CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
March 22, 2022

The scheduled meeting of the Ontario City Council was called to order by Mayor Riley Hill at 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, March 22, 2022, in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Council members present were Riley Hill, John Kirby, Michael Braden, Susann Mills, and Ken Hart. Sam Baker and Eddie Melendrez arrived after roll call.

Members of staff present were Adam Brown, Tori Barnett, Terry Leighton, Kari Ott, Justin Zysk, Dan Cummings, Casey Mordhorst, Al Haun, Michael Iwai, and May Swihart.

The meeting was recorded, and copies are available at City Hall.

Rueben Hernandez, Jr. led everyone in the Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Hernandez was the reigning state champion in wrestling in the 152-pound class.

Mayor Hill stated Rueben was born in Ontario and was going to school at Ontario High School. He was a three- sport letterman, placed at state each year, and this year won the state championship. He also maintained a 3.7 GPA.

Rueben Hernandez, Jr. stated it was important to him to thank his family. It was a big wrestling family, and he'd been wresting since the age of 4. He had been working to get to this point for a very long time and it was a good journey with his team, his family, the school, and the city's support. It was just a great community to be a part of. When going around town, he received congratulations from everyone and it was pretty sweet and cool to be part of a community like Ontario, that was so small but also big that they could all know him. It was great. He was very proud to be a part of Ontario.

AGENDA

This Agenda was posted on March 18, 2022. Copies of the Agenda are available from the City Hall Customer Service Counter and on the city's website www.ontariooregon.org.

KIRBY moved, HART seconded, **TO ADOPT THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED.** Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-out; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-yes; Melendrez-out; Hill-yes. Motion carried 5/0/2.

CONSENT AGENDA

HART moved, KIRBY seconded, **TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA, WHICH CONSISTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 22, 2022, AND MARCH 8, 2022.** Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-Yes; Melendrez-yes; Hill-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

PRESENTATIONS

Airport Master Plan *(Attached)*
Justin Zysk, Airport Manager, presented.

City Manager Monthly Report *(Attached)*
Adam Brown, City Manager, presented.

NEW BUSINESS

New Hangar Lease: Gary Vorderbruggen – 135 Cessna and John Barinaga – 139 Cessna
Justin Zysk, Airport Manager, presented.

HART moved, BAKER seconded, **THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE HANGAR GROUND LEASE OF 135 CESSNA TO GARY VORDERBRUGGEN AND THE HANGAR GROUND LEASE OF 139 CESSNA TO JOHN BARINAGA.** Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-Yes; Melendrez-yes; Hill-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Committee Appointments
With the consensus of the Council, Mayor Hill appointed David Bryant to the Airport Committee; and Daniel Liera-Huchim and Eric Evans to the Ontario Promise 529 Program.

Ordinance #2804-2022: OMC 4-1-1, Adopting Oregon Specialty Code Provisions and Other Building Regulations
Dan Cummings, Community Development Director, presented.

BAKER moved, KIRBY seconded, **THAT THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE ORDINANCE #2804-2022, AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING OREGON SPECIALTY CODE PROVISIONS AND OTHER BUILDING REGULATIONS FOR THE CITY OF ONTARIO BUILDING REGULATIONS OMC 4-1-1, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY ON FIRST AND SECOND READING BY TITLE ONLY.** Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-Yes; Melendrez-yes; Hill-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

SE 5th Avenue Pedestrian Improvements Final Design Services for Keller Associates
Casey Mordhorst, Interim Public Works Director, presented.

MILLS moved, KIRBY seconded, **THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE ENGINEERING SERVICES TASK ORDER AMENDMENT NUMBER 1 IN THE AMOUNT OF $116,950 FOR KELLER ASSOCIATES FOR THE FINAL DESIGN OF PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS ON SE 5TH AVENUE AND AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER TO SIGN.** Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-Yes; Melendrez-yes; Hill-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Water and Sewer Support with Community in Action
Kari Ott, Finance Director, presented.

KIRBY moved, MILLS seconded, **THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE VENDOR AGREEMENT WITH COMMUNITY IN ACTION FOR WATER AND SEWER SERVICES AND AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT.** Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-Yes; Melendrez-yes; Hill-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

PUBLIC HEARING

Goodfellow Reimbursement District Consideration
It being the date advertised for a Public Hearing on the matter stated above, the Hearing was declared open. There were no objections to the city's jurisdiction to hear the action, no abstentions, no ex-parte contact, and no declarations of conflict of interest.

Casey Mordhorst, Interim Public Works Director, presented.

Dan Cummings, Community Development Director, explained the process for establishing a reimbursement district. By city code, for any developer, the first step was to meet with all the property owners to see if any were interested in paying their share up front. If they did not, and the city did not want to construct a half street, the city would request the developer install all of it and file for recapture. That was what had transpired with this project. He knew that all the property owners had been contacted. The developer would front all the costs and then file an application with the city; the city reviewed the application/costs to ensure they were true costs to the development. Then a Director's Report was created, followed by a public hearing, which requested the payment from that property owner that paid. The Council could set the interest rate at any amount, up to a cap of 7%. In this case, the developer was asking for 5.5% for those who wanted to make payments. If paid in full up front, no interest.

Councilor Hart asked if this was a loan, or an agreement between the parties, did the city have....

Mr. Cummings stated the city was responsible for collecting the money. Normally, there would be a 7% administrative fee, because a person could claim up to 25 years to pay off. After 25 years, the city kept whatever was still owing on the project. The developer could only recapture for the first 25 years. The city administered the recapture payments. The city received the money, and then paid the developer. The city had the power of the city code for collecting the funds. In this particular case, staff believed, as it was a joint project between developers and the city, staff was asking to waive the administrative fee.

Mayor Hill added that the developer paid the money. The city had a code where the developer could recapture the money put into the project. The property not being developed by another owner was assessed whatever that cost was. They could pay it up front or enter into an agreement where they paid their share, with interest. In this case, the developer was asking for 5.5% interest.

Mr. Cummings stated they were also asking for yearly payments up to 10 years. They did not want it stretched out to 25 years.

The Hearing was opened for public testimony.

Proponents: <u>Bob Goodwin</u>: *I really don't have much to say other than what Dan did, but we did contact, when we started this project, we worked with Commercial Tire, worked with them on this, and we tried numerous times to get ahold of Joe Dunn, visited his shop, you know, he was always going to call us. The realtor tried numerous times to get ahold of him, and we offered to carry it, but we never got any response back whatsoever – yes, no, nothing, not even a call back. We're ready to proceed with the project and we approved his project. I mean, his land right now is very sellable. I don't know what he's doing with it, but when we got done with it, it was obviously a very sellable piece of property now, so I don't think when he does sell it, the value, I mean, he's going to recoup everything he has to pay back. Any questions? Thanks.*

Opponents: None.

There being no further Proponent and no Opponent testimony, the Hearing was closed.

KIRBY moved, HART seconded, **THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE CREATION OF THE GOODFELLOW REIMBURSEMENT DISTRICT AS DESCRIBED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR'S REPORT AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN.** Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-Yes; Melendrez-yes; Hill-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

DEPARTMENT HEAD UPDATES

Finance: Monthly Report *(Attached)*
Kari Ott, Finance Director, presented.

Fire: Quarterly Report *(Attached)*
Terry Leighton, Fire Chief, presented. He also let the Council know he had received $500 checks from two entities for support of the Public Safety Training Center.

Community Development: Quarterly Report *(Attached)*
Council elected to not hear the report as it had been presented during the budget hearings.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Insurance Requirements for Amateur Races on the Water Track
Ron Dillon, promotor, was requesting to have lower insurance coverage for the amateur races. Event standard was showing at $5M and $9M.

Mayor Hill asked if each individual boat had insurance.

Mr. Dillon stated he would have to research that issue.

Consensus to have the City Manager handle this action.

Ferric Chloride Adjustments
Casey Mordhorst, Interim Public Works Director, stated regarding the Ferric Chloride consumption and cost, last year, Jacobs had been under contract with Thatcher, who was the primary supplier for Ferric Chloride. Due to the Longview, Washington, chlorine factory closing, it caused a strain in the system. In July, Thatcher issued a Force Majeure letter stating they could no longer supply the product, and informed Jacobs they'd need to find a new supplier immediately. They were contracted at 16¢ a pound. Based on last year's actuals, Jacobs used 658,000 pounds of Ferric Chloride in the water treatment process. Jacobs had a few other vendors established for other locations, but through the fall the city used four different suppliers and were currently using Kamara out of Spokane. Prices fluctuated from 16¢ a pound to 56¢ a pound. It was strictly supply and demand, where and when they could get it. Jacobs' goal was to keep a 30-day supply on hand at all times. Currently, Jacobs had a deal with Univar, they were returning to bulk loads, so they were getting 4,000 gallons a load, which lowered the price down to 43¢ a pound; however, they were currently approximately $85K overbudget, or 110% overbudget from the numbers from last year. In the Jacobs contract with Ontario, anything over 10% cost change, they had to come before the client for discussion.

Mayor Hill asked if the new budget reflected the higher price.

Mr. Mordhorst stated they had not carried the chemical cost for Ferric to the new budget. They maintained their base chemical level. If they had added the additional $185K into their budget, changing the base line to the fee year after year, it would have increased more. They held the budget for the 2023 year and would treat it like the repairs budget. As soon as they hit 75-80% of that, they would meet with the City Manager. If they were overbudget, they'd invoice monthly going forward.

Mayor Hill verified Jacobs was maintaining the same budget for fiscal year 2022-2023, but were now $85K over in this current budget, so the city could assume they'd be over next year, and it wasn't in the budget.

Ms. Ott stated it was in the city's budget. That had been discussed in the budget hearings. There was the contract amount, plus the additional for the Ferric Chloride.

Mr. Mordhorst stated this was to ensure that if they added that $185K to their base fee, going forward each year when the contract increased in cost, the base fee was going higher and higher, and chemical costs decreased, this ensured Jacobs was honest with the city.

Councilor Hart asked where the money would come from for the overage?

Ms. Ott stated it would have to come from Contingency in the Water Fund, which had over $1M in contingency.

Mr. Mordhorst stated they were currently at $85K, but based on last year's actuals, at the end of June it would be around $185K for the Ferric Chloride. If prices stayed where they were at going forward, it could cause the need for a rate increase.

City Manager Replacement Process

Adam Brown, City Manager, stated he had notified the Council of his intent to leave, and April 20th was his last day with the city. The Council had several alternatives for replacement: they could install someone in the position temporarily that was already on staff; there was a list that LOC carried of people that were willing to do interim City Manager assignments as the Council searched for a permanent hire; or they could recruit with a headhunter, one being Prothman who was very heavy in Oregon.

Mayor Hill stated his personal thoughts were to notify LOC that Ontario had an open position and ask Dan Cummings to put together his thoughts on the interim City Manager position.

Councilor Kirby stated when they selected a headhunter, was that through an RFP or did they just pick someone.

Mr. Brown stated it was a professional services company so they could be selected not on cost, but he believed it would be important to look at different proposals from other recruiters to get an idea of the success rate in similar communities. If the city put out an RFP for recruitment proposals, the city would probably receive at least six firms that would be interested. He could do that for Council. It was not a quick process, typically running four to six months at a minimum.

Councilor Hart asked what Mr. Cummings' thought about the Mayor's initial statement.

Mr. Cummings stated the Mayor had discussed it with him, and he'd also spoken with a few other Councilors, and gave it a lot of thought. He was willing to meet with the Council with a proposal to show them how he could probably do the job as interim for a while. He would want to ensure he protected his current position, so he would not want to put a replacement in that position. He had already put together a plan on how he thought he could keep the city running straight until the Council decided what they wanted to do. They all knew him, and he was not a professional City Manager. He had managed his own company for 40+ years and believed he'd down well at the Community Development Center. He knew how to manage things. He was a doer get'r done type guy. The choice was up to the Council. He was willing to put together a program, or interview with them, to tell them his plans, if that was the desire of the Council.

Councilor Melendrez asked for a clearer explanation on how LOC could help with an interim City Manager because he thought this would make Dan have to do two jobs at once.

Mr. Brown stated LOC maintained a list of people who were interested in doing interim assignments. Some had City Manager experience, some maybe were interested in pursuing the position of City Manager and wanted to try it out as an interim. The LOC didn't necessarily take on the responsibility, but they gave him access to the list which he could share with the Council. It had basic bios of those on the list. It was not a long list, and some of the individuals he knew personally were already tied up in other interim positions. He'd be happy to share the list with the Council. It would be up to someone from the city to contact someone on the list. Ontario was a bit off the beaten path from where the majority of the people were located.

Councilor Kirby stated this would be like what they did with Chief Bartol; a professional coming from the outside that would be interim.

Mr. Brown stated that was correct.

Councilor Melendrez stated he would be in support of reaching out to find someone for the interim position, and if that did not work out, they could try to work out something with Dan. He knew Dan could do the job, and was doing a great job already, but hopefully the Council would go the other route first.

Councilor Braden stated his personal opinion was to stay closest to home, with the person who knew them best, and Dan's exemplary work for the city could help them during the interim difficult decision-making period of finding a permanent replacement. He worried about Dan already serving them well as the Community Development Director, and then coming over and being in the City Manager's office. That had been two hard working bodies the entire time he'd been in office, so while he didn't want to shoot down Dan's opportunity, he agreed with him and wanted to hear his pitch and give him first shot. If the Council could concurrently review the list from LOC, great, but Dan coming up with a pitch and letting the Council know how he could accomplish it, would serve the city well.

Mayor Hill stated this was not a new phenomenon because this had happened other times. Tori served as interim City Manager for a long period of time, so he tended to agree with Councilor Braden.

Councilor Kirby stated his concern, which he'd addressed with Dan, was his health. He'd had two bouts this past year and he was concerned for him as an individual. To make certain he could maintain his health and do the job, but he knew he could do the job. He didn't want to see him hurt.

Mayor Hill stated during the budget hearings, they had discussed getting some additional help in the Planning Department, so he was not as concerned as he probably should be.

Councilor Hart stated with regard to that, did they wait until the new budget was in place, or should they move on those transitions sooner.

Mr. Cummings stated that would be discussed under 10)D, in that his department wanted to move forward right away.

Mayor Hill stated it appeared they had a consensus they wanted to give Dan Cummings a chance to make his pitch, but at the same time get the list from LOC.

Succession Planning for Building Official Program

Dan Cummings, Community Development Director, stated the Council had already approved the replacement of a part-time inspector. Council also approved them to hire a part-time office assistant to help with paperwork involved with permits, etc. Then, all of Marcy's family moved to Montana, so she was now asking to begin a two-to-three-year exit from Ontario. That changed his gameplan. Now, they were not hiring a part-time office person or a part-time inspector and had advertised for a full-time Building Official to replace Marcy as the Building Official, and she would be the inspector and the officer person. She'd cover those two positions. What made this work, was that the department could afford to do this, and as they watched the budget, if the economy decreased, Marcy was willing to do the same – go to part-time or just make the move to Montana. That was the new proposal. They were currently advertising for a full-time Building Official, but it was going to be difficult to find one. He'd put it out to the state, and on the city's website, but there had been no hits. That was the new plan for his department – one full-time instead of two part-time.

Mr. Brown stated this had been discussed for a few weeks. The state budget laws were awkward. Once the Budget Committee recommended something, that fund could not be changed more than 10% without reconvening the Budget Committee. The city would have to approve the budget as proposed by the Budget Committee, and then afterward they could amend the budget in that fund for this full-time position. He agreed with the plan that was proposed. The building fund was in good fiscal health, and they also had a partner who was already on the job who was willing to back off if necessary. This could be sustained for several years without having to worry about the cost of having two Building Officials.

Councilor Hart verified they were going to bring the budget forward for approval at the April 12th meeting.

Ms. Ott stated that was what she published it for.

Councilor Hart asked if they would then, at the same meeting, amend the budget, or would they wait?

Ms. Ott stated if they could get someone hired in this fiscal year, they'd have to do a budget resolution and take the funds out of the building fund contingency, but they'd have to wait until after July 1st to amend it for next year.

Councilor Hart stated at the April 12th Council meeting, would Dan be prepared to do his pitch on how he would do the interim City Manager job as well as the Planning Director? The Council, at the meeting on the 12th, could decide to go with an interim, and review the LOC list, as well.

Civil Penalty Fine Forgiveness

Adam Brown, City Manager, stated the Code Enforcement Review Committee had been working through this, and their next meeting was Thursday. They had discussed several options about how to move forward with the fines on the books, and it came down to two options: One, the Council could clear the slate, remove everything, and start from scratch with the new amendments; or two, which had been provided by the City Attorney, was that they could open an appeal time for the existing fines that were on the books currently. If they opted for that route, they'd need to locate a hearings officer. When this had been discussed before, staff suggested they go with an attorney, since it was a quasi-judicial hearing, or use the Municipal Court, utilizing the contract the city had with Judge Mahoney. Staff was still in support of one of those two options if the Council opted to go the appeals window route.

Mayor Hill asked if part of the recommendation was to lower the appeal fee, down to something more reasonable. What would the cost be if they used the court?

Mr. Brown stated the last suggestion from the committee had been either $125 or $150.

Mayor Hill stated he was talking about clearing what they currently had on the books.

Councilor Kirby stated the conflict he saw was that they had a fine matrix in place that was progressive, which is why they ended up with some of those larger fines, whereas, the committee, he believed he could say, by consensus, was talking about jettisoning that matrix and going to a bracketed fine system. If they have just the appeal process, they'd still be working under the progressive matrix. If they got past some of that, with a lower appeal fee, but to those who would be appealing, it would be to their advantage to work with a fine that was definitive rather than progressive.

Mr. Brown stated one enormous challenge he saw was having the city's one code enforcement officer trying to be the staff to these quasi-judicial hearings for every single appeal. That was a difficult challenge. He would like the Council to wipe the slate clean and start fresh.

Councilor Hart asked the about having any amount to appeal. What was the logic? If he was pulled over and received a ticket, he wasn't charged to go defend himself. Most things a person did, they did not have to pay to contest. What was the thought process behind that?

Councilor Kirby stated there had been discussion and concern that if the Council did that, they might have everyone lining up to appeal, if the fee was too low, and there was some paperwork involved, which would cost the city. The other side was to make it so the city could recover their costs. Speaking with the legal people, they wanted to make it low enough that everyone could make an appeal if needed. If there was a hardship case, that fee could be waived.

Mayor Hill stated Councilor Hart's point was well stated. If someone received a ticket, they didn't file an appeal, but now, if they wanted to file an appeal, you're guilty, and had to pay money. He thought maybe the committee was speaking about the appeal fee being refunded if there was a reduction. Was it refunded if there was a reduction or repealed? He wasn't sure how that was structured from the committee.

Mr. Brown stated the way it was currently written, if a person prevailed in the appeal, the appeal fee would be refunded.

Mayor Hill stated prevailed had more than one meaning. If it was reduced, was that prevailing?

Mr. Brown stated he believed it was if the hearings officer ruled that it was taken care of and no fines would be assessed or it was taken care of in a timely manner and wasn't recorded, etc., the fee could be refunded, or it could go towards the fees already paid.

Councilor Hart asked about the volume – was it 25 or 2,000?

Mr. Brown stated it was in the middle of that.

Councilor Hart questioned the time and effort that staff would have to put into it. If they sent out 1,000 letters, gave people 90 days to appeal, whether the fee be $25 or $0, a group of those 1,000 would elect to appeal and then there was that entire process with only the one officer. He was trying to think of how, logistically, this would work in a system that they were looking at substantially revamping. It made more sense to wipe the slate clean and move forward with the new process and address those issues moving forward. Once figured out, and finding several properties that needed attention, they'd get those in the new system, in the new process, as expeditiously as possible. That seemed to be a better use of staff time moving forward rather than spending time trying to clean up the past few years of this issue.

Mayor Hill stated there were outside forces coming at them currently and they needed to something to handle that. This needed to be dealt with one way or the other.

Councilor Kirby stated he did not believe that would be at odds with the committee. Also, in their last conversation with legal counsel, they were going to try to review that and have it to the Council before the 24th. He hoped the committee would have something to review prior to Thursday.

Mr. Brown stated he had spoken with legal counsel, and they were not ready. He hoped that at the Thursday meeting, the committee would finish the policy issues presented by the committee and turn that over to legal to write it up as the committee presented.

Mayor Hill stated they had been going on for seven months now. What was the consensus of the Council? Direct staff to figure out something to wipe the slate clean, or did they wanted to direct staff to put together something different?

Councilor Baker stated there had been a lot of time and effort on this action, and they were still at ground zero. He wanted to wipe the slate clean and get something on for the future. It didn't matter what had already occurred because it was a mess. It was not going to offend the committee that had been working on this, and it was his opinion it needed to be cleared and then started over. Get something in place that worked.

Councilor Kirby stated he didn't think there'd be any heads hanging low if the Council wiped the slate clean, put it all behind them, but they definitely needed to change the program, for staffing purposes and for the quality of life of the citizenry. There were things they could use Citizens on Patrol for, to maybe highlight an area that Officer Reyna could look at, because they were out on patrol every day. There were several changes that had been discussed. They'd also discussed making available to the citizenry options for cleaning up properties and they'd provided a list to the City Manager of rental places where they could rent equipment, they were looking for contractors who might be able to step forward and clean up lots, and there had been other things brought about to help the individual who might be cited, but to also make this city cleaner.

Mayor Hill asked if there was a consensus to ask staff to develop a forgiveness program?

Councilor Braden stated as a member of the ad hoc committee, he had heard multiple committee members state the forgiving off existing fines was a Council matter of policy; that they did not feel comfortable, nor wanted to address. That was their decision. They did not care if the Council wiped it clean or not. He very much respected the way Councilor Hart laid it out. He felt the consensus could be, and from his supportive position, was that it was the general feeling of the Council currently, to remove all the outstanding fines, and have the City Manager draft a resolution to do that. There had been some communication with legal; he would want to run that resolution through legal first to ensure they signed off on it, or at least supported it as to legal standing, to make sure the Council was taking the right steps. He supported the consensus in the committee, and agreed the City Manager should draft that and get it before the attorney for approval.

Consensus to do the above.

HAND OUTS

Minutes *(Attached)*
County Court: Feb 9, 2022; Feb 23, 2022; Mar 3, 2022; Mar 9, 2022

Department Stats *(Attached)*
Public Works, Code Enforcement, Fire, Police – February, 2022

Check Register *(Attached)*
February 2022

CORRESPONDENCE, COMMENTS, AND EX-OFFICIO REPORTS

- Mayor Hill stated he heard grumblings that there might be a claim for a construction sewer back up or something like that.

  Mr. Brown stated a claim had been filed and handed off to CIS and the attorney for resolution.

- Councilor Melendrez stated they had previously talked about getting new signs in the parks, and he had done a ride along with an officer, and they talked about enforcement issues. For example, enforcing the dog leash law, but also the sign itself was illegible. Could they get more signs?

  Mr. Brown stated that was something that staff needed to communicate. They were constantly replacing signs. The city worked with SRCI for signs, and that was something that could be handled. Staff needed to speak with Officer Reyna to talk with Public Works to get that moving.

- Adam Brown added that the Code Enforcement Review committee had addressed around 75-80% of the policy issues. There were just a few that needed attention, and then they'd be ready to wrap it up.

- Councilor Hart asked about a charge on the check register – University of Virginia, $4,650?

  Mr. Brown stated it was a training program that HR would be attending.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS

Executive Session: ORS 192.660(2)(e)
An executive session was called at 8:12 p.m. under provisions of ORS 192.660(2)(e) to discuss real property. The Council returned to regular session at 8:41 p.m.

Mayor Hill recused himself from the action and left the room. Council President Hart conducted the Executive Session.

ACTION AFTER EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mayor Hill remained away from the dais, and Council President Hart conducted the below action.

J. Ruiz Enterprises, LLC
BRADEN moved, KIRBY seconded, **THE CITY COUNCIL ACCEPT THE OFFER FROM J. RUIZ ENTERPRISES, LLC, OF $130,000.00 FOR LOTS 1 AND 4 OF THE STELLING SUBDIVISION AND AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER TO SIGN THE EARNEST MONEY AGREEMENT.** Roll call vote: Mills-no; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-no; Melendrez-yes; Hill-no vote. Motion carried 4/2/0/1.

ADJOURN

MILLS moved, KIRBY seconded, **THAT THE MEETING BE ADJOURNED**. Roll call vote: Mills-yes; Baker-yes; Kirby-yes; Braden-yes; Hart-yes; Melendrez-yes; Hill-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

ACCEPTED:	ATTEST
Riley Hill	Tori Barnett
Riley J. Hill, Mayor	Tori Barnett, MMC, City Recorder