain lines, and cleaning the catch basins.

*COLLECTION AND DISTRIBUTION STAFF MAINTAINS
78 MILES OF SANITARY SEWER LINES
56 MILES OF STORM DRAIN COLLECTION LINES,
AND 1,450 CATCH BASINS THROUGHOUT
CITY LIMITS*

The Street Maintenance Division maintains more than 122 lane miles of improved streets and more than 9 miles of alleys. The Street Division is responsible for resurfacing, repairing and maintaining the streets, installing and maintaining street signs and markings, tree trimming, and repairing all established pavement markings. The division also completes excavation and repair of deteriorating streets, gravel road grading, street sweeping, crack sealing, chipsealing, snow removal and sanding during the winter months, and weed control along the alleys. Street Maintenance staff assists the Chamber of Commerce by putting decorations on street lights during the Christmas season and replacing them with regular decorations when the season is over.

*STREET MAINTENANCE DIVISION MAINTAINS MORE THAN
122 LANE MILES OF IMPROVED STREETS
9 MILES OF ALLEYS
CHIPSEALS LAST A MINIMUM OF 8 YEARS,
AND PUBLIC WORKS CHIPSEALS 7 MILES PER YEAR*

As part of the Street Division's maintenance program, crews chipseal street surfaces in the summer months to protect them from water and weather damage and to keep them in good condition. A chipseal maintains the existing pavement, delaying further aging resulting from water and sun, provides a moisture barrier, and corrects existing pavement problems by sealing cracks. A chipseal application provides

substantial savings to taxpayers and should last a minimum of 8 years with minimal maintenance required. The city chipseals approximately 7 miles of streets per year.

APPENDIX CONTINUED

Water treatment plant staff is responsible for treatment of the city's drinking water supply. They must reliably produce high-quality water in adequate volume to meet city needs. Besides the daily laboratory checks, there are monthly, quarterly and yearly reports.

There are two sources of water for Ontario's estimated 11,090 residents: 80 percent comes from the Snake River and 20 percent comes from wells. Two plants treat the City's water. One is a conventional filtration plant and the other is an upflow clarifier. The steps for treatment are flocculation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection, which meet the compliance of the Oregon Health Authority.

The Oregon Health Authority requires an operator be on duty 365 days a year. Ontario customers account for 3,845 water services, not including SRCl.

The water treatment plant has a reliable capacity (capacity with the largest pump out of service) of 8.3 mgd and a maximum capacity of 11 mgd. The water treatment plant average production is 6 mgd and the City's largest industrial user, Heinz Frozen Foods, uses an average of 2.2 million gallons (MG) of that water.

THE WATER TREATMENT PLANT HAS A RELIABLE CAPACITY OF 8.3 MGD AND A MAXIMUM CAPACITY OF 11 MGD.

APPROXIMATELY 80 PERCENT OF WATER COMES FROM THE SNAKE RIVER, THE OTHER 20 PERCENT FROM WELLS.

The City retains surface and groundwater rights permits for a combined total of 38.23 cubic feet per second (cfs), 24.71 mgd for municipal use.

All of the City's potable water is treated and disinfected at the water treatment plant. The plant has an original 8-mgd design capacity based on the optimum filter loading rates. The City expanded its treatment capacity in 2006, through the addition of two adsorption clarification and filtration tanks.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

The wastewater treatment plant collects wastewater from the entire city and SRCl. The prison accounts for approximately 16 percent of the flow to the plant.


Wastewater treatment plant operators use a conventional treatment system of aerated lagoons and secondary chlorination treatment. Effluent from the treatment process is used to irrigate forage crops during the growing season. Nine lift stations transport sanitary sewer waste to the Wastewater Treatment Plant. Wastewater is screened and the flow measured at the headworks. Five cells (lagoons) with 25 mechanical aerators assist with biological treatment. Onsite generation of chlorine is used for disinfection.

Average discharge volume is approximately 1.5 mgd. Winter discharge is to the Snake River from November through March. Summer discharge is land applied to approximately 353 acres of cropland at the Skyline Farm.

The Ontario park system consists of both active and passive recreational areas. There are four neighborhood parks, one community park, one large urban park, and numerous special use sites in the park system. In total, the City owns 13 park and recreational areas representing more than 1,012 acres of land. The city also owns the Wayne King Skateboard Park.

The Engineering Division reviews plans for construction of public improvements, maintains the city's mapping system, and manages the engineering projects within both the Capital Improvements and Maintenance Programs. The department provides technical support to residents, developers, builders, other city departments, consulting engineers, and surveyors. The staff reviews and approves construction plans for subdivisions, partitions, streets, sanitary sewer, water lines, and storm drainage construction projects. They also design projects, prepare bid documents for public works maintenance projects, and provide project management for public improvements.

The Geographic Information System (GIS) database is maintained by Engineering Department staff. The department also provides support to the city by creating, analyzing, and maintaining the city's geographical digital data. They provide updates to the record maps for all city utilities, right-of-way, easements, land division plots, and city base maps.






ONTARIO POLICE DEPARTMENT

444 SW 4TH STREET, ONTARIO, OR 97914

OFFICE: (541) 889-7684 DISPATCH: (541) 473-5125 FAX: (541) 889-3026

Code Enforcement Stats MAY 2019



Total Cases	136
Debris (Garbage, junk, scrap, debris)	3
Dogs running at large	34
Dogs taken to Ani-Care	15
Junk Vehicles	7
Junk	9
Weeds	23
Derelict Buildings Registered	3



City of Ontario
POLICE DEPARTMENT
Office of the Chief
 444 SW 4th Street
 Ontario, OR 97914

To: Ontario City Council
 Re: Department Statistics for May 2019

Activity	Month of May	Previous Month	Year to Date	Prior Year to Date
Calls for Service	1013	870	4332	4259
Traffic Stops	167	192	700	1123
Cited Traffic/Parking	108	119	387	579
Motor Vehicle Crashes	58	48	222	195
Arrests	84	95	597	383
Arrests w/ Use of Force	0	1	6	4
Citizen Complaints	0	0	0	1
SRO Cases	17	30	147	15
Gang Related Cases	1	7	21	175
Gang Designations	0	0	0	82
Graffiti	1	7	20	4
Death Investigations	1	1	3	79
Burglary	13	16	80	7
Robbery	0	1	7	38
Thefts	81	70	300	4
Assault	11	16	62	164
Homicide	0	1	3	45
Sex Crimes	11	3	20	0
Alarms	19	16	98	15



June 2019 ACTIVITY REPORT



June 2019



Emergency Medical:

(Types of medical calls responded to: Falls with injury, fall lift assists, medical emergencies, medical alarms, assaults, etc.)

CITY	159
RURAL	4

5 of these emergency calls required back-up crews to be called in to respond to 2nd call.

Hazmat Team Calls:

0

Fire Related Emergency Calls:

(Types of fire related calls responded to: Rescue calls, building fires, vehicle fires, smoke detector/fire alarm activation, unauthorized burning, etc.)

	TOTAL	General	Mutual Aid
CITY	15	1	0
RURAL	9	5	1

2 of these emergency calls required back-up crews to be called in to respond to 2nd call.

TOTAL CALLS FOR MONTH

CITY	174
RURAL	13

FIREFIGHTER TRAINING:

5/28/19 Vehicle Extrication- Hazmat

6/3/19 Airpack Maintenance Tech

6/4/19 Heat Emergencies

6/9-13/19 Skid Avoidance Driving @ Airport

6/13/19 Ladders- Hoses- Nozzles Hydrants

6/18/19 SCBA in-service- Wildland Firewise

6/25/19 UTV Classroom Training



June 2019



PERMITS ISSUED:

City Open Burns 5

City Burn Barrels 0

Rural Open Burns 65

Rural Burn Barrels 17

INSPECTIONS:

6/20/19 City Hall Safety Inspection

6/25/19 Brookdale Retirement center

6/25/19 Calvary chapel school building

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT:

5/30/19 Fire Department cooked hot dogs for Splash Park opening.

6/1/19 Global Village Assistance

6/13/19 Car Seat Clinic

6/21/19 Peter Hall's family came in for station tour. 9 children 2-10 year olds and 4 adults.



June 2019



Summary of Calls of Interest:

City Incidents:

6/2 1123 SW 2nd St.: Carbon monoxide alarm, R1 called for carbon monoxide alarm that was sounding in the above apartment. Upon arrival several people were exiting the residence. The apartment has a gas furnace and a gas stove. The carbon monoxide alarm was mounted on the ceiling. The occupant was instructed in the proper installation of the CO detector. Cascade Natural Gas arrived and it was determined that because there was no vent hood above the stove that the stove was giving off enough CO to set off the alarm. It was also discovered that the oven would not completely shut off until the knob was manipulated. The oven was shut off completely and occupant was advised to tell the landlord that the oven needed to be serviced. CNG advised the occupant that the property was ok to occupy but she needed to continue to watch for any changes.

6/2 East Lane/ Snake River/ E. Idaho: Homeless person burning trash in a pit.

6/3 755 N. Park: R1 Dispatched to a vehicle fire, fire was reported by a passerby. Upon arrival, observed a brush fire by the street which consumed a mailbox and did some cosmetic damage to an older model Dodge Aerostar passenger van. The property owner had the fire extinguished with a garden hose. We finished putting out hot spots with R1. The property owner stated that they were in the house all morning and were not in the area when the fire started, and that he was unsure how the fire started. There were children in the vicinity, and cigarette butts on the ground. We were unable to determine the cause.



June 2019

6/6 675 NW. 1st St. #3: R-1 dispatched to 675 NW 1st street for a lot of smoke coming from neighbor's residence at 16:45. R-1 arrived to a multifamily 2 story dwelling. Upon Arrival R-1 found garbage and the front porch on fire to unit #3 on the second story. R-1 quickly pulled the booster line and knocked down the fire from the ground. R-1 made access to apartment #3 to mop up the rest of the fire and hot spots. R-1 found 10 to 15 cigarette butts on the ground and in the garbage.

6/9 3132 SW. 4th ave.: Vehicle fire from a vehicle that appeared to have a transmission fluid leak. R1 witnessed a line of liquid that appeared to have been leaking on the road at least 3 blocks long on the street. When the driver pulled into their driveway it then appears that the vehicle caught fire possibly on the hot exhaust. The engine compartment was involved in fire upon R1 arrival. R1 pulled booster hose and extinguished the fire in the engine compartment. With the fire extinguished R1 crew was able to investigate the vehicle and question the owner. The owner stated they had just gone on an errand and when arrived home the vehicle caught fire. The vehicle's engine compartment was all that was involved the interior was not involved.

6/24 230 East Lane: R1 was called out to Rue 21 for a smell of smoke in the building. R1 Arrived on scene with nothing showing from the exterior. Walked into the facility and smelled smoke that smelled like a light ballast. After checking all the lights in the vicinity of the smoke we determined one of the lights was reading high temperatures. Turned the power off to the lights and waited for the temperature to drop. The crew recommended for them to contact an electrician to examine that light ballast. And to not turn the light back on until an electrician examines it.

Rural Incidents:

5/28 337 Alameda: R1 was dispatched for smoke showing on a roof of a residence. R1 arrived on scene to find an approximate 1200 square foot single family residence with smoke showing out of the attic vents and soffit. After evacuating two residents and two cats FF Benson did a walk around as well as a walkthrough of the interior. The owner already had a ladder up to an open attic access. FF Benson looked into the attic from the access and found medium smoke and high heat. At that time we asked for a general page. Given the amount of debris scattered throughout the residence we decided that an attack through the roof would be safer for firefighters. 100 arrived and took over incident command. R1 crew set up an extension ladder on the delta side of the residence and sounded the roof as we inspected the attic using a TIC. We then used a chainsaw to cut an opening through the roof on the delta side; medium smoke and high heat were present. We were able to see the seat of the fire from the access hole and used a one inch booster line to extinguish the fire. Another crew from Engine 103 accessed the roof and cut an opening in the roof on the bravo side. FF Benson then made access into the attic through the roof opening on the delta side and used a TIC to look for any hot areas. As the smoke cleared it appeared that a mattress or bed had been set up in the attic and that area appeared to be the area of origin. There were candles throughout the area as well. The house had numerous cords running in strange places. Other firefighters entered the attic and assisted removing smoldering insulation and other items while cooling the area. After determining that the attic was cooled off we exited and assisted with salvage and overhaul. The fire was contained to the attic with fire damage to the roof



June 2019

rafters directly above the bed area as well as smoke and water damage to cellulose insulation and ceiling sheet rock.

5/29 518 Ontario Heights Rd. R1 was called to scene of an oil fire at the Asphalt plant. The on scene operator of plant stated he had a fire in his mixing drum. He added some sand and extinguished the fire. Fire was out on out arrival.

6/3 563 Canyon 2 Rd. 156 was dispatched for a report of a fire in some trees in a canyon. The crew arrived in the area and made contact with the owner. The owner stated that he had a burn pile and allowed us to go take a look. Up the hill from the owner's mobile home was about a 1/10 of an acre burning in steep, dead and down timber. The owner led us up a two track road so that we could access the fire from the top. FF Benson used a one inch forestry line and nozzle to knock down the fire.

6/14 4312 S. Grandview Lane: 156 was called for sagebrush and grass fire at the above address. Caller checked and no one was around the area of the fire. There are several residences in the area but none were being threatened at this time. 156 called for general alarm due to the smoke and the proximity to the canyon or draw near the area. Upon arrival 156 found the draw involved in fire to be between two properties. The decision was made to attack the north side and have 157 go to the south end. 102 assisted 156 on the north side, 155 set up water supply at above address. A crew with man power was requested from Payette. Payette crew on scene assisted 157 on the south side. The fire was flanked on both sides by 156 initially, and there was basically clean up and knocking down hot spots by the other crews. There were several spots that required cutting of stumps and limbs to clear potentially rekindle areas. The entire fire area had been wet and there was a distinct 20ft border placed around the fire area. Approx. 5,000 gallons of water were used to extinguish the fire. Payette's crew was released when they ran out of water.

6/22 3615 Elgin Rd: Ontario fire department was requested at a mutual aid incident by the city of New Plymouth. Ontario rural responded with 157 along with four members and Chief 100 upon arrival 157 and command rig 105 were assigned to the south division of a multiple acre brush fire. The fire had been contained with the assistance of a tractor and a disk that created a 10' wide fire break. 157 used 400 gallons and hand tools to create a wet line between the fire break and the black around the south division. Incident command 300 released 157 and 105 from the incident after their assignment was complete.

6/23 525 Foothill Dr: Ontario fire department was dispatched to 525 Foothill Dr. for a field fire. 156 arrived on scene of a 3 acre field with medium height fuels; the fire was creeping toward the south. 156 created a perimeter around the fire, the green fuels and moisture content aided in the slow fire spread. A second brush truck and a rural tender for water supply was requested. 157 was used to create a wet line around the black to prevent any hot spots to reignite any of the unburned fuels. The homeowner stated she was trying to prepare her home for summer by getting rid of tall cheat grass around the home. The windy conditions allowed the fire to get away from her. She was advised of burning procedures and burn permits needed even in rural areas. She agreed to abide to the rules next time.



June 2019



MALHEUR COUNTY COURT MINUTES

May 29, 2019

County Court met with Judge Dan Joyce presiding with Commissioner Don Hodge and Commissioner Larry Wilson present. Staff present was Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois and County Counsel Stephanie Williams.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session was called in accordance with ORS 192.660(2)(a) - Employment of Public Officers, Employees and Agents with Judge Joyce presiding and Commissioner Hodge and Commissioner Wilson present. Also present was Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois, County Counsel Stephanie Williams, and Adele Schaffeld. No decisions were made during the session.

BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Commissioner Wilson moved to authorize Ms. DuBois to extend an employment offer for an Oregon A-Level Structural Inspector/Plans Examiner/Building Official position at the top end of the advertised salary range; the offer also includes the County paying the costs of transferring the candidate's current certifications to Oregon certifications and obtaining the Oregon residential plumbing certification. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. DuBois and Ms. Williams left the meeting.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Planner Eric Evans met with the Court; Mr. Evans provided his ninth monthly report to the Court as the Court has required.

COURT MINUTES

Commissioner Hodge moved to approve Court Minutes of May 22, 2019 as written. Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES AGREEMENT

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Department of Justice Cooperative Agreement Child Support Services. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The agreement is for the District Attorney's child support services program. Funding is for program activities commencing July 1, 2019 and ending June 30, 2023. A copy will be returned for recording.

SUB-AGREEMENTS - MCOA&CS

Commissioner Hodge moved to approve Sub-Agreement with Malheur Council on Aging and Community Services (MCOA&CS) for General Public Transit Service Pursuant to State Agreement Number 33428, 5311 - Capital Operating, Planning; and Sub-Agreement with Malheur Council on Aging and Community Services (MCOA&CS) for Allocation of Special Transportation Funds for Special Transportation Services Benefiting Senior and Individuals with Disabilities Pursuant to State Agreement Number 33495. Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

AGREEMENT - DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

Commissioner Hodge moved to approve Intergovernmental Services Agreement, Contract #DOR-160-19 with Department of Revenue for map maintenance and related cartographic activities to be performed by Department of Revenue for Malheur County for fiscal year 2019/2020.

Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously. A copy will be returned for recording.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session was called in accordance with ORS 192.660(2)(i) - Performance Evaluations of Public Officers and Employees with Judge Joyce presiding with Commissioner Hodge and Commissioner Wilson present. Planner Eric Evans was later asked to join the session. No decisions were made during or following the session.

COURT ADJOURNMENT

Judge Joyce adjourned the meeting.

MALHEUR COUNTY COURT MINUTES

June 5, 2019

County Court met with Judge Dan Joyce presiding with Commissioner Don Hodge and Commissioner Larry Wilson present. Staff present was Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois.

COURT MINUTES

Commissioner Hodge moved to approve Court Minutes of May 29, 2019 as written. Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

CONTRACT - ANI-CARE

Commissioner Hodge moved to approve Contract with Ani-Care Animal Shelter, Inc. Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously. Ani-Care will accept delivery, custody, and responsibility of care and disposition for abandoned or stray canines located within the confines of Malheur County (not city limits); Ani-Care is not responsible for the initial capture and transportation of the abandoned or stray canines. Financial consideration is \$2,884.80 per month; the contract expires June 30, 2020. See instrument [#2019-2117](#)

County Counsel Stephanie Williams joined the meeting.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session was called in accordance with ORS 192.660(2)(h) - Legal Counsel with Judge Joyce presiding and Commissioner Hodge and Commissioner Wilson present. Also present were County Counsel Stephanie Williams and Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois. No decisions were made during or following the session.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session was called in accordance with ORS 192.660(2)(a) - Employment of Public Officers, Employees and Agents with Judge Joyce presiding and Commissioner Hodge and Commissioner Wilson present. Also present was County Counsel Stephanie Williams and Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois. No decisions were made during the meeting.

BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Commissioner Wilson moved to authorize Ms. DuBois extend an employment offer for an Oregon A-Level Structural Inspector/Plans Examiner/Building Official position to the number one candidate at a starting salary of Step 7, Range 15; the County paying the costs of transferring the candidate's certifications to Oregon certifications and paying the costs of various association dues, however, the department's FY 2019/2020 budget is not to be exceeded. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

LEASE AGREEMENT - CITY OF NYSSA

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Lease Agreement for office space usage for County inspection services and staff in the offices of the City of Nyssa. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The monthly rental charge for the office space is \$525 from July 1, 2019 through January 31, 2020. A copy will be returned for recording.

LABOR AGREEMENT - AFSCME

Commissioner Hodge moved to approve General Employees Labor Agreement with the Malheur County Employees Local 3831, an affiliate of Oregon AFSCME Council 75 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO. Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The agreement expires December 31, 2021. See instrument [#2019-2118](#)

AMENDMENT - CONTRACT WITH STEVE'S BACKHOE SERVICES

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Fourth Amendment to Personal Service Contract to Operate and Maintain Lytle Boulevard Landfill between Malheur County and Steve's Backhoe Services, Inc. Recorded with Malheur County Clerk as Instrument Numbers [2007-7609](#) (Contract), [2009-3546](#), [2010-6428](#), and [2014-0679](#). Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously. Financial consideration is \$96,192 annually through June 30, 2024. See instrument [#2019-2119](#)

PERS RETIREE CONTRACT

Staff explained that an employee in the Sheriff's Office was considering retiring but wanted to continue to work for the Sheriff's Office as a PERS retiree. As a PERS retiree rehire in law enforcement in a County with a population lower than 75,000 the employee is not subject to the hourly restriction of 1039 per calendar year.

Ms. Williams left the meeting.

The employee is requesting the County continue its employment as a contract employee with full County benefits. The County has contracted with retired employees in key positions in the past; however, the County policy states that retired employees do not receive County benefits including paid holidays, vacation leave, personal leave, cost-of-living increases or sick leave. Oregon law provides for sick leave at the rate of one (1) hour for every thirty (30) hours worked. County health insurance is available for full-time retired employees and is currently paid at 85% employer and 15% employee per month.

Consensus of the Court was that County policy regarding employment of retirees be followed with an exception to the hourly restriction of 1039 per calendar year (sick leave is available per Oregon law requirements and County health insurance is available as a full-time employee; no other County benefits are included).

COURT ADJOURNMENT

Judge Joyce adjourned the meeting.

MALHEUR COUNTY COURT MINUTES

June 12, 2019

County Court met with Judge Dan Joyce presiding with Commissioner Larry Wilson present. Staff present was Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois. Public present was John Messick.

ANNEXATION INFORMATION

Surveyor/Engineer Tom Edwards met with the Court and provided information on an annexation that the City of Ontario is doing. An area of Malheur Drive and Verde Drive is going through the City of Ontario's annexation process and it is Ontario's policy and practice to include all right-of-ways adjacent and along the properties that are being annexed. The County has a small parcel, Ref. #19766, that will be included in the annexation as well as all County right-of-ways within the annexation area. The Court had no objections to the annexation.

COURT MINUTES

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Court Minutes of June 5, 2019 as written. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed.

LETTER OF SUPPORT - COMMUNITY IN ACTION GRANT APPLICATION

Commissioner Wilson moved the Court sign a letter of support for Community in Action's (CinA) grant application for a USDA Section 533 Housing Preservation Grant. If received, the grant funds will provide additional rehabilitation efforts within the housing repair program. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed. See instrument #[2019-2197](#)

CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES AGREEMENT - DOJ

The Court resigned the Department of Justice Cooperative Agreement Child Support Services, Agreement #19299. (The agreement was previously signed on May 29, 2019; DOJ made minor changes and requested the agreement be resigned.) The agreement is for the District Attorney's child support services program. Funding is for program activities commencing July 1, 2019 and ending June 30, 2023. A copy will be returned for recording.

AMENDMENT - JUVENILE CRIME PREVENTION

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Amendment No. 1 to Intergovernmental Agreement No. 11082 with Department of Education, Youth Development Division. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed. The amendment adds additional Juvenile Crime Prevention (JCP) Prevention funds. The original agreement is recorded as instrument #[2017-3049](#); Amendment No. 1 is recorded as instrument #[2019-2204](#)

AMENDMENT - IGA #90G000247

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Amendment #2 to Intergovernmental Agreement #90G000247 with Building Codes Division. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed. The amendment adds language regarding the ePermitting program Virtual Merchant account fees. See instrument #[2019-2213](#)

IGA #159822 - PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve 2019-2021 Intergovernmental Agreement #159822 for the Financing of Public Health Services with Oregon Health Authority. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed. The IGA outlines the programs and services provided by the Health Department and Environmental Health; the agreement expires June 30, 2021. A copy will be returned for recording.

PUBLIC HEARING - COUNTY BUDGET

Judge Joyce opened the public hearing for consideration of Resolution R19-11 adopting the County budget; notice of the hearing was published in the Argus Observer. Ms. DuBois explained the changes that were made since the budget was approved due to labor negotiations being finalized. Judge Joyce closed the hearing. Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Resolution No. R19-11: In the Matter of the Adoption of a Budget and Levy of Taxes for the Fiscal Year 2019/20 as Required by ORS 294.456. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed. The total sum of the budget for the 2019/20 fiscal year is \$32,863,699; and the tax rate is \$2.5823 per \$1,000 of assessed value. See instrument #[2019-2198](#) for the document listing the changes before budget adoption; and instrument #[2019-2199](#) for Resolution R19-11.

PUBLIC HEARING - ADOPTION OF ASD BUDGET

The Court met as the governing body of the Ambulance Service District (ASD). Judge Joyce opened the public hearing for consideration of Resolution R19-12 adopting the Ambulance Service District budget; notice of the hearing was published in the Argus Observer. Ms. DuBois provided information on the changes made since the budget meetings. Judge Joyce closed the hearing. Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Resolution R19-12: In the Matter of the Adoption of a Budget and Levy of Taxes for the Fiscal Year 2019/20 as Required by ORS 294.456. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed. See instrument #[2019-2200](#) for the document listing the changes before budget adoption; and instrument #[2019-2201](#) for Resolution R19-12.

PUBLIC HEARING - ADOPTION OF EXTENSION TAX DISTRICT BUDGET

The Court met as the governing body of the Malheur County Agricultural Educational Extension Service District. Judge Joyce opened the public hearing for consideration of Resolution R19-13 adopting the Extension tax district budget; notice of the hearing was published in the Argus Observer. Ms.

DuBois provided information on the changes made since the budget meetings. Judge Joyce closed the hearing. Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Resolution R19-13: In the Matter of the Adoption of a Budget and Levy of Taxes for the Fiscal Year 2019/20 as Required by ORS 294.456. Judge Joyce seconded and the motion passed. Total Appropriation is \$874,420 and the tax rate is \$0.23 per \$1,000 of assessed value. See instrument #[2019-2202](#) for the document listing the changes before budget adoption; and instrument #[2019-2203](#) for Resolution R19-13.

Larry Meyer of the Argus Observer joined the meeting.

County Counsel Stephanie Williams joined the meeting.

ST ALPHONSUS UPDATE

Saint Alphonsus Health System President/CEO Odette Bolano and Saint Alphonsus Medical Center - Ontario President Ken Hart met with the Court. Also present were County Clerk Gayle Trotter, Planner Eric Evans, Assessor Dave Ingram, Treasurer/Tax Collector Jennifer Forsyth, and Assessor Dave Ingram. Ms. Williams introduced Ms. Bolano.

Mr. Hart provided an update on Saint Alphonus Ontario:

OREGON MEDICAID (EOCCO)

- Oregon Medicaid expansion (Eastern Oregon Coordinated Care Organization (EOCCO) - Renewal of program for January 2020
- 9,800 covered lives in Malheur County
- Provided over \$1.5 million to Malheur County in health grants since 2012 - Allocated through the EOCCO Community Advisory Committee of which Commissioner Hodge is a member
- Program is a shared savings model (savings are shared between providers and hospitals)
- Goals (with financial incentives): Utilization of Emergency Department is 51 visits per 1,000 (goal was 52); Adolescent Well Care Visits at 41.5% (goal of 47%); Colorectal Cancer Screening at 48% (goal of 47%); Child Immunization status 77% (goal was 79%)
- Oregon health medical inflation goal is to keep annual increase below 3.4% (EOCCO came in at 3.2% for 2018 and includes prescription costs)

SAINT ALPHONSUS ONTARIO STATISTICS

- Number of Full Time Employees (FTE) - 344; Average salary and benefits per FTE is \$88,192
- Volume at Ontario and Fruitland locations: 15,000 annual clinic visits; Average of 40 births per month; 2,000 patients a year admitted to the hospital; 16,000 annual emergency room visits; 2,500 annual surgeries
- Payor mix: 57% of hospital/clinic patients are on Medicare; 23% of hospital/clinic patients are on Medicaid
- Quality: Readmission rate at 7.2% (goal of 15.4%); Likelihood to recommend the hospital at 74.7% (goal of 70.1%); Likelihood to recommend the emergency department at 67.8% (goal of 77.6%)
- Property taxes paid in 2018: \$42,000 - Thank you for the continued property tax exemption for the hospital
- Saint Alphonsus Ontario Foundation: 4th Annual Hoedown raised \$17,000 for health care scholarships to be awarded in 2020; \$12,000 in scholarships were given for 2019

Additionally, two quality awards were recently received - a national award for the hospital's readmission and infection rate; and an "A" rating from Leapfrog.

Ms. Bolano explained that the population that is served is vulnerable and the property tax exemption allows for the hospital to provide services for patients that don't have a means to pay or the reimbursement amount is well below the total cost of care. Health care is a complex issue; in the United States the health care system for the last 100 years has been built on "sick care" and over the last ten years that has changed with the realization that education and infrastructure of communities really impacts health.

Ms. Bolano and Mr. Hart offered to answer any questions from the Court members.

FIRST PUBLIC HEARING - ORDINANCE 220

Public members present for the first public hearing regarding Ordinance No. 220: Occupancy of Recreational Vehicles and Declaring an Emergency included: Ivan and Elma Hershberger, Susan Thaler, Bonnie and Larry Stuart, Chelsie Bates, Alan and Dorothy Dixon, Christie and Garrett Tolman, Barry Stream, Joanie Graves, Shelli Monroe, and Dave Eyler (not all public members were present the entire hearing). Notice of the hearing was published in the Argus Observer and posted on the County website.

Judge Joyce opened the hearing and asked for a Staff Report and confirmed that those present had signed in.

County Counsel Stephanie Williams: I'm Stephanie Williams, I'm the County attorney. I worked with the Planning Department, Environmental Health, and the Sheriff's Office in drafting the first reading of this ordinance - it's number 220. The County has always had some limitations on recreational vehicle use; we never really had a set time limit on how long someone could occupy a recreational vehicle. Due to the changes in ordinance enforcement where the County recently adopted a budget to hire an enforcement officer for ordinances in the Sheriff's Office we started looking at maybe this ordinance needed to be clarified to make it clearer what our past practices have been about occupying recreational vehicles. So what we did is we took the past practice and we codified it and put it in the Malheur County Code. So we think what we wrote is status quo; this is currently what's in effect. We just clarified the time limits. It is a starting point, I know that there's some public comment - I took a phone call this morning and when we get to the public comment section I can tell you what was said. There's some other things that we could do to make this ordinance better.

Judge Joyce asked if there was a reading or copy of the ordinance. Ms. Williams said she had copies of the ordinance and it has been posted online.

Ms. Williams: This (ordinance) is just for the County. In the urban growth area we have a joint management agreement with the City of Ontario and

under the joint management agreement we adopt the planning and zoning codes of the city for enforcement in the urban growth boundary.

Judge Joyce asked for any other comments; and then asked for proponent testimony.

Susan Thaler said she had a question: Short notice, this was spoken that it was an emergency; I can't see anything anywhere that this would be an emergency hearing.

Ms. Williams: Okay, we're still going to have two hearings. This is one hearing. We have a hearing in two weeks. The emergency provision means it goes into effect immediately; if the Court does not want to do an emergency provision it will go into effect in 90 days.

S. Thaler: Why, what qualifies this making this

Ms. Williams: It's just for the health and welfare of the community.

S. Thaler: An emergency though, I mean this, the status quo has been evidently for years, but an emergency says something needs to be done right now. Why? Why right now?

Ms. Williams: It's said in the ordinance for the health and welfare of the community.

S. Thaler: So give me a violation. What makes an emergency that affects the whole county?

Ms. Williams: That it needs enforcement and to take effect immediately. Otherwise (inaudible)

S. Thaler: Why?

Ms. Williams: Well it doesn't have too; they can decide not to do it as an emergency. They could have it take effect in 90 days if they'd like. This is just being proposed.

Judge Joyce: We haven't gotten to the opponent part yet so we're trying to figure out if there's proponents for it here or those people that are affected one way or the other. So in other words is there anybody in favor of the ordinance? That'll be proponents. Hearing none we'll go to opponents.

Planner Eric Evans explained to the public present that if they were in favor of the ordinance and wanted the ordinance to go into effect now was the time to speak.

Public members talking. Judge Joyce said he would back up. Commissioner Wilson said he had a question for County Counsel. Commissioner Wilson asked if the ordinance were passed when would it go into effect? Ms. Williams explained with the emergency provision it would go into effect the day it was signed; if the Court does not want an emergency provision it would go into effect in 90 days.

Judge Joyce again asked for proponent testimony.

Bonnie Stuart: In favor of the ordinance?

Judge Joyce: Yes

B. Stuart: Yes, because we have some people that live next door, they have, and they're trespassing on somebody else's property but there's maybe forty people that's living there, and nobody works, they're all out all hours of the day and night. They work on their cars, they're rip roaring around, we were woke up this morning at 3:30. There's no electricity, no water, no sanitation of any kind, and we have our property for sale and what's going on next door to our property has really brought our value of our property down and every time they have a real estate person there they think well what is going on next door. And we've been complaining about this for three or four months, they have, there's trailers and trailers of garbage and there's people living in tents and there's dogs and the fire department's there and the Sheriff's there, and yes, I would declare it an emergency since we live next door to them and we're woke up at all hours of the day and night.

Larry Stuart: Yeah, and it's, there hasn't been any, what I would describe as any decent sanitation around there for about three or four months. So this has gone on long enough that I think there ought to be something done about it; because there's no, I can't see where any of these motorhomes or trailers or anything have been hooked up to any kind of a sewer of any kind. I don't know where they're getting their water. And I mean, they're just literally camped out there and like my wife says, they make all kinds of noise every, just about every night, not maybe every night but every other night. And sometimes in the evening they get drunk and they start cussing and you can hear them all over, so I mean, it's not a good element at all.

Commissioner Wilson: Who's property is it on Larry?

B. Stuart: Easom

L. Stuart: Well Easom, but they're camped out on Benjamin's. Remember Dr. Benjamin that used to live here?

Commissioner Wilson: Yes

L. Stuart: Okay, it's part of his property.

Commissioner Wilson: Oh okay

B. Stuart: And Dr. Benjamin, his wife is living in Washington but they still own the lot next to us and the oldest daughter has power of attorney and she's been willing to work with the real estate people to get all these squatters off the property because there's another person interested in buying the property but they won't until something's done. They have no children over there that I know of, thank goodness for that. But, the police are there, the Sheriff's

there and they probably know us very well by name and we were woke up this morning by 3:30. The smells that are coming from that place is awful and it's not (inaudible) and I don't think anybody would want to live with such a mess.

Judge Joyce asked for other proponent testimony.

Dorothy Dixon: I was just wondering with her situation how many other County ordinances or State ordinances are they violating already? Why do you need (inaudible)

Judge Joyce: I don't know, that's a good question.

Mr. Evans: I guess from my standpoint is its just clarifying the ordinances that we have in place and bringing them together to make them a lot easier to understand and enforce.

S. Thaler: It seems to me like its a people problem not a RV problem. The fact that theres RV's there is one thing but...

B. Stuart: They've been there for months...

Unknown: Or a homeless problem

B. Stuart: And theres people coming and going all the time...

Unknown: But that has nothing to do with the RV that (inaudible)

Multiple talking

L. Stuart: (inaudible) their not hooked to any kind of sanitation or anything

B. Stuart: And theres trailers full of garbage and abandoned cars

S. Thaler: That's still a people problem

B. Stuart: Would you like to live next door to them?

S. Thaler: I have people like that but they don't live in a RV they live in a regular home.

Multiple talking

Judge Joyce called for a timeout and requested persons speaking state their name first. Judge Joyce asked for opponent testimony.

Ms. Williams: I received a call from Nancy Haidle who's opposed to the ordinance because she believes that if you own the property you should be able to live in a RV on your own property; that there are firefighters who are on call in the BLM area and they're here for the entire summer and they should be allowed to live in RVs for at least 120 days; that contractors who come from out of the area to Malheur County to do construction projects that sometimes they live in RVs and they're here for 180 days to a year; that there's a housing shortage in Malheur County and now is not a good time to have such an ordinance; and also families fall on hardships and people need a place to live. That's what she indicated to me over the phone today and I told her that I would take her comments and put them on the record.

Court Assistant Kim Ross said she had received comments in writing from two individuals; the comments were given to the Court members and placed in the record. Written comments were received from Sheryl Childs and Kevin Curfman.

Written comments from Sheryl Childs as follows:

Malheur County Court: I wanted to express my disagreement with the Ordinance Number 220 timelines. As the cost for rentals and buying property in Oregon and Idaho have gone up it is harder for families to get into a home. Some of those families have turned to other options as a temporary accommodations till availability comes up. May of 2018 we sold our home on the outskirts of Vale after being on the market for a while. My husband was put on orders with the Military and was driving one way to work for 1-1/2 hours during that time. Our goal was to sell and then purchase closer to Boise so the travel for both of us to get to work was not a burden to us financially and family since I work in Ontario. Our home closed finally that we ended up moving into our trailer on a temporary bases while looking for a home with property. After searching for a home to purchase and/or land to build and unable to find any within our price range or renting something that would accommodate our family with animals we ended up living in our RV for an extended period of time. The cost of staying in our trailer was better than the cost of renting if we could have found one to accommodate us and saving for a down payment to purchase. And our trailer was in better condition than some of the homes that we looked at buying within our price range. We were also hooked up directly with a septic tank and water so we were not hurting the environment or hurting the property and had all the accommodations of a regular home. In the past month we have moved into a family owned rental but it was a year before it opened, and took a month and half to fix the rental after the renter moved out without paying for damages or rent, so I clearly understand why homes are empty and people do not want to rent their property out. In that year we looked at several homes and property and went through 2 realtors. So the timeline of 14 days in a 30 day period seems like a short period of time when sometimes purchasing a home might take longer than 30 days to close and it is very hard to find a rental that will allow you to have animals. Also, when building it might take longer than 6 months to get finished. When talking to a contractor on a home we were going to build, he stated that it would be 9 months to a year before it was finished. So considering the poverty and availability in Malheur County I think the timelines should be extended longer than the proposed Ordinance Number 220. I also think that it should be on a case to case bases when dealing with families that feel they have no choice to live in their RV's: are they unemployed, actively searching, etc. I see posts every day for families looking for places to rent or buy and unable to find anything. I would rather see families living in a Recreational Vehicle than along the Snake River like we have now. Thank you, Sheryl Childs

Written comments from Kevin Curfman as follows:

Hello and thank you for the opportunity to give input on this ordinance. I am from out of the area but have operated a wildland firefighting business out of Ontario for the past 15 years. Each year we have rented an apartment from the same complex for myself, or our fire crew, as needed. This year with the shortage of housing available the complex had no openings and we have had difficulty in finding a unit available. This year I only need housing for myself, as our other employees are local, and we have friends who allow me to park my 5th wheel on their property. It is a modern, fully self-contained RV and waste water is properly disposed of at a local RV dump station as needed. I also know of other people who come into the area for firefighting who also use RVs for temporary housing for the time between fire dispatches. I do understand that in some situations some individuals may not dispose of the waste properly but also would assume that there are already sanitation rules in place to handle those situations. I feel that this ordinance goes beyond what is needed and would make a difficult housing situation even more difficult. It would also restrict those who want to live in their RV on their private property even when all sanitation rules are being complied with. Perhaps rules that limit the number of RVs could be an option if the concern is essentially improper RV parks. I encourage you to vote no on this ordinance. Thank you. Kevin Curfman 360-880-3473

S. Thaler: Susan Thaler, I was born and raised in this County. I'm 64 almost 65 years old. I own my property. I have spent the last nine years cleaning it up and getting ready for a home. Four years ago, or nine years ago when I moved back here I went to the County Planning and Zoning. I was told I couldn't build on my own property that I own. I couldn't put a mobile home on it, I couldn't do nothing to it, that my mom and dad had already been down this road. Jack is his name in Planning and Zoning and a supervisor is who I talked to. I had no other choice, I had nowhere else to go, nine years of every dollar I have spent has gone into my land. I have built a nice home around a fifth wheel. I've lived in that home for five years; it's nicer than a lot of county homes you see out in this whole county and the fact that I'm probably going to have to move out of it and move into a tent is just, it just blows me away. I am hooked up to a well, I'm hooked up to a septic tank, I'm under a carport, my lawn is mowed every week, I've planted flowers, I've put \$50,000 into my home, and now the County wants to put an ordinance that I can't live there on my own land, that I pay taxes for, that I've worked my whole life for, I've never received a handout from anybody, my social security gets started taken down when I turn 65 in August, I'm going to be living on \$1,000 a month. You tell me how I can afford housing anywhere else on \$1,000 a month without government handouts. I've never had it my whole life. Why now? At 65 I can't do this again. I give up everything because I can't afford housing and live in a tent because the County needs an ordinance that I can't live there. I think it's just wrong all the way wrong. We are working people out here trying to make a living, trying to make ourselves and our families proud by not relying on the government for housing, for subsidies and everything else and we're being punished that we can't live in our own homes. There's something wrong here. Let the laws be laws. Take care of what we've already got not make new ones.

Judge Joyce asked for further opponent testimony and stated rebuttal testimony would be taken later.

Elma Jean Hershberger: I go by Jeannie but my legal name is Elma Jean Hershberger. We have a RV on our property, I have been in Vale since '62, we had a home where the old carwash (inaudible) on Washington Street where the old carwashes were, I had a business there also. Okay, we moved up farther up the street by the Starlite, we had a home there, six years ago we were gone, the home flooded, it was in July, the home flooded, black mold set in, I have medical problems, we could not live in it anymore, we had to pull it out. We have no means of any besides our fifth wheel. We back it up to our deck, we're hooked up to City water, City garbage, sewage, everything, it's just like a home. We've kept the property up. We've tried to make a nice looking place of it. We are on social security, we are retired. I'm 74 years old. I cannot go back to work. We cannot really, what do we do now? We're having everything taken away from us. We pay our taxes, our property taxes, I'd even be willing to pay a RV tax like when you have a home there, I'd even be willing to pay a RV tax if we had to do that.

Ms. Williams explained that Ms. Hershberger's property is in the Vale city limits and the ordinance does not apply to that property. The County ordinance does not apply to any properties located within the boundaries of incorporated cities in Malheur County.

S. Thaler: We have so many laws on the books, so many and like these folks, there's got to be some way, somebody's falling through the cracks not getting those people off that property, whether it's the landowner or what, but why do we need more paperwork, more laws, more regulations, I mean, when is enough enough.

Unknown: And why isn't somebody trying to help these people (inaudible multiple talking)

Judge Joyce asked for other opponent testimony.

Ivan Hershberger: Ivan Hershberger. We live here on Washington Street. We've got them two mobile homes - two ex-carwashes sitting there, anyway that's where we live and we've got everything, we hooked up to the sewer, just like she said, we're ...

Judge Joyce: I'm going to stop you for a minute because you're in the City of Vale, it doesn't apply to you.

Marvin Dixon: I'm Marvin Dixon, I go by Alan. I see it going in the wrong direction. I'm an opponent. It seems to me if somebody's hooked up to power, they're living there, they've got sewer, water, everything on their own property, you guys are going after them. To me you're going after the wrong people. You're making these homeless people, you're making people that live in motorhomes, RVs, pay for the homeless people, you're not going after your laws for them, you're letting the squatters go and going after the RV owners, I mean if they're living on their place, they're paying taxes, they should be able to live in their home what they choose to, as long as it's hooked up to sanitary and all this. That's my say.

Dave Eyler: Dave Eyler. I have property here in Vale and a cement pad where Christie and Garret are living that they put in for sewage, it had sewage there already, but power and water....

Staff asked if the property was in City limits; it is outside city limits.

D. Eyler: (inaudible) I should be able to do what I want to with my property, it's my property. And if they're hooked up to sewage, water and power and they have a pad, it did nothing but increase the value of the property and to say that you're going to displace them, first I think it's injustice and second it's a violation of my rights as a property owner. I just feel that's not right; you shouldn't tell somebody that they can't do something economically benefiting to them on their own property.

It was determined that the property is in the Vale Urban Growth Boundary and as such the Vale City Code would apply to Mr. Eyster's property through the Joint Management Agreement.

S. Thaler: The problem I have is the short notice of this meeting; we're talking about Vale, this code would affect all of Malheur county. There's a lot of people out there that aren't being spoken for here. I mean, Malheur county is pretty big, there's a lot of mileage there and then short notice, I found out about it from a friend yesterday, I mean, come on now, that's not enough notice and I have heard nothing about an emergency, that really constitutes an emergency.

Dorothy Dixon: I'm Dorothy Dixon. I have a couple of things. One is because of the short notice and not knowing what we hear, we don't know what the original ordinance says, so we don't know what we're arguing against for one thing. Basically it's just adding timeliness. So is the ordinance, if you have a piece of property and you put in a pad and you've got, or maybe even not a pad, but you've got sewer and water and electricity, is that a no no then.

Ms. Williams: If it was legally established you can still have it.

D. Dixon: But what happens if you need to put one in for whatever reason, what about these little houses, the tiny homes.

Unknown: They're considered RVs.

Multiple talking

Mr. Evans: There's actually some statutes also about some of that stuff that's proposed right now, they call them ADUs or Accessory Dwelling Units...

D. Dixon: (inaudible) Portland because I've heard where they were putting those in because they had so many tent cities (inaudible)

Mr. Evans: So there's some proposed legislation out there that would address the ADUs, the tiny homes.

Ms. Williams: We are not going to displace a legally existing use.

D. Dixon: No, but you're making it so that it can't be done in the future for whatever reason may come up.

Ms. Williams: And if we need to make changes or you know to issue temporary permits for things that don't fall in or outside of this ordinance they could do that. The Court could take more time in looking at this. We can show you what other counties do if you care.

Chelsie Bates: My name is Chelsie Bates, I actually live here in town but my in-laws actually live just outside of town. We actually just moved into our trailer out at their property. We haven't proceeded to go with a hardship yet, trying to get parents to understand that they need a hardship is a little bit harder than hey come sign this paper. So my thing is is we do plan on building, well we're going to put a doublewide in but it's not going to be until next Spring, it's just not feasible right now, so, and I do live in town, I pay my taxes, I own a business, I pay all of that, and I'm actually neighbors with these guys. My thing is, in their situation I think it's kind of a people problem and the RVs need to be removed. But I think that if we're paying our taxes, we're hooked up, it's on our land, I would even be willing to pay an extra tax to keep my trailer up there. I mean we're not causing any problems, our property is clean, we have a home, I mean...

Ms. Williams: Do you want it on a temporary basis or do you want that to be permanent?

C. Bates: It will be temporary but it could be up to a year. I mean thirty days...

Ms. Williams: (inaudible) a temporary permit that we could probably work

Multiple talking

Commissioner Wilson: So, but are you talking some, I mean we have, there's already regulations for hardship dwellings

C. Bates: Right but they take longer than thirty days sometimes and if you're putting a thirty day stipulation on a twelve month period

Ms. Williams: No a hardship dwelling can be there until the hardship goes away

Multiple talking

C. Bates: To get the hardship. So we got out there, we have to meet with doctors...

Mr. Evans: So in the meantime, so in limbo from you getting it to the...

C. Bates: Yeah

Mr. Evans: I can tell you from my, I'm not going to be, we're not going to be policing that quite as stringent as what you think. Just to clarify one other thing, right now, what our rule says, it says: boats, trailers, travel trailers, pickup campers or coaches, motorized dwellings or similar recreation vehicles may be stored but not occupied on a lot as an accessory use to a dwelling in any zone. That's what it says right now. So we're actually allowing time periods, we're actually kind of expanding that, we're allowing it in zones now for certain temporary uses. Where in this one it says you can't do it in any zone period is what it currently says.

C. Bates: So if our property is zoned for two houses currently and there's only one house on it.

Mr. Evans: You'd have to come in and talk to me.

C. Bates: Okay.

Ms. Williams: And that might be a good thing, pending a conditional use permit or pending a land use permit, you know, occupancy is allowed, I think that we say that while you have an ongoing permit, but,

Mr. Evans: The other thing is we also have the ORS's that we're looking at; we're looking at state statute. So in Exclusive Farm Use zones we're regulated by what that statute allows and doesn't allow as well. This helps us, for planning and zoning, helps us, clarifies for us, makes it a little easier for us to read what the law is and what we need to be enforcing and not enforcing.

C. Bates: I think maybe take into consideration in to maybe doing some permitting, especially for our BLM too, I mean thirty days in a twelve month, they are our contractors, we do have a lot of people (inaudible) that come to work here because we don't have - try and find a rental, look it up online, have someone say hey do you have a house to rent, you're not going to find it.

Mr. Evans: So that's already in place, and we've had the discussion, we have temporary uses written in our ordinance and we're not proposing to change the temporary use part and it allows, and just so everybody's aware, maybe I ought to introduce myself, my names Eric Evans and I'm the Planning Director here in the County, so we already have that clause to where it can be a Planning Director decision. I pulled our sheets, it's an \$85 permit fee currently, \$100 if I have to send it in front of the Planning Commission for that decision to be made.

Commissioner Wilson: The reason I brought up the hardship dwelling, really it's a totally separate issue, that originally evolved from folks, they've got a property and it's only zoned for one residence, that was to circumvent the land use rules that nobody else can build two houses on their lot but they were calling it that and so, if I'm not mistaken from my old planning commission days, I mean, you can build, you could put a doublewide out there but upon termination of the hardship it has to leave and you can't, you have to tie it into the existing septic and well so that you don't have it set up as two...

C. Bates: Right. We're going to two-acre lot it so we're going to take two acres off of it is what we're going to do.

Commissioner Wilson: Well but that's a totally different story. I mean, that's not dealing with this really because you've got...

C. Bates: Well we have a trailer out there right now...

Commissioner Wilson: When you say trailer...

C. Bates: Our travel trailer, our RV.

Commissioner Wilson: Okay, well, there's a lot of different, I didn't know what you were talking about...

C. Bates: Yeah, it hooks up to our car bumper pull but we're hooked up to the (inaudible)

Commissioner Wilson: But I don't know that the hardship dwelling - Eric does a hardship dwelling, does it say anything about it can be a travel trailer or fifth wheel?

Mr. Evans: It can be.

Ms. Williams: She's just saying that between now and when she actually gets the hardship permit because she has to get doctors notes and apply and she has to get her family settled that she may be there longer than thirty days...

Mr. Evans: And obviously she has an immediate need...

Ms. Williams: But as long as you proceed in good faith; I agree with you that sometimes we're really over regulated but I don't think we're heavy handed. We're not. And so, if people are doing stuff in good faith...

Unknown: It's our own land, why do we need

Multiple talking

D. Dixon: Okay, you're working with us in good faith and I appreciate that but administrations and bureaucracies change and the one that we had before was not a very good one and caused a lot of problems, especially for us. So how do you guarantee that, okay the law's on the books but hey I can work with you and we can do with it and the next guy walks up and says the law's the law.

Ms. Williams: Well there's a couple stopgaps, one is the ordinance officer and when the ordinance officer, our County Code says that you're supposed to work with the people - give them notice of the violation, work on corrective action, only then when they don't would you cite them into justice court, and even when you go to the justice of peace there's still more time and I know that they try to work with you there too. I mean it's only as a last resort do we ever impose a fine or...

D. Dixon: You've got two different situations then. You've got the squatters...

Unknown: What you're doing leaves it legal

(inaudible)

D. Dixon: But you've got the squatters and nothing is apparently being done with it, of course you're giving them time so that's three or four months of making the place unlivable and then you've got future prospects that own their own property that may come up with situations like Sue where the Planning Department won't allow her to build but there was the ability to put a RV on there at the time and she's got it all hooked up and it's regular, it's a living, it's a dwelling, it's not an RV at this point, so you have the ordinance officer come out there and say in certain situations you say you're working

with her, you'll leave her alone, she's grandfathered in but in new situations what's to keep somebody from saying, okay, well the law's the law and you can't do that. It's common sense on the landowners part too, they need to make sure that they are doing things, you know, has to have septic, has to have a well, has to have power, that all goes to sanitation and like you said, the well-being of the people in the county. Your squatters are not going towards the well-being of people in the county.

C. Bates: So maybe adding a clause or something in there if you come by and there's been no issues, it's kept up, it's clean, there's no trash, it's hooked up to water, sewer, garbage, I mean, and power

D. Dixon: And you hope that your neighbor doesn't have something against you and starts complaining

C. Bates: Yeah, and I mean, if you're keeping it clean like you keep your house clean in town and they're not causing problems that wouldn't, I don't feel that that would be a reason to say hey, you know you've got thirty days and you've got to get out. Their situation, I think it's a whole bunch of everything, I think you have ordinances, I think you need police, I mean their just not going away, I think it's going to take a lot more, but if they were living there and that was their property and they still kept it like that I still think that they should be told that they can't do that

D. Dixon: Yeah, that they need to straighten it up, that they need sewer

C. Bates: That they need to straighten it out, here's your time limit, if you don't you're going to have to leave

Judge Joyce asked Barry Stream for his comments.

Barry Stream: My name is Barry Stream. I'm here because the language sets up part of a panic, you just don't quite understand what it's reading. I live several miles outside of Ontario on 201; been there for over ten years; my mother-in-law lives in a fifth wheel on the property, it had an existing power pole, septic hookup and everything when we moved it in there, we've got it under a cover, we might have even applied for a hardship clause - I don't know, we were going to put a doublewide there when she found this fifth wheel and just fell in love with it, it's a forty-foot Montana, beautiful, maintained yard and everything around it, and like I said I felt I needed to come in here because I can't find any provisions here in the statement that say anything existing or managed or, it was I better go see what's going on.

Mr. Evans: And so a lot of that stuff is going to be found throughout our ordinances and code; we would consider that a nonconforming use at that point and time and we have code provisions that talk about nonconforming uses.

Ms. Williams: I think you might have a hardship on that too.

Multiple talking

Mr. Evans: I feel like we came out and did the septic for you on that hardship (inaudible)

Commissioner Wilson: The thing is it's nice that you folks, not you folks, but people come in and let us know too because believe me most laws and stuff and regulations are because a few bad apples spoil it for everybody. I mean that's just the way it is and not trying to brush this aside but we hear all kinds of complaints and I went through a horrible one on, it was in Ontario, squatters were using that and believe me trying to go the legal route it's a mess, but thanks to your comments and stuff and I know you've got something else to say, but what we can do too is take all of this, we have to have another hearing on it, and we can discuss it and get together with Eric and see if we can come up with something as far as regulation goes, the best fit that fits most everybody.

Mr. Stream: Who do I see to verify I have a hardship clause or hardship case or not?

S. Thaler: So what's the point to go through a hardship? I mean you're already in a hardship and here we're having to come down and fill out forms and fill out forms, doctor visits I'm hearing, I mean

Mr. Evans: You can come down and talk to me as well..

S. Thaler: Well I'm already grandfathered in evidently

Mr. Evans: I can talk to you about what the temporary hardship dwelling

Unknown: What are the costs involved on the hardship?

Unknown: I think we had to pay \$180, that's what mom was saying she thinks she remembers seeing something about \$180

Mr. Evans: Temporary Hardship Dwelling, I think we call it a conditional use, I believe its, \$100 for a hardship dwelling.

S. Thaler: I mean do you ever waiver that fee? I mean the fact that somebody's applying for a hardship, I mean if that ought

Mr. Evans: I'll be honest, in my time nobody's ever thought \$100 was too much in my time.

Unknown: Being on social security it's a lot

Mr. Evans: I'm not saying that people don't, and I'm not saying that I don't think \$100 is a lot

(inaudible)

Commissioner Wilson: Well that's been kind of the set fee for years and years so

S. Thaler: Well I mean that right there may deter a lot of people, I'm sorry to say folks but there's a lot of poor people out there and the fact that they have to pay an extra 100 bucks for a hardship fee just to see if they qualify - there's something wrong there.

Garret Tolman: My name's Garret Tolman. We live in a RV; we have a couple of businesses in town, we're saving to buy a house. I just have a question - so is it, ultimately is it up to the enforcement officer on all these regulations? I mean is it, it's his decision to go, the ordinance officer

Ms. Williams: It'd be the justice of the peace who actually would decide whether there was a true violation or not.

G. Tolman: But the ordinance officer would have to come out and decide that there's a problem initially there? and then it would go to the justice of the peace?

Ms. Williams: Yes

Judge Joyce: Or they've been turned in for a complaint maybe

Ms. Williams: The County Code allows the ordinance officer, a deputy, the Planning Director, the Environmental Health Director, the Weed Inspector, they can all write violations under the County Code, but for this particular it could be the Planning Director or it could be the ordinance officer.

Shelli Monroe: My name is Shelli Monroe. I'm Ontario. One thing that I look at is that right now as a landowner there are a lot of rules, a lot of regulations, to the point that you basically almost have to ask for permission to even go to the bathroom any more. And if somebody is squatting on a piece of property well you know what go after the squatters. Don't go after the people who have worked all their lives, families who are trying to help their parents out because their parents don't have enough money to live on their own, don't go after them because they're trying. The people that who are sitting, squatting and making problems, using the drugs, leaving garbage everywhere, leaving their waste, their bodily waste on the property and so forth, those are the people you need to be going after. To pass another law or another ordinance that's going to affect those people that are innocent - I have a problem with that because there's already too many on the book. There's already too many of those on the book. And just because somebody makes a law because the neighbor has squatters on the property doesn't make that law right. You guys may interpret it a certain way if this passes, you may interpret it a certain way but the next people that step into your shoes they may say no this is the law and this is how it is. And it affects everybody and so in a situation like that we really need to be very careful and everything needs to be very very well thought out before jumping the gun. My mom and I found out about this meeting thanks to Christie. Christie called my mom last night; there's nobody else that really new about this meeting because it was like boom we're having a meeting.

Staff noted that notice of the public hearing was published in the newspaper twice and posted on the County website. Ms. Monroe noted that most people don't get the newspaper or look at the County website. Commissioner Wilson explained that it is not possible for staff to contact every individual person in the County every time there is an issue and that the notice requirements are followed.

Ms. Monroe: My main point here is that if you're going to go after people that are actually technically breaking the law because they're not doing stuff like they're supposed to - it's not even their property that they're on, they're just squatting on a piece of property then you need to pull the landowner in and say hey you need to clear the squatters off, you need to get the police involved and get the squatters off. You need to make them clean it up. But don't go after the people that are on a very very fixed income like this lady back here, she's worked hard her entire life; my mom, she's worked hard her entire life and so forth and she has lost her home and we will be coming to see you here today, that's why we're down here. Because she doesn't have the finances to live on her own. So think about it very carefully.

Judge Joyce asked for further testimony.

L. Stuart: I'm just going to make a comment. Our complaint it was about squatters it wasn't about any of these other people who are trying to have a decent place and are hooked up to sanitation and stuff, but our complaint is about the squatters.

Ms. Williams: Well what the squatters say is that after they've been there for so long they have rights almost rising to the level of having the right to be there and without any timeframe there's no way to refute that. A landowner let somebody there temporarily and the next thing you know they can't get them off because there's a relationship there beyond just squatting. They're saying oh he allowed me to stay there; oh I gave him 25\$...

Commissioner Wilson: Well Larry to answer your question too, where we run into problems is a lot of out-of-state owners, I can think of one up near where I live out there, it was up off the end of Imperial Avenue, they didn't even know anybody was out there, they hadn't been to see the property for years. Well unless it's complaint driven by a neighbor; but if we have no rules at all to set any guidelines whatsoever the only thing we can hope for is that your mom moves in and she's not a messy person, we have no way of knowing, we have people that sound wonderful when they come in and make an application but unfortunately, you know, if we have a set of rules that you kind of have to go by, not kind of, but that we have to go by it eliminates hopefully the bad ones but it doesn't unduly restrict others. But like I said in the city of Vale, Nyssa and Ontario it's a whole set of different rules.

B. Stuart: We're also senior citizens, social security, we pay high taxes, the problem is these neighbors used to be very good neighbors until the parents passed away; they kept their house up and everything was fine. Their house is now, I mean there's trailers and trailers of garbage, there's people moving in not only RVs and motorhomes, they have pickup campers, they're sleeping in old cars, they're not homeowners, there's no sanitation, no electricity, not even a porta potty...

Commissioner Wilson: We're not dealing with enforcement here, I mean you need to be filing a complaint, that's how...

Multiple talking

B. Stuart: We even have to put up with gun shots, somebody is going to get killed.

Commissioner Wilson: I know, and that, unfortunately that has nothing to do with what (inaudible) that's sort of a separate issue

B. Stuart: These people are all homeowners and they have a problem (inaudible) but these people are just living out there and what they do with their waste. The fire department's called all the time because they're hooked up to the homeowners that live there into their electricity and then they have a big fire and the fire department has to come and well most of them are all elderly people - the lady across the street, she's scared, she is really upset, and the people on the other side they're elderly people too and not in good health and having to put up with this on a daily basis is not right either so we as homeowners, I'm not talking about you because you own your property, these people and you've got maybe thirty-five, forty, people living there.

Commissioner Wilson: Well if we did have some regulations that they had to follow to put a camper there it makes it easier for us to enforce it is what Stephanie was trying to say. So in the lack of any regulations it makes it harder for us, and unfortunately the three of us up here aren't the enforcers.

L. Stuart: But the people who own the property like Benjamin's, we talked to their daughter and she said she didn't even know they were, there was a bunch of motorhomes and campers up there, and so she didn't know.

Multiple talking

S. Monroe: That's the landowner is the one that needs to enforce and have them evicted and have them removed.

B. Stuart: (inaudible) but Eric knows what it looks like, the Sheriff, we've called them, I mean we should not have to call the Sheriff or the police every day, every night, listening to them fighting.

Judge Joyce asked if anyone had any new information to present.

E. Hershberger: I just have one question. Okay, we're in the City limits, on Washington, so we, for now we're okay with our RV on the property - correct?

Staff told Ms. Hershberger that the City of Vale has its own rules and regulations and they would need to inquire with the City at Vale City Hall.

I. Hershberger: We're also gone for six months, we go down to Arizona for the winter time and then come back here and this is where we live, so we're actually...

Commissioner Wilson further explained that Hershbergers would need to make their inquiries with the City of Vale as the County does not have jurisdiction over the city limits of Vale.

Judge Joyce closed the hearing. The next hearing will be June 26, 2019 at 11:00 a.m.

Judge Joyce noted that Baker County Commissioner Bill Harvey had joined the meeting.

PERS RETIREE REHIRE POLICY

Sheriff Brian Wolfe and Lieutenant Rich Harriman met with the Court. Sheriff Wolfe discussed with the Court the request to modify the County policy regarding PERS Retiree Rehires. Lieutenant Harriman plans to retire and wants to then contract with the County to continue his employment with full County benefits. The current County policy states that retired employees do not receive County benefits such as paid holidays, vacation leave, personal leave, cost-of-living increases or sick leave. Sheriff Wolfe explained the benefits of continuing to employ Lieutenant Harriman as a PERS retiree; it would not cost the County any more financially and it would retain the experience and leadership of Lieutenant Harriman in the Sheriff's Office.

There is current PERS legislation under consideration which would eliminate the hourly restriction of 1039 per calendar year for all PERS retirees from January 2020 through December 2024; the legislation would also require the employer to continue to pay the employer contribution on the retiree rehires salary (the employee does not contribute).

The Court agreed to consider the request; the matter will be put on the agenda again next week.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned.

MALHEUR COUNTY COURT MINUTES

June 19, 2019

County Court met with Judge Dan Joyce presiding with Commissioner Don Hodge and Commissioner Larry Wilson present. Staff present was Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois.

PUBLIC HEARING - SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET

Present for the supplemental budget hearing was Juvenile Department Director Susan Gregory. Notice of the hearing was published in the Argus Observer. A public hearing was required as the supplemental budget will adjust the current budget fund by 10% or more of the expenditures of that fund. Judge Joyce opened the hearing; no public comments were received. Judge Joyce closed the hearing. Commissioner Hodge moved to approve Resolution No. R19-16: In the Matter of Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Supplemental Budget by Resolution Under Local Budget Law ORS 294.471. Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The supplemental budget allocates JCP (Juvenile Crime Prevention) and YDC (Youth Development Council) funds that were received but not anticipated when the adopted budget was prepared. These funds are to be used per the approved plan submitted by the Juvenile Department Director and increases the Juvenile Crime Prevention appropriations by \$65,025. See instrument [#2019-2271](#)

IGA - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

Commissioner Hodge moved to approve State of Oregon Intergovernmental Grant Agreement #157833 for the Financing of Community Developmental Disabilities Services. Commissioner Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The term of the agreement is July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2021. Services will be provided through Lifeways. A copy will be returned for recording.

WILDLIFE SERVICES WORK PLAN

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve USDA APHIS Wildlife Services Work and Financial Plan, Cooperative Agreement No. 19-7341-5124-RA. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The document defines the objectives, plan of action, resources and budget of the cooperative wildlife services program in Malheur County to be conducted July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020; the County's financial contribution to the program is \$68,360.35. A copy will be returned for recording.

INSURANCE AGENT OF RECORD- FIELD WALDO

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Personal Services Contract for Insurance Agent of Record (Recorded with Malheur County Clerk [2009-5516](#) and [2014-1596](#)) with Field-Waldo Insurance Agencies, Inc. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The contract expires June 30, 2022. A copy will be returned for recording.

OREGON TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION MEETING

Commissioner Wilson will represent the County Court at the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) meeting to be held in Salem on June 20, 2019. A decision from the OTC is anticipated regarding funding for the Treasure Valley Reload Center project.

MOU - CITY/COUNTY INSPECTION SERVICES

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Transition of Accounts, Supplies, Equipment and Services from City/County Inspection Services, Inc. to Malheur County for the Malheur County Building Department. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously. Effective July 1, 2019 the County will assume building and inspection services as a County department. See instrument [#2019-2272](#)

CONTRACT - CITY/COUNTY INSPECTION SERVICES

Commissioner Wilson moved to approve Personal Service Contract for Residential Plumbing and Electrical Inspections with City/County Inspection Services, Inc. Commissioner Hodge seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The contract expires June 20, 2020. See instrument [#2019-2273](#)

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session was called in accordance with ORS 192.660(2)(h) - Legal Counsel with Judge Joyce presiding with Commissioner Hodge and Commissioner Wilson present. Also present was County Counsel Stephanie Williams and Administrative Officer Lorinda DuBois. No decisions were made during the session.

VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT

By consensus the Court directed that a Victim Impact Statement be completed in Circuit Court Case #19CR23796/State of Oregon vs. Jamie Willet. Judge Joyce will sign the Statement.

PERS RETIREE REHIRE POLICY

Sheriff Brian Wolfe met with the Court to continue the conversation from last week regarding changing the current County policy regarding PERS Retiree Rehires. Commissioner Hodge was briefed on the matter. Sheriff Wolfe explained that there may be several employees who might retire in the next few years that wish to continue to work for the County as a PERS Retiree Rehire and that it is beneficial to continue employment with these retirees. The Court members and Sheriff Wolfe further discussed the matter. Commissioner Wilson proposed changing the policy to offer ten (10) vacation days per calendar year to a full-time PERS Retiree Rehire; there would be no paid holidays, personal time, or cost-of-living increases; and sick

time would be per the Oregon State Sick Leave Law. Consensus of all was to update the County policy as proposed by Commissioner Wilson.

COURT ADJOURNMENT

Judge Joyce adjourned the meeting.



**SREDA Board Meeting
June 4, 2019
DL Evans Bank, Fruitland, ID**

Board Members & Membership Board – Patrick Nauman, Rick Watkins, Ken Bishop, Dan Greig, Adam Brown, Rami Rodriguez, Rick LaHuis, Andy Oyervides, Sandy Hemenway, Cathy Yasuda, Jeff Williams, Bob Komoto, Alicia Cavazos, Craig Jensen, Angie Goodwin, Jennifer Huff, Lisa Collini, Torie Ramirez, Blas Guerra, Ed Susman, Karie Boone, Tina Newsome, Senator Abby Lee, Rob Frye, Jerry Smith, Matt Frye, Mike Anderson, Mike Hanigan, Tobin Dixon, Jenny Hemly and Andrea Testi.

Staff: Kit Kamo, Executive Director for SREDA

1. Call the Meeting to Order: Chairman Patrick Nauman called the meeting to order at 7:05 a.m. Patrick welcomed everyone to the early morning meeting and thanked DL Evan's for hosting the meeting with all the goodies!
2. Introductions of Attendees: Chairman Nauman asked everyone present to introduce themselves and the business or entity they were representing.
3. Business Update: Jerry Smith, Senior Vice President Western Idaho Area Manager for DL Evan's Bank, gave a quick tour of their new building and talked about the history and success of the bank. He introduced Rob Frye, Vice President/Manager, and then talked about the local involvement in the communities that they serve.
4. Approval of the May 2019 Board Minutes: Chairman Nauman then asked if anyone had any questions or corrections to the May minutes as emailed out. Hearing none, Chairman Nauman asked for a motion to approve the minutes. Andy Oyervides made a motion to approve the minutes as presented, second by Ken Bishop. The motion passed unanimously.
5. Financial Update: Treasurer Sandy Hemenway presented the financial information. She went over in detail the financial snap shot for the month of May discussing balances at the end of the month in both the checking and money market accounts, and then totals for month end. She then reviewed the income and expenses for the month with the group. Monthly receivables were from Red Apple, Zions, Argus, Weiser Classic Candy and Subway. Annual renewal receivables were from Two Rivers Medical Clinic. Chairman Nauman then asked if anyone had any questions on the financial report. Hearing none, Ken Bishop made a motion to approve the treasurer's report as printed and presented, seconded by Bob Komoto. The motion passed unanimously.
6. City Highlights:
Fruitland: City Administrator Rick Watkins reported that May had 1 duplex and 6 new single family housing permits, for a total of 32 for the year. Also, the city had a design review of a new 130 lot subdivision.



Community: Ken Bishop reported that everyone had a wonderful time at the Fruitland Spring Fair with the parade, Lions chicken BBQ, and Bull Rider Burnout fundraiser.

Payette: City Councilman Craig Jensen reported that there is a lot of interest in building new hangers at the airport. The city is working on the plans for a new waste water lift station for the north side of town. Four subdivisions have been proposed. The Hotel Project is still on track and is now in the hands of the investors.

Ontario: City Manager Adam Brown reported that the budget was approved by the Council. The budget includes funds for downtown revitalization, 2 police officers and trail funds. There is a group working on a Frisbee golf course at Beck Kiwanis Park. The tennis courts at Beck Kiwanis will be refurbished also. A trail system through Ontario has been planned. The new Chief of Police starts on June 3. The splash pad grand opening was a huge success with 700 people in attendance. The City expects the first marijuana store to open within the next week or so. They are waiting on background checks, etc. There is a lot of interest in business development on the east side of town. The Eastern Oregon Border Board approved their fund incentives yesterday. One of the funds will add incentives to the City's house building program.

Weiser: Councilwoman Alicia Cavazos reported that there was a recently held town hall meeting on the Galloway Dam project. She also reminded the group of the upcoming Fiddle Festival held later this month.

Community: Jenn Huff, Weiser Chamber Director, reported that the Regatta at Lazy Bear Ranch is June 15th; the Fiddle Festival runs June 17 through the 22nd and Tuesday will be Kid's Day with a free movie that night in the park, "Secret Life of Pets". The Weiser River Music Festival is June 21 and 22 with lots of bands and onsite camping.

New Plymouth: No report given

Nyssa: Torie Ramirez reported that the apartments across from the Sugar Beet factory are being renovated and are looking great! Next weekend is the Thunderegg Days celebration and the Nyssa Night Rodeo is June 14-15. Everyone is invited to stop by and check out the vendors and events happening in Nyssa.

Vale: No report given

7. County Highlights:

Payette County: No report

Washington County: County Commissioner Lisa Collini reported that the Hells Canyon Days held this past weekend was the best they have had!

Malheur County: No report

8. Executive Director Report:



SREDA presentations for this last period included the City of Fruitland, the City of Ontario, the City of Payette, and Malheur County. Kit also attended the Payette, Weiser, Fruitland, and Ontario Chamber luncheons. She also attended a few ribbon cuttings this past month.

Kit submitted a grant to the Idaho Department of Commerce for the state's Rural Economic Development Professional grant program in the amount of \$20,000. This is the same amount requested and received from the state for the last couple of fiscal years.

Projects:

Project Red Rock – assisted the company in acquiring info on the Opportunity Zone and follow up training and working with an accounting firm.

Project Trees – met with real estate folks and their client looking at an existing building for the company to expand into. Followed up with Idaho Power for their power needs.

Project Greene – Worked with the City of Ontario and Idaho Power on submitting 3 sites for this project.

Project Evenstar – new project just received from Idaho. Manufacturing needing 25,000 sq ft building plus office space, 20' high ceilings and about 4 acres. Might be interested in greenfield. Must be 20 minutes from major highway.

9. Partner/Agency Update:

Jenny Hemly, Idaho Dept of Commerce, reported that the state has developed a Broadband Taskforce to further analyze the state's need. Example of a successful rural program is in Ammon, where the city owns the fiber and the provider plugs into them. This keeps the cost very low to the consumer. Other items of interest are the Rural ED Pro Grants applications will be reviewed shortly, the new director is still touring the state, Commerce is doing company spot lights on social media, Governor Little has a big focus on existing Idaho businesses and keeping them successful and the state is still promoting their 'Tested in Idaho' campaign for recreational technology companies. Additionally, Jenny reported that Tina Wilson has been working on a grant for EDA so that Region 3 could hire another consultant to assist with the region's CEDS (a report of potential projects that is submitted to EDA for future funding).

Senator Abby Lee reported on the state's 'Surplus Eliminator' funds. These are small but will go back to the local highway districts. She also mentioned that the state is looking for two (2) candidates to sit on the State Board of Education. If you have any potential candidates, please let her or the Governor's office know. More than 50% of the state budget goes to education so these are very important positions! Abby changed professional hats and reported that TVCC has hired a new nursing faculty member, who coincidentally, is a TVCC alumni! Abby assured the group that the program will be great and continue on. She thanked Saint Alphonsus, St. Luke's and Weiser Memorial Hospital for assisting the college.

Ed Susman, Worksource Oregon, Governor Brown's priorities are for the Employment Department to serve rural and underdeveloped regions. They are focusing on getting those folks employed by providing work readiness and vocational training. The department will also be rolling out in Eastern Oregon their new Business Services model up in the Columbia Basin area. It will be coming to our area at a later date, but will be tailored to our businesses' needs. Worksource Oregon has also begun training in the offices on work ethic, also known



as soft skills. These trainings will be made available to businesses as well so they can train their current employees if needed.

Tobin Dixon, USDA Rural Development, reported that his agency has three areas that they can assist in. Those are: Housing, Community and Business. In the housing area they are offering home improvement loans with a 1% interest rate; community includes water and waste water grants and grants for facilities such as fire stations, libraries, and community centers; the business side is similar to SBA and they work closely with the local banks on their lending programs with loan guarantees.

Blas Guerra, Idaho Department of Labor, reported that they have been busy assisting people who are looking for jobs. Additionally they are helping employers find workers.

Karie Boone, U of I Extension, reported that the local farmer's markets will be starting soon. Ontario will be open this Saturday and Payette will open on July 1st.

Andrea Testi, TVCC Small Business Development Center, reported that there will be a new business opening soon in Nyssa. There is a lot of interest in business succession planning, with some businesses looking at the Employee Owned Business models.

10. Round table discussions:

Bob Komoto, Four Rivers Cultural Center, reported that there are a number of new events and displays. There is a Garden Sculpture exhibit in the Japanese Garden that will be there through the summer. The free Live After Five programs will start June 12 and 26th. As usual good music and if you are a member you get a free drink. A free kids movie, "How to Train Your Dragon" June 15th at 3 p.m. There will be a Kids in the Garden program June 20th at 10:30 a.m. Limited to 20 kids. Sign up at FRCC. A rock concert June 21 with "Sister Sparrow & The Dirty Birds" 7 p.m., \$10. June 28th, Cowboy Artists opening at 6 p.m. in the Harano Gallery. June 29th, the Folklife Festival all day. There will be cowboy poets, taiko drumming, saddle makers, rope makers and more. June 29th 5 p.m. folk singers Joe Seamons and Tim Dietz will sing and teach kids about folk music. Finally, every first Friday of the month is "Free Museum Day".

Jeff Williams reminded everyone that the Boots and Blues fund raiser for the both of the Boys & Girls Clubs will be this Friday at 6 pm at the Four Rivers Cultural Center.

Cathy Yasuda, TVCC Foundation Director, updated the group on the college's graduation that will be June 14th at 7:30. She also talked about the long awaited construction on the CTE building. It is moving forward! They are hoping to be completed by winter of 2021. Cathy also reported that the TVCC Board has passed their budget.

Abby Lee mentioned there will be a ceremony on the Idaho side of the river by Nyssa to establish the Idaho portion of Highway 20 as a Medal of Honor Highway, helping to complete the path from Coast to Coast on July 1. She also reminded Oregon businesses that the Oregon minimum wage goes up to \$11 on July 1st.



Chairman Nauman mentioned that there is a Family Night during the Fiddle Festival and gave details. He also reported that ICON has hired their staff for their new office in Ridley's brand new store. Ridley's is still hoping for a late June opening.

Next Board Meeting will be the first Tuesday of July, on the 2nd, at Payette Senior Center with their homemade cinnamon rolls and other breakfast items. There was discussion regarding the September Board meeting, but Andy Oyervides has volunteered Teton's new location for the meeting. The annual meeting will be held at the end of October or first part of November as usual.

11. Adjournment: With no other business discussion, Chairman Nauman then adjourned the meeting at 7:57 a.m. and networking continued.

Minutes prepared by Bob Komoto and Dan Greig, Co-Secretaries.

Calendar of events:

ALL meetings will be on the first Tuesday of the month unless otherwise stated!

July 2 SREDA Monthly meeting - Payette Senior Center, Payette

August 6 SREDA Monthly meeting – St. Luke's, Fruitland

September 3 SREDA Monthly meeting – Teton Machine, Payette

October 1 SREDA Monthly meeting – Agile Homes, Fruitland


October 15-16 SW Idaho Manufacture's Alliance "2019 Made Here" event (also includes the western Treasure Valley into Oregon)!

AGENDA
ONTARIO VISITORS AND CONVENTIONS BUREAU BOARD
JULY 11, 2019 - 7:00 a.m. M.T.
CLARION INN

- 1) Call to order:
This agenda was emailed: June 27, 2019
 - (a) Roll Call:
Debbie Blackaby____ Cheryl Cruson____ Doug Dean____ Terry Dols____
John Hall____ Bob Quinn____ Dan Capron____

City Manager Adam Brown____
V&C Director John Breidenbach____
- 2) New Business
 - a) Grant Request: Treasure Valley Community College's New Student Orientation
 - b) Grant Request: Revitalize Ontario!'s 2020 Tater Tot Festival
- 3) Motion to adopt V&C Meeting Minutes of June 6, 2019 meeting
- 4) Review Financial Report – John Hall
- 5) V&C Director Report – John Breidenbach
- 6) Adjourn

The City of Ontario does not discriminate in providing access to its programs, services and activities on the basis of race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation, sex, age, marital status, physical or mental disability, or any other inappropriate reason prohibited by law or policy of the state or federal government. Should a person need special accommodations or interpretation services, if you contact the City at 889-7684 at least one working day prior to the need for services, we will make every reasonable effort to accommodate the need. T.D.D. available by calling 889-7266.



VISITORS AND CONVENTION BOARD

C/O Ontario Area Chamber of Commerce

251 SW 9th St.

Ontario, OR 97914

541-889-8012 (Voice) 541-889-8331 (Fax)

info@ontariochamber.com

PROMOTION AND EVENT FUND APPLICATION

GENERAL INFORMATION:

Name of Applicant Organization: Treasure Valley Community College

Complete Mailing Address: 650 College Blvd, Ontario, OR 97914

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____ E-Mail: _____

Principal Contact: Cathy Yasuda

Complete Mailing Address: _____

Telephone: 541.881.5585 Fax: _____ E-Mail: cyasuda@tvcc.cc

Name of Event and Date and Time Event Will Be Held: _____

TVCC New Student Orientation – September 30, 2019 – 9:00 AM – 2:30 PM

Has organization applied for a V&C Grant Before: X Yes _____ No

**If yes was the V&C grant request approved and for what amount:*

X Yes _____ No / \$ 4200

**If grant request was approved did the organization give their 90 day follow-up report*

X Yes _____ No

Description of Promotion or Event: Annually TVCC hosts ~200 students, including their families, for our New Student Orientation programming. This is the college's most important new student oriented event for the academic year. For our students, both local and out of the area, this is their opportunity to become familiar with the college, its resources, and the community. New to the programming this year is a Spanish language presentation for parents and an engaging keynote speech about financial literacy in college.

NATURE OF PROMOTION/EVENT: _____

☒ Tourism based capital project

_____ Multi-night local lodging event

_____ Special media promotion

_____ Education and/or training project

Amount of Funding Support Requested: \$ 1500.00

Total Promotion/Event Budget: \$ 7377.00

What specific expenditures will the granted funds be used for?*: These funds will be used, similarly to last year, to provide vendor grants to local businesses looking to promote themselves to TVCC students and their families. One of the first questions we are asked in the Admissions office is where students eat outside of the meal plan and that question is answered by this event. Last year the event was attended by 172 students and their families with all 142 rooms in the dorms being occupied. The vendor/food fair last year was a huge success and results from the survey of students indicated the food booths was one the most popular and well-received part of the orientation.

List promotion/event supporters or partners**:

We will be reaching out to our previous priority vendors Bake-a-Deli, Kiwi Loco, Mackey's, Ogawa's, Romios, Sorbenots, Tacos Mi Ranchito, and Tortuga as well as reaching out to new vendors.

PROJECTED PROMOTION/EVENT IMPACT:

Describe how the promotion/event will impact the Ontario economy (e.g., room nights, number of visitors/attendees, restaurant sales, retail sales, etc.):* This event will shine a spotlight on our local businesses through the designated food grants, allowing area restaurants to make an impression on TVCC students. Making this impression early last year promoted our locally owned businesses to student from out of town who might have spent their money elsewhere. Not only did this event highlight those businesses to students, but also to the family, staff, and faculty present. In addition during this event, families contribute to the local economy through hotel bookings, furnishing for students in the dorms, groceries, meals, gas and other necessities. The Ontario Area Chamber of Commerce and the Ontario V & C will be well publicized and promoted. Your name will be included in our materials and publications, we will include Chamber packets for our Parent Session and will hope to have representation at our event as well.

FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

For established groups, please provide two years of P & L Statements, a current Balance Sheet, and a proposed budget for this year's promotion/event. For newly formed groups, please provide a proposed budget of revenues and expenditures in a form similar to the one below:

PROPOSED REVENUES

Source #1 <u>Proposed Ontario V+C Grant</u>	Amount \$ <u>1500.00</u>
Source #2 <u>Title III Funds</u>	Amount \$ <u>4992.00</u>
Source #3 <u>TVCC Funds</u>	Amount \$ <u>885.00</u>
Source #4 _____	Amount \$ _____
Source #5 _____	Amount \$ _____

TOTAL REVENUES \$ 7377.00

PROPOSED EXPENDITURES

Use #1 <u>Food Vendor Grants</u>	Amount \$ <u>1500.00</u>
Use #2 <u>NSO Keynote Speaker</u>	Amount \$ <u>4992.00</u>
Use #3 <u>Printed Materials</u>	Amount \$ <u>285.00</u>
Use #4 <u>Misc. Supplies and Paper Printing</u>	Amount \$ <u>600.00</u>
Use #5 _____	Amount \$ _____
Use #6 _____	Amount \$ _____
Use #7 _____	Amount \$ _____
Use #8 _____	Amount \$ _____
Use #9 _____	Amount \$ _____
Use #10 _____	Amount \$ _____

TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$ 7377.00
REVENUES MINUS EXPENDITURES \$ 0

As a final condition to accepting granted funds, the applicant agrees to provide the Ontario Visitors and Conventions Board with a final report summarizing results of the promotion/event (e.g., attendance, local and regional publicity, hotel/motel occupancy, closing revenue and expenditure report, etc.) within 90 days of the event/promotion.

6/25/2019
Date

Cathy Yasuda
Signed

Cathy Yasuda
Printed Name of Applicant

Date Received: 6/25/2019 Ontario Area Chamber President/CEO: John B.

NSO Budget and Expenses

Fall 2019

NSO Keynote Speaker	Cost	Amount	Total
Walt Cameron (Providing Books for All Students)	\$4,992.00	1	\$4,992.00

Printed Materials	Cost	Amount	Total
Financial Literacy Pocket Folders	\$0.95	300	\$285.00
Subtotal - Printed Materials			\$285.00

Closing Meal Cost	Cost	Amount	Total
Meal Grant	\$300.00	5	\$1,500.00
Subtotal			\$1,500.00

Misc. Supplies and Paper Printing	Cost	Amount	Total
Door Prizes and Raffles	\$400.00	1	\$400.00
Misc. Expenses - Paper Printing	\$200.00	1	\$200.00
Subtotal - Misc. Supplies and Printing			\$600.00

Subtotal Cost (\$7,377.00)
Title III Funding \$4,992
Proposed Ontario Visitors and Convention Grant \$1,500.00
Remaining Balance Covered by TVCC \$885.00
Final Balance \$0.00

Treasure Valley Community College Foundation
Combined Budget Statement for 2018-2019 with Year-to-Date for May, 2019

		2017-18	2018-19				2018-19	
		Actual	Development		Foundation		Combined Budget	
		Total Expenditures	Current Budget	Year-to-Date Actual	Current Budget	Year-to-Date Actual	Current Budget	Year-to-Date Actual
Income								
*	TVCC Cash Contribution	\$ 159,531	\$ 156,904	\$ 158,513	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 156,904	\$ 158,513
2010	Investment Income	151,192	-	-	150,000	128,459	150,000	128,459
2011	Investment-Realized Gain/Loss	238,202	-	-	200,000	3,028	200,000	3,028
2012	Investment-Unrealized Gain/Loss	30,948	-	-	30,000	443,240	30,000	443,240
2013	Administrative Fee Income	95,192	-	-	100,000	105,329	100,000	105,329
5606	Donations	761,424	-	-	500,000	486,727	500,000	486,727
TOTAL INCOME		1,436,489	156,904	158,513	980,000	1,166,783	1,136,904	1,325,296
Expenditures								
Salaries and Benefits								
6002	F/T Support	80,055	80,000	78,000	10,000	4,500	90,000	82,500
6007	F/T Classified	27,737	13,040	19,507	14,700	9,067	27,740	28,574
7030	Retirement	24,229	21,828	22,280	2,800	2,687	24,628	24,967
7031	Insurance	34,061	25,350	25,184	8,500	5,125	33,850	30,309
7032	FICA	7,934	7,183	7,113	1,100	1,004	8,283	8,117
7033	Workmen's Compensation	539	939	488	100	68	1,039	555
7035	Unemployment	216	188	195	50	27	238	222
Total Salaries and Benefits		174,770	148,528	152,766	37,250	22,478	185,778	175,244
Materials and Services								
8002	Advertising	19,477	500	889	20,000	1,186	20,500	2,075
8013	Catering	10,008	2,815	525	10,000	8,355	12,815	8,880
8005	Audit	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8022	Contracted Services	44,429	-	223	45,000	30,081	45,000	30,304
8024	Contracted Labor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Services		79,914	3,315	1,637	75,000	39,622	78,315	41,259
8035	Fund Raising Expense	2,995	200	-	3,000	1,812	3,200	1,812
8058	Miscellaneous	20,380	250	-	30,000	6,416	30,250	6,416
8071	Postage	75	-	-	-	-	-	-
8072	Printing	2,191	500	149	2,000	1,684	2,500	1,833
8074	Recognitions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8090	Subscriptions	-	-	-	75	-	75	-
8091	Sundry, Novelty Purchases	-	-	-	100	-	100	-
8093	Supply Purchases	10,507	1,925	2,668	10,000	7,018	11,925	9,686
8097	Textbook Purchases - Used	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8115	Training	-	-	30	-	-	-	30
8108	Membership/Dues	-	-	125	-	-	-	125
Supplies		36,148	2,875	2,972	45,175	16,929	48,050	19,902
8003	Airfare	-	400	-	-	-	400	-
8053	Lodging	-	200	-	-	-	200	-
8055	Meals	645	200	554	500	-	700	554
8057	Mileage	260	130	196	230	-	360	196
8065	Parking	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8076	Registration	175	350	350	-	-	350	350
8101	Transportation	4	-	36	-	96	-	133
Travel		1,083	1,280	1,137	730	96	2,010	1,233
8113	Lease Purchase Obligation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8128	Club Expense	86,354	-	-	80,000	255,847	80,000	255,847
8129	Foundation Admin Fee - 2%	95,192	-	-	100,000	105,329	100,000	105,329
8130	Bank Fees/Charges	56,385	-	-	57,000	51,736	57,000	51,736
8049	Liability Insurance	1,685	-	-	1,800	-	1,800	-
8050	Licensing	50	50	-	-	-	50	-
8077	Rent	-	-	-	2,000	-	2,000	-
Other Expenses		239,666	50	-	240,800	412,912	240,850	412,912
8132	Scholarship Awarded	236,592	-	-	282,585	288,791	282,585	288,791
Total Materials and Services		593,403	7,520	5,746	644,290	758,350	651,810	764,096
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		768,173	156,048	158,513	681,540	780,828	837,588	939,341
TOTAL NET INCOME		\$ 668,316	\$ 856	\$ -	\$ 298,460	\$ 385,955	\$ 299,316	\$ 385,955

Treasure Valley Community College Foundation
Balance Sheet as of May 31, 2019

ASSET SUMMARY

Umpqua Bank	\$ 74,279	
Edward Jones/Cash	2,503	
Merrill Lynch/Cash	214,441	
Merrill Lynch/Equities	4,323,706	
Merrill Lynch/Fixed Income	2,562,190	
Total Foundation Assets (Cash & Investments)		\$ 7,177,120

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY SUMMARY

Accounts Payable-TVCC	135,554	
Fund Balance 6/30/2017 - Unrestricted funds (Funds not for any one purpose)	208,820	
Fund Balance 6/30/2017 - Endowment Principal - Restricted	3,399,482	
Fund Balance 6/30/2017 - Endowment Earnings - Temporarily Restricted (For Scholarships)	1,896,807	
Fund Balance 6/30/2017 - Annual Scholarships	237,798	
Fund Balance 6/30/2017 - Club and Other Funds	244,389	
		6,122,849
2017-2018 Net Earnings		668,316
2018-2019 Net Earnings		385,955
Total Liabilities and Equity		\$ 7,177,120

Treasure Valley Community College Foundation Scholarship Summary

Total Scholarships Available	\$ 274,288
Scholarships Awarded to Date	288,791
Scholarships to Award for Remaining of Year	\$ (14,503)

TVCC Contributions

In-Kind Contributions

	Cost Per Foot	Monthly Cost	Annual Cost
Rent - office space for all Foundation Operations	2.00	\$ 570.00	\$ 6,840
Utilities (power, gas, garbage)	1.25	356.25	4,275
Equipment rental (copier)	N/A	150.00	1,800
Administrative charges (VP of Admin., CFO, Staff)	N/A	2,500.00	30,000
General Liability Insurance	N/A	148.75	1,785
Postage	N/A	125.00	1,500
Telephone/Internet Service	N/A	150.00	1,800
Supplies & Small Equipment	N/A	100.00	1,200
Computer Fund Raising Software	N/A	500.00	6,000
Warehouse Storage	N/A	75.00	900
Total In-Kind Contributions		\$ 4,675.00	\$ 56,100 **

Total approximate square footage used: 285

Total Contributions

Cash Contributions	\$ 156,904 *
In-Kind Contributions	56,100 **
Total TVCC Contribution	\$ 213,004

V&C GRANT CRITERIA CHECKLIST


Applicant/Event: Treasure Valley Community College's New Student Orientation

Criteria		Meets No Criteria - Point Value 0	Partly Meets Criteria - Point Value 1	Sufficiently Meets Criteria - Point Value 3	Exceeds Criteria - Point Value 5
1	The project is a capital project, which enhances tourism such as, but not limited to, beautification. Examples of such potential projects might include coordinated refuse bins in shopping areas or enhancements to the highway arterial entrances to the City of Ontario such as shrubs, lighting or signage.				
2	Events that would attract attendees and participants from out of the area and would promote local tourism and business. Extra consideration will be given to multi-night events that necessitate over night lodging in the Ontario area.				
3	Projects consisting of special area media promotions. These could include, among others, special brochures or videos or advertising co-op projects designed to extol the virtues of Ontario as a tourism, convention, cultural, or recreational destination.				
4	Projects related to the education and/or training of the Visitors and Conventions Director or Board members.				

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

YES

NO

	Is this a not for profit project?			
--	-----------------------------------	--	--	--

TOTAL POINTS

--	--	--	--

POINT SYSTEM

0	Unable to provide funding.
1 through 3	May provide funding with or without contingencies.
4 through 5	May provide funding with or without contingencies.

Date: 07/11/19



VISITORS AND CONVENTION BOARD

C/O Ontario Area Chamber of Commerce

251 SW 9th St.

Ontario, OR 97914

541-889-8012 (Voice) 541-889-8331 (Fax)

info@ontariochamber.com

PROMOTION AND EVENT FUND APPLICATION

GENERAL INFORMATION:

Name of Applicant Organization: REVITALIZE ONTARIO!

Complete Mailing Address: PO BOX 2 - ONTARIO, OR 97914

Telephone: 541-889-1996 Fax: _____ E-Mail: charfugate@fmtc.com

Principal Contact Charlotte Fugate

Complete Mailing Address: 821 SW 2nd St - Ontario, OR 97914

Telephone: - 541-889 -1996

Name of Event and Date and Time Event Will Be Held: 2020 Tater Tot Festival

Has organization applied for a V&C Grant Before: X Yes No

**If yes was the V&C grant request approved and for what amount:*

X Yes No / \$ 3800.00 (2017)

**If grant request was approved did the organization give their 90 day follow-up report*

X Yes _____ No

Description of Promotion or Event: We are planning a new event for Ontario, The Tater Tot Festival in 2020. We have been working with Kraft Heinz to begin the process. We are planning to have a booth at the Fair in August 2019 to introduce and promote the event. We will be gathering suggestions about what the attendees would like to see featured in the Tater Tot Festival. As part of the promotion we will be asking 20,000 attendees to fill out a survey. We will also be giving free tater tots away and promotional T Shirts stating "We are Going to the Tater Tot Festival in 2020 come join us!"

NATURE OF PROMOTION/EVENT: _____

XX Tourism based capital project

_____ Multi-night local lodging event

_____ Special media promotion

_____ Education and/or training project

Amount of Funding Support Requested: \$3105

Total Promotion/Event Budget: \$ 4812 _____

What specific expenditures will the granted funds be used for:

Pencils w/ Logos (10,000) - \$1900

Printing T Shirts - \$355.00

Printing questionnaire \$500.00 - 10,0000

Logo Design - \$200.00

List promotion/event supporters or partners**: _____

Kraft Heinz

Revitalize Ontario

Malheur County Fair

Visitor and Convention Bureau

Four Rivers Cultural Center

PROJECTED PROMOTION/EVENT IMPACT:

Describe how the promotion/event will impact the Ontario economy (e.g., room nights, number of

visitors/attendees, restaurant sales, retail sales, etc.):* As this is a new event, we will not know what kind of attendance we will have. Heinz has agreed to market this event and help us moving forward to advertise in 2020. Our goal is to have a festival that is family friendly and fun filled. We are going to be celebrating the Grigg Brother's

entrepreneurial spirit in inventing the Tater Tot in Ontario Oregon.
We foresee the festival to be quirky, outrages and excruciatingly great: Events include a

Paw Parade, relay races, exotic food vendors, a play to tell the story, Ferris wheel (Carnival atmosphere) are just a few off the list of suggestions. The fair is a wonderful platform for reaching over 20,000 people in our valley. The goal will be to introduce the festival, get input from the locals and titillate interest for the main event in 2020. In 2020, we foresee marketing the whole Treasure Valley which has the potential to reach 840,000 curious attendees. The first year we plan on kicking it off with a focus on the family, Ontario and Kraft/Heinz. With Heinz heading up the marketing it should be a roaring success.

FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

For established groups, please provide two years of P & L Statements, a current Balance Sheet, and a proposed budget for this year's promotion/event. For newly formed groups, please provide a proposed budget of revenues and expenditures in a form similar to the one below:

PROPOSED REVENUES

Source #1 <u>Revitalize Ontario</u>	Amount \$ _____
Source #2 <u>Kraft Heinz</u>	Amount \$ _____
Source #3 <u>Malheur Fair</u>	Amount \$ _____
Source #4 <u>4 Rivers Cul Cent</u>	Amount \$ _____
Source #5 <u>Convention & Visitors Bureau</u>	Amount \$ <u>3105</u>
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>\$3105</u>

PROPOSED EXPENDITURES

Use #1 Fair Fees	\$225
Use #2 Supplies	\$863
Use #3 Marketing	\$3105
Use #4 Rentals	\$225
Use #5 Equipment	\$619


TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$ 4812.00

REVENUES MINUS EXPENDITURES \$ 1717.00

As a final condition to accepting granted funds, the applicant agrees to provide the Ontario Visitors and Conventions Board with a final report summarizing results of the promotion/event (e.g., attendance, local and regional publicity, hotel/motel occupancy, closing revenue and expenditure report, etc.) within 90 days of the event/promotion.


6/26/2019

Date


Signed
Charlotte Fugate

Revitalize Ontario!

Printed Name of Applicant

Date Received: 6/26/2019 Ontario Area Chamber President/CEO: 

V&C GRANT CRITERIA CHECKLIST

Applicant/Event: Revitalize Ontario!'s 2020 Tater Tot Festival

Criteria		Meets No Criteria - Point Value 0	Partly Meets Criteria - Point Value 1	Sufficiently Meets Criteria - Point Value 3	Exceeds Criteria - Point Value 5
1	The project is a capital project, which enhances tourism such as, but not limited to, beautification. Examples of such potential projects might include coordinated refuse bins in shopping areas or enhancements to the highway arterial entrances to the City of Ontario such as shrubs, lighting or signage.				
2	Events that would attract attendees and participants from out of the area and would promote local tourism and business. Extra consideration will be given to multi-night events that necessitate over night lodging in the Ontario area.				
3	Projects consisting of special area media promotions. These could include, among others, special brochures or videos or advertising co-op projects designed to extol the virtues of Ontario as a tourism, convention, cultural, or recreational destination.				
4	Projects related to the education and/or training of the Visitors and Conventions Director or Board members.				

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

YES

NO

	Is this a not for profit project?			
--	-----------------------------------	--	--	--

TOTAL POINTS

--	--	--	--

POINT SYSTEM

0	Unable to provide funding.
1 through 3	May provide funding with or without contingencies.
4 through 5	May provide funding with or without contingencies.

Date: 07/11/19

Ontario V&C Minutes
June 6, 2019
7:00 am
Clarion Inn

Meeting called to order at 7:00 am by Bob Quinn.

Roll Call – Debbie Blackaby, Cheryl Cruson, Doug Dean, Terry Dols, John Hall, Bob Quinn, Dan Capron, City Manager Adam Brown, V&C Director John Breidenbach

Absent: None

NEW BUSINESS

Palmer Rose and Healing Garden

Mike and Jill Miller presented an update on the Palmer Rose and Healing Garden. The potential of the project was discussed. The location is next to the Ontario Area Chamber of Commerce's office where the tennis court is located. It is estimated to have 500 to 700 roses if the project is completed. A full-time gardener would most likely be a requirement in order to maintain the garden. It would serve as a great tourist attraction as well as beautification for the community.

Grant Request: Four Rivers Healthy Community's Snake River Brewstock

Barb Higinbotham presented the grant request for Four Rivers Healthy Community's Snake River Brewstock. The event takes place from 4:00 pm to 11:00 pm on June 22, 2019. There are about 13 different brewers with 40 different kinds of beer for tasting. About half of the brewers are estimated to stay overnight for the event. Music is also available for the socializing. Over 400 attendees were present at the event last year. Funds will be used to cover the costs of the venue, food, beverages, and insurance.

No funds were approved for Four River's Healthy Community's Snake River Brewstock. Moved by Doug Dean and seconded by Dan Capron. Motion carried.

Follow-up Report: Splash Park

Adam Brown presented the follow-up report for the grant received by the splash park. The opening for the splash park was extremely successful. A counter was at the gate and it reached over 675. The fire department went through several hot dogs and root beer during the event. They are currently partnering with the Boys & Girls Club of Western Treasure Valley for management staff.

MINUTES/FINANCIALS

The March 2019 minutes were reviewed; it was moved by Debbie Blackaby and seconded by John Hall to approve the minutes as presented. Motion carried. Financials were presented by John Hall. It was moved by Cheryl Cruson and seconded by Terry Dols to approve the financials as presented. Motion carried.

V&C DIRECTOR'S REPORT

John Breidenbach brought up a possible upcoming V&C grant application for beautification on private property. It was decided to consider certain grants if they were corridor improvements.

The Airport Appreciation Day is scheduled for July 20th with a breakfast along with a car, bike, and airplane show. There is hope to make this an annual event. Cairo Self Storage, Frazier Aviation, Ontario Area Chamber of Commerce, and Veteran Advocates of Ore-Ida are the main sponsors of the event.

The farm loop maps have finally been completed and signs for the participating businesses and locations will be made.

Meeting adjourned.

**CITY OF ONTARIO
VISITORS AND CONVENTIONS BOARD
PROMOTIONS AND EVENT FUND
BALANCES THROUGH
DRAFT JUNE 2019**

2018	RESOURCES	EXPENSES	BALANCE
BALANCE FORWARD	\$642,814.15	\$628,107.26	\$14,706.89
JULY <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$4,269.62	\$12,937.27
AUGUST <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$15,437.27
SEPTEMBER <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$17,937.27
OCTOBER <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$2,069.62	\$18,367.65
NOVEMBER <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$20,867.65
DECEMBER <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$69.62	\$23,298.03
2019			
JANUARY <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$25,798.03
FEBRUARY <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$69.62	\$28,228.41
MARCH <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$14,069.62	\$16,658.79
APRIL <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$19,158.79
MAY <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$21,658.79
JUNE <i>T.O.T. Disbursement -\$2500</i>	\$2,500.00	\$69.62	\$24,089.17
TOTAL FISCAL	\$30,000.00	\$20,617.72	
ACCRUALS FROM JULY 1997 TO PRESENT	\$672,814.15	\$648,724.98	\$24,089.17

**CITY OF ONTARIO
VISITORS AND CONVENTIONS BOARD
ACTIVITY SUMMARY
BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 1997**

DATE	REQUESTOR	REQUESTED	GRANTED	DISBURSED
MAY 2018	V & C BREAKFAST MEETING (05/03/18) <i>**Check #123111 dated 05/04/18**</i>	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62
MAY 2018	TRI-COUNTY LOVE, INC. 2018 BRINGING HOPE FESTIVAL	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
JUNE 2018	NO NEW ACTIVITY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
JULY 2018	V & C BREAKFAST MEETING (07/12/18) <i>**Check #123405 dated 07/13/18**</i>	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62
JULY 2018	TREASURE VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE TVCC NEW STUDENT ORIENTATION	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 4,200.00	\$ 4,200.00
AUG 2018	NO NEW ACTIVITY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SEP 2018	NO NEW ACTIVITY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
OCT 2018	V & C BREAKFAST MEETING (10/04/18) <i>**Check #123765 dated 10/12/18**</i>	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62
OCT 2018	TREASURE VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE RODEO TEAM 2018 TVCC CHUKAR RODEO	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
NOV 2018	NO NEW ACTIVITY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
DEC 2018	V & C Breakfast Meeting (12/06/18) <i>**Invoiced 12/27/18 to be paid 12/28/18** Paid 12/28/19 - Check #124059</i>	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62
JAN 2019	NO NEW ACTIVITY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
FEB 2019	V & C Breakfast Meeting (02/07/19) <i>**Invoice Received 2/25/19 - Scheduled for 3/1/19 Payment** Paid 03/01/19 - Check #124342</i>	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62
MAR 2019	V & C Breakfast Meeting (3/8/19) Paid 3/29/19 - Check #124459	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62
MAR 2019	Four Rivers Cultural Center Tradition Keepers	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
MAR 2019	Malheur County Fair Board 3rd Annual County Fair Concert Series	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
MAR 2019	Ontario Area Chamber of Commerce Splash Pad Project	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
APR 2019	NO NEW ACTIVITY	-	-	-
MAY 2019	NO NEW ACTIVITY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
JUN 2019	V & C Breakfast Meeting (6/6/19) Paid 6/7/19 - Check #124766	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62	\$ 69.62
6/27/2019		\$ 759,353.42	\$ 632,958.15	\$ 632,958.15